



**SECS  
Certified**

SECS ANALYST REPORT

# Structural Forensics Sweep

SECS v1.6 Public Results — Campaign C-006

---

## SECS Analyst Report

---

**Campaign** C-006

**Author** Jay Carpenter

**Date** 2026-03-01

**System** SECS Sovereign

**Baseline**

**Result** **CSDI = 0.674 (large). 3 SUPPORTED, 5 FALSIFIED, 1 DIRECTIONAL ONLY, 1 NOT SUPPORTED.**

**PUBLIC RELEASE** Empirical Results Reproducible

---

SECS Sovereign Execution & Collapse Substrate  
[https://github.com/JustNothingJay/SECS\\_Sovereign](https://github.com/JustNothingJay/SECS_Sovereign)

# Contents

- §0 – Executive Summary . . . . . 4
  - Verdict Summary (BH-FDR corrected,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) . . . . . 4
  - Top Effects by |Cohen’s d| . . . . . 4
- §1 – Methodology . . . . . 5
  - Instrument . . . . . 5
  - Metrics . . . . . 5
  - Statistical Tests . . . . . 6
  - Verdict Criteria . . . . . 6
- §2 – Hypothesis Results . . . . . 6
  - H1: m1\_maxDepth . . . . . 7
  - H2: m2\_rootFileRatio . . . . . 8
  - H3: m3\_namingEntropy . . . . . 10
  - H4: m4\_duplicatePurpose . . . . . 11
  - H5: m5\_templateFingerprint . . . . . 13
  - H6: m6\_orphanDirRatio . . . . . 15
  - H7: m7\_fileToDirRatio . . . . . 16
  - H8: rootConfigCount . . . . . 18
  - H9: subTreeCV . . . . . 19
  - H10: Composite Structural Drift Index . . . . . 21
- §3 – Drift Vectors . . . . . 22
- §4 – Correction Robustness . . . . . 22
- §5 – Enforcement Candidates for v1.9 . . . . . 23
  - Candidate Constraint Specifications . . . . . 23
- §6 – Cohort Structural Profiles . . . . . 24
  - Historical Cohort . . . . . 24
  - Recent Cohort . . . . . 25
- §7 – Limitations . . . . . 25

---

§8 – Constitutional Record . . . . . 26

AI-era repos are structurally simpler and flatter, not more complex.  
 3 enforcement candidates extracted for v1.9 design phase.

**System Under Test:** 200 GitHub repositories (100 historical, 100 recent) **Suite:** Structural Scanner v1.0.0 + Hypothesis Engine v1.0 **Campaign Result:** 10 hypotheses tested, CSDI = 0.674 (CI [0.613, 0.705]) **Constitutional Source:** D-68, D-69 (translation\_0011) **Version Arc:** v1.8 – measurement only, no enforcement

## §0 – Executive Summary

The C-006 Structural Forensics Sweep measured 7 structural metrics + 2 supplementary metrics across 200 repositories, testing 10 falsifiable hypotheses about structural divergence between pre-AI-era (historical) and AI-era (recent) codebases.

**Composite Structural Drift Index (CSDI): 0.674** (large) Bootstrap 95% CI: [0.613, 0.705]

Moderate structural divergence between eras.

### Verdict Summary (BH-FDR corrected, $\alpha = 0.05$ )

Verdict	Count
SUPPORTED	3
DIRECTIONAL ONLY	1
FALSIFIED	5
NOT SUPPORTED	1

### Top Effects by |Cohen's d|

Rank	Hypothesis	Metric	Cohen's d	Cliff's $\delta$	BH Verdict
1	H1	m1_maxDepth	-1.416 (very large)	-0.701 (large)	FALSIFIED

Rank	Hypothesis	Metric	Cohen's d	Cliff's $\delta$	BH Verdict
2	H3	m3_namingEntropy	-1.385 (very large)	-0.688 (large)	FALSIFIED
3	H4	m4_duplicatePurpose	-0.994 (large)	-0.557 (large)	FALSIFIED
4	H9	subTreeCV	-0.946 (large)	-0.489 (large)	SUPPORTED
5	H8	rootConfigCount	-0.858 (large)	-0.489 (large)	FALSIFIED

## §1 – Methodology

### Instrument

SECS Structural Scanner v1.0.0 uses the GitHub Trees API (`GET /repos/{owner}/{repo}/git/trees/{branch}?recursive=1`) to retrieve the complete file tree for each repository in a single API call. No repository content is cloned or read – only tree topology (file paths, directory structure, entry types) is analysed.

