# **C** ThreePenny SOFTWARE



## **REVISION HISTORY**



# XML Interface to Soar (SML) Software Specification

ThreePenny Software, LLC

## **Table of Contents**





## Table of Figures



## 1. Introduction

# 1.1. XML Interface to Soar (SML)

This specification is to define an XML interface for sending commands to and receiving information from Soar version 8.6 or later.

## 1.2. Environment

The SML interface will be intended to run on the Windows, Linux, Unix and Mac platforms.

## 1.3. Glossary



#### 2. Overview

The XML interface is intended to allow tools, such as debuggers, to control Soar by sending commands packaged in small pieces of XML and then receive output from Soar structured as an XML file. In many ways, this resembles a SOAP interface into Soar. The interface can also be used to connect simulations to Soar, with input being sent to Soar as an XML stream and output received from Soar as XML.

The most basic model for this communication is shown in Figure 1, although the communication between Soar and its tools would not be limited to just this model. The tool issues a command by creating an XML object that contains command and parameters. That object is then converted into an XML stream (a sequence of ASCII characters) which is then sent in some manner to the Soar process where it is converted back into an object representing the command and the command is executed (by an appropriate call to gSKI). The results of the command are then packaged and returned in a similar manner.

A simple example is the command "print chunk-1". The XML generated by the tool might take the form (in XML pseudo code):

#### **<Command name="print" arg="chunk-1" Command></Command>**

and the results send back by Soar might be:

```
<Production name="chunk-1">
```
**<Condition>(state <s> ^object <obj>)</Condition> <Condition>(object <obj> ^color red)</Condition>**

**</Production>**

**…**



#### Figure 1 Basic Communication Model

It's important to recognize that the XML interface layer would connect to Soar through gSKI and is not intended to either replace gSKI or prevent tools or other users of the Soar kernel from connecting directly to gSKI if they wish. It is instead intended to provide additional functionality that may be useful for some tools and other users of the kernel.

## 2.1. Communication Models

#### 2.1.1. Out-of-Process Model

Soar and the external tool or simulation each run in a separate process and communicate by sending an XML stream through a socket.





#### 2.1.2. In-Process Model

Soar and the tool or simulation exist in a single process, communicating by passing objects back and forth that represent XML nodes but without ever actually converting the objects to XML.





## 2.1.3. Remote Model

Soar and the external tool or simulation each run on a different machine, communicating via sockets to send and receive an XML stream.



Figure 4 Remote Communication Model

## 2.2. XML Content and XML Element interfaces

The SML interface actually consists of two separate yet related software interfaces:

- XML Content Interface
- XML Element interface

The XML Content interface defines what XML documents should be sent and received between Soar and a Soar tool or simulation. The XML Element interface goes beyond that and also defines a C level interface for an object that represents an XML command (or piece of output) before it is converted into an actual XML Stream.

For example:

**<Command name="print" arg="chunk-1" Command></Command>** would be part of the XML content.

**Int getNumberAttributes() ;** would be part of the XML Element interface.

The reason for defining the XML Element as well as the XML Content is primarily for improved efficiency. If a tool and Soar share the same process, then it would be possible for them to transfer XML Elements without converting the object into an ASCII stream (as XML) and then parsing that stream to re-create the object.

A side benefit of defining the XML Element interface is to indicate which subset of XML functionality is sufficient to support the XML content. XML has grown to be a complex language with many capabilities and an implementer may wish to know which subset of XML is sufficient for talking with Soar. They may determine that either by reading this specification or by implementing the XML Element interface (which imposes a source code level constraint).

Any tool is free to ignore the XML Element interface completely (even if it is "in process") and simply generate XML content and send that as an XML stream to Soar.

## 3. Motivations

The reasons for proposing the XML interface are explained here. The intention is that each of these reasons is sufficient motivation for creating the XML layer (as different motivations may be more relevant to different user groups).

## 3.1. Command line interface / Tcl Debugger (TSI)

The existing Tcl Debugger interacts with Soar by sending commands (encoded as strings) and parsing the results (also encoded as strings). To support this debugger with Soar 8.6 we need to write:

- 1. A command parser to convert the commands typed by the user (or otherwise sent by the Tcl interfaced) into calls to gSKI.
- 2. An output generator to convert from the gSKI representations of objects (e.g. the class representing a Soar production) to a string version that can be shown to the user.

Note that gSKI does not include either this command line parser or any functions to generate output. Part of the design of gSKI is that this functionality should lie outside of the kernel.

There is currently a project to write this parser and output generation code as a module called TgD. The functionality of this component is very similar to that of the XML interface layer and the work to write either should be similar, but the TgD effort will produce a component tied to the Tcl Debugger, while the XML interface effort would produce a much more general solution that could be used by any tool, including the Tcl Debugger.

We may decide at some point to drop support for the Tcl Debugger. However, if any tool is going to support a command line interface then it is still worthwhile to write this SML interface layer as it provides that command line support, but in a more structured manner than a traditional command line interface based around strings.

