

Learning New Air Combat Tactics With Cascade

Randolph M. Jones Keith Knudsen Laura Hamel

SOAR TECHNOLOGY PROPRIETARY

Project Overview

- Goal
 - Rapid Tactics Development Using Existing, Low-Cost Virtual Environments
- Objective System HBM DEPOT
 - Captures demonstrations of Navy Aviation tactics using a low-cost Delta 3D Navy flight simulator
 - Supplements them with an easy to use diagrammatic representation (pre-loaded with Navy Aviation general domain knowledge) and learning algorithm
 - To generate high-quality human behavior models
 - Suitable for use in any virtual environment where intelligent computer generated forces (CGFs) or non-player characters (NPCs) such as a wingman or sophisticated OPFOR are required















July 30, 2008 | SOAR TECHNOLOGY PROPRIETARY | Slide 5

Why did the aircraft turn right?



July 30, 2008 | SOAR TECHNOLOGY PROPRIETARY | Slide 6



Learning by explanation

- If this is a behavior the system would already produce in this situation, there is nothing to learn
- If this is a behavior the system would produce in a similar situation, the conditions of the behavior can be generalized
- If this is a behavior the system cannot easily explain, it falls back on general knowledge to produce candidate explanations
 - You must point at something you want to approach
 - You must point away from something you want to avoid
 - A sensor must be pointed at something to sense it
 - Etc.
 - Explanation-based learning of correctness developed by VanLehn, Jones, & Chi, 1991.



Overview of Explanation Approach



Finding Knowledge Patches

- Potential patches are found by using background knowledge and "rules of thumb" to complete "plausible explanations"
- Multiple candidates can be filtered by a variety of methods, or by asking the user
- Conditions on new knowledge are determined by heuristics to the "best level of generality", by analogy, or by asking the user



Example Rules of Thumb

- A turn may indicate an approach to a route point
- A turn may indicate avoidance of an active threat
- A turn may indicate a preemptive avoidance of a potential threat
- A turn may indicate an approach to a target
- A turn may indicate an attempt to maintain sensor contact
- A turn may be triggered by the existence/detection of an object
- A turn may be triggered by a range to an object
- A turn may be triggered by the time since some event



Knowledge Patching



Cascade: Doman Knowledge Representation

- If an aircraft is supporting a radar-guided missile against a target, then the desired heading of the aircraft should combine the constraints of maintaining radar contact and approaching the target.
- constraint(v(f(desiredHeading,A))=v(f(computeHeading,v(f(maintainRadarHeading, A, T)),v(f(approachHeading, A, T)))), dh=radar_approach) :-

inst(A,aircraft), inst(T,target), goal(supportMissile).

 The combined constraints of maintaining radar contact with a target and approaching a target imply coming to a heading equal to the bearing of the target.

constraint(v(f(computeHeading,v(f(maintainRadarHeading,

A, T)),v(f(approachHeading, A,

T))))=v(f(bearing,A,T)), radar_approach=bearing) :-

inst(A,aircraft), inst(T,target).



Cascade: Rule of Thumb

- If the aircraft is attempting to achieve some (unspecified) goal and to execute some (unspecified) tactic, and there is a threat, then one possible action is to avoid the threat while maintaining radar contact with it.
- og_constraint(v(f(desiredHeading,A))=v(f(computeHeading ,v(f(maintainRadarHeading, A, T)),v(f(avoidHeading, A, T)))), dh=radar_avoid) :-

inst(A,aircraft), inst(T,threat), goal(G), tactic(X).



Cascade: Explanation Generation



Cascade: Explanation Generation with Learning Goal: Explain why desired heading is 5 Try rule of thumb Try rule "Heading constraints "Heading constraints are to approach target Might be to avoid threat and maintain radar contact" and maintain radar contact" Goal: Goal: Explain why Explain why radar and approach radar and avoid constraints produce constraints produce a heading of 5 a heading of 5 Try rule Try rule "Use magnetic bearing minus radar "Use magnetic bearing when trying limits to approach and maintain radar when trying to avoid and maintain contact" radar contact' Goal: Goal: Goal: Explain why Explain why Explain why radar gimbal limit is 40 magnetic bearing is 45 magnetic bearing is 5 chieved Try rule Try rule by "Use magnetic bearing minus radar "Use magnetic bearing plus radar Scene limits limits Observati when trying to avoid and maintain when trying to avoid and maintain ons radar contact' radar contact" ailure Goal: Rule Explain why structure radar and avoid does not constraints produce match a heading of 45 sought value Failure: No candidate rules

July 30, 2008 | SOAR TECHNOLOGY PROPRIETARY | Slide 15



Results

Gold

- Able to apply Cascade to a tactical combat example without changing the Cascade code
- Cascade-style search for explanations is feasible because there is a relatively small number of "sensible" rules of thumb

Coal

- Had to hand-craft tactical knowledge into Cascade's equation-based representation
- Some refactoring and generalization of TacAir-Soar code will be necessary to make this work
- In the long run, we will want more sophisticated explanation searches than currently supported by Cascade

