Intro 00 Base.

Slow se oo Base.Threads2 00000 ThreadsX.jl 0000000 Julia s 0000 Summar o

ThreadsX.jl: easier multithreading in Julia

ALEX RAZOUMOV alex.razoumov@westgrid.ca



WestGrid webinar - slides and functions at https://git.io/Jtdge

2022-Feb-02 1 / 28

			ThreadsX.jl 0000000	
Zoom	ontrols			

- Please mute your microphone and camera unless you have a question
- To ask questions at any time, type in Chat, or Unmute to ask via audio
 - please address chat questions to "Everyone" (not direct chat!)
- Raise your hand in Participants



Email training@westgrid.ca

Intro			ThreadsX.jl	
•0				
-	11 1 7 1.			

Parallel Julia

- In Compute Canada we teach programming in C, C++, Fortran, Python, R, Julia, Chapel
- Julia in WestGrid: serial and parallel courses and webinars https://git.io/Jtdge
- Feb-14,16,18 upcoming "Parallel Julia" national training workshop will cover the checkboxes below see details and register at https://bit.ly/wg2022a
- Today's topic: multi-threading, both on multi-core PCs and HPC clusters
- Not to be confused with Julia's multi-processing
 - Dagger.jl

✔ Base.Threads

🗸 ThreadsX.jl

🖌 Distributed.jl

🖌 DistributedArrays.jl

🖌 SharedArrays.jl

- Concurrent function calls ("lightweight threads" for suspending/resuming computations)
- MPI.jl
- MPIArrays.jl
- ClusterManagers.jl
- LoopVectorization.jl
- FLoops.jl
- Transducers.jl
- GPU-related packages

Intro		ThreadsX.jl	
00			
nn 1			

Threads vs. processes

- In Unix a process is the smallest independent unit of processing, with its own memory space think of an instance of a running application
- A process can contain multiple threads, each running on its own CPU core, all sharing the virtual memory address space of that process ⇒ multi-threading always limited to one node



WestGrid webinar - slides and functions at https://git.io/Jtdge

Base.Threads1		ThreadsX.jl	
•00			

Builtin multi-threading since v1.3

Let's start Julia by typing "julia" in bash:

end

using Base.Threads # otherwise will have to preface all functions/macros with 'Threads.'
nthreads() # by default, Julia starts with a single thread of execution

```
If instead we start with "julia -t 4"
(or "JULIA_NUM_THREADS=4 julia" prior to v1.5):
using Base.Threads
nthreads()  # now 4 threads
@threads for i=1:10  # parallel for loop using all threads
    println("iteration Si on thread S(threadid())")
```



Let's compute $\sum_{i=1}^{10^6} i$ with multiple threads

This code is not thread-safe:

```
total = 0
@threads for i = 1:1_000_000
global total += i
end
println("total = ", total)
```

- race condition: multiple threads updating the same variable at the same time
- a new result every time
- unfortunately, @threads does not have built-in reduction support



Let's compute $\sum_{i=1}^{10^6} i$ with multiple threads

This code is not thread-safe:

```
total = 0
@threads for i = 1:1_000_000
global total += i
end
println("total = ", total)
```

- race condition: multiple threads updating the same variable at the same time
- a new result every time
- unfortunately, @threads does not have built-in reduction support

Let's make it thread-safe (one of many solutions):

```
total = Atomic{Int64}(0)
@threads for i in 1:Int(1e6)
        atomic_add!(total, i)
end
println("total = ", total[])
```



Let's compute $\sum_{i=1}^{10^6} i$ with multiple threads

This code is not thread-safe:

```
total = 0
@threads for i = 1:1_000_000
global total += i
end
println("total = ", total)
```

- race condition: multiple threads updating the same variable at the same time
- a new result every time
- unfortunately, @threads does not have built-in reduction support

Let's make it thread-safe (one of many solutions):

```
total = Atomic{Int64}(0)
@threads for i in 1:Int(1e6)
        atomic_add!(total, i)
end
println("total = ", total[])
```

- this code might be much slower: threads waiting for others to finish updating the variable
- atomic variables not really designed for this type of usage
- \Rightarrow let's do some benchmarking

Base.Threads1		ThreadsX.jl	Summar
000			

Benchmarking in Julia

(a) Running the loop in the global scope (without a function):

