

# *Galois Cohomology of Algebraic Groups*

*Ayushi Tsydendorzhiev*

*October 25, 2024*

These notes are my rendition of the lectures given by Prof. Kammeyer to the doctoral students of GRK 2240 in Düsseldorf during winter term 24/25. Sometimes I've expanded and rewritten them sufficiently or added proofs for theorems I didn't know. As of now, I've only taken some algebraic topology and commutative algebra, so these notes may reflect my currently rather limited knowledge.

## *Contents*

<i>1</i>	<i>Introduction</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>1.1</i>	<i>Galois group actions</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>1.2</i>	<i>The fixed point functor and exact sequences</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>Preliminaries from algebraic number theory.</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>2.1</i>	<i>Number fields</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>2.2</i>	<i>Integrality in number fields</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>2.3</i>	<i>The arithmetic of algebraic integers</i>	<i>9</i>

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Galois group actions

Lecture 1, 10.10.2024

Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension and  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  its Galois group. The Galois group  $G$  acts on  $L$  via field automorphisms:

- Action on the field extension  $L$ : For  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  its Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$  acts either by identity or by sending  $\sqrt{2}$  to  $-\sqrt{2}$ .
- Action on the dual of the field extension  $L^*$ : For  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})^*$  its Galois group acts on  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot 1 + x_2 \cdot \sqrt{2}$  either by identity or by sending  $f$  to  $f' = x_1 \cdot 1 - x_2 \cdot \sqrt{2}$ .
- Action on the group of  $n$ th roots of unity  $\mu_n(L)$ :
  - In  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , the  $n$ th roots of unity consist of  $\{-1, 1\}$  if  $n$  is even and  $\{1\}$  if  $n$  is odd. Both automorphisms in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$  leave  $\mu_n(\mathbb{Q})$  fixed, so this tells us that they all belong to the base field (are rational, in this case).
  - A more interesting example is the  $n$ th cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . In this field  $\mu_n(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)) = \langle \zeta_n \rangle$ , the cyclic group generated by  $\zeta_n$ . The Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ . For  $n = 5$  (prime), the Galois group is cyclic and consists of  $\{1, \zeta_5, \zeta_5^2, \zeta_5^3, \zeta_5^4\}$ . The action of the Galois group then permutes the 5th roots of unity. For  $n = 8$ , the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)/\mathbb{Q})$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  and is cyclic of order 4. The basis of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is given by  $\{1, \zeta_8, \zeta_8^2, \zeta_8^3\}$ . The actions is given as:  $\sigma_1$  acts trivially,  $\sigma_3$  maps  $\zeta_8$  to  $\zeta_8^3$ ,  $\sigma_5$  acts by multiplication by  $-1$  and  $\sigma_7$  maps  $\zeta_8$  to  $\zeta_8^7$ .
- Action on the cyclic group  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ : same as above.
- Action on a finite abelian group  $M$ : trivial action.
- Action on the general linear group  $\text{GL}_n(L)$  over a field  $L$  of characteristic 0:  $\text{GL}_n(L)$  consists of  $n \times n$  invertible matrices over  $L$ . We have a Galois extension  $L/K$ . The Galois group acts by applying the field automorphisms to the entries of the matrices, so  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(a_{ij}) \forall 1 \leq ij \leq n$ . The fixed points contain  $\text{GL}_n(K)$ .

- Backstory: The determinant of a  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is defined as

$\text{sgn}(\pi)$  is either even or odd. +1 if even and  $-1$  if odd.

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \left( \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \pi(i)} \right)$$

Consider  $\sigma(\det(A))$ , where  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  is a field automorphism. It distributes over addition and multiplication:

$$\sigma(\det(A)) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \left( \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(a_{i, \pi(i)}) \right)$$

The signum is either +1 or -1, so it is always in the base field  $K$  and is fixed by  $\sigma$ . Thus  $\sigma(\det(A)) = \det(\sigma(A))$ . So the action of the Galois group preserves determinants.

1.2 The fixed point functor and exact sequences

All of these examples are special cases of a more general concept: a group  $G$  acting on an algebraic group  $\mathbb{G} \subseteq \text{GL}_n$ .