**Filtering:** Entries under vendor directories (`node_modules`, `.git`, `vendor`, `dist`, `build`, etc.) are excluded from all metrics to measure authored structure, not dependency artifacts.

### Metrics

#	Metric	Definition	Drift Pattern
M1	Max Folder Depth	Maximum nesting depth of any file/directory	Structural sprawl
M2	Root File Ratio	Files at root ÷ total files	Config accumulation
M3	Naming Entropy	Shannon entropy of name tokens (split on separators + camelCase)	Naming inconsistency
M4	Duplicate-Purpose Dirs	Count of directories with semantically overlapping roles	Role confusion
M5	Template Fingerprint	Best match against 15 scaffolding directory signatures (0-1)	Template masquerade

#	Metric	Definition	Drift Pattern
M6	Orphan Dir Ratio	Leaf directories with $\leq 1$ file $\div$ total leaf directories	Dead weight
M7	File-to-Dir Ratio	Total files $\div$ total directories	Structural density
–	Root Config Count	Count of config/dotfiles at root	Config proliferation
–	Sub-tree CV	Coefficient of variation of top-level subdirectory file counts	Structural homogeneity

### Statistical Tests

All hypotheses tested with: - **Mann-Whitney U** (two-tailed, normal approximation) – non-parametric rank-sum test - **Cohen's d** (pooled SD) – parametric effect size - **Cliff's  $\delta$**  (dominance probability) – non-parametric effect size, robust to skew - **Bootstrap 95% CI** (10,000 iterations) – confidence interval for mean difference - **BH-FDR** (Benjamini-Hochberg) – primary significance criterion ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) - **Holm-Bonferroni** – step-down FWER control (comparison) - **Bonferroni** – conservative FWER control (comparison)

### Verdict Criteria

Verdict	Requirements
SUPPORTED	Direction matches + BH-significant +
SUPPORTED†	As SUPPORTED but bootstrap CI crosses zero
WEAKLY SUPPORTED	Direction matches + BH-significant +
DIRECTIONAL ONLY	Direction matches but not BH-significant
FALSIFIED	Opposite direction + BH-significant
NOT SUPPORTED	No significant directional match

## §2 – Hypothesis Results

H1: m1\_maxDepth

**Claim:** Recent repos have deeper maximum directory nesting than historical repos (structural sprawl).

**Drift Pattern:** Structural sprawl – AI tendency to create deep, sparse hierarchies

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	8.9600	4.4300
Trimmed Mean (20%)	8.4500	4.3667
Median	8.5000	4.0000
Std Dev	3.8767	2.3323
IQR	5.0000	3.0000
Skewness	0.8408	0.4975
Min	3.0000	0.0000
P25	6.0000	3.0000
P75	11.0000	6.0000
P95	15.0500	8.0000
Max	23.0000	12.0000

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	higher
Actual Direction	lower
$\Delta$ (mean)	-4.5300 (-50.6%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	-4.0833
Mann-Whitney U	1497.0
z-score	8.559
p (raw)	0.000000

Test	Value
Cohen's d	-1.416 (very large)
Cliff's $\delta$	-0.701 (large)
Rank-biserial r	0.701
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-5.4300, -3.6700]
CI crosses zero	No
BH q-value	0.000000
BH significant	Yes
Holm significant	Yes
Bonferroni significant	Yes

**Verdict (BH-FDR): FALSIFIED**

**Substrate Implication:** Directory depth limits become candidate enforcement constraints in v1.9.

## H2: m2\_rootFileRatio

**Claim:** Recent repos have a lower root-level file ratio (files pushed into nested directories).

**Drift Pattern:** Configuration accumulation – AI tendency to over-organise into sub-directories

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	0.0166	0.1418
Trimmed Mean (20%)	0.0079	0.0771
Median	0.0063	0.0651
Std Dev	0.0350	0.2107

Statistic	Historical	Recent
IQR	0.0141	0.1128
Skewness	4.8599	2.8837
Min	0.0002	0.0013
P25	0.0024	0.0321
P75	0.0165	0.1449
P95	0.0575	0.5125
Max	0.2500	1.0000