As a final consideration of the value of a command line interface it's worth noting that JESS (the Java Expert System Shell) offers an interface very similar to gSKI together with one additional function "executeCommand" which accepts any valid string of JESS commands (essentially a command line interface). JESS, like Soar, can be run either as an embedded process or standalone. This command line interface makes it possible to send the same set of commands to either an embedded system (which may be very hard to debug) or to a standalone instance of JESS (which is much more open to interrogation). Without the command line interface this would not be possible and embedded debugging would be much harder.

## 3.2. Support for logging

One desirable capability for a debugger is the ability to review output files logged from a running process when no debugger is attached. In order to support this we would need to define a file format. The XML interface layer gives us support for this file format and logging with minimal additional effort.

## 3.3. Loose coupling between tools and the Soar kernel

If a tool, such as a debugger, links directly to gSKI it will be tightly coupled to a particular version of the Soar kernel. This is because the gSKI interface is very large and any change to the kernel is likely to require some change to the interface. This in turn would mean that the debugger would need to be re-linked to the new interface.

If we define an XML interface this tight coupling does not need to occur. If the Soar kernel changes, the **content** sent over the XML interface will likely change but the ability to support reading and sending XML would not change. This means it becomes possible for a tool to support multiple versions of the Soar kernel simultaneously which could not be achieved with a direct link to gSKI.

This is a fairly subtle point but produces a very significant impact on the usefulness of a tool. The history of Soar shows that at any given time the members of the Soar community are using a wide range of different versions of Soar. It's important that they not be required to upgrade to the latest version of the kernel in order to use the latest version of the tools available for working with Soar. Also, the funding available for working on the kernel and the tools has historically not gone in lock step. This means in many cases the kernel may advance while the tools remain unchanged or vice versa. If the tools simply stop working when a new release of Soar comes out this will lead users to quickly become frustrated and stop using a tool. However, if the tool continues to work correctly in 99% of cases and only has problems in the area where the kernel was changed, users will be more likely to understand and accept that limitation and continue to use the tool. This is only possible if the tools and the Soar kernel are not tightly coupled.

## 3.4. Support for out of process and remote connections

There are potentially situations where we would like to run Soar in a different process from the tool that is connecting to it or even where Soar is running on a different machine. In order to do this it's necessary to define some format for the data that is sent between the tool and the Soar kernel. This can either be a binary format (producing a DCOM style solution for those familiar with Windows) or it can be a text format (such as XML).

The XML interface should give us the ability to cross process boundaries and even machine boundaries with little additional effort as it is only necessary to transfer the XML representation between the machines in order to connect them. There is currently no similar capability in the existing gSKI interface so Soar is required to run in the same process as the tool connecting to it.

This motivation is less compelling than the others to me as it has so far been possible to live with this limitattion when using the Tcl debugger. Out of process and remote connectivity have always been achieved by having Soar's I/O link send and receive data over a socket allowing the environment to be moved to being out of process from Soar. However, if we can remove this limitation it's an added benefit of the XML interface and may make it possible to connect tools and Soar in new ways.

## 3.5. Language independence / simpler integration

XML is completely language independent, so tools can be written in any language and connect to Soar through this interface. That is a minimal gain as support for C level interfaces is so wide-spread. However, while any language **can** connect to a C language interface (such as gSKI offers) building and maintaining this interface can be a significant challenge. The current gSKI header files consist of over 2,500 lines of code which gives an indication of the size of the gSKI interface. In order to create an interface directly to gSKI from another language (e.g. a JNI interface from Java) one would need to support this entire interface and every change within gSKI would require a change to that cross-language interface.

By offering an XML layer, a tool builder has the choice of either integrating directly to gSKI (a simple operation if the tool is in C++) or the tool builder can choose to interface through the XML interface. In this case, the size of the programmatic interface will be much smaller (the number of functions that need to be written in a cross-language interface) because the bulk of the interface becomes content, making it only necessary to support reading and writing XML which can be done in about a dozen functions.

In short, the XML layer should make integration with other languages simpler and less prone to change as the Soar kernel changes.

## 3.6. Comprehensive naming

A small but significant motivation for the XML interface is that it will help to ensure objects within the Soar kernel continue to be named. Many of the names within the existing kernel are only present because of their role in helping users refer to objects within the kernel in a principled fashion. The most obvious example is the name of a production which has no role in the loading or firing of a production, but is clearly vital in explaining to a user which production has fired or allowing the user to indicate which production to excise.

It may seem ridiculous to imagine Soar without names, but gSKI now provides a well defined notion of maintaining a pointer to a Soar kernel object, so it would be possible to design an interface without names and still have it be well defined (whilst before gSKI maintaining pointers into the kernel would clearly be unsafe).

The most obvious example of how names can be lost along the way is the name of an agent. When multiagent capabilities were added to Soar, the Tcl interface already existed and so each agent was tied to a unique Tcl interpreter. As a result, the agents were uniquely identified within Soar by that Tcl interpreter pointer rather than an agent name. (There was an agent name field within the kernel but it actually contained the address of this interpreter as a string). Needless to say, this implementation presented problems when other tools wished to connect to the kernel and send commands to a particular agent.

