



BlockSec

Security Audit Report for Octopus Restaking

Date: Feb 02, 2024

Version: 1.0

Contact: contact@blocksec.com

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	About Target Contracts	1
1.2	Disclaimer	1
1.3	Procedure of Auditing	2
1.3.1	Software Security	2
1.3.2	DeFi Security	2
1.3.3	NFT Security	2
1.3.4	Additional Recommendation	3
1.4	Security Model	3
2	Findings	4
2.1	DeFi Security	6
2.1.1	Incorrect Caller Verification in Function ft_on_transfer()	6
2.1.2	Lack of Check in Function slash_request()	8
2.1.3	Lack of Check in Function handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg()	10
2.1.4	Incorrect Calculation of Validator Commission	12
2.1.5	Incorrect Validation in Function deploy()	15
2.1.6	Failure of Cross-Contract Call Result Handling	16
2.1.7	Incorrect Validation in Function delegate()	17
2.1.8	Incorrect Slash Amount	19
2.1.9	Incorrect Use of max()/min()	20
2.1.10	Funds Loss Due to Unsaved Treasury Account	22
2.1.11	Potential DoS Due to Inappropriate Implementation of Locking Logic	23
2.1.12	Unrefunded NEAR in function stake_after_check_whitelisted()	30
2.1.13	Incorrect Rounding Direction	32
2.1.14	Potential Panic in Callback Function bond_callback()	36
2.1.15	Ineffective Lock on Important Functions	37
2.1.16	Lack of Pause Functionality in Function bond()	40
2.1.17	Unrefunded Storage Fee of Failed Cross-contract Invocations	40
2.1.18	Incorrect Amount of NEAR Attached in Function change_key()	45
2.1.19	Incorrect Gas Setting in the Function bond()	46
2.1.20	Unintended Overpayment of Fees by Contract Account	47
2.1.21	Failure to Clear State Due to Delayed State Saving	48
2.1.22	Potential DoS in internal_slash_in_staker_shares()	49
2.1.23	Incorrect Penalty Amount in the Slash Process	51
2.1.24	Unlimited Delay in Asset Withdrawal Due to Continuous Invocation of decrease_stake()	54
2.1.25	Unrefunded STORAGE_FEE of Released Storage	57
2.1.26	No Storage Fee Charged in Function sync_consumer_chain_pos()	61
2.1.27	Unlimited Withdrawn with Reused UnstakeBatchId	62
2.1.28	Potential Panic in Callback Function stake_after_check_whitelisted()	63

2.1.29	Lack of Storage Fee Charge	64
2.1.30	Panic in Callback Function <code>stake_callback()</code>	68
2.1.31	Potential DoS in Function <code>destroy()</code>	70
2.1.32	Potential Panic in Function <code>transfer_near()</code>	74
2.2	Additional Recommendation	75
2.2.1	Redundant code	75
2.2.2	Lack of Validation for Register Fee	76
2.2.3	Lack of Check in Function <code>delegate</code>	77
2.2.4	Incorrect Error Message	78
2.2.5	Refunding Excessive Registration Fee to Incorrect Recipients	78
2.2.6	Lack of Check on Account's NEAR Balance	79
2.3	Notes	79
2.3.1	Potential Centralization Problem	79

Report Manifest

Item	Description
Client	Octopus-Network
Target	Octopus Restaking

Version History

Version	Date	Description
1.0	February 02, 2024	First Version

About BlockSec The **BlockSec Team** focuses on the security of the blockchain ecosystem, and collaborates with leading DeFi projects to secure their products. The team is founded by top-notch security researchers and experienced experts from both academia and industry. They have published multiple blockchain security papers in prestigious conferences, reported several zero-day attacks of DeFi applications, and released detailed analysis reports of high-impact security incidents. They can be reached at [Email](#), [Twitter](#) and [Medium](#).

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 About Target Contracts

Information	Description
Type	Smart Contract
Language	Rust
Approach	Semi-automatic and manual verification

The repository that has been audited includes the `lpos_market` ¹ and `restaking-base` ².

The auditing process is iterative. Specifically, we will audit the commits that fix the discovered issues. If there are new issues, we will continue this process. The commit SHA values during the audit are shown in the following. Our audit report is responsible for the only initial version (i.e., `Version 1`), as well as new codes (in the following versions) to fix issues in the audit report.

Repository		Commit SHA
lpos_market	<code>Version 1</code>	<code>00c949fe490ca362f94f29d352604549c87b0b79</code>
	<code>Version 2</code>	<code>76500e0207647c159700e69833ab8c87b0f65221</code>
restaking-base	<code>Version 1</code>	<code>bd12a2209d919150362f93e347dc5fe7f30878a5</code>
	<code>Version 2</code>	<code>55eaa5c77ae17db82c9240625c1a2fc00ded795e</code>

1.2 Disclaimer

This audit report does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation. It does not consider, and should not be interpreted as considering or having any bearing on, the potential economics of a token, token sale or any other product, service or other asset. Any entity should not rely on this report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell any token, product, service or other asset.

This audit report is not an endorsement of any particular project or team, and the report does not guarantee the security of any particular project. This audit does not give any warranties on discovering all security issues of the smart contracts, i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee the nonexistence of any further findings of security issues. As one audit cannot be considered comprehensive, we always recommend proceeding with independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

The scope of this audit is limited to the code mentioned in Section 1.1. Unless explicitly specified, the security of the language itself (e.g., the solidity language), the underlying compiling toolchain and the computing infrastructure are out of the scope.

¹https://github.com/octopus-network/lpos_market

²<https://github.com/octopus-network/restaking-base>

1.3 Procedure of Auditing

We perform the audit according to the following procedure.

- **Vulnerability Detection** We first scan smart contracts with automatic code analyzers, and then manually verify (reject or confirm) the issues reported by them.
- **Semantic Analysis** We study the business logic of smart contracts and conduct further investigation on the possible vulnerabilities using an automatic fuzzing tool (developed by our research team). We also manually analyze possible attack scenarios with independent auditors to cross-check the result.
- **Recommendation** We provide some useful advice to developers from the perspective of good programming practice, including gas optimization, code style, and etc.

We show the main concrete checkpoints in the following.

1.3.1 Software Security

- * Reentrancy
- * DoS
- * Access control
- * Data handling and data flow
- * Exception handling
- * Untrusted external call and control flow
- * Initialization consistency
- * Events operation
- * Error-prone randomness
- * Improper use of the proxy system

1.3.2 DeFi Security

- * Semantic consistency
- * Functionality consistency
- * Access control
- * Business logic
- * Token operation
- * Emergency mechanism
- * Oracle security
- * Whitelist and blacklist
- * Economic impact
- * Batch transfer

1.3.3 NFT Security

- * Duplicated item
- * Verification of the token receiver
- * Off-chain metadata security

1.3.4 Additional Recommendation

- * Gas optimization
- * Code quality and style



Note *The previous checkpoints are the main ones. We may use more checkpoints during the auditing process according to the functionality of the project.*

1.4 Security Model

To evaluate the risk, we follow the standards or suggestions that are widely adopted by both industry and academy, including OWASP Risk Rating Methodology ³ and Common Weakness Enumeration ⁴. The overall *severity* of the risk is determined by *likelihood* and *impact*. Specifically, likelihood is used to estimate how likely a particular vulnerability can be uncovered and exploited by an attacker, while impact is used to measure the consequences of a successful exploit.

In this report, both likelihood and impact are categorized into two ratings, i.e., *high* and *low* respectively, and their combinations are shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Vulnerability Severity Classification

Impact	<i>High</i>	High	Medium
	<i>Low</i>	Medium	Low
		<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
		Likelihood	

Accordingly, the severity measured in this report are classified into three categories: **High**, **Medium**, **Low**. For the sake of completeness, **Undetermined** is also used to cover circumstances when the risk cannot be well determined.

Furthermore, the status of a discovered item will fall into one of the following four categories:

- **Undetermined** No response yet.
- **Acknowledged** The item has been received by the client, but not confirmed yet.
- **Confirmed** The item has been recognized by the client, but not fixed yet.
- **Fixed** The item has been confirmed and fixed by the client.

³https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP_Risk_Rating_Methodology

⁴<https://cwe.mitre.org/>

Chapter 2 Findings

In total, we find **thirty-two** potential issues. Besides, we have **six** recommendations as follows:

- High Risk: 19
- Medium Risk: 9
- Low Risk: 4
- Recommendations: 6
- Notes: 1

ID	Severity	Description	Category	Status
1	High	Incorrect Caller Verification in Function <code>ft_on_transfer()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
2	High	Lack of Check in Function <code>slash_request()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
3	High	Lack of Check in Function <code>handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg()</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
4	High	Incorrect Calculation of Validator Commission	DeFi Security	Fixed
5	Low	Incorrect Validation in Function <code>deploy()</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
6	Medium	Failure of Cross-Contract Call Result Handling	DeFi Security	Confirmed
7	Medium	Incorrect Validation in Function <code>delegate()</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
8	High	Incorrect Slash Amount	DeFi Security	Fixed
9	High	Incorrect Use of <code>max()/min()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
10	High	Funds Loss Due to Unsaved Treasury Account	DeFi Security	Fixed
11	High	Potential DoS Due to Inappropriate Implementation of Locking Logic	DeFi Security	Confirmed
12	High	Unrefunded NEAR in function <code>stake_after_check_whitelisted()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
13	Medium	Incorrect Rounding Direction	DeFi Security	Fixed
14	Medium	Potential Panic in Callback Function <code>bond_callback()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
15	High	Ineffective Lock on Important Functions	DeFi Security	Fixed
16	Low	Lack of Pause Functionality in Function <code>bond()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
17	Medium	Unrefunded Storage Fee of Failed Cross-contract Invocations	DeFi Security	Confirmed
18	High	Incorrect Amount of NEAR Attached in Function <code>change_key()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
19	Medium	Incorrect Gas Setting in the Function <code>bond()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
20	Medium	Unintended Overpayment of Fees by Contract Account	DeFi Security	Fixed
21	High	Failure to Clear State Due to Delayed State Saving	DeFi Security	Fixed
22	High	Potential DoS in <code>inter- nal_slash_in_staker_shares()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed

23	High	Incorrect Penalty Amount in the Slash Process	DeFi Security	Fixed
24	Medium	Unlimited Delay in Asset Withdrawal Due to Continuous Invocation of <code>decrease_stake()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
25	Low	Unrefunded <code>STORAGE_FEE</code> of Released Storage	DeFi Security	Confirmed
26	Low	No Storage Fee Charged in Function <code>sync_consumer_chain_pos()</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
27	High	Unlimited Withdrawn with Reused <code>Unstake-BatchId</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
28	High	Potential Panic in Callback Function <code>stake_after_check_whitelisted()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
29	Medium	Lack of Storage Fee Charge	DeFi Security	Confirmed
30	High	Panic in Callback Function <code>stake_callback()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
31	High	Potential DoS in Function <code>destroy()</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
32	High	Potential Panic in Function <code>transfer_near()</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
33		Redundant code	Recommendation	Fixed
34	-	Lack of Validation for Register Fee	Recommendation	Confirmed
35	-	Lack of Check in Function <code>delegate</code>	Recommendation	Fixed
36	-	Incorrect Error Message	Recommendation	Fixed
37	-	Refunding Excessive Registration Fee to Incorrect Recipients	Recommendation	Fixed
38	-	Lack of Check on Account's NEAR Balance	Recommendation	Fixed
39	-	TPotential Centralization Problem	Note	Confirmed

The details are provided in the following sections.

2.1 DeFi Security

2.1.1 Incorrect Caller Verification in Function `ft_on_transfer()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Function `ft_on_transfer()` of contract `LposMarket` is used to handle received reward tokens. However, the `sender_id` is not well checked. Note that the check `sender_id` is equal to `anchor_id` can be bypassed. This is because the `anchor_id` is also retrieved from the variable `msg` and a malicious user can feed any `msg`. In this case, anyone can distribute any tokens to the protocol.

```
8  fn ft_on_transfer(  
9      &mut self,  
10     sender_id: AccountId,  
11     amount: U128,  
12     msg: String,  
13 ) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {  
14     let deposit_reward_msg =  
15         near_sdk::serde_json::from_str::<FtTransferMessage>(&msg).expect("Invalid msg");  
16  
17  
18     match deposit_reward_msg {  
19         FtTransferMessage::AnchorDepositRewardMsg(msg) => {  
20             self.handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg(sender_id, amount.0, msg)  
21         }  
22     }  
23 }
```

Listing 2.1: `near_ft_impl.rs`

```
27  fn handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg(  
28     &mut self,  
29     sender_id: AccountId,  
30     amount: u128,  
31     msg: AnchorDepositRewardMsg,  
32 ) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {  
33     // check is anchor  
34     let consumer_chain_id = msg.consumer_chain_id;  
35     let anchor_id = self  
36         .consumer_chains  
37         .get(&consumer_chain_id)  
38         .unwrap()  
39         .anchor_id;  
40     assert_eq!(sender_id, anchor_id);  
41  
42  
43     self.anchor_deposit_rewards.push(&AnchorDepositRewardInfo {
```

```
44     consumer_chain_id: consumer_chain_id,
45     anchor_id: sender_id,
46     reward_token_id: env::predecessor_account_id(),
47     reward_amount: amount,
48     validator_set: msg.validator_set,
49     sequence: msg.sequence,
50   });
51   PromiseOrValue::Value(0.into())
52 }
```

Listing 2.2: near_ft_impl.rs

```
69 fn distribute_latest_reward(&mut self) {
70     self.assert_contract_is_running();
71     let reward_info = self.anchor_deposit_rewards.pop().expect("No reward found.");
72     log!("Reward information: {:?}", reward_info);
73
74
75     let total_stake_amount: u128 = reward_info.validator_set.iter().map(|(_, b)| b.0).sum();
76
77
78     assert!(total_stake_amount > 0);
79
80
81     let octopus_commission_amount = (U256::from(reward_info.reward_amount)
82         * U256::from(self.settings.octopus_commission_rate)
83         / U256::from(100))
84         .as_u128();
85     let remain_reward_amount = reward_info
86         .reward_amount
87         .checked_sub(octopus_commission_amount)
88         .unwrap();
89
90
91     self.internal_deposit_octopus_commission(
92         &reward_info.reward_token_id,
93         octopus_commission_amount,
94     );
95
96
97     for (escrow_id, stake_amount) in reward_info.validator_set {
98         let validator_id = self.escrow_validators.get(&escrow_id).unwrap();
99         let validator_receive_reward_amount = (U256::from(stake_amount.0)
100             * U256::from(remain_reward_amount)
101             / U256::from(total_stake_amount))
102             .as_u128();
103
104
105         self.internal_use_validator_or_panic(&validator_id, |validator| {
106             validator.restaking_rewards.push(&RewardInfo {
107                 reward_token_id: reward_info.reward_token_id.clone(),
108                 reward_amount: validator_receive_reward_amount,
109                 sequence: reward_info.sequence.clone(),
```

```
110         claimed_delegators: UnorderedSet::new(
111             StorageKey::RewardClaimedDelegatorInvalidator {
112                 validator_id: validator.validator_id.clone(),
113                 timestamp: env::block_timestamp(),
114             },
115         ),
116     })
117 });
118 }
119 }
```

Listing 2.3: restaking_impl.rs

Impact Malicious users can add a large number of fake `AnchorDepositRewardInfo` entries to `anchor_deposit_rewards`, affecting reward distribution.