- direct summation
- @time includes JIT compilation time (marginal here)
- total is a global variable to the loop

```
n = Int64(1e9)
total = Int64(0)
@time for i in 1:n
        total += i
end
println("total = ", total)
# serial runtime: 51.80s 51.95s
```

Intro Base.Threads1 Slow series Base.Threads2 ThreadsX.jl] ◦◦ ◦• ◦◦ ◦◦ ◦◦ ◦◦ ◦

ulia set 000000 Summary o

Benchmarking in Julia

(a) Running the loop in the global scope (without a function):

- direct summation
- @time includes JIT compilation time (marginal here)
- total is a global variable to the loop

```
n = Int64(1e9)
total = Int64(0)
@time for i in 1:n
    total += i
end
println("total = ", total)
# serial runtime: 51.80s 51.95s
```

(b) Packaging the loop in the local scope of a function:

- Julia v1.6 and earlier will replace the loop with the formula n(n + 1)/2 we don't want this!
- v1.7 seems to be doing direct summation
- first function call results in compilation.
- @time here includes only the loop runtime

```
function quick(n)
   total = Int64(0)
   @time for i in 1:n
        total += i
   end
   return(total)
end
quick(10)
println("total = ", quick(Int64(1e9)))
   # serial runtime: 0.000000s + correct result
println("total = ", quick(Int64(1e15)))
   # serial runtime: 0.000000s + incorrect result
   # due to limited Int64 precision
```

Julia set 000000 Summary o

Benchmarking in Julia

(a) Running the loop in the global scope (without a function):

- direct summation
- @time includes JIT compilation time (marginal here)
- total is a global variable to the loop

```
n = Int64(1e9)
total = Int64(0)
@time for i in 1:n
        total += i
end
println("total = ", total)
# serial runtime: 51.80s 51.95s
```

(b) Packaging the loop in the local scope of a function:

- Julia v1.6 and earlier will replace the loop with the formula n(n + 1)/2 we don't want this!
- v1.7 seems to be doing direct summation
- first function call results in compilation
- @time here includes only the loop runtime

```
function quick(n)
   total = Int64(0)
   @time for i in 1:n
        total += i
   end
   return(total)
end
quick(10)
println("total = ", quick(Int64(le9)))
   # serial runtime: 0.000000s + correct result
println("total = ", quick(Int64(le15)))
   # serial runtime: 0.000000s + incorrect result
   # due to limited Int64 precision
```

- 1. force computation for any Julia version \Rightarrow compute something more complex than simple integer summation
- 2. exclude compilation time, make use of optimizations for type stability \Rightarrow package into a function + precompile it
- 3. time only the CPU-intensive loops
- 4. for shorter runs (ms few seconds) use <code>@btime</code> from BenchmarkTools

	Slow series	ThreadsX.jl	
	•0		

Slowly convergent series

- The traditional harmonic series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ diverges
- However, if we omit the terms whose denominators in decimal notation contain any digit or string of digits, it converges, albeit very slowly (Schmelzer & Baillie 2008), e.g.



• For no denominators with "9", assuming linear convergence in the log-log space, we would need 10⁷³ terms to reach 22.92, and almost 10²⁰⁵ terms to reach 22.92067661

	Slow series	ThreadsX.jl	
	0•		

Checking for substrings in Julia

Checking for a substring is one possibility

```
if !occursin("9", string(i))
        <add the term>
end
```

Integer exclusion is ${\sim}4\mathrm{X}~\mathrm{faster}$ (thanks to Paul Schrimpf from the Vancouver School of Economics @UBC)

```
function digitsin(digits::Int, num)
  base = 10
     base \star = 10
     return true
     end
     num \div = 10
end
  <add the term>
```

	Base.Threads2	ThreadsX.jl	Summary
	•••••		

Timing the summation: serial code

Let's switch to 10⁹ terms, start with the serial code:

```
using BenchmarkTools
function slow(n::Int64, digits::Int)
    total = Int64(0)
    for i in 1:n
        if !digitsin(digits, i)
            total += 1.0 / i
        end
    end
    return total
end
total = @btime slow(Int64(1e9), 9)
println("total = ", total)  # total = 14.2419130103833
```