In order to build an XML interface there will need to be some way to refer to objects within the kernel using something other than a pointer, ensuring that naming remains as complete within the kernel as it is today.

## 3.7. XML will provide structure

One final consideration is whether it is necessary to provide XML communication in both directions. We could instead send simple strings in either one or both directions. Adding an XML layer certainly adds overhead, but there are several ways we can attempt to reduce this overhead (see below for details of passing data in-process without conversion to XML and the support for different levels of detail in the XML content).

Sending XML instead of simple strings allows both the command sender and the output parser to be less brittle and less sensitive to the exact format of output or commands.

## 4. XML Element Specification

#### 4.1. Introduction and Design Principles

This section defines the C-level interface to an object that represents an element in an XML document. See Section 2.2 for an overview of this interface and motivation for its creation.

The design of this interface is intended to be:

- 1. Sufficient to meet the needs of the XML Content interface
- 2. As simple as possible while still meeting the needs of the XML Content interface.

By keeping the interface simple it will make integration with other tools and languages as easy as possible.

## 4.2. XML Elements Supported

We'll start by defining the types of XML elements that will be supported by the interface and then define the interface to provide those capabilities. To help make the definition more concrete, consider this example of an XML document:

**<Production name="chunk-1">**

<Condition>(state &lt;s&gt; ^object &lt;obj&gt;)</Condition>

**<Condition>**

**<![CDATA[ (object <obj> ^color red) ]]>**

**</Condition>**

**</Production>**





There is much more allowed by XML than this small subset but these are not required by SML and so are not required in the XML Object interface. They include:

• Processing instructions

- Comments
- Document Type Definitions (DTDs)
- Support for non-UTF8 character encodings

# 4.3. ElementXML Interface





#### 4.3.1. C versus C++

Although the above interface is defined in C++ terms, it is likely that it will make more sense to define a C interface rather than a C++ interface. In that case the actual list of exported functions will be those shown below. The "ElementXML" type is just an integer which is passed to every function and should be treated by the client as a handle (i.e. an opaque reference to an object).

**C Function definitions**

**typedef int ElementXML ;**

**ElementXML newElementXML() ;**

**ElementXML newElementXMLCopy(boolean copyStrings) ;**

**void deleteElementXML(ElementXML element) ;**

**setTagName(ElementXML element, char\* tagName) ;**

**char const\* getTagName(ElementXML element) ;**

**void addChild(ElementXML element, ElementXML child) ;**

**int getNumberChildren(ElementXML element) ;**

**ElementXML getChild(ElementXML element, int index) ;**

**void addAttribute(ElementXML element, char\* atttributeName, char\* attributeValue);**

**int getNumberAttributes(ElementXML element) ;**

**const char\* getAttributeName(ElementXML element, int index) ;**

**const char\* getAttributeValue(ElementXML element , int index) ;**

**const char\* getAttribute(ElementXML element , const char\* attName) ;**

**void setCharacterData(ElementXML element , char\* characterData) ;**

**char const\* getCharacterData(ElementXML element) ;**

**void setUseCData(ElementXML element , boolean useCData) ;**

**void deleteString(char\* string) ;**

**char\* allocateString(int length) ;**

**char\* copyString(const char\* original);**

#### 4.3.2. Memory allocation

The methods setTagName(), addAttribute() and setCharacterData() offer a range of options to do with ownership of the strings passed in. These forms allow a number of optimizations which can all be safely ignored by a casual user. However, if you are interested in the potential optimizations read on.

Each function is implemented as a series of C++ functions. E.g. for setTagName() we have:

setTagName(char\* pName, bool copyName = true) ;

setTagName(char const\* pName) ;

setTagNameFast(char const\* pName) ;

In the first function, if copyName is true then pName will be copied by ElementXML. This is safe and the default behavior. If copyName is false, then ElementXML takes ownership of the pName string and will call deleteString() on this string when the ElementXML object is deleted. So if the caller passes "copyName = false" it must have allocated the string with either allocateString() or copyString() and must not delete it once passed in. The reason for allowing this, is that a smart client that is building up a string can do so with a call to allocateString() (e.g. while parsing XML) and then just pass that string into this class without an additional allocation and copy. For large strings this can be significant in both memory and time.

The second function is just a convenience. If a constant string is passed in, it must be copied (deleting a constant string is a bad idea). It simply calls "setTagName(CopyString(pName), false)".

The third function exists, but is protected because it is must be used with care (note it's name is also different, so accidentally use will not occur). This function requires that the constant string passed in remains in scope for the life of the ElementXML object. In practice, this usually means the constant is a static constant. The string is neither copied nor deleted. In SML there are many such constants (e.g. tag names, attribute names) but one cannot programmatically distinguish what the life of such a string will be, so the developer needs to use this one with care (which is why it's not part of the public interface). To use it, you subclass from ElementXML.