Suggestion Implement a whitelist for tokens that serve as rewards.

2.1.2 Lack of Check in Function `slash_request()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `slash_request()` of contract `RestakingBaseContract`, there is no check to ensure that there is a bond relationship between the slash object in the parameter `slash_items` and the `consumer_chain`.

Malicious users are able to become the Gov Account of a `consumer_chain` by utilizing the function `register_consumer_chain()`. Subsequently, by invoking the functions `slash_request()` and `slash()`, they can carry out a slash operation on any staker to steal assets.

```
59  #[payable]
60  fn register_consumer_chain(&mut self, register_param: ConsumerChainRegisterParam) {
61      self.assert_contract_is_running();
62      // check register_fee eq env::attached_deposit
63      assert_eq!(
64          env::attached_deposit(),
65          self.cc_register_fee,
66          "Attached near should be {}",
67          self.cc_register_fee
68      );
69      // check chain id not used
70      assert!(
71          self.consumer_chains
72              .get(&register_param.consumer_chain_id)
73              .is_none(),
74          "This ConsumerChainId {} has been registered.",
75          register_param.consumer_chain_id
76      );
77
78
79      validate_chain_id(&register_param.consumer_chain_id);
```

```
80
81
82     let consumer_chain = ConsumerChain::new_from_register_param(
83         register_param.clone(),
84         env::predecessor_account_id(),
85         self.cc_register_fee,
86     );
87
88
89     // needn't check storage, the register fee should able to cover storage.
90     self.consumer_chains
91         .insert(&consumer_chain.consumer_chain_id, &consumer_chain);
92
93
94     Event::RegisterConsumerChain {
95         consumer_chain_info: &consumer_chain.into(),
96         consumer_chain_register_param: &register_param,
97     }
98     .emit();
99 }
```

Listing 2.4: restaking_impl.rs

```
15     #[payable]
16     fn slash_request(
17         &mut self,
18         consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId,
19         slash_items: Vec<(AccountId, U128)>,
20         evidence_sha256_hash: String,
21     ) -> SlashId {
22         self.assert_contract_is_running();
23         assert_eq!(
24             env::attached_deposit(),
25             self.slash_guarantee,
26             "The attached near({}) not equal slash guarantee.({})",
27             env::attached_deposit(),
28             self.slash_guarantee
29         );
30         let consumer_chain = self.internal_get_consumer_chain_or_panic(&consumer_chain_id);
31         consumer_chain.assert_cc_pos_account();
32
33
34         let slash_id = U64(self.next_uuid());
35
36
37         Event::RequestSlash {
38             consumer_chain_id: &consumer_chain_id,
39             slash_items: &near_sdk::serde_json::to_string(&slash_items).unwrap(),
40             evidence_sha256_hash: &evidence_sha256_hash,
41         }
42         .emit();
43
44 }
```

```
45     // needn't check storage, the slash guarantee should able to cover storage.
46     self.slashes.insert(
47         &slash_id,
48         &Slash {
49             consumer_chain_id,
50             slash_items,
51             evidence_sha256_hash,
52             slash_guarantee: self.slash_guarantee.into(),
53         },
54     );
55
56
57     slash_id
58 }
```

Listing 2.5: `restaking_impl.rs`

Impact The assets in the protocol can be drained.

Suggestion Add the check to ensure that the `slash` object in `slash_items` has a bond relationship with the `consumer_chain`.

2.1.3 Lack of Check in Function `handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg()`

Severity High

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Function `handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg()` of contract `LposMarket` is designed to record the rewards information. Specifically, a struct of type `AnchorDepositRewardInfo` will be constructed based on the user-provided parameter `msg`, and this struct will be pushed into `anchor_deposit_rewards` for further distribution.

The distribution of rewards is implemented within the function `distribute_latest_reward()`. Before the rewards recorded in `anchor_deposit_rewards` are used, they are checked for validity. Since the rewards recorded in `anchor_deposit_rewards` are read one by one using the function `pop()`, if the validation fails for any of the rewards, causing a revert, the subsequent rewards cannot be distributed.

As the reward distribution is entirely based on the custom `msg` provided by the user. Malicious users can intentionally construct an invalid `AnchorDepositRewardInfo` to block the whole reward distribution process.

```
8     fn ft_on_transfer(
9         &mut self,
10        sender_id: AccountId,
11        amount: U128,
12        msg: String,
13    ) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {        let deposit_reward_msg =
14        near_sdk::serde_json::from_str::<FtTransferMessage>(&msg).expect("Invalid msg");
15
16
17        match deposit_reward_msg {
18            FtTransferMessage::AnchorDepositRewardMsg(msg) => {
```

```
19         self.handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg(sender_id, amount.0, msg)
20     }
21 }
22 }
```

Listing 2.6: near_ft_impl.rs

```
27 fn handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg(
28     &mut self,
29     sender_id: AccountId,
30     amount: u128,
31     msg: AnchorDepositRewardMsg,
32 ) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {
33     // check is anchor
34     let consumer_chain_id = msg.consumer_chain_id;
35     let anchor_id = self
36         .consumer_chains
37         .get(&consumer_chain_id)
38         .unwrap()
39         .anchor_id;
40     assert_eq!(sender_id, anchor_id);
41
42
43     self.anchor_deposit_rewards.push(&AnchorDepositRewardInfo {
44         consumer_chain_id: consumer_chain_id,
45         anchor_id: sender_id,
46         reward_token_id: env::predecessor_account_id(),
47         reward_amount: amount,
48         validator_set: msg.validator_set,
49         sequence: msg.sequence,
50     });
51     PromiseOrValue::Value(0.into())
52 }
```

Listing 2.7: near_ft_impl.rs

```
69 fn distribute_latest_reward(&mut self) {
70     self.assert_contract_is_running();
71     let reward_info = self.anchor_deposit_rewards.pop().expect("No reward found.");
72     log!("Reward information: {:?}", reward_info);
73
74
75     let total_stake_amount: u128 = reward_info.validator_set.iter().map(|(_, b)| b.0).sum();
76
77
78     assert!(total_stake_amount > 0);
79
80
81     let octopus_commission_amount = (U256::from(reward_info.reward_amount)
82         * U256::from(self.settings.octopus_commission_rate)
83         / U256::from(100))
84         .as_u128();
85     let remain_reward_amount = reward_info
```

```
86     .reward_amount
87     .checked_sub(octopus_commission_amount)
88     .unwrap();
89
90
91     self.internal_deposit_octopus_commission(
92         &reward_info.reward_token_id,
93         octopus_commission_amount,
94     );
95
96
97     for (escrow_id, stake_amount) in reward_info.validator_set {
98         let validator_id = self.escrow_validators.get(&escrow_id).unwrap();
99         let validator_receive_reward_amount = (U256::from(stake_amount.0)
100             * U256::from(remain_reward_amount)
101             / U256::from(total_stake_amount))
102             .as_u128();
103
104
105         self.internal_use_validator_or_panic(&validator_id, |validator| {
106             validator.restaking_rewards.push(&RewardInfo {
107                 reward_token_id: reward_info.reward_token_id.clone(),
108                 reward_amount: validator_receive_reward_amount,
109                 sequence: reward_info.sequence.clone(),
110                 claimed_delegators: UnorderedSet::new(
111                     StorageKey::RewardClaimedDelegatorInValidator {
112                         validator_id: validator.validator_id.clone(),
113                         timestamp: env::block_timestamp(),
114                     },
115                 ),
116             })
117         });
118     }
119 }
```

Listing 2.8: restaking_impl.rs

Impact The reward distribution process is vulnerable to potential DoS attacks.

Suggestion Add checks in the function `ft_on_transfer()` to ensure the parameter `msg` is valid.

Feedback from the Project The project team will manually address any cases of DoS that occur within the reward distribution process.

2.1.4 Incorrect Calculation of Validator Commission

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `distribute_latest_reward_in_validator()` of contract `LposMarket`, `validator.restaking_rewards` might pop/push `reward_info` multiple times for recording delegator rewards.

However, after each pop of `reward_info`, the `validator` commission is calculated and collected. This process results in a scenario where, if a `reward_info` is popped multiple times, the `validator_commission_amount` gets recalculated, leading to the `validator` collecting more commission fee than intended.

```
117 fn distribute_latest_reward_in_validator(&mut self, validator_id: AccountId) {
118     self.assert_contract_is_running();
119     let mut validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
120     let mut reward_info = validator.restaking_rewards.pop().expect("No reward found.");
121     log!("Reward information: {:?}", reward_info);
122
123
124     let validator_state = validator
125         .get_latest_validator_state_le_sequence(reward_info.sequence.0)
126         .unwrap();
127
128
129     let validator_commission_amount = (U256::from(reward_info.reward_amount)
130         * U256::from(self.settings.validator_commission_rate)
131         / U256::from(100))
132         .as_u128();
133
134
135     validator.deposit_reward( \
136         &reward_info.reward_token_id,
137         validator_commission_amount,
138         RewardSource::ValidatorShared,
139     );
140
141
142     let remain_reward_amount = reward_info
143         .reward_amount
144         .checked_sub(validator_commission_amount)
145         .unwrap();
146
147
148     let delegator_set_option =
149         validator.get_latest_delegator_set_le_sequence(reward_info.sequence.0);
150
151
152     if let Some(delegator_set) = delegator_set_option {
153         let mut distribute_reward_to_delegator_times = 0;
154         for delegator_id in &delegator_set.delegators {
155             if reward_info.claimed_delegators.contains(delegator_id) {
156                 continue;
157             }
158
159
160             reward_info.claimed_delegators.insert(&delegator_id);
161
162
163             let delegator_shares = validator
164                 .get_latest_delegator_shares_le_sequence(delegator_id, reward_info.sequence.0)
165                 .unwrap();
```

```
166         let receive_reward_amount = (U256::from(delegator_shares)
167             * U256::from(remain_reward_amount)
168             / U256::from(validator_state.total_share_balance))
169         .as_u128();
170         self.internal_use_delegator_or_panic(delegator_id, |delegator| {
171             delegator.deposit_reward(
172                 &reward_info.reward_token_id,
173                 receive_reward_amount,
174                 &validator_id,
175             );
176         });
177
178
179         distribute_reward_to_delegator_times += 1;
180         if distribute_reward_to_delegator_times ==
181             MAX_TIMES_OF_DISTRIBUTE_DELEGATORS_REWARD
182         {
183             break;
184         }
185
186
187         if (reward_info.claimed_delegators.len() as usize) < delegator_set.delegators.len() {
188             validator.restaking_rewards.push(&reward_info);
189             self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
190             log!(
191                 "Distribute {} times to delegators",
192                 distribute_reward_to_delegator_times
193             );
194             return;
195         }
196     }
197
198
199     let validator_receive_reward_amount = (U256::from(validator_state.validator_share_balance)
200         * U256::from(remain_reward_amount)
201         / U256::from(validator_state.total_share_balance))
202     .as_u128();
203     validator.deposit_reward(
204         &reward_info.reward_token_id,
205         validator_receive_reward_amount,
206         RewardSource::Restaking,
207     );
208
209
210     reward_info.claimed_delegators.clear();
211     self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
212 }
```

Listing 2.9: restaking_impl.sol

Impact Validators charge more commissions than expected.

Suggestion Revise the calculation logic for Validator Commission.

2.1.5 Incorrect Validation in Function deploy()

Severity Low

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description Validators can deploy `escrow` contracts for themselves by invoking the function `deploy()` of the contract `LposMarket`, and a validator can only deploy one `escrow` contract. This is ensured by checking whether the `validator` already exists in the `self.validator` (lines 22 -24).

However, the update of `self.validators` occurs in the callback function of function `deploy()`. Users can bypass this check by invoking the function `deploy()` multiple times within a single block, allowing them to deploy multiple `escrow` contracts.

```
15  #[payable]
16  fn deploy(&mut self) {
17      self.assert_contract_is_running();
18      assert_eq!(env::attached_deposit(), self.settings.validator_deploy_fee);
19
20
21      let account_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
22      if self.validators.get(&account_id).is_some() {
23          return;
24      }
25
26
27      let escrow_id = AccountId::new_unchecked(
28          format!("{}", self.next_uuid(), env::current_account_id()).to_string(),
29      );
30      Promise::new(escrow_id.clone())
31          .create_account()
32          .transfer(self.deploy_escrow_amount())
33          .deploy_contract(
34              env::storage_read(&borsh::to_vec(&StorageKey::EscrowContractWasm).unwrap())
35                  .unwrap(),
36          )
37          .function_call(
38              "init".to_string(),
39              json!({
40                  "validator": env::predecessor_account_id(),
41                  "lpos_market_contract": env::current_account_id(),
42                  "restaking_base_contract": self.settings.restaking_base_contract.clone()
43              })
44              .to_string()
45              .into_bytes(),
46              0,
47              Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INIT_ESCROW_CONTRACT),
48          )
49          .then(
50              Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
51                  .deploy_callback(env::predecessor_account_id(), escrow_id.clone()),
52          )
53          .then(
```

```

54         ext_restaking_base::ext(self.settings.restaking_base_contract.clone())
55         .with_attached_deposit(RESTAKING_BASE_REGISTER_FEE)
56         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_STORAGE_DEPOSIT))
57         .storage_deposit(Some(escrow_id), None),
58     );
59 }

```

Listing 2.10: staking_impl.rs

Impact A validator can deploy multiple `escrow` contracts.

Suggestion Move the check into the callback function.

Feedback from the Project The team thinks this issue will not affect the actual process.

2.1.6 Failure of Cross-Contract Call Result Handling

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `deploy()` of the contract `LposMarket`, the `escrow` contract is first deployed via a cross-contract call using the function `deploy_contract()`, followed by another cross-contract invocation of the function `storage_deposit()` of the contract `restaking_base` with storage fee attached.

However, there is a lack of verification for the promise result returned by the function `deploy_contract()` before the function `storage_deposit()` is invoked. Therefore, if the deployment of the `escrow` contract fails, the storage fee would still be deposited into the contract `restaking_base`.

```

15  #[payable]
16  fn deploy(&mut self) {
17      self.assert_contract_is_running();
18      assert_eq!(env::attached_deposit(), self.settings.validator_deploy_fee);
19
20
21      let account_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
22      if self.validators.get(&account_id).is_some() {
23          return;
24      }
25
26
27      let escrow_id = AccountId::new_unchecked(
28          format!("{}", self.next_uuid(), env::current_account_id()).to_string(),
29      );
30      Promise::new(escrow_id.clone())
31          .create_account()
32          .transfer(self.deploy_escrow_amount())
33          .deploy_contract(
34              env::storage_read(&borsh::to_vec(&StorageKey::EscrowContractWasm).unwrap())
35                  .unwrap(),
36          )
37          .function_call(
38              "init".to_string(),
39              json!({

```

```
40         "validator": env::predecessor_account_id(),
41         "lpos_market_contract": env::current_account_id(),
42         "restaking_base_contract": self.settings.restaking_base_contract.clone()
43     })
44     .to_string()
45     .into_bytes(),
46     0,
47     Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INIT_ESCROW_CONTRACT),
48 )
49 .then(
50     Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
51         .deploy_callback(env::predecessor_account_id(), escrow_id.clone()),
52 )
53 .then(
54     ext_restaking_base::ext(self.settings.restaking_base_contract.clone())
55         .with_attached_deposit(RESTAKING_BASE_REGISTER_FEE)
56         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_STORAGE_DEPOSIT))
57         .storage_deposit(Some(escrow_id), None),
58 );
59 }
```

Listing 2.11: staking_impl.rs

Impact The validator will lose the storage fee if the deployment of the `escrow` contract fails.