\$ julia serial.jl # serial runtime: 5.214 s

	Base.Threads2	ThreadsX.jl	
	0000		

Timing the summation: using an atomic variable

• Threads are waiting for the atomic variable to be released \Rightarrow should be slow:

```
using BenchmarkTools, Base.Threads
function slow(n::Int64, digits::Int)
    total = Atomic{Float64}(0)
    @threads for i in 1:n
        if !digitsin(digits, i)
            atomic_add!(total, 1.0 / i)
        end
    end
    return total[]
end
total = @btime slow(Int64(le9), 9)
println("total = ", total)  # total = 14.241913010383293
```

```
$ julia atomicThreads.jl  # runtime with 1 thread: 5.996 s
$ julia -t 8 atomicThreads.jl  # runtime with 8 threads: 37.759 s
$ julia -t 6 atomicThreads.jl  # runtime with 6 threads: 15.716 s
```

	Base.Threads2	ThreadsX.jl	
	00000		

Timing the summation: an alternative thread-safe implementation

• Each thread is updating its own sum, no waiting \Rightarrow should be faster:

```
using BenchmarkTools, Base.Threads
function slow(n::Int64, digits::Int)
    total = zeros(Float64, nthreads())
        if !digitsin(digits, i)
    return sum(total)
end
total = Obtime slow(Int64(1e9), 9)
$ julia -t 8 separateSums.jl # runtime with 8 threads: 3.823 s
```

	Base.Threads2	ThreadsX.jl	Summary
	00000		

Timing the summation: fixing the *false sharing effect* in the last code

- Cache lines (~32-128 bytes in size) are chunks of memory handled by the cache
- Problem arises when several threads are writing into variables placed close enough to each other to end up in the same cache line (thanks to Pierre Fortin for pointing out this problem)

```
$ julia spacedSeparateSums.jl  # runtime with 1 thread: 5.291 s
$ julia -t 8 spacedSeparateSums.jl  # runtime with 8 threads: 914.502 ms
```

	Base.Threads2	ThreadsX.jl	
	00000		

Timing the summation: using heavy loops

Another fast implementation:

```
using BenchmarkTools, Base.Threads
function slow(n::Int64, digits::Int)
    Othreads for threadid in 1:numthreads
        local finish = threadid < numthreads ? (threadid-1)*threadSize+threadSize : n</pre>
    return sum(total)
total = @btime slow(Int64(1e9), 9)
```

\$ julia heavyThreads.jl # runtime with 1 thread: 5.296 s
\$ julia -t 8 heavyThreads.jl # runtime with 8 threads: 914.541 ms

WestGrid webinar - slides and functions at https://git.io/Jtdge

ThreadsX.jl

https://github.com/tkf/ThreadsX.jl

- With Base. Threads you can manually add multi-threaded reduction
 - solutions are somewhat awkward
 - inadvertently you can run into problems (thread safety, false sharing, other performance issues)
- Enter ThreadsX: parallelized subset of Base functions

using ThreadsX ThreadsX.<TAB> ?ThreadsX.mapreduce ?mapreduce

Consider Base function:

mapreduce(x->x^2, +, 1:10)

Base.Thread

ThreadsX.jl

https://github.com/tkf/ThreadsX.jl

- With Base. Threads you can manually add multi-threaded reduction
 - solutions are somewhat awkward
 - inadvertently you can run into problems (thread safety, false sharing, other performance issues)
- Enter ThreadsX: parallelized subset of Base functions

using ThreadsX ThreadsX.<TAB> ?ThreadsX.mapreduce ?mapreduce

Consider Base function:

mapreduce($x - x^2$, +, 1:10)

• Alternative syntax:

mapreduce(+,1:10) do i
 i^2 # plays the role of the function applied to each element
end

WestGrid webinar - slides and functions at https://git.io/Jtdge

		ThreadsX.jl	
		000000	

Back to our slow series:

```
using BenchmarkTools, ThreadsX
function slow(n::Int64, digits::Int)
   total = ThreadsX.mapreduce(+,1:n) do i
end
total = (btime slow(Int64(1e9), 9))
$ julia mapreduce.jl  # runtime with 1 thread: 5.255 s
$ julia -t 8 mapreduce.jl # runtime with 8 threads: 900.995 ms
```

		ThreadsX.jl	
		000000	

In compact notation:

```
using BenchmarkTools, ThreadsX
function slow(n::Int64, digits::Int)
    total = ThreadsX.mapreduce(+,1:n) do i
        !digitsin(digits, i) ? 1.0 / i : 0
    end
    return total
end
total = @btime slow(Int64(1e9), 9)
println("total = ", total)  # total = 14.241913010384215
```