#### 4.3.3. Ownership and reference counting

The ElementXML objects will use a reference counting system, so when either the kernel or client has finished with an object it can call deleteElementXML() and the underlying object will in fact only be deleted if there are no other existing references to the object. Thus the normal use of an ElementXML object will be:

- a) Create the ElementXML object
- b) Call SendMessage to pass to another process
- c) Delete the ElementXML object

## 5. XML Content Interface

#### 5.1. Introduction

This section defines the XML documents that will be sent to and from the Soar kernel through the SML interface. Refer to section 4.2 for a list of the types of XML constructs used within the interface.

Let's start with some examples of SML documents and then follow it with a more precise specification:

#### 5.1.1. Sample SML document to send "print chunk-1" command

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="call" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1234" >
<command name="print">
       <arg param="agent">agent-1</arg>
       <arg type="string" param="name">chunk-1</arg>
</command>
</sml>
```
This is a request sent from a tool to the Soar kernel asking for the production named "chunk-1" to be printed. This command specifically indicates it is intended for "agent-1" (specifying the intended agent is optional).

#### 5.1.2. Sample SML document to send "source towers.soar" command

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="call" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1234" >
<command name="cmdline" output="raw">
       <arg param="agent">test-agent</arg>
       <arg param="line">source towers.soar</arg>
</command>
</sml>
```
This is a command to load a set of productions from the file "towers.soar". This command uses the command line interface, so the command is "source towers.soar" which is then parsed by the command line interpreter. This uses the same format as a user could type at the command prompt for Soar and allows a great number of commands to be sent easily.

#### 5.1.3. Sample SML document containing "chunk-1" output

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="response" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1275" ack="1234">
<result output="structured">
<production name="chunk-1">
        <condition><!CDATA[(state <s> ^problem-space <p>)]]></condition>
        <condition><!CDATA[(<p> ^name blocks-world)]]></condition>
</production>
</output>
</sml>
```
This is output generated from the kernel showing a production with two conditions (the rest are omitted for simplicity). Note that the ack field matches the id of the originating command. The original production was:

```
sp {chunk-1
        (state <s> ^problem-space <p>)
        (<p> ^name blocks-world)
```
}

#### 5.1.4. Sample SML document containing "after decision cycle" event notification

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="notify" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1248">
<command name="event">
       <arg param="agent">test</arg>
       <arg param="eventid">18</arg>
       <arg param="phase">4</arg>
</command>
</sml>
```
This is a notification that is sent from the kernel to notify a tool that Soar has completed a decision cycle. That this is an "after decision cycle" event is determined by the eventide. The "phase" in this case indicates where in the decision cycle Soar was when this event was fired.

#### 5.1.5. Sample SML document containing input for agent-1

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="call" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1234">
<command name="input">
        <arg param="agent">agent-1<.arg>
        <wme action="add" att="plane" id="i1" tag="-5" type="id" value="a4"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="name" id="a4" tag="-6" type="string" value="plane1"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="speed" id="a4" tag="-7" type="int" value="225"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="position" id="a4" tag="-8" type="id" value="a5"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="x" id="a5" tag="-9" type="int" value="65"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="self" id="a4" tag="-10" type="id" value="a4"></wme> ; Note – same value 
as id 
</command>
</sml>
```
This represents: (I1 ^plane P4) (P4 ^name plane1 ^speed 225 ^position P5 ^self P4) (P5 ^x 65). For input, lower-case IDs (e.g. "a4") are used to refer to objects created by the client which have yet to have actual Soar IDs assigned to them. Upper-case IDs (e.g. "I1") are used to refer to objects that already exist within the kernel. Similarly, negative time tags (e.g. "-3") are used to refer to objects created by the client, while positive time tags (e.g. "5") are used to refer to objects created by the kernel. Time tags are used to identify wme's when we're removing values from working memory.

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="call" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1234">
<command name="output">
        <arg param="agent">agent-1</arg>
        <wme action="add" att="turn" id="O1" tag="7" type="id" value="T1"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="heading" id="T1" tag="6" type="int" value="045"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="speed" id="T1" tag="12" type="int" value="225"></wme>
</command>
</sml>
```
5.1.6. Sample SML document containing output

This represents: (O1 ^turn T1) (T1 ^heading 045 ^speed 225).

## 5.2. General document structure and the <sml> tag

Each XML command sent to Soar and each piece of XML output sent from Soar will be a valid XML document. Each valid SML document will always start with an <sml> element.. The children of this element will specify the details of the content being sent. (This specification is intended to be descriptive rather than proscriptive).

#### 5.2.1. Example of this tag (if any of the examples accidentally contradict the spec, follow the spec):

<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="call" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1234">

[body of message goes here]

</sml>





## 5.3. Command tag

SML documents can contain one or more command element. Commands consist of a name followed by a series of arguments.

An SML document can only contain a single command. If you wish to send multiple commands, send multiple SML messages one after another. (We may wish to allow a tool to send multiple messages in a single string over a remote connection, thus ensuring that all commands are executed at the same time).