When studying group actions, we're often interested in fixed points

$$A^G = \{a \in A \mid \forall \sigma \in G : \sigma a = a\}$$

Here,  $A^G$  represents the set of all elements in  $A$  that are fixed by every element of  $G$ . To study fixed points more systematically, we introduce the fixed point functor  $-^G$ . This functor takes a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and returns its fixed points. We're particularly interested in how this functor behaves with respect to exact sequences.

An algebraic group is a matrix group defined by polynomial conditions, at least this is what "The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field." by Milne says. I guess this is the consequence of Chevalley theorem?

**Note 1.1.**

**Group action perspective:** A  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module is an abelian group  $A$  endowed with a (left) action  $(\sigma, a) \mapsto \sigma a$  of  $G$  on  $A$  such that for all  $\sigma \in G$  the map  $\varphi_\sigma : a \mapsto \sigma a$  from  $A$  to  $A$  is a morphism of abelian groups. This implies that the action of  $G$  is distributive,  $\varphi_\sigma(ab) = \varphi_\sigma(a) + \varphi_\sigma(b)$ .

**Ring module perspective:** Equivalently, a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module is a module over the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ , where elements consist of formal linear combinations of elements from group  $G$  with integer coefficients, so something like  $3g_1 + 4g_2 + 10g_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ . It contains both  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $G$  as subrings. The  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module structure encapsulates both the abelian group structure of  $A$  and the  $G$ -action on  $A$ , which leads to the key insight:

$$\{\text{module over } \mathbb{Z}[G]\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{abelian group } A \text{ with } G\text{-action}\}$$

**Lemma 1.2.** Consider an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} 0$$

Applying the fixed point functor  $-^G$  to this sequence yields:

$$0 \longrightarrow A^G \xrightarrow{f^G} B^G \xrightarrow{g^G} C^G$$

This new sequence is exact in  $\text{Ab}$  (the category of abelian groups). Thus the functor  $-^G$  is left-exact, meaning it preserves exactness at the left end of the sequence.

- A natural question arises: Is the fixed point functor also right-exact? If such a lifting always exists, then the fixed point functor preserves exactness at  $C$ ,

making it right-exact. If not, we've discovered an obstruction that tells us something about the Galois action and the structure of our groups.

- To investigate this, we need to check if  $\ker h^G = \text{im } g^G$ , or equivalently, if  $\text{im } g^G = C^G$ . Breaking this down:
  - Take any  $c \in C^G$ .
  - Since  $C^G \subseteq C$ , there exists a  $b \in B$  such that  $g(b) = c$ .
  - If  $b$  were fixed by  $G$ , we'd be done. But it might not be.
    - \* Consider  $\sigma b - b$  for any  $\sigma \in G$ . We have  $g(\sigma b - b) = g(\sigma b) - g(b) = \sigma g(b) - g(b) = \sigma c - c$ .
    - \* Since  $c \in C^G$ ,  $\sigma c - c = 0$  and  $(\sigma b - b) \in \ker g$ .
    - \* By exactness,  $\ker g = \text{im } f$ , so  $\sigma b - b \in \text{im } f$ .
    - \* We can view this as an element of  $A$  (considering  $f$  as an inclusion  $A \subseteq B$ ).

Why  $\sigma b = b$ ?

Also,  $C \cong B/\text{im } f$ . Or consider presentations of groups.

So the question of right-exactness boils down to whether or not every  $G$ -invariant element of  $C$  can be lifted to a  $G$ -invariant element of  $B$  and the obstruction to it lives inside of  $A$ .

And if  $b$  were indeed in  $B^G$  then  $(\sigma b - b) = 0 \in A$ .

- This analysis leads us to define a map (for a given  $c \in C^G$ ):

$$\varphi : G \rightarrow A, \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma b - b =: a_\sigma$$

This map is called a crossed homomorphism (also known as a derivation or 1-cocycle). It measures how far  $b$  is from being  $G$ -invariant. If  $b$  were  $G$ -invariant, this map would be identically 0! Note that this is independent of any  $b$  taken such that  $g(b) = c$ . Such cocycles are cohomologous.

