

1. Meeting Venue

Lotte Hotel, Seoul, Korea

Session #1, March 14, 2005, 03:00pm – 04:30pm

Session #2, March 15, 2005, 11:00am – 12:30pm *****CANCELLED*****

1. Participants

Attendance list has been distributed, approximate number of attendees ?

1. Agenda & notes

- The agenda is included in the GSA session presentation at /projects/gsa-rg/document/GGF13_GSA_Session_Slides/en/1
- The content of the presentation is not reported in the minutes, please refer to the URL above.
- The GGF IPR has been presented.
- The minutes are ordered per topic not chronologically

1. GSA use case document

- **More use cases are still welcome!**
- The content of the document has been presented.
- It has been noted that no use case required adaptivity (= change of resource requests at run-time). Th. Haupt may add an use case to the document which will cover this requirement.
- It is planned to submit the document to the editor for public comment within this year.
- Th. Haupt is interested in deriving the requirements to be fed into the requirements documents from the use case document.

1. Requirements document

- **The requirements document is the next document to work on.**
- It has been noted that work flow enacting and negotiation services are missing in the list of services already mentioned in the requirements document.
- Job/work flow description should include “conditions on a task”. As a follow-on D. Fellows noted that the JSDL-WG is thinking about proposing a work flow WG and already has some use cases. The JSDL-WG is interested in input from the GSA-RG.
- Based on the requirements on reservation management, agreements and negotiation GSA should provide feedback to the GRAAP-WG that nested agreements are required.
- Question: Is a provisioning service needed? There was no decision made during the session.
- Question: Should the interaction with data management be limited only to scheduling, is therefore the current approach too broad? Answer: This issue has been discussed before and there are projects which have to solve questions like “How expensive is the movement of data to that specific

location?" Therefore GSA has to deal with co-scheduling of data and compute resources and especially the co-ordination of data sets with work flows.

- The low-level interfaces to resources like networks/databases/etc. are not the concern of this group. We need the respective applications/services to integrate in the GSA processes.
- Another step will be the revision of existing services, which will start at GGF 14.
- No new volunteers to work on the requirements document announced during the session.

1. Discussion & miscellaneous

- Th. Haupt brought up the idea to make a survey about what people are doing with respect to Grid scheduling, something which is supported by the session's participants. An already existing template for such a survey will be made available to the group via the mailing list and the outcome will be fed into the requirements document.
- The GHPN-WG would be interested in the network part of the Grid Scheduling Architecture.
- Based on the profile activities within various (OGSA) groups, the question was raised whether something as a Grid scheduling profile would be a good idea. This is an issue which should be discussed on the mailing list. For this discussion one has to keep in mind that the GSA outcome has to work in an OGSA environment.
- A liaison to the OGSA EMS group has not yet be named.
- Question: How is a scheduler, how a broker defined? Answer. This question will be answer in the requirements gathering phase.

1. Actions

- Discuss the usage of profiles on the mailing list.
- Make GRIDSTART survey available to GSA group.
- Include negotiation and work flow enacting services into requirements document.

1. Next Meeting

The next meeting will be presumably held at GGF 14, June 26 – 29, 2005, in Chicago, IL, USA.