Suggestion Implement corresponding logic to handle the failed promise result.

2.1.7 Incorrect Validation in Function `delegate()`

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `delegate()` of the contract `LposMarket`, a check is performed on the number of `delegators` for a `validator`, ensuring that it does not exceed the specified `maximum_delegators_limit` (lines 270 - 275). However, the check is implemented incorrectly.

First, it allows new `delegators` to delegate to a `validator` even when the number of `delegators` is equal to the `maximum_delegators_limit`. Second, since the length of the `delegators` associated with a `validator` is updated in the callback function `delegate_callback()`, if different `delegators` invoke this function within the same block, the aforementioned check can still be bypassed, even if the `maximum limit` for `delegators` has been reached.

```
253 #[payable]
254 fn delegate(&mut self, validator_id: AccountId, delegate_amount: U128) {
255     self.assert_contract_is_running();
256     self.assert_max_gas();
257
258
259     assert!(delegate_amount.0 > 0);
260     assert_eq!(STORAGE_FEE + delegate_amount.0, env::attached_deposit());
261
262 }
```

```
263     let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
264     let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_insert_new(&delegator_id);
265
266
267     assert!(
268         delegator.share_balance == 0 && delegator.select_validator_id.is_none(),
269         "Failed to delegate, already stake in {:?}.",
270         delegator.select_validator_id
271     );
272
273
274     assert!(
275         delegate_amount.0 >= self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount,
276         "Failed to stake, attach near({}) less than minimum_delegator_stake_amount.({})",
277         env::attached_deposit(),
278         self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount
279     );
280     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
281
282
283     assert!((validator.delegators.len() as u32) <= self.settings.maximum_delegators_limit);
284     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
285
286
287     self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
288         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
289             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
290             .delegate_after_ping(delegator_id, validator_id, delegate_amount),
291     );
292 }
```

Listing 2.12: staking_impl.rs

```
419     #[private]
420     fn delegate_callback(
421         &mut self,
422         delegator_id: AccountId,
423         validator_id: AccountId,
424         delegation_amount: U128,
425     ) {
426         match env::promise_result(0) {
427             PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
428             PromiseResult::Successful(value) => {
429                 if let Some(staking_change_result) =
430                     from_slice::<Option<StakingChangeResult>>(&value).unwrap()
431                 {
432                     let mut delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
433
434
435                     self.internal_use_validator_or_panic(&validator_id, |validator| {
436                         let increase_shares = validator.delegate(
437                             &mut delegator,
438                             delegation_amount.0,
```

```

439         staking_change_result.sequence.0,
440         staking_change_result.new_total_staked_balance.0,
441     );
442     Event::Delegate {
443         validator_info: &validator.into(),
444         delegator_info: &(&delegator).into(),
445         delegate_amount: &delegation_amount,
446         increase_shares: &increase_shares.into(),
447     }
448     .emit();
449 });
450
451
452     self.internal_save_delegator(&delegator);
453 } else {
454     // the near have refund in escrow contract
455     emit_callback_failed_event();
456 }
457 }
458 PromiseResult::Failed => {
459     // the near have refund in escrow contract
460     emit_callback_failed_event();
461 }
462 }
463 }

```

Listing 2.13: staking_impl.rs

Impact The length of `validator.delegators` can exceed the `maximum_delegators_limit`.

Suggestion The check should be implemented in the callback function `delegate_callback()`, and it should only be performed when the delegation is successful.

Feedback from the Project The team plans to remove `maximum_delegators_limit` in the future.

2.1.8 Incorrect Slash Amount

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `RestakingBaseContract`, the function `internal_slash()` is used to penalize malicious `validators`. Based on the input `slash_amount`, it first deducts from the validator's `pending_withdrawals`, then from the `validator`'s shares, until the deducted amount equals to `slash_amount`. However, the variable `slashed_amount_from_pending_withdrawals`, which records the amount that has been slashed from `pending_withdrawals`, is not counted in the process of slashing user shares. Therefore, the assets that are actually slashed are incorrect.

```

365 pub(crate) fn internal_slash(
366     &mut self,
367     slash_staker_id: &StakerId,
368     slash_amount: Balance,

```

```
369     treasury: &AccountId,
370 ) -> Balance {
371     let staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(slash_staker_id);
372
373
374     // 1. staker pending withdrawals
375     let slashed_amount_from_pending_withdrawals =
376         self.internal_slash_in_pending_withdrawals(slash_staker_id, slash_amount, treasury);
377
378
379     if slashed_amount_from_pending_withdrawals == slash_amount {
380         return slash_amount;
381     }
382
383
384     let slashed_amount_from_staker_shares = if staker.shares != 0 {
385         self.internal_slash_in_staker_shares(slash_staker_id, slash_amount, treasury)
386     } else {
387         0
388     };
389     return slashed_amount_from_pending_withdrawals + slashed_amount_from_staker_shares;
390 }
```

Listing 2.14: restaking_impl.rs

Impact The amount by which users are slashed is higher than expected.

Suggestion When slashing from user shares, the amount slashed from the `pending_withdrawals` should be included in the calculation.

2.1.9 Incorrect Use of `max()/min()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In `restaking_impl.rs`, the function `internal_slash_in_pending_withdrawals()` is used to slash a validator's `pending_withdrawals.acc_slash_amount` represents the `accumulated_slash_amount`, and the difference between `slash_amount` and `acc_slash_amount` represents the remaining amount that needs to be slashed.

Therefore, when slashing each `pending_withdrawal`, the amount to be slashed should be the minimum value between the quantity of assets within that `pending_withdrawal` and the remaining amount that needs to be slashed. This ensures that the slashing process will not be reverted, even if the quantity of assets within the `pending_withdrawal` is insufficient or only a portion of the `pending_withdrawal`'s assets needs to be slashed. However, the current implementation uses function `max()` to take the larger number between them when slashing.

```
389 pub(crate) fn internal_slash_in_pending_withdrawals(
390     &mut self,
391     slash_staker_id: &StakerId,
392     slash_amount: Balance,
```



```
393     treasury: &AccountId,
394 ) -> Balance {
395     let staker_account = self.internal_get_account_or_panic(slash_staker_id);
396     let mut treasury_account = self.internal_get_account_or_new(&treasury);
397     let mut pending_withdrawals = staker_account
398         .pending_withdrawals
399         .values()
400         .sorted_by(|a, b| a.unlock_time.cmp(&b.unlock_time))
401         .collect_vec();
402
403
404     let mut acc_slash_amount = 0;
405     for pending_withdrawal in &mut pending_withdrawals {
406         if acc_slash_amount == slash_amount {
407             break;
408         }
409         let new_pending_withdrawal = pending_withdrawal.slash(
410             self.next_uuid().into(),
411             max(pending_withdrawal.amount, slash_amount - acc_slash_amount),
412             treasury.clone(),
413         );
414
415
416         treasury_account.pending_withdrawals.insert(
417             &new_pending_withdrawal.withdrawal_certificate,
418             &new_pending_withdrawal,
419         );
420         acc_slash_amount += new_pending_withdrawal.amount;
421     }
422     acc_slash_amount
423 }
```

Listing 2.15: restaking_impl.rs

```
46 pub fn slash(
47     &mut self,
48     withdrawal_certificate: WithdrawalCertificate,
49     amount: Balance,
50     beneficiary: AccountId,
51 ) -> Self {
52     self.amount = self.amount
53         .checked_sub(amount)
54         .expect(format!("Failed to slash, the slash amount({}) is greater than PendingWithdrawal
55             amount({})", amount, self.amount)
56             .as_str());
57
58     Self {
59         withdrawal_certificate,
60         pool_id: self.pool_id.clone(),
61         amount: amount,
62         unlock_epoch: self.unlock_epoch,
63         unlock_time: env::block_timestamp(),
```

```
64     beneficiary,  
65     allow_other_withdraw: true,  
66 }  
67 }
```

Listing 2.16: pending_withdrawal.rs

Impact Using the function `max()` could potentially trigger a panic, preventing the slashing process from proceeding.

Suggestion Replace the function `max()` with `min()`.

2.1.10 Funds Loss Due to Unsaved Treasury Account

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `RestakingBaseContract`, the function `internal_slash_in_pending_withdrawals()` aims to slash malicious validators from their `pending_withdrawals`. The slashed assets will be recorded into the `pending_withdrawals` of `treasury_account` specified by the `consumer_chain`'s `Gov` for further withdrawal. However, the updated account actually is not saved, leading to the loss of the slashed assets.

```
389 pub(crate) fn internal_slash_in_pending_withdrawals(  
390     &mut self,  
391     slash_staker_id: &StakerId,  
392     slash_amount: Balance,  
393     treasury: &AccountId,  
394 ) -> Balance {  
395     let staker_account = self.internal_get_account_or_panic(slash_staker_id);  
396     let mut treasury_account = self.internal_get_account_or_new(&treasury);  
397     let mut pending_withdrawals = staker_account  
398         .pending_withdrawals  
399         .values()  
400         .sorted_by(|a, b| a.unlock_time.cmp(&b.unlock_time))  
401         .collect_vec();  
402  
403  
404     let mut acc_slash_amount = 0;  
405     for pending_withdrawal in &mut pending_withdrawals {  
406         if acc_slash_amount == slash_amount {  
407             break;  
408         }  
409         let new_pending_withdrawal = pending_withdrawal.slash(  
410             self.next_uuid().into(),  
411             max(pending_withdrawal.amount, slash_amount - acc_slash_amount),  
412             treasury.clone(),  
413         );  
414  
415  
416         treasury_account.pending_withdrawals.insert(  
417             &new_pending_withdrawal.withdrawal_certificate,
```

```
418         &new_pending_withdrawal,
419     );
420     acc_slash_amount += new_pending_withdrawal.amount;
421 }
422     acc_slash_amount
423 }
```

Listing 2.17: restaking_impl.rs

Impact Slashed assets are lost.

Suggestion Invoke the function `internal_save_account()` to save the updated `treasury_account` after each slashing.

2.1.11 Potential DoS Due to Inappropriate Implementation of Locking Logic

Severity High

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Any user can first invoke the function `storage_deposit()` in `storage_management_impl.rs` to register an `account` for further operations in protocol. Some operations, such as staking, have implemented locking logic to prevent data inconsistency by asynchronous invocations. Specifically, in the process of staking, one of the callback functions `stake_after_ping()` will lock the `staking_pool` before performing the stake operation within that `staking_pool`. When the `staking_pool` is locked, it means that other users cannot perform any other operations on the `staking_pool` until it's unlocked.

In this case, since any user can invoke the function `stake()` after invoking function `storage_deposit()`, and there is no limit on the `stake_amount`, malicious users can repeatedly stake very small amounts of `NEAR`, forcing the `staking_pool`'s state continuously locked, which results in a DoS attack.

The above issue also occurs in the functions `decrease_stake()`, `increase_stake()`, `increase_delegation()`, and `decrease_delegation()`.

```
11 fn storage_deposit(
12     &mut self,
13     account_id: Option<AccountId>,
14     #[allow(unused)] registration_only: Option<bool>,
15 ) -> StorageBalance {
16     let account_id = account_id.unwrap_or(env::predecessor_account_id());
17     let exist = self.accounts.contains_key(&account_id);
18     if exist {
19         self.transfer_near(account_id.clone(), env::attached_deposit())
20     } else {
21         assert!(env::attached_deposit() >= REGISTER_STORAGE_FEE);
22         self.internal_save_account(&account_id, &Account::new(account_id.clone()));
23         if env::attached_deposit() > REGISTER_STORAGE_FEE {
24             self.transfer_near(
25                 account_id.clone(),
26                 env::attached_deposit() - REGISTER_STORAGE_FEE,
27             )
28         }
29     }
```

```
30
31
32     self.storage_balance_of(account_id).unwrap()
33 }
```

Listing 2.18: storage_management_impl.rs

```
6  fn stake(&mut self, pool_id: PoolId) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
7      self.assert_contract_is_running();
8      assert_attached_near();
9
10
11     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
12
13
14     assert!(
15         self.accounts.get(&staker_id).is_some(),
16         "Should register by storage_deposit first."
17     );
18
19
20     let staker = self
21         .stakers
22         .get(&staker_id)
23         .unwrap_or(Staker::new(staker_id.clone()));
24
25
26     assert_eq!(staker.shares, 0, "Can't stake, shares is not zero");
27     assert!(
28         staker.select_staking_pool.is_none()
29         || staker.select_staking_pool.clone().unwrap().ne(&pool_id),
30         "Staker({}) have selected pool({})",
31         staker_id,
32         pool_id
33     );
34
35
36     self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
37
38
39     return ext_whitelist::ext(self.staking_pool_whitelist_account.clone())
40         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_IS_WHITELISTED))
41         .is_whitelisted(pool_id.clone())
42         .then(
43             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
44                 .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
45                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_SELECT_POOL_AFTER_CHECK_WHITELIST))
46                 .stake_after_check_whitelisted(staker_id.clone(), pool_id.clone()),
47         )
48         .into();
49 }
```

Listing 2.19: staking_impl.rs

```
538 fn stake_after_ping(
539     &mut self,
540     staker_id: AccountId,
541 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
542     match env::promise_result(0) {
543         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
544         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
545             let pool_id =
546                 self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
547                     staking_pool.lock();
548                     staking_pool.pool_id.clone()
549                 });
550
551
552             ext_staking_pool::ext(pool_id)
553                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DEPOSIT_AND_STAKE))
554                 .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
555                 .deposit_and_stake()
556                 .function_call(
557                     "get_account_staked_balance".to_string(),
558                     json!({ "account_id": env::current_account_id() })
559                         .to_string()
560                         .into_bytes(),
561                     0,
562                     Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_GET_ACCOUNT_STAKED_BALANCE),
563                 )
564                 .then(
565                     Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
566                         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
567                         .stake_callback(staker_id, env::attached_deposit().into()),
568                 )
569                 .into()
570         }
571         PromiseResult::Failed => {
572             log!("Failed to increase stake by ping error.");
573             self.transfer_near(staker_id, env::attached_deposit());
574             emit_callback_failed_event();
575             return PromiseOrValue::Value(None);
576         }
577     }
578 }
```

Listing 2.20: staking_impl.rs

```
538 fn stake_after_ping(
539     &mut self,
540     staker_id: AccountId,
541 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
542     match env::promise_result(0) {
543         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
544         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
545             let pool_id =
546                 self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
```

```
547         staking_pool.lock();
548         staking_pool.pool_id.clone()
549     });
550
551
552     ext_staking_pool::ext(pool_id)
553         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DEPOSIT_AND_STAKE))
554         .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
555         .deposit_and_stake()
556         .function_call(
557             "get_account_staked_balance".to_string(),
558             json!({ "account_id": env::current_account_id() })
559                 .to_string()
560                 .into_bytes(),
561             0,
562             Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_GET_ACCOUNT_STAKED_BALANCE),
563         )
564         .then(
565             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
566                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
567                 .stake_callback(staker_id, env::attached_deposit().into()),
568         )
569         .into()
570     }
571     PromiseResult::Failed => {
572         log!("Failed to increase stake by ping error.");
573         self.transfer_near(staker_id, env::attached_deposit());
574         emit_callback_failed_event();
575         return PromiseOrValue::Value(None);
576     }
577 }
578 }
```