#### 5.3.1. Example of this tag

```
<command name="print">
```

```
<arg param="agent">test</arg>
       <arg type="string" param="name">chunk-1</arg>
</command>
```
![](_page_23_Picture_152.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_144.jpeg)

# 5.4. Arg tag

An arg element is used to specify an argument for a command.

## 5.4.1. Example of this tag

```
<command name="print">
```

```
<arg param="agent">agent-1</arg>
```

```
<arg type="string" param="name">chunk-1</arg>
```
</command>

![](_page_24_Picture_145.jpeg)

#### **Required**

#### 5.4.2. Some common arguments

*1. Agent*

```
<arg param="agent">agent-3</arg>
```
This argument is used to pass the name of an agent.

*2. Eventid*

<arg param="eventide">18</arg>

This argument is used to pass notification of a specific event for an "event" command.

#### 5.5. Error tag

An error element is used to report problems with the execution of a command. There will either be one error tag or the command is assumed to have completed successfully (in which case there may also be a result tag, although that is not required).

#### 5.5.1. Example of this tag

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="response" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="id-1234">
```
<error code="32" name="not-implemented" source="print-gds">The print-gds command is not implemented in this version of Soar</error>

 $<$ /sml $>$ 

![](_page_25_Picture_175.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_154.jpeg)

# 5.6. Result tag

Result is used to report the results of a command. If a <result> tag is included, an <error> tag should not usually be included, so <result> is used to report successful output. A <result> tag is not required (so a successful command could return an empty <sml ... ></sml> message).

#### 5.6.1. Example of this tag

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="response" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="id-1234">
<result output="raw">
        [Result goes here]
</result>
```
 $<$ /sml $>$ 

![](_page_26_Picture_155.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_131.jpeg)

## 5.7. Input tag

This element is used to describe a change to the input-link. The change can either be a complete copy of the input-link or it can be just the values that have changed since the last input message was sent. If the change is not a delta, the graph of WMEs sent must always start at the input-link. If the change is a delta, then the children are a list of WMEs that include the "action" attribute (to indicate if they are additions or deletions).

The input tag is a parameter to an "input" command.

IDs are values assigned by Soar to objects (e.g. "O4" is an ID). The client cannot know in advance what ID will be assigned by Soar. So when adding an object to the input link, this field is usually not specified by the client. Soar will assign the new WME an ID and maintain a record of the mapping between the client's ID and the Kernel's ID.

E.g.

<wme action="add" att="plane" id="i1" tag="-5" type="id" value="a4"></wme> <wme action="add" att="name" id="a4" tag="-6" type="string" value="plane1"></wme> <wme action="add" att="speed" id="a4" tag="-7" type="int" value="225"></wme>

Could be used to create (I1 ^plane P4) (P4 ^name plane1) (P4 ^speed 225), with Soar establishing a mapping from a4 to P4.

The client should attach time tags to the objects being added, so they can be removed like this:

<wme action="remove" tag="-5"></wme>

The client should use negative values for its time tags, so it's clear which type of tag is being used. Soar's time tags are always positive.

Note that Soar allows input to be added to both the input-link and the output-link. For example, additions to the output-link might be used to indicate when a command has completed or that it executed with an error. An "input" commands is used in either case.

Note: We need to include a command to let the kernel request a full input-link dump be sent over.

#### 5.7.1. Example of this tag

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="call" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1234">
<command name="input">
        <arg param="agent">agent-1<.arg>
        <wme action="add" att="plane" id="i1" tag="-5" type="id" value="a4"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="name" id="a4" tag="-6" type="string" value="plane1"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="speed" id="a4" tag="-7" type="int" value="225"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="position" id="a4" tag="-8" type="id" value="a5"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="x" id="a5" tag="-9" type="int" value="65"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="self" id="a4" tag="-10" type="id" value="a4"></wme> ; Note – same value 
as id
```
</command>

 $<$ /sml $>$ 

![](_page_28_Picture_163.jpeg)

## 5.8. Output tag

This element is used to describe a change to the output-link and follows the same format as the input command.

The output tag is a parameter to an "output" command.

```
<sml smlversion="1.0" doctype="call" soarVersion="8.4.2" id="1234">
<command name="output">
        <arg param="agent">agent-1</arg>
        <wme action="add" att="turn" id="O1" tag="7" type="id" value="T1"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="heading" id="T1" tag="6" type="int" value="045"></wme>
        <wme action="add" att="speed" id="T1" tag="12" type="int" value="225"></wme>
</command>
```
 $<$ /sml $>$ 

An output command informs the client that the Soar agent has added new structure to the output link. The client will then examine that new structure and determine what action the agent has taken and how to change the environment accordingly. Each output command reports just the changes to the output-link since the last time output was sent.

![](_page_29_Picture_142.jpeg)

## 5.9. Working Memory Element tag

This element is used to describe working memory elements, for example as output from a print command. A WME is represented as a triplet: (identifier, attribute, value) together with a time tag (a unique number for this specific working memory element).

#### 5.9.1. Example of this tag