\$ julia mapreduceCompact.jl # runtime with 1 thread: 5.267 s
\$ julia -t 8 mapreduceCompact.jl # runtime with 8 threads: 914.470 ms

Replacing ThreadsX.mapreduce with mapreduce above will give you a serial code

		ThreadsX.jl	
		000000	

Base.sum \rightarrow ThreadsX.sum

?sum ?Threads.sum

The expression in the round brackets is a generator:

```
(i for i in 1:10)
collect(i for i in 1:10)  # construct a vector
collect(!digitsin(9, i) ? 1.0/i : 0 for i in 1:10)
[!digitsin(9, i) ? 1.0/i : 0 for i in 1:10]  # functionally the same
```

How about the following one-liner:

using BenchmarkTools
@btime sum(!digitsin(9, i) ? 1.0/i : 0 for i in 1:1_000_000_000)
serial code: 5.061 s, prints 14.2419130103833

Easy to parallelize:

using BenchmarkTools, ThreadsX
@btime ThreadsX.sum(!digitsin(9, i) ? 1.0/i : 0 for i in 1:1_000_000_000)
with 8 threads: 906.420 ms, prints 14.241913010381973

		ThreadsX.jl	Summary
		0000000	

Alternative syntaxes

Sum terms returned by a generator that only produces non-zero terms

Sum the results of applying a function to all integers in a range

```
function numericTerm(i)
    !digitsin(9, i) ? 1.0/i : 0
end
@btime ThreadsX.sum(numericTerm, 1:Int64(1e9))  # 890.466 ms, same result
@btime ThreadsX.mapreduce(numericTerm, +, 1:Int64(1e9)) # 912.552 ms, same result
```

		ThreadsX.jl 00000●0	
Sorting			

Sorting is intrinsically hard to parallelize \Rightarrow do not expect 100% parallel efficiency:

```
n = Int64(1e8)
r = rand(Float32, (n));
@btime sort!(r); # 1.391 s, serial sorting
r = rand(Float32, (n));
@btime ThreadsX.sort!(r); # 586.541 ms, parallel sorting
?ThreadsX.sort!
@btime sort!(r); # 889.817 ms
@btime ThreadsX.sort!(r); # <u>390.082 ms</u>
```

		ThreadsX.jl	
		000000	

Searching for extrema

Searching for extrema is much more parallel-friendly:

```
n = Int64(1e9)
r = rand(Int32, (n));  # make sure we have enough memor
@btime maximum(r)  # 288.200 ms
@btime ThreadsX.maximum(r)  # 31.879 ms
```

Intro Base.Threads1 Slow series Base.Threads2 ThreadsX.jl **Julia set** Summar oo oo oo oo oo oocoo oocooo oo

Julia set (no relation to Julia language!)

A set of points on the complex plane that remain bound under infinite recursive transformation f(z). We will use the traditional form $f(z) = z^2 + c$, where *c* is a complex constant.

- 1. pick a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$
- 2. compute iterations $z_{i+1} = z_i^2 + c$ until $|z_i| > 4$
- 3. $\xi(z_0)$ is the iteration number at which $|z_i| > 4$
- 4. limit max iterations at 255
 - $\xi(z_0) = 255 \Rightarrow z_0$ is a stable point
 - the quicker a point diverges, the lower its $\xi(z_0)$ is
- 5. plot $\xi(z_0)$ for all z_0 in a rectangular region -1 <= $\Re \mathfrak{e}(z_0)$ <= 1, -1 <= $\Im \mathfrak{m}(z_0)$ <= 1



c = 0.355 + 0.355i

For different *c* we will get very different fractals. Try -0.4 - 0.59i, 1.34 - 0.45i, 0.34 - 0.05i

WestGrid webinar - slides and functions at https://git.io/Jtdge

		ThreadsX.jl	Julia set	
			00000	

Demo: computing and plotting the Julia set for c = 0.355 + 0.355i

Code for presenter in juliaSet/juliaSetSerial1.jl

```
using BenchmarkTools, Plots
function pixel(z)
c = 0.355 + 0.355im
z *= 1.2 # zoom out
for i = 1:255
        z = z^2 + c
        if abs(z) >= 4
        return i
        end
        return 255
end
n = 2_000
height, width = n, n
println("Computing Julia set ...")
point = zeros(Complex{Float32}, height, width);
stability = zeros(Int32, height, width);
for i in 1:height, j in 1:width
        # rescale to -1:1 in the complex plane
        point[i,j] = (2*(j-0.5)/width-1) + (2*(i-0.5)/height-1)im
        end
        end
        return 255
end
n = 2_000
height, width = n, n
```