Listing 2.21: staking_impl.rs

```
96 fn decrease_stake(
97     &mut self,
98     decrease_amount: U128,
99     beneficiary: Option<AccountId>,
100 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
101     self.assert_contract_is_running();
102     self.assert_attached_storage_fee();
103     assert!(decrease_amount.0 > 0, "The decrease amount should gt 0");
104
105
106     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
107
108
109     self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
110         staking_pool.lock()
111     });
112
113 }
```

```
114     return self
115         .ping(Option::)
116         .then(
117             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
118                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
119                 .decrease_stake_after_ping(
120                     staker_id,
121                     decrease_amount,
122                     beneficiary.unwrap_or(env::predecessor_account_id()),
123                 ),
124         )
125         .into();
126 }
```

Listing 2.22: staking_impl.rs

```
75 fn increase_stake(&mut self) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
76     self.assert_contract_is_running();
77     assert_attached_near();
78
79
80     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
81     self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
82         staking_pool.lock()
83     });
84
85
86     return self
87         .ping(Option::)
88         .then(
89             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
90                 .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
91                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
92                 .increase_stake_after_ping(staker_id),
93         )
94         .into();
95 }
```

Listing 2.23: staking_impl.rs

```
289 fn increase_delegation(&mut self, increase_amount: U128) {
290     self.assert_contract_is_running();
291     self.assert_max_gas();
292     assert!(increase_amount.0 > 0);
293     assert_eq!(STORAGE_FEE + increase_amount.0, env::attached_deposit());
294
295
296     let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
297     let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
298     let validator =
299         self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&delegator.select_validator_id.unwrap());
300
301 }
```

```
302     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
303
304
305     self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
306         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
307             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
308             .increase_delegation_after_ping(delegator_id, increase_amount),
309     );
310 }
```

Listing 2.24: staking_impl.rs

```
596 fn increase_delegation_after_ping(&mut self, delegator_id: AccountId, increase_amount: U128) {
597     match env::promise_result(0) {
598         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
599         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
600             let (_, validator) =
601                 self.internal_get_delegator_and_validator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
602
603
604             ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
605                 .with_attached_deposit(increase_amount.0)
606                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE))
607                 .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
608                 .increase_stake_with_sender(delegator_id.clone())
609                 .then(
610                     Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
611                         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
612                         .increase_delegation_callback(delegator_id, increase_amount),
613                 );
614         }
615         PromiseResult::Failed => {
616             emit_callback_failed_event();
617             self.transfer_near(delegator_id, increase_amount.0);
618         }
619     }
620 }
```

Listing 2.25: staking_impl.rs

```
73 pub fn increase_stake_with_sender(
74     &mut self,
75     sender: AccountId,
76 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
77     self.assert_lpos_market();
78     assert_attached_near();
79     log!("Attach gas: {:?}", env::prepaid_gas());
80     return ext_escrow::ext(self.restaking_base_contract.clone())
81         .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
82         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(110))
83         .increase_stake()
84         .then(
85             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
```



```
86         .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
87         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(7))
88         .stake_callback(env::attached_deposit().into(), sender),
89     )
90     .into();
91 }
```

Listing 2.26: lib.rs

```
310 fn decrease_delegation(&mut self, decrease_amount: U128) {
311     self.assert_contract_is_running();
312     self.assert_max_gas();
313     assert_eq!(env::attached_deposit(), STORAGE_FEE);
314     let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
315     let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
316     let validator =
317         self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&delegator.select_validator_id.unwrap());
318     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
319     self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
320         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
321             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
322             .decrease_delegation_after_ping(delegator_id, decrease_amount),
323     );
324 }
```

Listing 2.27: staking_impl.rs

```
720 fn decrease_delegation_after_ping(&mut self, delegator_id: AccountId, decrease_amount: U128) {
721     match env::promise_result(0) {
722         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
723         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
724             let (mut delegator, validator) =
725                 self.internal_get_delegator_and_validator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
726
727
728             let decrease_shares: u128 = validator.calculate_decrease_shares(decrease_amount.0);
729
730
731             let is_able_decrease = delegator.share_balance >= decrease_shares
732                 && validator.calculate_near_balance(delegator.share_balance - decrease_shares)
733                 >= self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount;
734
735
736             if is_able_decrease {
737                 delegator.share_balance -= decrease_shares;
738                 self.internal_save_delegator(&delegator);
739
740
741                 ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
742                     .with_attached_deposit(RESTAKING_BASE_STORAGE_FEE)
743                     .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE))
744                     .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
745                     .decrease_stake(decrease_amount.into(), Some(delegator_id.clone()))
```

```
746         .then(  
747             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())  
748                 .with_static_gas(  
749                     Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK),  
750                 )  
751                 .decrease_delegation_callback(  
752                     delegator_id.clone(),  
753                     validator.validator_id,  
754                     decrease_shares.into(),  
755                     decrease_amount,  
756                 ),  
757             );  
758         } else {  
759             emit_callback_failed_event();  
760         }  
761     }  
762     PromiseResult::Failed => {  
763         emit_callback_failed_event();  
764     }  
765 }  
766 }
```

Listing 2.28: staking_impl.rs

```
63 fn decrease_stake(  
64     &mut self,  
65     decrease_amount: U128,  
66     beneficiary: Option<AccountId>,  
67 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {  
68     self.assert_lpos_market();  
69     assert_attached_storage_fee();  
70     return ext_escrow::ext(self.restaking_base_contract.clone())  
71         .with_attached_deposit(STORAGE_FEE)  
72         .decrease_stake(decrease_amount, beneficiary)  
73         .into();  
74 }
```

Listing 2.29: interface.rs

Impact Malicious users can continuously keep the staking pool in a locked state by repeatedly staking very small amounts of NEAR (at minimal cost), preventing other users from accessing it.

Suggestion Introduce a minimum value check to ensure that the above function is not misused.

Feedback from the Project The team is aware of the potential impact this issue may cause, and have thoroughly discussed the potential additional effects of a minimum value for increase/decrease stake.

2.1.12 Unrefunded NEAR in function `stake_after_check_whitelisted()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `RestakingBaseContract`, the function `stake_after_check_whitelisted()` will proceed with the remaining logic for the stake operation based on the returned promise result of the function `is_whitelisted()`. Since function `stake_after_check_whitelisted()` requires to attach a number of `NEAR` for staking, when function `is_whitelisted()` returns false, the attached `NEAR` should be refunded to the staker. However, the refund logic is not implemented when handling the failed promise result.

```
6  fn stake(&mut self, pool_id: PoolId) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
7      self.assert_contract_is_running();
8      assert_attached_near();
9
10
11     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
12
13
14     assert!(
15         self.accounts.get(&staker_id).is_some(),
16         "Should register by storage_deposit first."
17     );
18
19
20     let staker = self
21         .stakers
22         .get(&staker_id)
23         .unwrap_or(Staker::new(staker_id.clone()));
24
25
26     assert_eq!(staker.shares, 0, "Can't stake, shares is not zero");
27     assert!(
28         staker.select_staking_pool.is_none()
29         || staker.select_staking_pool.clone().unwrap().ne(&pool_id),
30         "Staker({}) have selected pool({})",
31         staker_id,
32         pool_id
33     );
34
35
36     self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
37
38
39     return ext_whitelist::ext(self.staking_pool_whitelist_account.clone())
40         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_IS_WHITELISTED))
41         .is_whitelisted(pool_id.clone())
42         .then(
43             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
44                 .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
45                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_SELECT_POOL_AFTER_CHECK_WHITELIST))
46                 .stake_after_check_whitelisted(staker_id.clone(), pool_id.clone()),
47         )
48         .into();
49 }
```

Listing 2.30: `staking_impl.rs`

```
741 fn stake_after_check_whitelisted(
742     &mut self,
743     staker_id: AccountId,
744     pool_id: PoolId,
745     #[callback] whitelisted: bool,
746 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
747     if !whitelisted {
748         log!("Failed to select pool, {} is not whitelisted.", pool_id);
749         return PromiseOrValue::Value(None);
750     }
751
752
753     if !self.staking_pools.get(&pool_id).is_some() {
754         self.internal_save_staking_pool(&StakingPool::new(pool_id.clone(), staker_id.clone()));
755         Event::SaveStakingPool { pool_id: &pool_id }.emit();
756     }
757
758
759     self.internal_use_staker_or_panic(&staker_id, |staker| {
760         staker.select_staking_pool = Some(pool_id.clone());
761     });
762
763
764     self.ping(Some(pool_id))
765         .then(
766             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
767                 .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
768                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
769                 .stake_after_ping(staker_id),
770         )
771         .into()
772
773
774     // return PromiseOrValue::Value(true);
775 }
```

Listing 2.31: staking_impl.rs

Impact If the pool selected by the user for staking does not pass the `is_whitelisted()` check, the attached `NEAR` will be lost.

Suggestion Refund the `NEAR` to the user if `is_whitelisted()` returns false.

2.1.13 Incorrect Rounding Direction

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `RestakingBaseContract`, the function `unstake()` is used to unstake the assets from the user's selected staking pool. However, in the callback function `unstake_afer_ping()`, there is an

incorrect rounding direction when calculating the amount for unstaking based on the desired shares to be withdrawn. It utilizes the function `staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_up()` which rounds up to obtain the `receive_amount`, leading to an inflated value (line 300).

For the protocol, if the amounts withdrawn by users are consistently larger, it will result in the last user being unable to withdraw their entire balance. Therefore, during the decrease in stake or un stake operations, it is crucial for the contract to prioritize burning more shares and withdrawing a smaller amount of assets.

The above issue also occurs in the function `decrease_stake_after_ping()`.

```
126 fn un stake(
127     &mut self,
128     beneficiary: Option<AccountId>,
129     withdraw_by_anyone: Option<bool>,
130 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
131     self.assert_contract_is_running();
132     self.assert_attached_storage_fee();
133     log!("Prepaid gas: {:?}", env::prepaid_gas());
134     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
135
136
137     self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
138         staking_pool.lock() //lock pool
139     });
140
141
142     return self
143         .ping(Option::None)
144         .then(
145             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
146                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE_AFTER_PING))
147                 .un stake_after_ping(
148                     staker_id.clone(),
149                     beneficiary.unwrap_or(staker_id.clone()),
150                     withdraw_by_anyone.unwrap_or(true),
151                 ),
152         )
153         .into();
154 }
```

Listing 2.32: `staking_impl.rs`

```
282 fn un stake_after_ping(
283     &mut self,
284     staker_id: AccountId,
285     beneficiary: AccountId,
286     withdraw_by_anyone: bool,
287 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
288     match env::promise_result(0) {
289         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
290         PromiseResult::Failed => {
291             emit_callback_failed_event();
292             PromiseOrValue::Value(None)
293         }
294     }
295 }
```

```
293     }
294     PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
295         let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
296         let staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_by_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
297
298
299         let decrease_shares = staker.shares;
300         let receive_amount =
301             staking_pool.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_up(decrease_shares);
302         staker.shares = 0;
303         self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
304
305
306         ext_staking_pool::ext(staking_pool.pool_id.clone())
307             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE))
308             .unstake(receive_amount.into())
309             .function_call(
310                 "get_account_staked_balance".to_string(),
311                 json!({ "account_id": env::current_account_id() })
312                     .to_string()
313                     .into_bytes(),
314                 0,
315                 Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_GET_ACCOUNT_STAKED_BALANCE),
316             )
317             .then(
318                 Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
319                     .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE_CALL_BACK))
320                     .unstake_callback(
321                         staker_id,
322                         decrease_shares.into(),
323                         receive_amount.into(),
324                         beneficiary,
325                         withdraw_by_anyone,
326                     ),
327             )
328             .into()
329     }
330 }
331 }
```

Listing 2.33: staking_impl.rs

```
322 fn decrease_stake_after_ping(
323     &mut self,
324     staker_id: AccountId,
325     decrease_amount: U128,
326     beneficiary: AccountId,
327 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
328     match env::promise_result(0) {
329         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
330         PromiseResult::Failed => {
331             emit_callback_failed_event();
332             PromiseOrValue::Value(None)
333         }
334     }
335 }
```

```
333     }
334     PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
335         let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
336         let staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_by_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
337
338
339         let decrease_shares = staking_pool.calculate_decrease_shares(decrease_amount.0);
340         let receive_amount =
341             staking_pool.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_up(decrease_shares);
342         staker.shares = staker
343             .shares
344             .checked_sub(decrease_shares)
345             .expect("Failed decrease shares in staker.");
346
347
348         self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
349
350
351         ext_staking_pool::ext(staking_pool.pool_id.clone())
352             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE))
353             .unstake(receive_amount.into())
354             .function_call(
355                 "get_account_staked_balance".to_string(),
356                 json!({ "account_id": env::current_account_id() })
357                     .to_string()
358                     .into_bytes(),
359                 0,
360                 Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_GET_ACCOUNT_STAKED_BALANCE),
361             )
362             .then(
363                 Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
364                     .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
365                     .decrease_stake_callback(
366                         staker_id,
367                         decrease_shares.into(),
368                         receive_amount.into(),
369                         beneficiary,
370                         None,
371                     ),
372             )
373             .into()
374     }
375 }
376 }
```

Listing 2.34: staking_impl.rs

Impact The last user will not be able to withdraw their full balance.

Suggestion Rounding down when calculating withdrawn amounts from shares.

2.1.14 Potential Panic in Callback Function `bond_callback()`

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `bond()` of contract `RestakingBaseContract`, after invoking the function `bond()` of the contract `consumer_chain.pos_account_id` through a cross-contract call, the subsequent step is to invoke the callback function `bond_callback()` to handle the returned promise result. However, when a successful result is returned, there is a possibility of encountering a panic during the execution of the logic to update the staker bonding state (e.g., `assert!(self.unbonding_unlock_time <= env::block_timestamp())`). This can lead to inconsistencies in the state of both contracts.

```

189 fn bond(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId, key: String) -> PromiseOrValue<bool> {
190     self.assert_attached_storage_fee();
191
192
193     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
194     let consumer_chain = self.internal_get_consumer_chain_or_panic(&consumer_chain_id);
195
196
197     self.ping(Option::None)
198         .then(
199         ext_consumer_chain_pos::ext(consumer_chain.pos_account_id)
200             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_CHANGE_KEY))
201             .bond(staker_id.clone(), key.clone()),
202         )
203         .then(
204         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
205             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_BOND_CALLBACK))
206             .bond_callback(consumer_chain_id, key, staker_id),
207         )
208         .into()
209 }

```

Listing 2.35: `restaking_impl.rs`

```

249 #[private]
250 fn bond_callback(
251     &mut self,
252     consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId,
253     key: String,
254     staker_id: AccountId,
255 ) -> PromiseOrValue<bool> {
256     match env::promise_result(0) {
257         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
258         PromiseResult::Failed => {
259             emit_callback_failed_event();
260             PromiseOrValue::Value(false)
261         }
262         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
263             let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);

```



```
264         let mut consumer_chain =
265             self.internal_get_consumer_chain_or_panic(&consumer_chain_id);
266
267
268         staker.bond(&consumer_chain_id, consumer_chain.unbonding_period);
269         consumer_chain.bond(&staker_id);
270
271
272         self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
273         self.internal_save_consumer_chain(&consumer_chain_id, &consumer_chain);
274
275
276         Event:: {
277             staker_id: &staker_id,
278             consumer_chain_id: &consumer_chain_id,
279             key: &key,
280         }
281         .emit();
282         PromiseOrValue::(true)
283     }
284 }
285 }
```

Listing 2.36: restaking_impl.rs

Impact The state is not correctly updated due to panic when handling the successful promise result.

