



Multilinear Weighted Regression (MWE) with Neural Networks for trend prediction

Alberto Arteta Albert^{a,*}, Luis Fernando de Mingo López^b, Nuria Gómez Blas^b

^a Computer Science, Troy University, University Avenue, Troy Alabama 36081, USA

^b Sistemas Informáticos, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería de Sistemas Informáticos, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Alan Turing sn, 28031 Madrid, Spain

HIGHLIGHTS

- The Authors provide a new idea of combining local optima based linear structures.
- This model bases his success in the weights of the structures that are used to predict a given trend.
- Weights are adjusted by a Neural Network with particle swarm (HydroPSO) to optimize the fitness function, final slope is calculated based on the number of the linear structures and its adjusted weights. This slope determines the trend.
- The conclusion establish that the accuracy improves in comparison with L.R and the method proves to be reliable and safe, in particular when predicting strong trends.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 March 2018

Received in revised form 29 April 2019

Accepted 2 June 2019

Available online 6 June 2019

MSC:

62M45

74PXX

68TXX

Keywords:

Linear trend estimation

Patterns recognition

Multi weighted linear regression

Decisions support systems

ABSTRACT

The ability to define accurate linear models to find patterns or relationships between variables is one of the most challenging fields in Computer Science. In particular, extrapolative applications are widely used to predict values in Biological, Behavioral and Social Sciences. Analysts usually focus on reducing the approximation error in order to ensure fairly reliable predictions. Using pattern detection models such as Multilayer Artificial Neural Networks can offer good results in predicting possible outcomes, however they might not be the optimal fit for predicting evolutionary trends due to their inherent overfitting characteristics. Regression models, on the other hand are more often used to predict trending behaviors and therefore they can be more helpful when studying the evolution of a given instrument, symbol or series. However, a simple regression model can be very inaccurate with an unacceptable prediction error rate. This paper shows an automatic method to find trends in known instruments, by using a massive linear regression technique combined with a conventional machine learning proposal that works on optimizing the weights of the linear regressive structures. In this paper the authors show an application of the model in finance (stocks market instruments), however the proposal is designed to work for to any discipline that studies the trends of any evolutionary object.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

Table 4

Comparison between MWE and LR. Local optima are chosen in MWE at random, see Fig. 2.

Periods	Algorithm	SR	MAPE	LE	SD
150	LR	0.66 (0.04)	0.0058	229	43.23
	MWE	0.62 (0.04)	0.0063	189	42.12
250	LR	0.64 (0.04)	0.0058	256	43.23
	MWE	0.60 (0.04)	0.0062	178	41
350	LR	0.72 (0.03)	0.0060	229	43.23
	MWE	0.69 (0.04)	0.0063	189	39.2
450	LR	0.71 (0.03)	0.0058	129	43.4
	MWE	0.71 (0.03)	0.0055	192	42
550	LR	0.73 (0.03)	0.0056	134	43.2
	MWE	0.71 (0.03)	0.0056	159	41
750	LR	0.72 (0.03)	0.0052	201	44.3
	MWE	0.73 (0.03)	0.0054	187	42.12
1000	LR	0.68 (0.03)	0.0052	292	43.22
	MWE	0.73 (0.03)	0.0053	156	42.33
1250	LR	0.70 (0.03)	0.0053	193	43.21
	MWE	0.70 (0.03)	0.0063	189	42.11
1500	LR	0.71 (0.03)	0.0058	201	43.23
	MWE	0.67 (0.04)	0.0063	175	42.11
1500	LR	0.71 (0.03)	0.0058	202	43.23
	MWE	0.71 (0.03)	0.0063	164	42.33
1750	LR	0.71 (0.03)	0.0058	187	43.23
	MWE	0.73 (0.03)	0.0063	163	42.13
2000	LR	0.72 (0.03)	0.0057	192	43.23
	MWE	0.73 (0.03)	0.0058	163	42.12
2250	LR	0.69 (0.04)	0.0058	188	43.23
	MWE	0.76 (0.03)	0.0052	163	42.23
2500	LR	0.73 (0.03)	0.0057	182	43.23
	MWE	0.73 (0.03)	0.0053	155	42.11
2750	LR	0.74 (0.03)	0.0053	180	43.23
	MWE	0.73 (0.03)	0.0054	155	42.34
3000	LR	0.73 (0.03)	0.0053	182	43.23
	MWE	0.76 (0.03)	0.0063	153	42.22
3250	LR	0.72 (0.03)	0.0060	178	43.23
	MWE	0.77 (0.03)	0.0055	152	42.34
3500	LR	0.73 (0.03)	0.0058	176	43.23
	MWE	0.80 (0.02)	0.0062	152	42.3
3650	LR	0.74 (0.03)	0.0058	176	43.23
	MWE	0.77 (0.03)	0.0054	154	42.11

Declaration of competing interest

No author associated with this paper has disclosed any potential or pertinent conflicts which may be perceived to have impending conflict with this work. For full disclosure statements refer to <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105555>.