```
<wme action="add" att="plane" id="i1" tag="-5" type="id" value="a4"></wme>
<wme action="add" att="name" id="a4" tag="-6" type="string" value="plane1"></wme>
<wme action="add" att="speed" id="a4" tag="-7" type="int" value="225"></wme>
<wme action="add" att="position" id="a4" tag="-8" type="id" value="a5"></wme>
<wme action="add" att="x" id="a5" tag="-9" type="int" value="65"></wme>
<wme action="add" att="self" id="a4" tag="-10" type="id" value="a4"></wme> ; Note – same value
```
as id

This example represents: (I1 ^plane P4) (P4 ^name plane1 ^speed 225 ^position P5 ^self P4) (P5 ^x 65). Note that the IDs created in Soar's working memory are different from those assigned by the client ("a4"- >"P4"), but the structure is maintained correctly.

## 5.9.2. Definition

![](_page_30_Picture_154.jpeg)

#### 5.10. Condition tag

This element represents a condition in a production (for example (state <s>  $\text{``super-state}$  <ss>)). It can be used to represent either a single condition or a series of conditions that share the same ID (e.g. state <s> ^name top ^color red).

#### 5.10.1. Example of this tag

```
<production name="chunk-1">
<condition-set negated="false">
        <condition negated="false">
                <id-test> <test>
                                <symbol type="variable">&lt:s&qt:</symbol> [id is test for <s>]
                </test> </id-test>
                <att-val-test>
                        <att-test> <test>
                                <symbol type="string">name</symbol> [att is ^name]
                        </test> </att-test>
                        <val-test> <test>
                                <symbol type="string">Top-State</symbol> [value is |Top-State|]
                        </test> </val-test>
                </att-val-test>
                <att-val-test>
                        <att-test> <test>
                                <symbol type="string">height</symbol> [att is ^height]
                        </test> </att-test>
                        <val-test> <test-set>
                                <test>
                                <symbol type="int">52</symbol> [value is 52]
                                </test>
                                <test>
                                <symbol type="int">55</symbol> [or value is 55]
                                </test>
                        </test-set> </val-test>
                </att-val-test>
        </condition>
        [More conditions go here]
</condition-set>
</production>
```
This condition represents (<s> ^name |Top-State| ^height << 52 55 >>). Productions are complicated beasts, so there is a lot of structure here to cover the different possibilities.

![](_page_31_Picture_165.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_146.jpeg)

#### 5.10.2. ID test tag

This tag represents the test for the identifier in a condition (e.g.  $\leq$  > in ( $\leq$  > ^name top-state)).

![](_page_32_Picture_147.jpeg)

#### 5.10.3. Att-val-test tag

This tag represents the test for an attribute and value in a condition (e.g. ^name top-state).

![](_page_32_Picture_148.jpeg)

## 5.10.4. Att-test tag

This tag represents the test for the attribute in a condition (e.g. name in (<s> ^name top-state)).

![](_page_33_Picture_133.jpeg)

## 5.10.5. Val-test tag

This tag represents the test for the value in a condition (e.g. top-state in (<s> ^name top-state).

![](_page_33_Picture_134.jpeg)

## 5.10.6. Test tag

This element represents a test in a condition.

![](_page_33_Picture_135.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_140.jpeg)

#### 5.10.7. Test Set tag

A single test in a condition can actually be a test against a set of values (e.g. ^name << a b >>).

![](_page_34_Picture_141.jpeg)

## 5.10.8. Symbol tag

This element represents a single symbol (an integer, a variable name etc.).

![](_page_34_Picture_142.jpeg)

## 5.10.9. Condition set tag

This element represents a grouped set of conditions. Productions can contain negated sets of conditions that are logically grouped together.

![](_page_35_Picture_153.jpeg)

#### 5.11. Action tag

TODO: Need to specify similar things to the condition side.

## 5.12. Production tag

This element is used to represent a production. Interestingly, this XML structure exposes the Soar parser quite nicely. You could send a production as a raw string to Soar and get this back as output—a fully parsed and structured version of a Soar production.

Need to add the "state" symbol.

#### 5.12.1. Example of this tag

```
<production name="chunk-1" source="c:\test\prods.soar" docs="My first production" type="chunk">
<condition-set negated="false">
        <condition negated="false">
                <id-test> <test>
                                 <symbol type="variable">&lt;s&gt;</symbol> [id is test for <s>]
                </test> </id-test>
                <att-val-test>
                        <att-test> <test>
                                 <symbol type="string">name</symbol> [att is ^name]
                        </test> </att-test>
                        <val-test> <test>
                                 <symbol type="string">Top-State</symbol> [value is |Top-State|]
                        </test> </val-test>
                </att-val-test>
                <att-val-test>
                        <att-test> <test>
                                 <symbol type="string">height</symbol> [att is ^height]
                        </test> </att-test>
                        <val-test> <test-set>
```