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	lower
Actual Direction	higher
$\Delta$ (mean)	0.1252 (752.7%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	0.0692
Mann-Whitney U	1020.5
z-score	9.723
p (raw)	0.000000
Cohen's d	0.829 (large)
Cliff's $\delta$	0.796 (large)
Rank-biserial r	0.796
Bootstrap 95% CI	[0.0863, 0.1685]
CI crosses zero	No
BH q-value	0.000000
BH significant	Yes
Holm significant	Yes
Bonferroni significant	Yes

**Verdict (BH-FDR): FALSIFIED****Substrate Implication:** Root-level ratio thresholds inform envelope defaults.**H3: m3\_namingEntropy****Claim:** Recent repos exhibit higher naming entropy (more diverse, less conventionalised token vocabulary).**Drift Pattern:** Naming inconsistency – AI tendency toward high-entropy, low-convention naming

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	8.3481	6.4575
Trimmed Mean (20%)	8.2936	6.6669
Median	8.3938	6.6202
Std Dev	1.2068	1.5066
IQR	1.6004	1.7343
Skewness	0.2195	-1.1026
Min	5.8646	1.5850
P25	7.4825	5.8517
P75	9.0830	7.5859
P95	10.5220	8.5198
Max	11.1740	8.9020

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	higher
Actual Direction	lower

Test	Value
$\Delta$ (mean)	-1.8907 (-22.6%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	-1.6267
Mann-Whitney U	1559.0
z-score	8.408
p (raw)	0.000000
Cohen's d	-1.385 (very large)
Cliff's $\delta$	-0.688 (large)
Rank-biserial r	0.688
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-2.2817, -1.5168]
CI crosses zero	No
BH q-value	0.000000
BH significant	Yes
Holm significant	Yes
Bonferroni significant	Yes

**Verdict (BH-FDR): FALSIFIED**

**Substrate Implication:** Naming entropy thresholds become naming invariant candidates.

---

#### H4: m4\_duplicatePurpose

**Claim:** Recent repos contain more duplicate-purpose directories (overlapping functional roles).

**Drift Pattern:** Role confusion – AI tendency to create overlapping structural categories

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	9.9400	2.7300
Trimmed Mean (20%)	7.9000	1.4667
Median	6.5000	1.0000
Std Dev	9.4343	4.0298
IQR	14.0000	3.0000
Skewness	1.0775	2.4720
Min	0.0000	0.0000
P25	2.0000	0.0000
P75	16.0000	3.0000
P95	27.0000	11.0500
Max	42.0000	24.0000

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	higher
Actual Direction	lower
$\Delta$ (mean)	-7.2100 (-72.5%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	-6.4333
Mann-Whitney U	2216.5
z-score	6.801
p (raw)	0.000000
Cohen's d	-0.994 (large)
Cliff's $\delta$	-0.557 (large)
Rank-biserial r	0.557
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-9.2300, -5.3000]

Test	Value
CI crosses zero	No
BH q-value	0.000000
BH significant	Yes
Holm significant	Yes
Bonferroni significant	Yes

**Verdict (BH-FDR): FALSIFIED**

**Substrate Implication:** Directory role uniqueness becomes an enforcement constraint.

#### H5: m5\_templateFingerprint

**Claim:** Recent repos have higher template fingerprint scores (more scaffold-derived structure).

**Drift Pattern:** Template masquerade — scaffolding structure masquerading as authored architecture

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	0.6033	0.5750
Trimmed Mean (20%)	0.6722	0.6250
Median	1.0000	0.5000
Std Dev	0.4405	0.4441
IQR	1.0000	1.0000
Skewness	-0.4154	-0.2882
Min	0.0000	0.0000
P25	0.0000	0.0000

Statistic	Historical	Recent
P75	1.0000	1.0000
P95	1.0000	1.0000
Max	1.0000	1.0000

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	higher
Actual Direction	lower
$\Delta$ (mean)	-0.0283 (-4.7%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	-0.0472
Mann-Whitney U	4827.5
z-score	0.421
p (raw)	0.673401
Cohen's d	-0.064 (negligible)
Cliff's $\delta$	-0.035 (negligible)
Rank-biserial r	0.034
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-0.1517, 0.0950]
CI crosses zero	Yes
BH q-value	0.757576
BH significant	No
Holm significant	No
Bonferroni significant	No