**Proposition 1.3.** The map  $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$  satisfies:

$$a_{\sigma\tau} = a_\sigma + \sigma a_\tau$$

This property is what defines a crossed homomorphism.

- **In the abelian case**, we define
  - $Z^1(G, A) = \{a' : G \rightarrow A \mid a'_{\sigma\tau} = a'_\sigma + \sigma a'_\tau\}$ , the set of all crossed homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $A$ .
  - $B^1(G, A) = \{a : \sigma \in G \mid \exists a' \in A : a_\sigma = \sigma a' - a'\}$ .
  - The quotient  $H^1(G, A) = Z^1(G, A)/B^1(G, A)$  is called the **first cohomology group** of  $G$  with coefficients in  $A$ . It measures the obstruction to the right-exactness of the fixed point functor.

The functor  $A \mapsto H^1(G, A)$  is a derived functor of the  $A \mapsto A^G$  functor.

The obstructions for right-exactness: find  $\sigma b - b \in A$  such that it is 0 under projection in  $Z^1(G, A)/B^1(G, A)$ . It is given by  $\delta(c) = [a_\sigma] \in H^1(G, A) = Z^1(G, A)/B^1(G, A)$ . We can extend our original sequence to a longer exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, B) \rightarrow H^1(G, C) \rightarrow 0$$

This sequence is exact in Ab, and the map  $\delta$  (called the connecting homomorphism) measures the failure of right-exactness of the fixed point functor, since  $\ker \delta$  represents all elements of  $C^G$  which can be lifted to elements of  $B^G$ .

- The key idea of the 1-cocycle is to encode the failure of  $G$ -invariance in a way that's compatible with the group structures involved. It allows us to move from concrete elements ( $b$  and  $c$ ) to cohomological objects ( $[\varphi]$ ) that capture essential information about the Galois action and the relationship between our groups  $A, B$ , and  $C$ . This approach transforms specific lifting problems into more general cohomological questions, allowing us to apply powerful theoretical tools and gain deeper insights into the structures we're studying.

In field theory,  $H^1(G, A)$  can represent the obstruction to an element being a norm. In the theory of algebraic groups,  $H^1(G, A)$  can represent the obstruction to a torsor having a rational point.

**Exercise 1.4.** Show that  $H^1(G, -)$  is functorial and

$$0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, B) \rightarrow H^1(G, C) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Find example with  $\delta \neq 0$ .

- **In the non-abelian case**, we define
  - $H^0(G, A) = A^G$ , the fixed points as before.
  - $H^1(G, A) = Z^1(F, A) / \sim$ , where  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation defined by:  $a_\sigma \sim b_\sigma \iff \exists a' \in A : b_\sigma = (a')^{-1} \cdot a_\sigma \cdot {}^\sigma a'$ .

In this case,  $H^1(G, A)$  doesn't have a group structure, but is a pointed set (a set with a distinguished element). We can still define a notion of exactness for sequences of pointed sets.

We cannot expect  $B^1(G, A)$  to be a subgroup. Why?  
 ${}^\sigma a$  denotes the action of  $\sigma$  on  $a$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** For  $A \leq_G B$ , we obtain  $G \curvearrowright B/A$  and

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(G, A) \rightarrow H^0(G, B) \rightarrow H^0(G, C) \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, B)$$

is exact.

Exactness in pointed sets  $(A, *)$  is defined as  $\text{im } f = \ker g = g^{-1}(*)$   
 $A \leq_G B$  is  $G$ -equivariant inclusion.

This is the **Galois cohomology**. Why do we care? In the non-commutative case  $H^1(G, A)$  classifies "K-objects". In our lecture we will use this to classify simple and simply connected linear algebraic  $k$ -groups  $G$ .

## 2 Preliminaries from algebraic number theory.

### 2.1 Number fields

Lecture 2, 17.10.24

User: GRK, password: 2240.