```
<test>
                               <symbol type="int">52</symbol> [value is 52]
                              </test><test>
                              <symbol type="int">55</symbol> [or value is 55]
                              </test></test-set> </val-test>
               </att-val-test>
       </condition>
       [More conditions go here]
</condition-set>
<action-set>
       [Actions go here]
</action-set>
</production>
```
#### The condition represented here is (<s>  $\land$ name |Top-State|  $\land$ height << 52 55 >>).

![](_page_36_Picture_139.jpeg)

#### 5.13. Tags still to do

Need to support output from some functions such as matches, find etc. that return list of production names or match condition information etc. We'll probably end up defining these as we work through the command set. Once we have the basic structure, it should be simple enough to build up from it for these commands.

## 6. Overview of Client and Kernel Interfaces

#### 6.1. Introduction

So far this spec has focused on the question of what will be communicated between a client (tool or simulation) and the Soar kernel at the XML level. To build a complete system, we also need to define the higher level interface that manages this communication on either the Soar kernel or client side. This interface is responsible for managing sockets, sending commands, receiving notifications, registering for events etc.

The basic structure for this higher level interface is shown below in Figure 4.

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

Figure 4 ClientSML and KernelSML interfaces

## 6.2. Kernel SML

The KernelSML component is responsible for:

- converting incoming commands into calls to the Soar kernel (gSKI)
- converting output from the Soar kernel into calls to the client
- accepting input wmes for Soar's input-link and sending output-link commands to the client
- allowing the client to register and un-register for events that occur in the kernel and sending that information to the client when the event occurs.
- supporting socket connections; synchronous and asynchronous calls and in-process communication

All of the information passed to and from Kernel SML will be encoded as SML messages and all SML messages sent to Soar will be interpreted by this layer. KernelSML will be a shared library (a DLL on Windows) and will be linked directly to a particular version of gSKI and Soar. The interface to this library should not change over time as Soar changes and evolves, making it possible for a tool to be designed to work with many different versions of Soar.

## 6.3. Client SML

The ClientSML component is a layer that clients may choose to use to make them simpler. However, it is not a requirement of the system that a client uses the ClientSML library and some tools or simulations may find it more convenient to simply generate XML directly or use the ElementXML library directly.

The ClientSML component is responsible for:

- sending input WMEs to Soar (via SML and the KernelSML layer) that are then attached to the inputlink
- responding to output commands from Soar that are generated from the output-link
- supporting socket connections; synchronous and asynchronous calls and in-process communication
- providing some useful classes for constructing SML messages

The ClientSML library will be much smaller than the KernelSML library as it will not need to interpret the range of commands that can be sent and received by SML. The client itself is responsible for handling those messages in a manner that makes sense for the particular application.

Over time we will want to provide ClientSML implementations in a number of languages, notably C++ and Java and possibly others.

## 6.4. Multiple Clients, Multiple Kernels

While the base model shows a single client talking to a single kernel, in general we want to allow multiple clients to talk to a single kernel (e.g. Visual Soar and a Debugger might both wish to send information to an instance of Soar) and a single client to talk to multiple kernels (e.g. in a distributed setting we might run multiple instances of Soar on different machines and wish to control them all from a single control panel).

Furthermore, it would be good to allow, at the communication level, clients to talk to each other (e.g. one tool to another or a tool to a simulation) and to allow kernels to communicate with each other (e.g. to support inter-agent communication). This form of inter-kernel communication or inter-tool communication would require different SML message content than has been defined in this spec but that should be all.

One more complexity here is that to be truly general, we should allow Soar to be embedded in one tool and yet accessible by another tool through a socket. We won't focus on supporting this capability at the start, but by keeping it in mind I expect we can achieve it without extra effort if we're careful in the design.

## 7. Client SML and Kernel SML Interfaces

This section still needs to be updated to match the latest method definitions.

## 7.1. Connection Interface

This interface describes the connection between a client (tool or simulation) and the Soar kernel. Both ClientSML and KernelSML will use this connection interface to communicate with each other.

The functions here are described from the client's perspective and would be completely symmetrical in KernelSML from the kernel's perspective.

![](_page_39_Picture_148.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_133.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_145.jpeg)

I think KernelSML can be completely symmetric with ClientSML as regards message passing.

# 7.2. Sample code for an embedded connection

#### 7.2.1. Initialization

First, create the connection object:

```
ErrorCode error = 0 ;
Connection* pConnection = Connection::CreateEmbeddedConnection(&error) ;
```
This example assumes that the client is interested in receiving notifications of events from the kernel, so it registers a notification callback. The callback function must itself be static, but for C++ code we'll typically want to handle the call within an object. To do this we register the function and pass in the "this" pointer:

```
pConnection->RegisterCallback(Notify1, this, sml_Names::kDocType_Notify, true) ;
```
#### Then the callback function looks like this:

```
static ElementXML* Notify1(Connection* pConn, ElementXML* pMsq, void* pUserData)
{
   // Switch from a static callback into one local to the sending object
   ClientClass* pMe = (ClientClass*)pUserData ;
   pMe->Notify(pConn, pMsg) ;
   // Since this is a notification, we always return NULL
   return NULL ;
}
void Notify(Connection* pConnection, ElementXML* pIncoming)
{
   // This is where we really handle the notification.
}
```
#### 7.2.2. Usage

Commands are sent like this:

pConnection->SendMessage(pSML) ;

The response to a command is retrieved like this:

ElementXML\* pResponse = pConnection->GetResponse(pSML->getID(), true) ;

Notifications of events occurring on the kernel (e.g. when Soar is interrupted for some reason or watch output while Soar is running) are received by the Notify function being called.

#### 7.3. Sample code for a remote connection

#### 7.3.1. Initialization

The only difference from the embedded example during initialization is how the Connection is created:

```
ErrorCode error = 0 ;
Connection* pConnection = Connection::CreateRemoteConnection("152.12.52.1", 572, 
&error) ;
```
The methods for registering a callback are the same as for the embedded connection.

#### 7.3.2. Usage

The only difference from the embedded example is that ReceiveMessages() must be called periodically.

So we have:

#### Commands are sent like this:

pConnection->SendMessage(pSML) ;

The response to a command is retrieved like this:

ElementXML\* pResponse = pConnection->GetResponse(pSML->getID(), true) ;

Notifications of events occurring on the kernel (e.g. when Soar is interrupted for some reason or watch output while Soar is running) are received by the Notify function being called which will only happen as a result of either the GetResponse() call above or as a result of calling:

pConnection->ReceiveMessages(true) ;

## 7.4. MessageGenerator Interface (now folded into Connection class)

This class provides helper functions for creating SML messages. The client is not required to use these functions and can create ElementXML objects directly through that interface, but usually these will be helpful. The Connection class derives from the MessageGenerator class, so a Connection is always a MessageGenerator.

![](_page_43_Picture_144.jpeg)

## 8. Communication Models for I/O

This whole section was useful during development, but is probably just confusing now. It should come out and be replaced by a simpler description of the different types of connections.

#### 8.1. Simple Communication (Soar not executing)

When Soar is not executing, commands can be sent from the client to the kernel and the results are passed back, making for a simple communication model.

#### 8.1.1. Embedded print "chunk-1" example

Here's an example showing the sequence of calls for an embedded client calling to the kernel to print a chunk.

![](_page_44_Picture_144.jpeg)

#### 8.1.2. Remote print "chunk-1" example

Here's an example showing the sequence of calls for a remote client calling to the kernel to print a chunk through a socket.

![](_page_45_Picture_129.jpeg)

# 8.2. Input and Output during Soar execution

When Soar is executing together with a simulation, the relationship can be much more complex. These are the models I can see that we might wish to support:

![](_page_45_Picture_130.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_205.jpeg)

#### 8.2.1. Remote example – asynchronous model

Here's an example showing the sequence of calls to send over the current state from a simulation to the kernel and run for a while (processing I/O along the way). In this example, both processes run independently and communicate asynchronously. This model is appropriate when there are multiple agents in the world and each act in "real time" in the simulation.

![](_page_46_Picture_206.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_159.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_178.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_145.jpeg)

#### 8.2.2. Embedded example – synchronous with client in control

Here's an example showing the sequence of calls to send over the current state from a simulation to the kernel and run for a while (processing I/O along the way).

Note: We are using a method here where the environment pushes the current state over to the kernel once before executing Soar for n-decisions. Thus the environment is not given the opportunity to update until Soar stops running. The feeling is that this is usually the correct model for these synchronous simulations. In order to have the environment update more rapidly, the client can choose to run Soar for just 1 decision at a time, in which case Soar ends up checking for changes in the environment every decision (rather than every n'th decision).

![](_page_49_Picture_146.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_155.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_160.jpeg)

This method ends up looking a lot like the embedded model where Soar is in control. I think the only difference is how long to run Soar for. If you run it forever, then this is the "Soar in control model". If you run it for a fixed amount of time then it returns control to the simulation on a regular basis, which can then update independently of the Soar agent. Seems like this is the only difference.

## 9. SML Command Language

Up to this point, this spec has detailed the way information is passed between a client and the kernel. In this section, we will define the details of commands that can be sent to the kernel and the output it will generate.

- 1) All commands will be enclosed in a <command> tag.
- 2) All responses will either be enclosed in a <result> tag or an <error> tag will be returned. In the descriptions below these tags will usually be omitted to save space. If no failure condition is explicitly defined, then an error will just return an <error></error> tag.
- 3) The tags are shown to indicate the structure of the command or response. The tags themselves will often contain attributes or character data that is defined above (where the tag is defined). Those details are omitted here for simplicity.

This list needs to be completely updated as it used to refer to gSKI commands and those have all been replaced.

#### 9.1. Agent Commands

![](_page_53_Picture_124.jpeg)

#### 9.1.1. create-agent