Suggestion Avoid panic in successful promise results.

2.1.15 Ineffective Lock on Important Functions

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the current implementation, several functions implement the lock and unlock logic to prevent status inconsistencies caused by asynchronous operations. However, the locked staking pools can be easily unlocked by invoking the function `ping()` as it does not have any locking logic, while in its callback function `ping_callback()`, function `unlock()` is invoked.

The same behavior also exists in the function `decrease_stake_callback()` of the slash process.

```
773     #[private]
774     fn ping_callback(&mut self, pool_id: PoolId) {
775         match env::promise_result(0) {
776             PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
777             PromiseResult::Successful(value) => {
778                 let staked_balance: U128 = near_sdk::serde_json::from_slice(&value).unwrap();
779
780
781                 self.internal_use_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id, |staking_pool| {
782                     staking_pool.total_staked_balance = staked_balance.0;
783                     staking_pool.unlock();
784                 });
785             }
786         }
787     }
```

```
784         });
785
786
787         Event::Ping {
788             pool_id: &pool_id,
789             new_total_staked_balance: &staked_balance,
790         }
791         .emit();
792     }
793     PromiseResult::Failed => {
794         self.internal_use_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id, |staking_pool| {
795             staking_pool.unlock();
796         });
797     }
798 }
799 }
```

Listing 2.37: staking_impl.rs

```
460     #[private]
461     fn decrease_stake_callback(
462         &mut self,
463         staker_id: AccountId,
464         decrease_shares: U128,
465         decrease_amount: U128,
466         beneficiary: AccountId,
467         slash_treasury: Option<AccountId>,
468     ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
469         match env::promise_result(0) {
470             PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
471             PromiseResult::Successful(value) => {
472                 let new_total_staked_balance = near_sdk::serde_json::from_slice:::<U128>(&value)
473                     .expect("Failed to deserialize in decrease_stake_callback by value.")
474                     .0;
475                 let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
476                 let selected_pool_id = self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(&staker_id);
477                 let mut staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_or_panic(&selected_pool_id);
478
479                 staking_pool.total_staked_balance = new_total_staked_balance;
480                 staking_pool.decrease_stake(decrease_shares.0, new_total_staked_balance);
481                 staking_pool.unlock();
482
483
484                 let pending_withdrawal = self.internal_create_pending_withdrawal_in_staker(
485                     &mut staker,
486                     beneficiary,
487                     decrease_amount.0,
488                     staking_pool.pool_id.clone(),
489                     true,
490                 );
491             }
492         };
493         self.internal_save_staking_pool(&staking_pool);
494         self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
495     }
```

```
494
495
496     let sequence = U64::from(self.next_sequence());
497     Event::StakerDecreaseStake {
498         staking_pool_info: &(&mut staking_pool).into(),
499         staker_info: &(&staker).into(),
500         decrease_stake_amount: &decrease_amount,
501         decrease_shares: &decrease_shares,
502         pending_withdrawal: &pending_withdrawal,
503         sequence: &sequence,
504     }
505     .emit();
506
507
508     PromiseOrValue::Value(Some(StakingChangeResult {
509         sequence: sequence,
510         new_total_staked_balance: staking_pool
511             .staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_down(staker.shares)
512             .into(),
513         withdrawal_certificate: None,
514     })))
515 }
516 PromiseResult::Failed => {
517     let selected_pool_id = self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(&staker_id);
518
519
520     match slash_treasury {
521         Some(treasury_account_id) => {
522             let mut treasury_account =
523                 self.internal_get_account_or_new(&treasury_account_id);
524             treasury_account
525                 .save_legacy_shares(decrease_shares.0, selected_pool_id.clone());
526             self.internal_save_account(&treasury_account_id, &treasury_account);
527         }
528         None => {
529             self.internal_decrease_stake_rollback(&staker_id, decrease_shares.0);
530         }
531     }
532     self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
533         staking_pool.unlock();
534     });
535     emit_callback_failed_event();
536     PromiseOrValue::Value(None)
537 }
538 }
539 }
```

Listing 2.38: staking_impl.rs

Impact Locked staking pools can be easily unlocked.

Suggestion Properly implement locking logic.

2.1.16 Lack of Pause Functionality in Function `bond()`

Severity Low

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The protocol allows the privileged admin to pause most functions for maintenance purposes. However, the function `bond()` can not be paused.

```
189  #[payable]
190  fn bond(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId, key: String) -> PromiseOrValue<bool> {
191      self.assert_attached_storage_fee();
192
193      let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
194      let consumer_chain = self.internal_get_consumer_chain_or_panic(&consumer_chain_id);
195
196
197      self.ping(Option::None)
198          .then(
199          ext_consumer_chain_pos::ext(consumer_chain.pos_account_id)
200              .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_CHANGE_KEY))
201              .bond(staker_id.clone(), key.clone()),
202          )
203          .then(
204          Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
205              .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_BOND_CALLBACK))
206              .bond_callback(consumer_chain_id, key, staker_id),
207          )
208          .into()
209  }
```

Listing 2.39: `staking_impl.rs`

Impact Users can still invoke function `bond()` when the protocol is paused.

Suggestion Add relative check in function `bond()` to disable users from invoking when the protocol is paused.

2.1.17 Unrefunded Storage Fee of Failed Cross-contract Invocations

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `LposMarket`, validators can bond themselves to the corresponding `consumer_chain` through the function `bond()`. Since the storage is required for saving the added bonding state, the `REStaking_Base_Storage_Fee` is required to invoke the cross-contract function `bond()` in the contract `validator_escrow_id`. However, there is no corresponding callback function to handle the returned result. Specifically, if it fails, the attached storage fee will not be returned.

The above issue also occurs in the function `increase_stake()`, `increase_delegation()`, and `delegate()`.

```
28 fn bond(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId, key: String) -> Promise {
29     self.assert_contract_is_running();
30     assert_eq!(env::attached_deposit(), RESTAKING_BASE_STORAGE_FEE);
31     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&env::predecessor_account_id());
32     ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
33         .with_attached_deposit(RESTAKING_BASE_STORAGE_FEE)
34         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_BOND))
35         .bond(consumer_chain_id, key)
36         .into()
37 }
```

Listing 2.40: restaking_impl.rs

```
247 fn bond_callback(
248     &mut self,
249     consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId,
250     key: String,
251     staker_id: AccountId,
252 ) -> PromiseOrValue<bool> {
253     let mut consumer_chain = self.internal_get_consumer_chain_or_panic(&consumer_chain_id);
254     let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
255     match env::promise_result(0) {
256         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
257         PromiseResult::Failed => {
258             consumer_chain.unbond(&staker.staker_id);
259             staker.unbond(&consumer_chain.consumer_chain_id);
260             self.internal_save_consumer_chain(&consumer_chain_id, &consumer_chain);
261             self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
262             emit_callback_failed_event();
263             PromiseOrValue::Value(false)
264         }
265         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
266             Event::StakerBond {
267                 staker_id: &staker_id,
268                 consumer_chain_id: &consumer_chain_id,
269                 key: &key,
270             }
271             .emit();
272             PromiseOrValue::Value(true)
273         }
274     }
275 }
```

Listing 2.41: restaking_impl.rs

```
120 fn increase_stake(&mut self, increase_amount: U128) {
121     self.assert_contract_is_running();
122     self.assert_max_gas();
123     let validator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
124     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
125
126
127     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
```

```
128     assert!(increase_amount.0 > 0);
129     assert_eq!(STORAGE_FEE + increase_amount.0, env::attached_deposit());
130     self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
131         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
132             .with_static_gas(Gas::
133                 ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
134                 .increase_stake_after_ping(validator_id, increase_amount),
135     );
136 }
```

Listing 2.42: staking_impl.rs

```
572 fn increase_stake_after_ping(&mut self, validator_id: AccountId, increase_amount: U128) {
573     match env::promise_result(0) {
574         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
575         PromiseResult::Failed => {
576             emit_callback_failed_event();
577             self.transfer_near(validator_id, increase_amount.0);
578         }
579         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
580             let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
581
582             ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
583                 .with_attached_deposit(increase_amount.0)
584                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE))
585                 .increase_stake_with_sender(validator_id.clone())
586                 .then(
587                     Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
588                         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
589                         .increase_stake_callback(validator_id, increase_amount),
590                 );
591         }
592     }
593 }
594 }
```

Listing 2.43: staking_impl.rs

```
253 fn delegate(&mut self, validator_id: AccountId, delegate_amount: U128) {
254     self.assert_contract_is_running();
255     self.assert_max_gas();
256
257
258     assert!(delegate_amount.0 > 0);
259     assert_eq!(STORAGE_FEE + delegate_amount.0, env::attached_deposit());
260
261
262     let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
263     let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_insert_new(&delegator_id);
264
265
266     assert!(
267         delegator.share_balance == 0 && delegator.select_validator_id.is_none(),
268         "Failed to delegate, already stake in {:?}.",
269     );
270 }
```

```
269     delegator.select_validator_id
270 );
271
272
273     assert!(
274         delegate_amount.0 >= self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount,
275         "Failed to stake, attach near({}) less than minimum_delegator_stake_amount.({})",
276         env::attached_deposit(),
277         self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount
278     );
279     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
280
281
282     assert!((validator.delegators.len() as u32) <= self.settings.maximum_delegators_limit);
283     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
284
285
286     self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
287         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
288             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
289             .delegate_after_ping(delegator_id, validator_id, delegate_amount),
290     );
291 }
```

Listing 2.44: staking_impl.rs

```
288 fn increase_delegation(&mut self, increase_amount: U128) {
289     self.assert_contract_is_running();
290     self.assert_max_gas();
291     assert!(increase_amount.0 > 0);
292     assert_eq!(STORAGE_FEE + increase_amount.0, env::attached_deposit());
293
294
295     let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
296     let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
297     let validator =
298         self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&delegator.select_validator_id.unwrap());
299
300
301     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
302
303
304     self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
305         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
306             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
307             .increase_delegation_after_ping(delegator_id, increase_amount),
308     );
309 }
```

Listing 2.45: staking_impl.rs

```
626 fn increase_delegation_after_ping(&mut self, delegator_id: AccountId, increase_amount: U128) {
627     match env::promise_result(0) {
```

```
628     PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
629     PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
630         let (_, validator) =
631             self.internal_get_delegator_and_validator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
632
633
634         ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
635             .with_attached_deposit(increase_amount.0)
636             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE))
637             .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
638             .increase_stake_with_sender(delegator_id.clone())
639             .then(
640                 Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
641                     .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
642                     .increase_delegation_callback(delegator_id, increase_amount),
643             );
644     }
645     PromiseResult::Failed => {
646         emit_callback_failed_event();
647         self.transfer_near(delegator_id, increase_amount.0);
648     }
649 }
650 }
```

Listing 2.46: staking_impl.rs

```
596 fn delegate_after_ping(
597     &mut self,
598     delegator_id: AccountId,
599     validator_id: AccountId,
600     amount: U128,
601 ) {
602     match env::promise_result(0) {
603         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
604         PromiseResult::Failed => {
605             emit_callback_failed_event();
606             self.transfer_near(delegator_id, amount.0);
607         }
608         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
609             let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
610
611
612             ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
613                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE))
614                 .with_attached_deposit(amount.0)
615                 .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
616                 .increase_stake_with_sender(delegator_id.clone())
617                 .then(
618                     Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
619                         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
620                         .delegate_callback(delegator_id, validator_id, amount),
621                 );
622         }
623     }
```



```
623     }  
624 }
```

Listing 2.47: staking_impl.rs

Impact In cases where the cross-contract invocation fails, the attached storage fee will not be refunded, resulting in a loss to the user.

Suggestion Implement the corresponding callback function to handle the returned promise result correctly.

Feedback from the Project The team believes that the occurrence of failures in the callback is relatively low, and adding the logic for it would introduce unnecessary complexity.

2.1.18 Incorrect Amount of NEAR Attached in Function `change_key()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `LposMarket`, the function `change_key()` is utilized to modify the access key. This function internally invokes the function `change_key()` of the contract `escrow` with an attached amount of `ONE_YOCTO NEAR`. However, in contract `escrow`, the function `change_key()` requires the attached `NEAR` must be equal to `STORAGE_FEE`. Otherwise, it will revert (line 97), which means the invocation of the function `change_key()` from `restaking_impl.rs` will never be successfully executed.

```
16 fn change_key(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId, key: String) -> Promise {  
17     self.assert_contract_is_running();  
18     assert_one_yocto();  
19     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&env::predecessor_account_id());  
20     ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)  
21         .with_attached_deposit(ONE_YOCTO)  
22         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_CHANGE_KEY))  
23         .change_key(consumer_chain_id, key)  
24         .into()  
25 }
```

Listing 2.48: restaking_impl.rs

```
91 fn change_key(  
92     &mut self,  
93     consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId,  
94     new_key: String,  
95 ) -> PromiseOrValue<bool> {  
96     self.assert_lpos_market();  
97     assert_attached_storage_fee();  
98     ext_escrow::ext(self.restaking_base_contract.clone())  
99         .with_attached_deposit(STORAGE_FEE)  
100        .change_key(consumer_chain_id, new_key)  
101        .into()  
102 }
```

Listing 2.49: interface.rs

```
170 pub fn assert_attached_storage_fee() {
171     assert_eq!(
172         env::attached_deposit(),
173         STORAGE_FEE,
174         "Should attach {} near as storage fee.",
175         STORAGE_FEE
176     );
177 }
```

Listing 2.50: interface.rs

```
19 pub const STORAGE_FEE: Balance = parse_near!("0.01 near");
```

Listing 2.51: typers.rs

Impact The function `change_key()` is actually disabled due to the incorrect amount of `NEAR` attached while making the cross-contract call.

Suggestion Attach the correct amount of `NEAR`.

2.1.19 Incorrect Gas Setting in the Function `bond()`

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `RestakingBaseContract`, the function `bond()` is used to bind the validator with a specific `consumer_chain`. However, in the function, the gas used for invoking the function `bond()` of the contract `consumer_chain.pos_account_id` is incorrect. It is set to `TGAS_FOR_CHANGE_KEY` instead of `TGAS_FOR_BOND`.

```
189 fn bond(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId, key: String) -> PromiseOrValue<bool> {
190     self.assert_attached_storage_fee();
191
192
193     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
194     let consumer_chain = self.internal_get_consumer_chain_or_panic(&consumer_chain_id);
195
196
197     self.ping(Option::None)
198         .then(
199         ext_consumer_chain_pos::ext(consumer_chain.pos_account_id)
200             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_CHANGE_KEY))
201             .bond(staker_id.clone(), key.clone()),
202     )
203     .then(
204         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
205             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_BOND_CALLBACK))
206             .bond_callback(consumer_chain_id, key, staker_id),
207     )
208     .into()
209 }
```

Listing 2.52: restaking_impl.rs

```
11 pub const TGAS_FOR_CHANGE_KEY: u64 = 30;
12 pub const TGAS_FOR_BOND: u64 = 50;
```

Listing 2.53: gas_constants.rs

Impact Incorrect gas setting may lead to the failure of invocation.