**Definition 2.1.** An algebraic number field is a finite field extension  $k/\mathbb{Q}$ .

- This definition implies the following properties:
  - The field  $k$  has characteristic 0.
  - By the Primitive Element Theorem,  $k = \mathbb{Q}(a)$  for some  $a \in K$ .
  - There exists a unique minimal polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  for  $a$ , with  $\deg(f) = d = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$ .
- Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_d)$  be the roots of  $f$  in the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  within  $\mathbb{C}$ . These roots are called the **Galois conjugates** of  $a$ . Note that these roots do not lie in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- Properties of embeddings:
  - For each  $i$ , the map  $a \mapsto a_i$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}(a) \cong \mathbb{Q}(a_i)$ .
  - Any embedding  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  must send  $a$  to some  $a_i$ .
  - There are exactly  $d$  embeddings  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , denoted  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ .
- Classification of embeddings:
  - Note that  $(a_1, \dots, a_d) = \overline{(a_1, \dots, a_d)}$ , so  $\sigma_i(k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $\bar{a}_i = a_i$ .
  - We can thus classify the embeddings as:
    - \* Real embeddings (real places of  $K$ ):  $r_1$
    - \* Complex embeddings (complex places of  $K$ ):  $2r_2$  (counted in pairs due to complex conjugation)
  - This classification implies  $d = r_1 + 2r_2$
- Examples:
  - For  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ :  $r_1 = 1, r_2 = 1$
  - For  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\exp(2\pi i/n))$ ,  $n \geq 3$ :  $r_1 = 0, r_2 = \varphi(n)/2$  (odd  $n$ )

“The concept of algebraic integer was one of the most important discoveries of number theory. It is not easy to explain quickly why it is the right definition to use, but roughly speaking, we can think of the leading coefficient of the primitive irreducible polynomials  $f(x)$  as a ‘denominator’. If  $\alpha$  is the root of an integer polynomial  $f(x) = dx^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots$ , then  $d\alpha$  is an algebraic integer, because it is a root of the monic integer polynomial  $x^n + a_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \dots + d^{n-1}a_0$ . Thus we can ‘clear the denominator’ in any algebraic number by multiplying it with a suitable integer to get an algebraic integer.” — Artin, Algebra.

**Definition 2.2.** For any  $\alpha \in K$ , we define two rational numbers:

1. The norm:  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\alpha)$
2. The trace:  $Tr_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\alpha)$

Note:  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \det(\alpha : K \rightarrow K)$ , and similarly for the trace.

- Basis criterion: Let  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in k$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \alpha_i = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \sigma_j(\alpha_i) = 0$  for all  $j$ . Moreover,  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is a basis of  $k$  if and only if  $\det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)) \neq 0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The **discriminant** of a basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$  of a number field  $k$  of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is defined as:  $\text{discr}(\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}) = \det^2(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  are the  $d$  distinct embeddings of  $k$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Exercise 2.4.** Prove that  $\text{discr}(\alpha_i) = \det(\text{Tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ . Show that if  $k = \mathbb{Q}(a)$  for some  $a \in k$ , then  $\text{discr}(\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{d-1}\}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} (\sigma_i(a) - \sigma_j(a))^2$ .

To introduce relative versions for an extension  $l/k$ , we define the relative discriminant  $\text{discr}(\ )_{l/k}$  using only those embeddings  $\sigma_i : l \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which restrict to the identity on  $k$ .

### 2.2 Integrality in number fields

Let  $k$  be an algebraic number field for the following discussion.

**Definition 2.5.** The ring of integers in  $k$  is defined as:

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \{\alpha \in k : f(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for some monic } f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]\} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^k.$$

- Example:  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}$ . It is often referred to as the ring of “rational integers”.

