CONSTRAINED ZIG

Exploring properties of constraint programs

Lukas Pietzschmann lukas.pietzschmann@uni-ulm.de

Zigtoberfest Hochschule München

October 19th, 2024



ABOUT ME



Hi, I'm Lukas!



Studies

I'm studying computer science for my master's degree at Ulm University. Currently, I'm working on my thesis.

Interests

I enjoy various things, but compilers, typesetting, and functional programming spark the most joy inside me.



Constraint Programming represents one of the closest approaches computer science has yet made to the holy grail of programming: the user states the problem, the computer solves it. (Eugene C. Freuder [Fre97])

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M \mid true \\ \hline \\ First min constraint & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$



CHR knows about three kinds of rules:



| С | Head | CHR Constraints Zig code |
|----|-------|--|
| G | Guard | Conjunction of built-ins |
| CN | Body | CHR Constraints and conjunction of built-ins |





CHR knows about three kinds of rules:

| ſ | Generalized Simpagation Rule | | | |
|---------------------|--|---------|--|--|
| Propaga | Behind the curtain, the embedding represents every rule as a 4-tuple: | CN | | |
| $\zeta \Rightarrow$ | $\langle KH, RH, G, B \rangle$ | D CN if | | |
| N is inferr | KH: Kept Head RH: Removed Head G: Guard B: Body | J CN II | | |
| | A propagation rule would then look like this: $\langle KH, \emptyset, G, B \rangle$ | | | |
| · | CHeadCHR constraintsZig codeGGuardConjunction of built-insZig codeCNBodyCHR Constraints and conjunction of built-ins | | | |





Constraint Store

> Query ...

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$





> min(3)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$





> min(3), min(1)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$





> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$





Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M \mid true$





Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(3) \setminus \min(M) \iff 3 \le M \mid true$





Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(3) \iff N \leq 3 | \text{true}$







> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M \mid true$

> Fire the rule that matched





Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$

> Make next query constraint active



3.2

INTRODUCTION TO CHR

Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(1) \setminus \min(M) \iff 1 \leq M \mid true$



3.2

INTRODUCTION TO CHR

Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(1) \setminus \min(3) \iff 1 \leq 3 \mid \text{true}$





Constraint Store



 $\min(1) \setminus \min(3) \iff 1 \leq 3 \mid \text{true}$

> Fire the rule that matched







> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M \mid true$

> Fire the rule that matched





Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$

> Make next query constraint active



3.2

Page 4 / 15

> min(3), min(1), min(5) min(N) $\leq \min(5) \iff N \leq 5$ | true

> Check if we can find a matching for the currently active constraint



Constraint Store

INTRODUCTION TO CHR

Constraint Store

3.2

INTRODUCTION TO CHR

Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(1) \setminus \min(5) \iff 1 \leq 5$ | true





Constraint Store

> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$

> Make next query constraint active







> min(3), min(1), min(5)

 $\min(N) \setminus \min(M) \iff N \leqslant M | true$

> The remaining constraints in the store are the solution



4.1

INNER WORKINGS OF THE EMBEDDING

- Based on the concepts established in "FreeCHR: An Algebraic Framework for CHR-Embeddings" [RF23]
- Every rule is represented as a 4-tuple (see slide 3)
- We then compose more complex programs from subprograms or ultimately from single rules
- Lastly, we apply the composition to a state until a fixpoint is reached





- The embedding's source code is available on GitHub
- Clone it and try it out yourself!
- Also, the repo contains some of the examples we're discussing today





5.1 ANYTIME ALGORITHMS

- We can interrupt the execution at anytime
- The intermediate state will be an approximation of the final result
- After that, the program will continue like nothing happened
- This is especially useful if we need to guarantee a certain response time



EASY MEMORIZATION

> Quizz: Change three symbols to make this have linear complexity

$$fib(0, M) \iff M = 1$$

$$fib(1, M) \iff M = 1$$

$$fib(N, M) \iff N \ge 2 \mid$$

$$fib(N-1,M1), fib(N-2,M2), M \text{ is } M1 + M2$$

$$fib(0, M) \implies M = 1$$

$$fib(0, M) \implies M = 1$$

$$fib(1, M) \implies M = 1$$

$$fib(N, M) \implies N \ge 2 |$$

$$fib(N-1,M1), fib(N-2,M2), M \text{ is } M1 + M2$$

- We have to change the simplification rules to propagations
- This way, we do not remove calculated values from the store
- But we remember them for future use



CONFLUENCE

What Confluence is

Rule order matters

throw(Coin) \iff head throw(Coin) \iff tail

- Depending on which rule we check first, we get a different result
- That's great for coin tossing
- Not so much for determinism

Constraint order matters

$$set(K,V)$$
, $c(K,V') \iff c(K,V)$

- Imagine the following query:
 c(x,0),set(x,1),set(x,2)
- Depending on what constraint we select first, x will be set differently

> In confluent programs, the relation between the initial and final state is a function



Achieve Confluence



- Both rules are applicable to a state $a \Rightarrow b$ containing only a $a \Rightarrow false$
- From this, we can derive the two new states
- Confluence requires then to be joinable

 We can make the two states joinable by adding a single rule
 a ⇒ b a ⇒ false b ⇒ false

CONFLUENCE

Gotchas

✓ Not every program can be made confluent

- $a \Rightarrow true$
- $a \Rightarrow false$

Be aware of the semantics of your program set(K, V), c(K, V') ⇔ c(K, V)

▲ does not equal \checkmark set(K, V), c(K, V') \iff V = V' | c(K, V)

5.5

5.6

Parallelism

CONFLUENCE

- If a program terminates, we can check if it's confluent
- If it is, we get more cool properties for free:

Incrementality

- We can add constraints during the program's execution
- They will eventually participate in the computation
- The result will be the same as if was there from the beginning



• Without any modifications

Why we need it

DECLARATIVE CONCURRENCY

> map(square), reduce(add), v(2), v(3), v(4), v(5)

reduce(OP) \setminus r(X), r(Y) \Leftrightarrow C =.. [OP, X, Y, R], call(C), r(R)



6 WHAT'S LEFT TO SAY



Constraint programming, too 😉

| Lukas Pietzschindin | Lukas | Pietzschmann |
|---------------------|-------|--------------|
|---------------------|-------|--------------|



- [Fre97] Eugene C. Freuder. In: Constraints 2.1 (1997), pp. 57–61. ISSN: 1383-7133. DOI: 10.1023/a:1009749006768. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1009749006768.
- [Früo9] Thom Frühwirth. Constraint handling rules. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [RF23] Sascha Rechenberger and Thom Frühwirth. "FreeCHR: An Algebraic Framework for CHR-Embeddings". In: International Joint Conference on Rules and Reasoning. Springer. 2023, pp. 190–205.

Lukas Pietzschmann

Munich, October 19th, 2024

lukas.pietzschmann@uni-ulm.de