Suggestion Replace `TGAS_FOR_CHANGE_KEY` with `TGAS_FOR_BOND`.

2.1.20 Unintended Overpayment of Fees by Contract Account

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `stake()` of the contract `LposMarket`, the cross-contract invocation to the function `stake_with_validator()` of the contract `escrow` will attach two extra `yocto NEAR` in addition to the `NEAR` originally attached by the user. According to the design, the additional `NEAR` should also be paid by the user, rather than the contract's account itself.

```
86 fn stake(&mut self, select_pool: PoolId) {
87     self.assert_contract_is_running();
88     self.assert_max_gas();
89     let validator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
90     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
91     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Deployed));
92     let stake_amount = env::attached_deposit();
93     assert!(
94         validator.total_share_balance == 0 && validator.select_staking_pool.is_none(),
95         "Failed to stake, already stake in {:?}.",
96         validator.select_staking_pool
97     );
98     assert!(
99         stake_amount >= self.settings.minimum_validator_stake_amount,
100        "Failed to stake, attach near({}) less than minimum_validator_stake_amount.({})",
101        env::attached_deposit(),
102        self.settings.minimum_validator_stake_amount
103    );
104    ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
105        .with_attached_deposit(add_two_yocto(stake_amount))
106        .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_STAKE))
107        .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
108        .stake_with_validator(select_pool.clone(), validator_id.clone())
109        .then(
110            Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
111                .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_STAKE_CALL_BACK))
112                .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
113                .stake_callback(validator_id, select_pool, stake_amount.into()),
114        );
```

```
115 }
```

Listing 2.54: staking_impl.rs

```
23 pub fn add_two_yocto(amount: u128) -> u128 {
24     amount + ONE_YOCTO + ONE_YOCTO
25 }
```

Listing 2.55: util.rs

Impact The contract account may run out of `NEAR` deposited for storage cost with the increasing number of staking operations by users.

Suggestion Charge the extra two `yocto NEAR` from the users.

2.1.21 Failure to Clear State Due to Delayed State Saving

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `clean_validator_state()` of the contract `LposMarket`, the state is cleaned by iterating over each `delegator` in `delegators`, and before cleaning the state of each `delegator`, the function will first check whether the `remaining_gas` is enough. If not, the function will return immediately. However, the updated `validator` in the previous iterations is not saved when returning, leading to no `delegator` being cleaned eventually.

Additionally, since this function has an immutable specified gas limit, if the gas is insufficient, the cleaning of the `validator` state can never be completed.

```
187 fn clean_validator_state(
188     &mut self,
189     validator_id: AccountId,
190 ) -> MultiTxOperationProcessingResult {
191     self.assert_contract_is_running();
192     let mut validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
193
194
195     assert!(validator.is_able_clean_state());
196
197
198     let gas_limit = Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(20);
199     let delegators = validator.delegators_shares_in_sequence.keys().collect_vec();
200     for delegator in delegators {
201         if remaining_gas() < gas_limit {
202             return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::NeedMoreGas;
203         }
204         let mut shares_in_sequence = validator
205             .delegators_shares_in_sequence
206             .get(&delegator)
207             .unwrap();
208         shares_in_sequence.clear();
209         validator.delegators_shares_in_sequence.remove(&delegator);
```

```
210     }
211
212
213     if remaining_gas() < gas_limit {
214         self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
215         return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::NeedMoreGas;
216     }
217
218
219     validator.delegator_set_in_sequence.clear();
220
221
222     if remaining_gas() < gas_limit {
223         self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
224         return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::NeedMoreGas;
225     }
226     validator.validator_states_in_sequence.clear();
227     self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
228
229
230     return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::Ok;
231 }
```

Listing 2.56: staking_impl.rs

```
23 pub fn add_two_yocto(amount: u128) -> u128 {
24     amount + ONE_YOCTO + ONE_YOCTO
25 }
```

Listing 2.57: util.rs

Impact Unable to clean the validator state due to insufficient gas.

Suggestion Invoke `self.internal_save_validator()` to save the updated `validator` before it returns because of insufficient gas.

2.1.22 Potential DoS in `internal_slash_in_staker_shares()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `internal_slash_in_staker_shares()`, user shares are unstaked as a slashing penalty for the corresponding `consumer chain`. The total balance of the `staker` (i.e., `slash_staker_total_balance`) is calculated from the total shares of the `staker` with upward rounding, which must be larger than the actual total balance of the `staker`. If the slash amount specified by the `consumer chain` is larger than the `slash_staker_total_balance`, then it will try to slash all the balance of the `staker`. However, when calculating the final amount of shares to be unstaked, not only is the already inflated `slash_staker_total_balance` used, but there is also an additional rounding up performed, resulting in an underflow issue.

```
423 pub(crate) fn internal_slash_in_staker_shares(
424     &mut self,
425     slash_staker_id: &StakerId,
426     slash_amount: Balance,
427     treasury: &AccountId,
428 ) -> Balance {
429     let pool_id = self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(slash_staker_id);
430     let staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&slash_staker_id);
431     let staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_by_staker_or_panic(&slash_staker_id);
432
433
434     let slash_staker_total_balance =
435         staking_pool.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_up(staker.shares);
436     let actual_slash_amount = min(slash_staker_total_balance, slash_amount);
437
438
439     let (decrease_shares, receive_amount) =
440         self.internal_decrease_stake(&slash_staker_id, actual_slash_amount);
441
442
443     ext_staking_pool::ext(pool_id)
444         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE))
445         .with_attached_deposit(ONE_YOCTO)
446         .unstake(receive_amount.into())
447         .function_call(
448             "get_account_staked_balance".to_string(),
449             json!({ "account_id": env::current_account_id() })
450                 .to_string()
451                 .into_bytes(),
452             0,
453             Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_GET_ACCOUNT_STAKED_BALANCE),
454         )
455         .then(
456             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
457                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE))
458                 .decrease_stake_callback(
459                     slash_staker_id.clone(),
460                     decrease_shares.into(),
461                     receive_amount.into(),
462                     treasury.clone(),
463                     Some(treasury.clone()),
464                 ),
465         );
466     actual_slash_amount
467 }
```

Listing 2.58: restaking_impl.rs

```
839 pub(crate) fn internal_decrease_stake(
840     &mut self,
841     staker_id: &StakerId,
842     decrease_amount: Balance,
843 ) -> (ShareBalance, Balance) {
```

```
844     assert!(
845         decrease_amount > 0,
846         "Decrease stake amount should be positive"
847     );
848     let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(staker_id);
849     let pool_id = &self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(staker_id);
850     let staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_or_panic(pool_id);
851
852
853     // Calculate the number of shares required to unstake the given amount.
854     // NOTE: The number of shares the account will pay is rounded up.
855     let num_shares = staking_pool.num_shares_from_staked_amount_rounded_up(decrease_amount);
856     assert!(
857         num_shares > 0,
858         "Invariant violation. The calculated number of stake shares for unstaking should be
859         positive"
860     );
861     assert!(
862         staker.shares >= num_shares,
863         "Not enough staked balance to unstake"
864     );
865
866     // Calculating the amount of tokens the account will receive by unstaking the corresponding
867     // number of "stake" shares, rounding up.
868     let receive_amount = staking_pool.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_up(num_shares);
869     assert!(
870         receive_amount > 0,
871         "Invariant violation. Calculated staked amount must be positive, because stake share
872         price should be at least 1"
873     );
874
875     staker.shares -= num_shares;
876
877     self.internal_save_staker(staker_id, &staker);
878
879     (num_shares, receive_amount)
880 }
881 }
```

Listing 2.59: staking_impl.rs

Impact Unable to slash users due to arithmetic underflow.

Suggestion If the value of the final computed slashed shares is larger than the total shares of the staker, slash the total shares of the staker.

2.1.23 Incorrect Penalty Amount in the Slash Process

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the slashing process, the final penalty amount `receive_amount` is calculated from `actual_slash_amount` with two rounds of upward rounding calculations. When `actual_slash_amount` equals to `slash_amount`, the `receive_amount` will exceed `slash_amount`. Consequently, more funds than the specified `slash_amount` are withdrawn from the corresponding staking pool as a penalty, resulting in a loss to the funds of other users in the `stake_pool`.

```
423 pub(crate) fn internal_slash_in_staker_shares(
424     &mut self,
425     slash_staker_id: &StakerId,
426     slash_amount: Balance,
427     treasury: &AccountId,
428 ) -> Balance {
429     let pool_id = self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(slash_staker_id);
430     let staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&slash_staker_id);
431     let staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_by_staker_or_panic(&slash_staker_id);
432
433
434     let slash_staker_total_balance =
435         staking_pool.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_up(staker.shares);
436     let actual_slash_amount = min(slash_staker_total_balance, slash_amount);
437
438
439     let (decrease_shares, receive_amount) =
440         self.internal_decrease_stake(&slash_staker_id, actual_slash_amount);
441
442
443     ext_staking_pool::ext(pool_id)
444         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE))
445         .with_attached_deposit(ONE_YOCTO)
446         .unstake(receive_amount.into())
447         .function_call(
448             "get_account_staked_balance".to_string(),
449             json!({ "account_id": env::current_account_id() })
450                 .to_string()
451                 .into_bytes(),
452             0,
453             Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_GET_ACCOUNT_STAKED_BALANCE),
454         )
455         .then(
456             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
457                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE))
458                 .decrease_stake_callback(
459                     slash_staker_id.clone(),
460                     decrease_shares.into(),
461                     receive_amount.into(),
462                     treasury.clone(),
463                     Some(treasury.clone()),
464                 ),
465         );
466     actual_slash_amount
467 }
```


Listing 2.60: restaking_impl.rs

```
839 pub(crate) fn internal_decrease_stake(
840     &mut self,
841     staker_id: &StakerId,
842     decrease_amount: Balance,
843 ) -> (ShareBalance, Balance) {
844     assert!(
845         decrease_amount > 0,
846         "Decrease stake amount should be positive"
847     );
848     let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(staker_id);
849     let pool_id = &self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(staker_id);
850     let staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_or_panic(pool_id);
851
852
853     // Calculate the number of shares required to unstake the given amount.
854     // NOTE: The number of shares the account will pay is rounded up.
855     let num_shares = staking_pool.num_shares_from_staked_amount_rounded_up(decrease_amount);
856     assert!(
857         num_shares > 0,
858         "Invariant violation. The calculated number of stake shares for unstaking should be
859         positive"
860     );
861     assert!(
862         staker.shares >= num_shares,
863         "Not enough staked balance to unstake"
864     );
865
866     // Calculating the amount of tokens the account will receive by unstaking the corresponding
867     // number of "stake" shares, rounding up.
868     let receive_amount = staking_pool.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_up(num_shares);
869     assert!(
870         receive_amount > 0,
871         "Invariant violation. Calculated staked amount must be positive, because stake share
872         price should be at least 1"
873     );
874
875     staker.shares -= num_shares;
876
877     self.internal_save_staker(staker_id, &staker);
878
879
880     (num_shares, receive_amount)
881 }
882 }
```

Listing 2.61: staking_impl.rs

Impact Users who have not been slashed still suffer a loss of funds by slashing.

Suggestion Rounding down when calculating the `receive_amount` to be slashed.

2.1.24 Unlimited Delay in Asset Withdrawal Due to Continuous Invocation of `decrease_stake()`

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `RestakingBaseContract`, the function `decrease_stake()` serves to unstake the `NEAR` from the selected staking pool and generates a `pending_withdrawal` for users. The unstaked `NEAR` can only be withdrawn if the current time exceeds the lock time specified when generating the `pending_withdrawal`.

However, each invocation of the function `decrease_stake()`, the internal function `decrease_stake()` in `staking_pool.rs` will reset the `staking_pool`'s `unlock_epoch` to `env::epoch_height() + NUM_EPOCHS_TO_UNLOCK`. This value is used to check whether the unstaked assets are available to withdraw in function `withdraw()`. Consequently, if the function `decrease_stake()` is continuously called, the available time for users to withdraw their assets will be indefinitely extended.

```
96  fn decrease_stake(
97      &mut self,
98      decrease_amount: U128,
99      beneficiary: Option<AccountId>,
100 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
101     self.assert_contract_is_running();
102     self.assert_attached_storage_fee();
103     assert!(decrease_amount.0 > 0, "The decrease amount should gt 0");
104
105
106     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
107     self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
108         staking_pool.lock()
109     });
110
111
112     return self
113         .ping(Option::)
114         .then(
115             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
116                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
117                 .decrease_stake_after_ping(
118                     staker_id,
119                     decrease_amount,
120                     beneficiary.unwrap_or(env::predecessor_account_id()),
121                 ),
122         )
123         .into();
124 }
```

Listing 2.62: `staking_impl.rs`

```
461 fn decrease_stake_callback(
462     &mut self,
463     staker_id: AccountId,
464     decrease_shares: U128,
465     decrease_amount: U128,
466     beneficiary: AccountId,
467     slash_treasury: Option<AccountId>,
468 ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
469     match env::promise_result(0) {
470         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
471         PromiseResult::Successful(value) => {
472             let new_total_staked_balance = near_sdk::serde_json::from_slice::<U128>(&value)
473                 .expect("Failed to deserialize in decrease_stake_callback by value.")
474                 .0;
475             let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
476             let selected_pool_id = self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(&staker_id);
477             let mut staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_or_panic(&selected_pool_id);
478
479             staking_pool.total_staked_balance = new_total_staked_balance;
480             staking_pool.decrease_stake(decrease_shares.0, new_total_staked_balance);
481             staking_pool.unlock();
482
483
484
485             let pending_withdrawal = self.internal_create_pending_withdrawal_in_staker(
486                 &mut staker,
487                 beneficiary,
488                 decrease_amount.0,
489                 staking_pool.pool_id.clone(),
490                 true,
491             );
492             self.internal_save_staking_pool(&staking_pool);
493             self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
494
495
496             let sequence = U64::from(self.next_sequence());
497             Event::StakerDecreaseStake {
498                 staking_pool_info: &(&mut staking_pool).into(),
499                 staker_info: &(&staker).into(),
500                 decrease_stake_amount: &decrease_amount,
501                 decrease_shares: &decrease_shares,
502                 pending_withdrawal: &pending_withdrawal,
503                 sequence: &sequence,
504             }
505             .emit();
506
507
508             PromiseOrValue::Value(Some(StakingChangeResult {
509                 sequence: sequence,
510                 new_total_staked_balance: staking_pool
511                     .staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_down(staker.shares)
512                     .into(),
```

```
513         withdrawal_certificate: None,
514     )))
515     }
516     PromiseResult::Failed => {
517         let selected_pool_id = self.internal_get_staker_selected_pool_or_panic(&staker_id);
518
519
520         match slash_treasury {
521             Some(treasury_account_id) => {
522                 let mut treasury_account =
523                     self.internal_get_account_or_new(&treasury_account_id);
524                 treasury_account
525                     .save_legacy_shares(decrease_shares.0, selected_pool_id.clone());
526                 self.internal_save_account(&treasury_account_id, &treasury_account);
527             }
528             None => {
529                 self.internal_decrease_stake_rollback(&staker_id, decrease_shares.0);
530             }
531         }
532         self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |staking_pool| {
533             staking_pool.unlock();
534         });
535         emit_callback_failed_event();
536         PromiseOrValue::Value(None)
537     }
538 }
539 }
```