**Proposition 2.6.** For  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in k$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathcal{O}_k$
2.  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r]$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

*Proof:*  $\implies$  If each  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_k$ , then it satisfies a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. Let the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha_i$  be:  $f_i(x) = x^{n_i} + a_{n_i-1}^{(i)}x^{n_i-1} + \dots + a_1^{(i)}x + a_0^{(i)}$  where each  $a_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From the minimal polynomial, we can express any higher power of  $\alpha_i$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of lower powers:

$$\alpha_i^{n_i} = - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{n_i-j}^{(i)} \alpha_i^{n_i-j}$$

This means that the set  $\{1, \alpha_i, \alpha_i^2, \dots, \alpha_i^{n_i-1}\}$  spans  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_i]$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. (As any higher power is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of elements from the set and any lower power is already in the set). Now consider all monomials of the form  $\alpha_1^{e_1} \alpha_2^{e_2} \dots \alpha_r^{e_r}$ , where  $0 \leq e_i < n_i$ . They cover all possible combination of the  $\alpha_i$ 's up to the power  $n_i - 1$  for each  $\alpha_i$ . Any higher powers can be reduced to linear combinations of these monomials using the minimal polynomials. As such,  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r]$  is spanned by  $N = n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$  such monomials and therefore is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\Leftarrow$  This part is trickier, so we will skip it (keyword transformations, Cayley-Hamilton, characteristic polynomial).  $\square$

- Since for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$  their sum  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha + \beta]$  and multiplication  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha \cdot \beta]$  are also finitely generated,  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is a ring.

**Lemma 2.7.** For  $\alpha \in k$ , there exist  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{n}$ .

Algebraic number theory is not (algebraic) number theory but rather (algebraic number) theory.

From now on we can assume that our algebraic number field is generated by a primitive element which is an algebraic integer.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $k$  be of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $a$  be a primitive element of  $k$ . Then

$$\mathbb{Z}[a] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k \subseteq \frac{1}{\text{discr}(1, a, \dots, a^{d-1})} \mathbb{Z}[a]$$

Because  $\mathcal{O}_k$  lies between two free abelian groups of the same rank, it must be a free abelian group of the same rank.

(Note:  $\frac{1}{\text{discr}(1, a, \dots, a^{d-1})}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}$  because it is in the intersection of algebraic integers in  $k$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .)

**Corollary 2.9.**  $\mathcal{O}_k$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of rank  $d$ . Any such basis is called an integral basis.

(Note: This relates to the theory of lattices in  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces and Minkowski's geometry of numbers. The covolumes of these lattices play a crucial role in understanding the structure of  $\mathcal{O}_k$ .)

**Corollary 2.10.**  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is noetherian.

**Definition 2.11.** The discriminant of  $k$ , denoted by  $\text{discr}(\cdot)_k$  or  $d_k$  is given by  $\text{discr}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  for any integral basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ . This is well-defined because the change of basis matrix has determinant  $\det(T \dots) = \pm 1$ .

More generally, we can also define relative discriminants  $d_{L/K}$  for a field extension  $L/K$  as  $d_{L/K} = \text{discr}(\beta_i)$  where  $\beta_i$  is a relative integral basis. This  $d_{L/K}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , as we might not be in a principal ideal domain anymore.

**Exercise 2.12.** Let  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , where  $D$  is a square-free integer. Show that:

- a) If  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then an integral basis is  $1, \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}$  and  $d_k = D$ .
- b) If  $D \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ , then an integral basis is  $1, \sqrt{D}$  and  $d_k = 4D$ .

*Solution:*

- Suppose  $a + b\sqrt{D} \in \mathcal{O}_k$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$a + b\sqrt{D} = \begin{pmatrix} a & bD \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} =: A \in M_2(\mathbb{Q}),$$

since  $(a + b\sqrt{D})(x + y\sqrt{D}) = ax + (ay + bx)\sqrt{D} + byD$ . This is the product of multiplication with the "real" part  $ax + byD$  and the "imaginary" part  $(ay + bx)\sqrt{D}$ .

- Since multiplication by  $a + b\sqrt{D}$  acts like multiplication by the matrix representation, consider its characteristic polynomial  $\text{char}(x, T) = T^2 - 2aT + a^2 - b^2D$ .

– The constant term is  $N_k(x)$ .

Fun fact: for any  $x$  in a number field, TFAE:

- a) The norm  $N(x)$ ,
- b) The determinant of  $x$  in matrix representation  $A$ ,
- c) The constant term of the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ .

Fun fact 2: for any  $x$  in a number field, a) The trace of  $A$  is the coefficient of second highest degree in the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ .

Thus trace  $\text{tr}_k(x)$  and  $\det_k(x)$  completely determine  $\text{char}_k(x, T)$  of degree 2.



- The coefficient of  $T$  is  $-\text{tr}_k(x)$ .
- For  $x$  to be an algebraic integer, we need
  - $N_k(a + b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - b^2D \in \mathbb{Z}$
  - $\text{tr}_k(x) = 2a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Case-by-case: assume the above is true.
  - If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $b^2D \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $D$  is square-free and  $b^2 = \frac{q^2}{p^2}$ , it cannot cancel out the denominator  $p^2$  completely. So  $b^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , thus  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  since we are working in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This implies that  $\{1, \sqrt{D}\}$  is the integral basis and  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{D} = \mathcal{O}_k$
  - If  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then from trace condition it is a completely reduced proper fraction of the form  $\frac{2k+1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . By the norm equation,  $(\frac{2k+1}{2})^2 - b^2D \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
    - \* Let's look at  $(2a)^2 - (2b)^2D \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $2(a)^2 = (2k+1)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $(2b)^2D \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $D$  is square-free,  $(2b)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , therefore  $2b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
    - \* Say,  $2b = m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $b = \frac{m}{2}$ . Plug this back into the original norm equation:

$$N(a + b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - b^2D = \left(\frac{2k+1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^2D = \frac{4k^2 + 4k + 1}{4} - \frac{m^2D}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- This fraction is integer if the numerator is 0 mod (4).
  - \* If  $m$  is odd, then  $m = 2l + 1$  and  $m^2 = 4l^2 + 4l + 1$ , so we have  $4(k^2 - l^2D + k - lD) + (1 - D)$ , which is divisible by 4 when  $1 - D = 4$  or  $D = 1 \pmod{4}$ .
  - \* If  $m$  is even, then we have  $\frac{1}{4} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that if  $D = 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ , then half-integers don't work and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - \* Normalizing  $a$  and  $b$  for  $D = 1 \pmod{4}$  gives:  $\frac{(2k+1)}{2} + \frac{(2l+1)}{2}\sqrt{D} = k + l\sqrt{D} + \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right)$ .

### 2.3 The arithmetic of algebraic integers

- Example: Consider the number field  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ . In this field:
  - The ring of integers is  $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ .
  - We have the factorization:  $21 = 3 \cdot 7 = (1 + 2\sqrt{-5}) \cdot (1 - 2\sqrt{-5})$ . All factors in this factorization are irreducible. This demonstrates that  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is not a Unique Factorization Domain (UFD). (Consider norm of an algebraic number...)
  - Kummer's idea of ideal numbers was to address this lack of unique factorization. He proposed the concept of "ideal numbers"  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  such that:  $p_1 \cdot p_2 = 3, p_3 \cdot p_4 = 7, p_1 \cdot p_3 = 1 + 2\sqrt{-5}, p_2 \cdot p_4 = 1 - 2\sqrt{-5}$ . This would lead to:  $21 = p_1p_2p_3p_4 = p_1p_3p_2p_4$ , differing only by permutation.

- Properties of these ideal numbers:
  - \*  $p_1 | 3$  and  $p_1 | (1 + 2\sqrt{-5})$
  - \*  $p_1 | (\lambda \cdot 3 + \mu \cdot (1 + 2\sqrt{-5}))$  for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_k$
- This suggests defining  $p_1$  as the set of all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k$  that it divides. We can thus represent these "ideal numbers" as ideals:  $p_1 = (3, 1 + 2\sqrt{-5})$ ,  $p_2 = (3, 1 - 2\sqrt{-5}) \dots$

This approach leads to the idea of achieving unique factorization in terms of ideals rather than elements.

**Theorem 2.13.** *The ring  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is noetherian, integrally closed and of dimension 1.*

These three properties characterize a fundamental class of rings in algebraic number theory:

**Definition 2.14.** An integral domain satisfying these three properties is called a Dedekind domain.

The significance of Dedekind domains lies in their unique factorization property for ideals, which generalizes the unique factorization of elements in UFDs.

Lecture 3, ...