References

- [1] R.D. Cook, Detection of influential observation in linear regression (reprint from 1977v19 p15-18), *Technometrics* 42 (1) (2000) 65–68.
- [2] P.F. Velleman, R.E. Welsch, Efficient computing of regression diagnostics, *Amer. Statist.* 35 (1981) 234–242.
- [3] X. Song, H. Lu, Multilinear regression for embedded feature selection with application to fMRI analysis, in: *Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, February 4–9, 2017, San Francisco, California, USA, 2017, pp. 2562–2568.
- [4] R.M. Hirsch, J.R. Slack, R.A. Smith, Techniques of trend analysis for monthly water quality data, *Water Resour. Res.* 18 (1982) 107–121.
- [5] W. Lutz, W. Sanderson, S. Scherbov, The end of world population growth, *Nature* 412 (2001) 543–545, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35087589>.
- [6] M. Shimosaka, T. Tsukiji, H. Wada, K. Tsubouchi, Predictive population behavior analysis from multiple contexts with multilinear poisson regression, in: *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, SIGSPATIAL 2018, Seattle, WA, USA, November 06–09, 2018, 2018, pp. 504–507, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3274895.3274964>.
- [7] K. Bammann, Statistical models: Theory and practice, *Biometrics* 62 (3) (2006) 940–951, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0420.2006.00588_4.x.
- [8] T. Speed, Statistical models: Theory and practice, revised edition by David A. Freedman, *Internat. Statist. Rev.* 78 (3) (2010) 457–458.
- [9] D. Freedman, *Statistical Models: Theory and Practice*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [10] F.A. de Oliveira, C.N. Nobre, L.E. Zárate, Applying artificial neural networks to prediction of stock price and improvement of the directional prediction index – case study of petr4, petrobras, Brazil, *Expert Syst. Appl.* 40 (18) (2014) 7596–7606.
- [11] P. Sarlin, A weighted som for classifying data with instance-varying importance, *Int. J. Mach. Learn. Cybern.* 5 (1) (2014) 101–110.
- [12] F. Zhang, Z. Liao, Gold price forecasting based on RBF neural network and hybrid fuzzy clustering algorithm, *Lect. Notes Electr. Eng.* 241 (2014) 73–84.
- [13] S. Han, C. Rung-Ching, Using SVM with financial statement analysis for prediction of stocks, *Commun. IIMA* 7 (4) (2007) 63–72.
- [14] Y. Xiaoc, X. Jin, L. Fengbin, W. Shouyang, Ensemble ANNs-PSO-GA approach for day-ahead stock e-exchange prices forecasting, *Int. J. Comput. Intell. Syst.* 7 (2) (2014) 272.
- [15] T.W.F. Stroud, Methods of multivariate analysis. Alvin C. Rencher, *Q. Rev. Biol.* 70 (4) (1995) 549–551, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/419262>.
- [16] X. Yan, X.G. Su, *Linear Regression Analysis: Theory and Computing*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, USA, 2009.
- [17] J.S. Armstrong, Forecasting by extrapolation: Conclusions from twenty-five years of research, *Interfaces* 14 (6) (1984) 52–66.
- [18] I.V. Tetko, D.J. Livingstone, A.I. Luik, Neural network studies. 1. Comparison of overfitting and overtraining, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 35 (5) (1995) 826–833, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ci00027a006>.
- [19] L.F. de Mingo López, N.G. Blas, A.A. Albert, Multidimensional knapsack problem optimization using a binary particle swarm model with genetic operations, *Soft Comput.* 22 (8) (2017) 2567–2582, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00500-017-2511-0>.
- [20] H. Ghaziri, I.H. Osman, A neural network algorithm for the traveling salesman problem with backhauls, *Comput. Ind. Eng.* 44 (2) (2003) 267–281, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0360-8352\(02\)00179-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0360-8352(02)00179-1).
- [21] A.A. Albert, N.G. Blas, L.F. de Mingo López, Natural combination to trade in the stock market, *Soft Comput.* 20 (6) (2016) 2433–2450, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00500-015-1652-2>.
- [22] M. Zambrano-Bigiarini, R. Rojas, A model-independent particle swarm optimisation software for model calibration, *Environ. Model. Softw.* 43 (2013) 5–25, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.01.004>.
- [23] R. Abdelaziz, M. Zambrano-Bigiarini, Particle swarm optimization for inverse modeling of solute transport in fractured gneiss aquifer, *J. Contam. Hydrol.* 164 (2014) 285–298, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2014.06.003>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169772214000862>.
- [24] M. O'Neill, A. Brabazon, Grammatical swarm: The generation of programs by social programming, *Nat. Comput.* 5 (2006) 443–462, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11047-006-9007-7>.
- [25] A. van Griensven, T. Meixner, S. Grunwald, T. Bishop, M. Diluzio, R. Srinivasan, A global sensitivity analysis tool for the parameters of multi-variable catchment models, *J. Hydrol.* 324 (1) (2006) 10–23, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.09.008>.
- [26] M.D. McKay, R.J. Beckman, W.J. Conover, A comparison of three methods for selecting values of input variables in the analysis of output from a computer code, *Technometrics* 21 (2) (1979) 239–245, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1268522>.
- [27] R.L. Iman, Latin hypercube sampling, in: *Encyclopedia of Quantitative Risk Analysis and Assessment*, American Cancer Society, 2008, pp. 408–411, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470061596.risk0299>.
- [28] M. Zambrano-Bigiarini, M. Clerc, R. Rojas-Mujica, Standard particle swarm optimisation 2011 at CEC-2013: A baseline for future PSO improvements, in: *2013 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, 2013, pp. 2337–2344.
- [29] S. Sengupta, S. Basak, R.A. Peters II, Particle swarm optimization: A survey of historical and recent developments with hybridization perspectives, *CoRR abs/1804.05319* (2018) URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.05319>.
- [30] G.V. Trunk, A problem of dimensionality: A simple example, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 1 (1979) 306–307, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1979.4766926>.
- [31] A. Muhlbauer, P. Spichtinger, U. Lohmann, Application and comparison of robust linear regression methods for trend estimation, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.* 48 (9) (2009) 1961–1970, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009JAMC1851.1>.