Listing 2.63: staking_impl.rs

```
127 pub fn decrease_stake(
128     &mut self,
129     decrease_shares: ShareBalance,
130     new_total_staked_balance: Balance,
131 ) {
132     self.total_share_balance -= decrease_shares;
133     self.total_staked_balance = new_total_staked_balance;
134     self.unlock_epoch = env::epoch_height() + NUM_EPOCHS_TO_UNLOCK;
135 }
```

Listing 2.64: staking_pool.rs

```
154 fn withdraw(&mut self, staker: AccountId, id: WithdrawalCertificate) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {
155     self.assert_contract_is_running();
156     let pending_withdrawal = self.internal_use_account(&staker, |account| {
157         account.pending_withdrawals.remove(&id).unwrap()
158     });
159     let staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_or_panic(&pending_withdrawal.pool_id);
160     assert!(
161         pending_withdrawal.is_withdrawable() && staking_pool.is_withdrawable(),
162         "unlock timestamp: {}, unlock epoch: {}, current timestamp: {}, current epoch: {}, staking
163         pool unlock epoch: {}",
164         pending_withdrawal.unlock_time,
```

```
164     pending_withdrawal.unlock_epoch,
165     env::block_timestamp(),
166     env::epoch_height(),
167     staking_pool.unlock_epoch
168 );
169 assert!(
170     pending_withdrawal.allow_other_withdraw
171     || env::predecessor_account_id().eq(&pending_withdrawal.beneficiary)
172 );
173
174
175 ext_staking_pool::ext(pending_withdrawal.pool_id.clone())
176     .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_WITHDRAW))
177     .withdraw(pending_withdrawal.amount.into())
178     .then(
179         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
180             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_SINGLE_WITHDRAW_CALLBACK))
181             .withdraw_callback(staker, pending_withdrawal),
182     )
183     .into()
184 }
```

Listing 2.65: staking_impl.rs

```
95 pub fn is_withdrawable(&self) -> bool {
96     self.unlock_epoch <= env::epoch_height()
97 }
```

Listing 2.66: staking_pool.rs

Impact Users are unable to withdraw their unstaked `NEAR` as scheduled.

Suggestion Each user's `pending_withdrawal` lock time should be independent and not affected by each other's operation.

2.1.25 Unrefunded `STORAGE_FEE` of Released Storage

Severity Low

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `LposMarket`, the function `unbond()` removes the binding relationship between the `validator` and the `consumer_chain` by deleting the insert record of the `validator` and `consumer_chain_id`, which releases the storage. Since the function `bond()` charged a certain amount of storage fee before, when the function `unbond()` is successfully executed, the charged fees should be returned. However, there is no refunding logic in the current implementation.

The above issue also occurs in the function `undelegate()` in `staking_imple.rs`.

```
114 fn unbond(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId) -> Promise {
115     self.assert_contract_is_running();
116     assert_one_yocto();
117     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&env::predecessor_account_id());
```

```
118     ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
119         .with_attached_deposit(ONE_YOCTO)
120         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNBOND))
121         .unbond(consumer_chain_id)
122         .into()
123     }
```

Listing 2.67: restaking_impl.rs

```
231 fn unbond(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId) {
232     assert_one_yocto();
233     let staker_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
234     self.internal_use_staker_or_panic(&staker_id, |staker| staker.unbond(&consumer_chain_id));
235     self.internal_use_consumer_chain_or_panic(&consumer_chain_id, |consumer_chain| {
236         consumer_chain.unbond(&staker_id)
237     });
238     Event::StakerUnbond {
239         staker_id: &staker_id,
240         consumer_chain_id: &consumer_chain_id,
241     }
242     .emit();
243 }
```

Listing 2.68: restaking_impl.rs

```
254 fn delegate(&mut self, validator_id: AccountId, delegate_amount: U128) {
255     self.assert_contract_is_running();
256     self.assert_max_gas();
257
258
259     assert!(delegate_amount.0 > 0);
260     assert_eq!(STORAGE_FEE + delegate_amount.0, env::attached_deposit());
261
262
263     let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
264     let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_insert_new(&delegator_id);
265
266
267     assert!(
268         delegator.share_balance == 0 && delegator.select_validator_id.is_none(),
269         "Failed to delegate, already stake in {:?}.",
270         delegator.select_validator_id
271     );
272
273
274     assert!(
275         delegate_amount.0 >= self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount,
276         "Failed to stake, attach near({}) less than minimum_delegator_stake_amount.({})",
277         env::attached_deposit(),
278         self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount
279     );
280     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
281 }
```

```
282
283     assert!((validator.delegators.len() as u32) <= self.settings.maximum_delegators_limit);
284     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
285
286
287     self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
288         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
289             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
290             .delegate_after_ping(delegator_id, validator_id, delegate_amount),
291     );
292 }
```

Listing 2.69: staking_impl.rs

```
327 fn undelegate(&mut self) {
328     self.assert_contract_is_running();
329     self.assert_max_gas();
330     assert_eq!(env::attached_deposit(), STORAGE_FEE);
331     let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
332     let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
333     let validator =
334         self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&delegator.select_validator_id.unwrap());
335     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
336     self.ping(validator.validator_id.clone()).then(
337         Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
338             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
339             .undelegate_after_ping(delegator_id),
340     );
341 }
```

Listing 2.70: staking_impl.rs

```
765 fn undelegate_after_ping(&mut self, delegator_id: AccountId) {
766     match env::promise_result(0) {
767         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
768         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
769             let (mut delegator, validator) =
770                 self.internal_get_delegator_and_validator_or_panic(&delegator_id);
771
772
773             let decrease_shares = delegator.share_balance;
774             delegator.share_balance -= decrease_shares;
775             let near_balance = validator.calculate_near_balance(decrease_shares);
776
777
778             self.internal_save_delegator(&delegator);
779
780
781             ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
782                 .with_attached_deposit(RESTAKING_BASE_STORAGE_FEE)
783                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE))
784                 .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
785                 .decrease_stake(near_balance.into(), Some(delegator_id.clone()))
```

```
786         .then(  
787             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())  
788                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_DECREASE_STAKE_CALL_BACK))  
789                 .undelegate_callback(  
790                     delegator_id.clone(),  
791                     validator.validator_id,  
792                     decrease_shares.into(),  
793                     near_balance.into(),  
794                 ),  
795             );  
796     }  
797     PromiseResult::Failed => {  
798         emit_callback_failed_event();  
799     }  
800 }  
801 }
```

Listing 2.71: staking_impl.rs

```
327 fn undelegate_callback(  
328     &mut self,  
329     delegator_id: AccountId,  
330     validator_id: AccountId,  
331     decreased_shares: U128,  
332     undelegate_amount: U128,  
333 ) {  
334     match env::promise_result(0) {  
335         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),  
336         PromiseResult::Successful(result) => {  
337             if let Some(staking_change_result) =  
338                 near_sdk::serde_json::from_slice::<Option<StakingChangeResult>>(&result)  
339                     .unwrap()  
340             {  
341                 let mut delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id);  
342                 let mut validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);  
343  
344  
345                 let sequence = staking_change_result.sequence;  
346  
347  
348                 delegator.select_validator_id = None;  
349                 validator.undelegate(  
350                     delegator_id.clone(),  
351                     decreased_shares.0,  
352                     sequence.0,  
353                     staking_change_result.new_total_staked_balance.0,  
354                 );  
355                 self.internal_save_delegator(&delegator);  
356                 self.internal_save_validator(&validator);  
357  
358  
359                 Event::Undelegate {  
360                     validator_info: &validator.into(),
```



```
361         delegator_info: &delegator.into(),
362         undelegate_amount: &undelegate_amount,
363         undelegate_shares: &decreased_shares,
364         sequence: &sequence,
365     }
366     .emit();
367 } else {
368     // rollback decrease
369     self.internal_use_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id, |delegator| {
370         delegator.share_balance += decreased_shares.0;
371     });
372     emit_callback_failed_event();
373 }
374 }
375 PromiseResult::Failed => {
376     // rollback decrease
377     self.internal_use_delegator_or_panic(&delegator_id, |delegator| {
378         delegator.share_balance += decreased_shares.0;
379     });
380     emit_callback_failed_event();
381 }
382 }
383 }
```

Listing 2.72: staking_impl.rs

Impact Storage fees will not be returned when users' operations release storage.

Suggestion Refund the storage fee once the mentioned operations above executed successfully.

Feedback from the Project Because implementing the storage fee refund would significantly increase the complexity of the contract implementation, the team prefers not to add this additional logic.

2.1.26 No Storage Fee Charged in Function `sync_consumer_chain_pos()`

Severity Low

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description Function `sync_consumer_chain_pos()` is used to synchronize and update the state of `consumer_chains` between the contracts `RestakingBaseContract` and `lpos-market`. If a new consumer chain is registered in `RestakingBaseContract`, the function will also insert the corresponding data into the storage of `LposMarket`, which consumes a certain amount of storage. However, the corresponding storage fee is not charged in this case.

```
51 fn sync_consumer_chain_pos(&mut self, consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId) -> Promise {
52     self.assert_contract_is_running();
53     ext_restaking_base::ext(self.settings.restaking_base_contract.clone())
54         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_VIEW))
55         .get_consumer_chain(consumer_chain_id)
56         .then(
57             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
58             .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(20))
```

```

59         .sync_consumer_chain_pos_callback(),
60     )
61 }

```

Listing 2.73: `restaking_impl.rs`

```

256 #[private]
257 pub fn sync_consumer_chain_pos_callback(
258     &mut self,
259     #[callback] consumer_chain_info: Option<ConsumerChainInfo>,
260 ) {
261     if let Some(consumer_chain_info_unwrap) = consumer_chain_info {
262         let mut consumer_chain = self
263             .consumer_chains
264             .get(&consumer_chain_info_unwrap.consumer_chain_id)
265             .unwrap_or(ConsumerChain::new(
266                 consumer_chain_info_unwrap.consumer_chain_id.clone(),
267                 consumer_chain_info_unwrap.pos_account_id.clone(),
268             ));
269         consumer_chain.anchor_id = consumer_chain_info_unwrap.pos_account_id;
270
271
272         self.consumer_chains.insert(
273             &consumer_chain_info_unwrap.consumer_chain_id,
274             &consumer_chain,
275         );
276     }
277 }

```

Listing 2.74: `restaking_impl.rs`

Impact The contract `LposMarket` will bear additional storage fee.

Suggestion Add storage fee requirement in function `sync_consumer_chain_pos()`.

Feedback from the Project Because the `Consumer Chain` need to pay fee when register in `restakingBase`. So it acceptable to cover the cost of storage in this interface.

2.1.27 Unlimited Withdrawn with Reused UnstakeBatchId

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The function `withdraw_unstake_batch()` in the contract `RestakingBaseContract` is designed to withdraw `NEAR` from the staking pool when the user's submitted unstaking request is withdrawable. It reads the corresponding unstaking request's status based on the parameter `unstake_batch_id` to determine whether the withdrawal should be executed. However, due to the absence of necessary checks, a valid withdrawable `unstake_batch_id` can be used repeatedly to withdraw the funds from the staking pool. The funds in the staking pool can be drained and thereby preventing other users from withdrawing theirs.

```

155 fn withdraw_unstake_batch(&mut self, pool_id: PoolId, unstake_batch_id: UnstakeBatchId) {
156     self.assert_contract_is_running();

```

```

157     let submitted_unstake_batch =
158     self.internal_use_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id, |staking_pool| {
159         assert!(staking_pool.is_unstake_batch_withdrawable(&unstake_batch_id));
160
161
162         staking_pool.lock();
163         staking_pool
164             .submitted_unstake_batches
165             .get(&unstake_batch_id)
166             .unwrap()
167     });
168
169
170     ext_staking_pool::ext(pool_id.clone())
171         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_WITHDRAW))
172         .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
173         .withdraw(submitted_unstake_batch.total_unstake_amount.into())
174         .then(
175             Self::ext(current_account_id())
176                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_WITHDRAW_UNSTAKE_BATCH_CALLBACK))
177                 .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
178                 .withdraw_unstake_batch_callback(pool_id.clone(), unstake_batch_id),
179         );
180 }

```

Listing 2.75: staking_impl.rs

Impact Funds in the staking pool can be drained by repeatedly withdrawing with the same `unstake_batch_id`.

Suggestion If the corresponding funds of the `unstake_batch_id` are withdrawn, fail the invocation.

2.1.28 Potential Panic in Callback Function `stake_after_check_whitelisted()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description When handling the successful result in the callback function `stake_after_check_whitelisted()`, the execution of `staking_pool.lock()` may panic if the staking pool is already locked. In this case, the `NEAR` deposited by the user will not be refunded, resulting in a loss of the user.

```

688     #[payable]
689     #[private]
690     fn stake_after_check_whitelisted(
691         &mut self,
692         staker_id: AccountId,
693         pool_id: PoolId,
694     ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
695         match env::promise_result(0) {
696             PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
697             PromiseResult::Successful(value) => {
698                 let whitelisted = near_sdk::serde_json::from_slice:::<bool>(&value)
699                 .expect("Failed to deserialize in increase_stake_callback by value.");

```

```
700
701
702     if !whitelisted {
703         log!("Failed to select pool, {} is not whitelisted.", pool_id);
704         self.transfer_near(staker_id, env::attached_deposit());
705         return PromiseOrValue::Value(None);
706     }
707
708
709     if !self.staking_pools.get(&pool_id).is_some() {
710         self.internal_save_staking_pool(&StakingPool::new(pool_id.clone()));
711         Event::SaveStakingPool { pool_id: &pool_id }.emit();
712     }
713
714
715     self.internal_use_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id, |staking_pool| {
716         staking_pool.lock()
717     });
718
719
720     self.ping(Some(pool_id.clone()))
721         .then(
722             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
723                 .with_attached_deposit(env::attached_deposit())
724                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
725                 .stake_after_ping(staker_id, pool_id.clone()),
726         )
727         .into()
728     }
729     PromiseResult::Failed => {
730         self.transfer_near(staker_id, env::attached_deposit());
731         emit_callback_failed_event();
732         return PromiseOrValue::Value(None);
733     }
734 }
735 }
```

Listing 2.76: staking_impl.rs

Impact If users attempt to stake their [NEAR](#) tokens while the staking pool is locked, they will lose their [NEARs](#).

Suggestion Check if the staking pool is locked, if so, return the attached [NEAR](#) tokens instead of reverting.

2.1.29 Lack of Storage Fee Charge

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `submit_unstake_batch()` of the contract `RestakingBaseContract`, it will insert new `SubmittedUnstakeBatch` into `submitted_unstake_batches` if the newly created unstaking request is not submitted. It will consume a certain amount of storage, but the corresponding storage fee is not charged.

