A Proposal For Changing Soar's Decision Procedure

John E. Laird 26th Soar Workshop

1

Soar Basics

- Activity is a series of operators controlled by knowledge:
 - \rightarrow 1. Input from environment
 - 2. Elaborate current situation
 - 3. Propose and compare operators via preferences
 - 4. Select operator
 - -5. Apply operator: modify internal data structures
 - 6. Output to motor system

Monotonic

inferences

inferences

Non-monotonic

Soar Basics

- \rightarrow 1. Input from environment
 - 2. Elaborate current situation
 - 3. Propose and compare operators via preferences
 - 4. Select operator
 - 5. Apply operator: modify internal data structures
 - Non-monotonic change 🛰
 - Non-monotonic change
 - *Non-monotonic change*
 - Non-monotonic change
 - Non-monotonic change
 - ...
 - -6. Output to motor system

Long chain of operator application rule firings

What's Wrong with Soar 8.6.2?

- 1. No bound on the number of rule firings during operator application
- 2. Prevents Soar from entering output/input
- 3. Soar can do many internal actions with "eyes closed"
- 4. Post-chunking can be less reactive than pre-chunking
- 5. Timing predictions can become very unreasonable

Proposal

- 1 PE phase/decision cycle: Limit operator application to one wave of parallel o-supported rule firing
- Forces architecture to go back through input-output
 - Making it more reactive
- Has no impact on operator selection
 - except from input
 - [Limiting elaborations to 1/decision would impact selection]
- Number of decisions doesn't collapse after chunking
 - Chunking will eliminate only unnecessary reasoning

Proposed Change

- \rightarrow 1. Input from environment
 - 2. Elaborate current situation
 - 3. Propose and compare operators
 - 4. Select operator
 - 5. Apply operator
 - One non-monotonic change
 - 6. Output to motor system

Decision procedure:

If current operator wins based on same acceptable preference, it stays selected (no blinking).

Example

Task: Count to 10 in subgoal: intermediate results in the super state.

)

Before Chunking

24:	0:	024	4 (cc	ount-test4)
25:	==:	>S:	S2 (operator no-change
26:		0:	025	(substate-count)
27:		0:	026	(substate-count)
28:		0:	027	(substate-count)
29:		0:	028	(substate-count)
30:		0:	029	(substate-count)
31:		0:	030	(substate-count)
32:		0:	031	(substate-count)
33:		0:	032	(substate-count)
34:		0:	033	(substate-count)
35:		0:	034	(substate-count)
36:	0:	03!	5 (te	est-complete)

After Chunking Soar 8 24: 0: 024 (count-test4) 25: 0: 025 (test-complete) sequence

1 PE/Operator

- 42: 0: 024 (count-test4)
- 43: 024 (count-test4)
- 44: 024 (count-test4)
- 45: 024 (count-test4)
- 46: 024 (count-test4)
- 47: 024 (count-test4)
- 48: 024 (count-test4)
- 49: 024 (count-test4)
- 50: 024 (count-test4)
- 51: 024 (count-test4)
- 52: 0: 025 (test-complete)

Nuggets and Coal

- Nuggets:
 - Make Soar more reactive
 - Makes post-chunking behavior more *reasonable*
- Coal:
 - Behavior might be less intuitive