**Verdict (BH-FDR): NOT SUPPORTED**

**Substrate Implication:** Template detection fingerprints feed into Layer 2 semantic enforcement.

**H6: m6\_orphanDirRatio**

**Claim:** Recent repos have more orphan directories (leaf dirs with 0-1 files, sparse hierarchy).

**Drift Pattern:** Dead weight – AI tendency to generate directories that exist but serve no purpose

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	0.3194	0.3381
Trimmed Mean (20%)	0.3002	0.3214
Median	0.3047	0.2982
Std Dev	0.1752	0.2360
IQR	0.2405	0.3182
Skewness	0.6987	0.4296
Min	0.0000	0.0000
P25	0.1793	0.1818
P75	0.4198	0.5000
P95	0.6463	0.7479
Max	0.8381	0.9128

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	higher
Actual Direction	higher
$\Delta$ (mean)	0.0187 (5.9%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	0.0212
Mann-Whitney U	4858.5
z-score	0.346
p (raw)	0.729538

Test	Value
Cohen's d	0.090 (negligible)
Cliff's $\delta$	0.028 (negligible)
Rank-biserial r	0.028
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-0.0386, 0.0775]
CI crosses zero	Yes
BH q-value	0.729538
BH significant	No
Holm significant	No
Bonferroni significant	No

### Verdict (BH-FDR): DIRECTIONAL ONLY

**Substrate Implication:** Minimum directory density becomes a structural constraint.

### H7: m7\_fileToDirRatio

**Claim:** Recent repos have lower file-to-directory ratios (more directories per file, structural sprawl).

**Drift Pattern:** Structural sprawl – low-density directory trees

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	7.6884	5.5495
Trimmed Mean (20%)	5.7016	4.4375
Median	5.4660	4.0335
Std Dev	10.4401	4.5699

Statistic	Historical	Recent
IQR	4.1140	3.0745
Skewness	6.8834	3.5029
Min	1.2690	1.4000
P25	3.8165	3.1723
P75	7.9305	6.2468
P95	18.1691	13.0333
Max	97.6280	33.0000

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	lower
Actual Direction	lower
$\Delta$ (mean)	-2.1389 (-27.8%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	-1.2641
Mann-Whitney U	3888.5
z-score	2.716
p (raw)	0.006611
Cohen's d	-0.265 (small)
Cliff's $\delta$	-0.222 (small)
Rank-biserial r	0.222
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-4.5716, -0.1879]
CI crosses zero	No
BH q-value	0.008500
BH significant	Yes
Holm significant	Yes
Bonferroni significant	No

**Verdict (BH-FDR): SUPPORTED**

**Substrate Implication:** Minimum density thresholds inform structural enforcement.

**H8: rootConfigCount**

**Claim:** Recent repos have more configuration files at root level (config proliferation).

**Drift Pattern:** Configuration accumulation – AI tendency to proliferate root-level config

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	10.5400	5.6400
Trimmed Mean (20%)	9.1500	5.2000
Median	8.5000	5.0000
Std Dev	6.8526	4.2723
IQR	6.0000	6.0000
Skewness	1.9238	0.7931
Min	0.0000	0.0000
P25	6.0000	2.0000
P75	12.0000	8.0000
P95	23.3000	14.0000
Max	40.0000	20.0000

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	higher
Actual Direction	lower

Test	Value
$\Delta$ (mean)	-4.9000 (-46.5%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	-3.9500
Mann-Whitney U	2554.5
z-score	5.975
p (raw)	0.000000
Cohen's d	-0.858 (large)
Cliff's $\delta$	-0.489 (large)
Rank-biserial r	0.489
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-6.5400, -3.3400]
CI crosses zero	No
BH q-value	0.000000
BH significant	Yes
Holm significant	Yes
Bonferroni significant	Yes

**Verdict (BH-FDR): FALSIFIED**

**Substrate Implication:** Config proliferation becomes a drift signature for Layer 3 behavioural enforcement.

### H9: subTreeCV

**Claim:** Recent repos have lower sub-tree size variance (AI produces more uniform directory structures).

**Drift Pattern:** Template uniformity – AI tendency to replicate directory patterns

Statistic	Historical	Recent
n	100	100
Mean	1.9644	1.3215
Trimmed Mean (20%)	1.9831	1.3347
Median	2.0323	1.3153
Std Dev	0.6990	0.6591
IQR	1.0294	0.7667
Skewness	0.1178	-0.1150
Min	0.5194	0.0000
P25	1.4095	0.9499
P75	2.4389	1.7167
P95	2.9505	2.3898
Max	4.1176	2.9737