\$ julia juliaSetSerial1.jl # 1.160 s

The reason for two $n \times n$ arrays will be explained later

		ThreadsX.jl	Julia set	
			000000	

Parallelizing the Julia set with Base. Threads

-using BenchmarkTools, Plots

+using Base.Threads, BenchmarkTools, Plots

		ThreadsX.jl 0000000	Julia set oo●ooo	
_	 _ .	 -		

Parallelizing the Julia set with Base. Threads

```
-using BenchmarkTools, Plots
+using Base.Threads, BenchmarkTools, Plots
```

```
-@btime for i in 1:height, j in 1:width
- stability[i,j] = pixel(point[i,j])
-end
+@btime @threads for i in 1:height
+ for j in 1:width
+ stability[i,j] = pixel(point[i,j])
+ end
+end
```

julia -t 8 juliaSetThreaded1.jl # 249.924 ms with 8 threads

slowish ...

- row-major vs. column-major: we are doing the faster one
- likely, the false sharing effect ... more difficult to fix with a large array

		ThreadsX.jl	Julia set	
			000000	

How do we parallelize with ThreadsX? We want to process an array without reduction

		ThreadsX.jl	Julia set	
			000000	

How do we parallelize with ThreadsX? We want to process an array without reduction Let's first modify the serial code! We'll use another function from Base library:

```
?map
map(x -> x * 2, [1, 2, 3])
map(+, [1, 2, 3], [10, 20, 30, 400, 5000])  # not a reduction!
```

		ThreadsX.jl	Julia set	Summary
			000000	

How do we parallelize with ThreadsX? We want to process an array without reduction Let's first modify the serial code! We'll use another function from Base library:

```
?map
map(x -> x * 2, [1, 2, 3])
map(+, [1, 2, 3], [10, 20, 30, 400, 5000]) # not a reduction!
-@btime for i in 1:height, j in 1:width
- stability[i,j] = pixel(point[i,j])
-end
+stability = @btime map(pixel, point);
```

julia juliaSetSerial2.jl # 917.683 ms

		ThreadsX.jl	Julia set	
			000000	

Parallelizing the Julia set with ThreadsX

```
-using BenchmarkTools, Plots
+using ThreadsX, BenchmarkTools, Plots
```

```
-stability = @btime map(pixel, point);
+stability = @btime ThreadsX.map(pixel, point);
```

julia -t 8 juliaSetThreaded2.jl # 171.010 ms with 8 threads

				ThreadsX.jl 0000000	Julia set ooooo●	
Runn	ing on a clus	ster				
			#!/bin/bash #SBATCHntas			
#SI #SI	BATCHmem-per-c BATCHtime=00:1	pu=3600M 0:00	#SBATCHcpus #SBATCHmem-			
#SE mod	BATCHaccount=d dule load julia					
jul	lia juliaSetSeria	11.jl	module load ju julia -t \$SLUR	llia M CPUS PER TASK	juliaSetThre	aded2.il

- Runtime 2.467 s (serial) and 180.003 ms (16 cores) on Cedar with julia/1.7.0
- By default, packages will be installed in \$HOME/.julia
- You can install them elsewhere

empty!(DEPOT_PATH)
push!(DEPOT_PATH,"/scratch/path/to/julia")
] add BenchmarkTools

and then at runtime (double-check the syntax online!)

```
module load julia
export JULIA_DEPOT_PATH=/home/\$USER/.julia:/scratch/path/to/julia
export JULIA_LOAD_PATH=@:@v#.#:@stdlib:/scratch/path/to/julia
```

			ThreadsX.jl	Summary
				• •
Sum	narv			
Oun	LUL Y			

- ThreadsX.jl is a super easy way to parallelize some of the Base library functions
 - includes multi-threaded reduction
 - very impressive performance
- ThreadsX.jl will likely be incorporated into the main language in the not-too-distant future
- To list the supported functions, use ThreadsX.<TAB>
- Some of the functions are well-documented: ?ThreadsX.<function>
- For others check their Base equivalents' documentation: ?<function>
- Feb-14,16,18 upcoming "Parallel Julia" national training workshop
 - three 3-hour sessions, many hands-on exercises
 - both multi-threading and multi-processing
 - working with shared and distributed arrays
 - link to register at https://bit.ly/wg2022a