Test	Value
Predicted Direction	lower
Actual Direction	lower
$\Delta$ (mean)	-0.6430 (-32.7%)
$\Delta$ (trimmed)	-0.6485
Mann-Whitney U	2554.5
z-score	5.975
p (raw)	0.000000
Cohen's d	-0.946 (large)
Cliff's $\delta$	-0.489 (large)
Rank-biserial r	0.489
Bootstrap 95% CI	[-0.8307, -0.4552]

Test	Value
CI crosses zero	No
BH q-value	0.000000
BH significant	Yes
Holm significant	Yes
Bonferroni significant	Yes

**Verdict (BH-FDR): SUPPORTED**

**Substrate Implication:** Structural repetition detection feeds into Layer 3 behavioural enforcement.

### H10: Composite Structural Drift Index

**Claim:** A composite of all structural metrics produces a discriminative index (CSDI > 0.5) separating AI-era from pre-AI-era repos.

**CSDI = 0.674** (raw: 0.818, large)

Bootstrap 95% CI: [0.613, 0.705]

**Verdict: SUPPORTED**

Category	RMS Effect	Weight	Weighted
Topology	0.949	0.30	0.2846
Naming	1.385	0.15	0.2078
Role Structure	0.994	0.10	0.0994
Templates	0.064	0.10	0.0064
Density	0.265	0.15	0.0398
Configuration	0.858	0.10	0.0858
Homogeneity	0.946	0.10	0.0946

**Interpretation:** Moderate structural divergence between eras.

**Substrate Implication:** CSDI becomes the structural analogue of the DESI for enforcement priority ranking.

### §3 – Drift Vectors

Category	RMS Effect	Magnitude	Direction	Significant Fraction
Topology	0.949	large	increasing	67%
Naming	1.385	very large	decreasing	100%
Role Structure	0.994	large	decreasing	100%
Templates	0.064	negligible	decreasing	0%
Density	0.265	small	decreasing	100%
Configuration	0.858	large	decreasing	100%
Homogeneity	0.946	large	decreasing	100%

### §4 – Correction Robustness

Hypothesis	p (raw)	BH q	BH Sig	Holm Sig	Bonf Sig	BH Verdict
H1	0.000000	0.000000	PASS	PASS	PASS	FALSIFIED
H2	0.000000	0.000000	PASS	PASS	PASS	FALSIFIED
H3	0.000000	0.000000	PASS	PASS	PASS	FALSIFIED
H4	0.000000	0.000000	PASS	PASS	PASS	FALSIFIED
H5	0.673401	0.757576	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL	NOT SUPPORTED
H6	0.729538	0.729538	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL	DIRECTIONAL ONLY
H7	0.006611	0.008500	PASS	PASS	FAIL	SUPPORTED

Hypothesis	p (raw)	BH q	BH Sig	Holm Sig	Bonf Sig	BH Verdict
H8	0.000000	0.000000	PASS	PASS	PASS	FALSIFIED
H9	0.000000	0.000000	PASS	PASS	PASS	SUPPORTED
H10	—	—	—	—	—	SUPPORTED

### §5 – Enforcement Candidates for v1.9

The following metrics showed statistically significant structural divergence (BH-FDR) with meaningful effect sizes. These are candidate enforcement constraints for the v1.9 design phase.

**D-66 compliance:** Each candidate below has an empirical basis derived from this governed, reproducible measurement campaign. No enforcement rule has been encoded.

Hypothesis	Metric	Effect Size	Historical Baseline	Enforcement Layer
H7	m7_fileToDirRatio	d=-0.265	median=5.466, IQR=[3.817, 7.931]	Layer 1 (Structural)
H9	subTreeCV	d=-0.946	median=2.032, IQR=[1.409, 2.439]	Layer 3 (Behavioural)
H10	CSDI	d=N/A	median=N/A, IQR=[N/A, N/A]	Layer 1 (Structural)

#### Candidate Constraint Specifications

##### H7: m7\_fileToDirRatio

- **Drift pattern:** Structural sprawl – low-density directory trees
- **Substrate implication:** Minimum density thresholds inform structural enforcement.
- **Historical baseline:** mean=7.688, median=5.466
- **Recent observed:** mean=5.549, median=4.034
- **Candidate threshold:** Historical p75: 7.931
- **Enforcement layer:** Layer 1 (Structural)

##### H9: subTreeCV

- **Drift pattern:** Template uniformity – AI tendency to replicate directory patterns
- **Substrate implication:** Structural repetition detection feeds into Layer 3 behavioural enforcement.
- **Historical baseline:** mean=1.964, median=2.032
- **Recent observed:** mean=1.321, median=1.315
- **Candidate threshold:** Historical p75: 2.439
- **Enforcement layer:** Layer 3 (Behavioural)

## H10: CSDI

- **Drift pattern:** Multi-dimensional structural divergence
- **Substrate implication:** CSDI becomes the structural analogue of the DESI for enforcement priority ranking.
- **Historical baseline:** mean=N/A, median=N/A
- **Recent observed:** mean=N/A, median=N/A
- **Candidate threshold:** N/A
- **Enforcement layer:** Layer 1 (Structural)

## §6 – Cohort Structural Profiles

### Historical Cohort

Metric	Mean	Median	Std Dev	Min	Max
m1_maxDepth	8.9600	8.5000	3.8767	3.0000	23.0000
m1_meanDepth	4.0951	3.7185	1.7811	1.4320	9.3550
m2_rootFileRatio	0.0166	0.0064	0.0350	0.0002	0.2500
m3_namingEntropy	8.3481	8.3938	1.2068	5.8646	11.1740
m4_duplicatePurpose	9.9400	6.5000	9.4343	0.0000	42.0000
m5_templateFingerprint	0.6033	1.0000	0.4405	0.0000	1.0000
m6_orphanDirRatio	0.3194	0.3047	0.1752	0.0000	0.8381
m7_fileToDirRatio	7.6884	5.4660	10.4401	1.2690	97.6280
rootConfigCount	10.5400	8.5000	6.8526	0.0000	40.0000

Metric	Mean	Median	Std Dev	Min	Max
subTreeCV	1.9644	2.0323	0.6990	0.5194	4.1176

## Recent Cohort

Metric	Mean	Median	Std Dev	Min	Max
m1_maxDepth	4.4300	4.0000	2.3323	0.0000	12.0000
m1_meanDepth	2.3819	2.2340	1.2581	0.0000	6.7180
m2_rootFileRatio	0.1418	0.0652	0.2107	0.0013	1.0000
m3_namingEntropy	6.4575	6.6202	1.5066	1.5850	8.9020
m4_duplicatePurpose	2.7300	1.0000	4.0298	0.0000	24.0000
m5_templateFingerprint	0.5750	0.5000	0.4441	0.0000	1.0000
m6_orphanDirRatio	0.3381	0.2982	0.2360	0.0000	0.9128
m7_fileToDirRatio	5.5495	4.0335	4.5699	1.4000	33.0000
rootConfigCount	5.6400	5.0000	4.2723	0.0000	20.0000
subTreeCV	1.3215	1.3153	0.6591	0.0000	2.9737

## §7 – Limitations

1. **Cohort asymmetry:** Historical repos are mature, large-scale projects; recent repos are early-stage, trending projects. Structural differences may reflect maturity, not AI influence.
2. **API tree truncation:** GitHub truncates trees above ~100,000 entries. Large monorepos may have incomplete data (flagged per-repo as `treeTruncated`).
3. **Vendor filtering:** Excluding `node_modules`, `vendor`, etc. may miss interesting divergence in dependency management patterns.
4. **Template fingerprinting:** The 15 template signatures are a curated subset. Novel frameworks or domain-specific scaffolds may go undetected.
5. **No content analysis:** This scanner measures tree topology only. Semantic divergence (*file content*, code quality, documentation accuracy) is measured by the companion MD Scanner, not this instrument.
6. **Selection bias:** Historical cohort selected for community adoption; recent cohort selected by stars. GitHub trending dynamics may bias recent repos toward AI/ML tooling.

7. **Single timepoint:** This is a cross-sectional observation, not a longitudinal study. Causal claims about AI influence on structure cannot be made from this design alone.

## §8 – Constitutional Record

Item	Value
Campaign	C-006 Structural Forensics Sweep
Constitutional source	D-68, D-69 (translation_0011)
Version arc	v1.8 (measurement only)
Hypotheses tested	10
SUPPORTED	3
DIRECTIONAL ONLY	1
FALSIFIED	5
NOT SUPPORTED	1
CSDI	0.674 (large)
Enforcement candidates	3
Substrate modifications	Zero (D-68 compliance)
Repos scanned	200
Scanner version	1.0.0
Engine version	1.0

*Measurement is not delay. It is the foundation that makes enforcement constitutional rather than arbitrary.*