The same issue also exists in the function `stake()` of contract `LposMarket`.

```
180 fn submit_unstake_batch(&mut self, pool_id: PoolId) {
181     self.assert_contract_is_running();
182     let mut staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id);
183     assert!(staking_pool.is_able_submit_unstake_batch());
184
185
186     staking_pool.lock();
187
188
189     self.internal_save_staking_pool(&staking_pool);
190
191
192     ext_staking_pool::ext(pool_id.clone())
193         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE))
194         .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
195         .unstake(staking_pool.batched_unstake_amount.into())
196         .then(
197             Self::ext(current_account_id())
198                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_UNSTAKE_BATCH_CALLBACK))
199                 .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
200                 .submit_unstake_batch_callback(pool_id),
201         );
202 }
```

Listing 2.77: `staking_impl.rs`

```
733 #[private]
734 fn submit_unstake_batch_callback(&mut self, pool_id: PoolId) {
735     match env::promise_result(0) {
736         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
737         PromiseResult::Successful(_) => {
738             let mut staking_pool = self.internal_get_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id);
739
740
741             let submitted_unstake_batch = staking_pool.submit_unstake();
742             staking_pool.unlock();
743             self.internal_save_staking_pool(&staking_pool);
744
745
746             Event::SubmitUnstakeBatch {
747                 submitted_unstake_batch: &submitted_unstake_batch,
748                 staking_pool: &staking_pool.into(),
749             }
750                 .emit();
751         }
752         PromiseResult::Failed => {
753             self.internal_use_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id, |staking_pool| {
754                 staking_pool.unlock();
755             });
756             emit_callback_failed_event();
757         }
758     }
```

```
759 }
```

Listing 2.78: staking_impl.rs

```
202 pub fn submit_unstake(&mut self) -> SubmittedUnstakeBatch {
203     let submitted_unstake_batch = SubmittedUnstakeBatch {
204         unstake_batch_id: self.current_unstake_batch_id,
205         submit_unstake_epoch: env::epoch_height(),
206         total_unstake_amount: self.batched_unstake_amount,
207         claimed_amount: 0,
208         is_withdrawn: false,
209     };
210     self.submitted_unstake_batches.insert(
211         &self.current_unstake_batch_id,
212         &SubmittedUnstakeBatch {
213             unstake_batch_id: self.current_unstake_batch_id,
214             submit_unstake_epoch: env::epoch_height(),
215             total_unstake_amount: self.batched_unstake_amount,
216             claimed_amount: 0,
217             is_withdrawn: false,
218         },
219     );
220
221
222     self.last_unstake_epoch = env::epoch_height();
223     self.last_unstake_batch_id = Some(self.current_unstake_batch_id.clone());
224     self.current_unstake_batch_id = (self.current_unstake_batch_id.0 + 1).into();
225     self.batched_unstake_amount = 0;
226
227
228     self.unlock_epoch = env::epoch_height() + NUM_EPOCHS_TO_UNLOCK;
229
230
231     submitted_unstake_batch
232 }
```

Listing 2.79: staking_pool.rs

```
84 #[payable]
85 fn stake(&mut self, select_pool: PoolId) {
86     self.assert_contract_is_running();
87     self.assert_max_gas();
88     let validator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
89     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
90     assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Deployed));
91     let stake_amount = env::attached_deposit();
92
93
94     assert!(
95         validator.total_share_balance == 0 && validator.select_staking_pool.is_none(),
96         "Failed to stake, already stake in {:?}.",
97         validator.select_staking_pool
98     );
```

```
99     assert!(
100         stake_amount >= self.settings.minimum_validator_stake_amount,
101         "Failed to stake, attach near({}) less than minimum_validator_stake_amount.({}) ",
102         env::attached_deposit(),
103         self.settings.minimum_validator_stake_amount
104     );
105
106
107     ext_staking_pool::ext(select_pool.clone())
108         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_VIEW))
109         .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
110         .get_owner_id()
111         .then(
112             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
113                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_CHECK_POOL_THEN_STAKE))
114                 .with_unused_gas_weight(1)
115                 .check_staking_pool_then_stake(
116                     validator_id,
117                     validator.escrow_id.clone(),
118                     stake_amount.into(),
119                     select_pool,
120                 ),
121         );
122 }
```

Listing 2.80: staking_impl.rs

```
581 #[private]
582 fn check_staking_pool_then_stake(
583     &mut self,
584     validator_id: AccountId,
585     validator_escrow: AccountId,
586     stake_amount: U128,
587     select_pool_id: PoolId,
588 ) {
589     match env::promise_result(0) {
590         PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
591         PromiseResult::Successful(value) => {
592             if let Ok(staking_pool_owner_id) = from_slice::<AccountId>(&value) {
593                 let staking_pool =
594                     self.staking_pools
595                         .get(&select_pool_id)
596                         .unwrap_or(StakingPool::new(
597                             select_pool_id.clone(),
598                             staking_pool_owner_id.clone(),
599                         ));
600                 self.internal_save_staking_pool(&staking_pool);
601                 if staking_pool.is_allow_stake(&validator_id) {
602                     ext_escrow::ext(validator_escrow)
603                         .with_attached_deposit(stake_amount.0)
604                         .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_STAKE))
605                         .with_unused_gas_weight(0)
606                         .stake_with_validator(select_pool_id.clone(), validator_id.clone())
```

```
607         .then(  
608             Self::ext(env::current_account_id())  
609                 .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_STAKE_CALL_BACK))  
610                 .with_unused_gas_weight(0)  
611                 .stake_callback(  
612                     validator_id,  
613                     select_pool_id,  
614                     stake_amount.into(),  
615                 ),  
616             );  
617     } else {  
618         // if staking pool not allow to stake, should refund  
619         emit_callback_failed_event();  
620         self.transfer_near(validator_id, stake_amount.0);  
621     }  
622 } else {  
623     emit_callback_failed_event();  
624     self.transfer_near(validator_id, stake_amount.0);  
625 }  
626 }  
627  
628  
629 PromiseResult::Failed => {  
630     emit_callback_failed_event();  
631     self.transfer_near(validator_id, stake_amount.0);  
632 }  
633 }  
634 }
```

Listing 2.81: staking_impl.rs

Impact The contract `LposMarket` and `RestakingBaseContract` will bear additional storage fees.

Suggestion Add storage fee checks in functions `submit_unstake_batch()` and `stake()`.

Feedback from the Project The function `is_able_submit_unstake_batch` have checked that `batched_unstake_amount` should greater than zero. It means at least a `PendingWithdrawal` have created. Team believes storage fee for `PendingWithdrawal` can cover `SubmittedUnstakeBatch`.

2.1.30 Panic in Callback Function `stake_callback()`

Severity High

Status Fixed in `Version 2`

Introduced by `Version 1`

Description In the processing of a failed result within the callback function `stake_callback()`, the status of the `staker` and corresponding `stake pool` will be rolled back. Specifically, the `select_staking_pool` of the `staker` will be set as `None`, and the `stake pool` will be unlocked. However, when selecting the `stake pool` to unlock, the staker's `select_staking_pool` with a value of `None` is used. This would cause the function `internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic()` to panic directly, leading to the failure of all state rollbacks. Besides, the deposited `NEAR` tokens would not be refunded.


```
568  #[private]
569  fn stake_callback(
570      &mut self,
571      staker_id: AccountId,
572      stake_amount: U128,
573      pool_id: PoolId,
574  ) -> PromiseOrValue<Option<StakingChangeResult>> {
575      match env::promise_result(0) {
576          PromiseResult::NotReady => unreachable!(),
577          PromiseResult::Successful(value) => {
578              let new_total_staked_balance = near_sdk::serde_json::from_slice:::<U128>(&value)
579                  .expect("Failed to deserialize in increase_stake_callback by value.")
580                  .0;
581
582
583              let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
584
585
586              let sequence = U64(self.next_sequence());
587
588
589              let staker_new_balance =
590                  self.internal_use_staking_pool_or_panic(&pool_id, |staking_pool| {
591                      let increase_shares = staking_pool.stake(
592                          &mut staker,
593                          stake_amount.0,
594                          new_total_staked_balance,
595                      );
596                      staking_pool.unlock();
597
598                      Event::StakerStake {
599                          staking_pool_info: &staking_pool.into(),
600                          staker_info: &(&staker).into(),
601                          select_pool: &staking_pool.pool_id,
602                          stake_amount: &stake_amount,
603                          increase_shares: &increase_shares.into(),
604                          sequence: &sequence,
605                      }
606                  }
607                  .emit();
608                  staking_pool.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_down(staker.shares)
609              });
610              self.internal_save_staker(&staker_id, &staker);
611
612
613              return PromiseOrValue::Value(Some(StakingChangeResult {
614                  sequence,
615                  new_total_staked_balance: staker_new_balance.into(),
616                  withdrawal_certificate: None,
617              }));
618          }
619          PromiseResult::Failed => {
```

```
620         let mut staker = self.internal_get_staker_or_panic(&staker_id);
621         staker.select_staking_pool = None;
622         self.internal_use_staker_or_panic(&staker_id, |staker| {
623             staker.select_staking_pool = None
624         });
625         self.internal_use_staker_staking_pool_or_panic(&staker_id, |pool| pool.unlock());
626         self.transfer_near(staker_id, stake_amount.0);
627         emit_callback_failed_event();
628         return PromiseOrValue::Value(None);
629     }
630 };
631 }
```

Listing 2.82: staking_impl.rs

Impact When a stake operation fails, the users' `select_staking_pool` will not be set to `None`, the `stake pool` will not be unlocked, and the `NEAR` tokens used for staking will not be returned to the user.

Suggestion Unlock the corresponding `stake pool` before setting the user's `select_staking_pool` to `None`.

2.1.31 Potential DoS in Function `destroy()`

Severity High

Status Confirmed

Introduced by Version 1

Description In the function `destroy()` of the contract `LposMarket`, there is a check to ensure the `validator` must be `destroyable` (line 235). One of the conditions is that the `validator's restaking_rewards` should be empty. However, when a `validator` is in the `ToBeDestroyed` state, users are still able to distribute rewards to the `validator` via the functions `ft_on_transfer()` and `distribute_latest_reward()`, which makes `restaking_rewards` unempty. This will prevent the validator from being destroyed.

The same issue also exists in the function `clean_validator_state()`.

```
230 fn destroy(&mut self, validator_id: AccountId) {
231     self.assert_contract_is_running();
232
233
234     let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
235     assert!(validator.is_destroyable());
236
237
238     let withdraw_near = validator.unstake_withdrawn_amount;
239     self.validators.remove(&validator_id);
240     self.escrow_validators.remove(&validator_id);
241
242
243     self.transfer_near(validator_id.clone(), withdraw_near);
244
245
246     Event::Destroy {
247         validator_id: &validator_id,
```

```
248     withdraw_near: &withdraw_near.into(),
249   }
250   .emit();
251
252
253   ext_escrow::ext(validator.escrow_id)
254     .with_attached_deposit(ONE_YOCTO)
255     .delete_account(validator_id);
256 }
```

Listing 2.83: staking_impl.rs

```
85 pub fn is_destroyable(&self) -> bool {
86     return matches!(self.status, ValidatorStatus::ToBeDestroyed)
87         && self.delegators.is_empty()
88         && self.delegators_shares_in_sequence.is_empty()
89         && self.delegator_set_in_sequence.is_empty()
90         && self.validator_states_in_sequence.is_empty()
91         && self.restaking_rewards.is_empty()
92         && self.rewards.is_empty();
93 }
```

Listing 2.84: validator.rs

```
190 fn clean_validator_state(
191     &mut self,
192     validator_id: AccountId,
193 ) -> MultiTxOperationProcessingResult {
194     self.assert_contract_is_running();
195     let mut validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
196
197
198     assert!(validator.is_able_clean_state());
199
200
201     let gas_limit = Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(20);
202     let delegators = validator.delegators_shares_in_sequence.keys().collect_vec();
203     for delegator in delegators {
204         if remaining_gas() < gas_limit {
205             return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::NeedMoreGas;
206         }
207         let mut shares_in_sequence = validator
208             .delegators_shares_in_sequence
209             .get(&delegator)
210             .unwrap();
211         shares_in_sequence.clear();
212         validator.delegators_shares_in_sequence.remove(&delegator);
213     }
214
215
216     if remaining_gas() < gas_limit {
217         self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
218         return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::NeedMoreGas;
```

```
219     }
220
221
222     validator.delegator_set_in_sequence.clear();
223
224
225     if remaining_gas() < gas_limit {
226         self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
227         return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::NeedMoreGas;
228     }
229     validator.validator_states_in_sequence.clear();
230     self.internal_save_validator(&validator);
231
232
233     return MultiTxOperationProcessingResult::Ok;
234 }
235 \
```

Listing 2.85: staking_impl.rs

```
80 pub fn is_able_clean_state(&self) -> bool {
81     return matches!(self.status, ValidatorStatus::ToBeDestroyed)
82         && self.restaking_rewards.is_empty();
83 }
```

Listing 2.86: near_ft_impl.rs

```
8 fn ft_on_transfer(
9     &mut self,
10    sender_id: AccountId,
11    amount: U128,
12    msg: String,
13) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {
14    let deposit_reward_msg =
15        near_sdk::serde_json::from_str::<FtTransferMessage>(&msg).expect("Invalid msg");
16
17
18    match deposit_reward_msg {
19        FtTransferMessage::AnchorDepositRewardMsg(msg) => {
20            self.handle_anchor_deposit_reward_msg(sender_id, amount.0, msg)
21        }
22    }
23 }
```

Listing 2.87: validator.rs

```
69 fn distribute_latest_reward(&mut self) {
70     self.assert_contract_is_running();
71     let reward_info = self.anchor_deposit_rewards.pop().expect("No reward found.");
72     log!("Reward information: {:?}", reward_info);
73
74
75     let total_stake_amount: u128 = reward_info.validator_set.iter().map(|(_, b)| b.0).sum();
```

```
76
77
78     assert!(total_stake_amount > 0);
79
80
81     let octopus_commission_amount = (U256::from(reward_info.reward_amount)
82         * U256::from(self.settings.octopus_commission_rate)
83         / U256::from(100))
84     .as_u128();
85     let remain_reward_amount = reward_info
86         .reward_amount
87         .checked_sub(octopus_commission_amount)
88         .unwrap();
89
90
91     self.internal_deposit_octopus_commission(
92         &reward_info.reward_token_id,
93         octopus_commission_amount,
94         &reward_info.reward_uuid,
95     );
96
97
98     let max_validator_staked_amount = reward_info
99         .validator_set
100        .iter()
101        .map(|e| e.1 .0)
102        .max()
103        .expect("Failed to get max_validator_staked_amount.");
104     for (escrow_id, stake_amount) in reward_info.validator_set {
105         let validator_id = self.escrow_validators.get(&escrow_id).unwrap();
106         let validator_receive_reward_amount = (U256::from(stake_amount.0)
107             * U256::from(remain_reward_amount)
108             / U256::from(total_stake_amount))
109         .as_u128();
110
111
112         let validator_commission_rate = calculate_validator_commission_rate(
113             max_validator_staked_amount,
114             stake_amount.0,
115             self.settings.validator_commission_rate,
116         );
117
118
119         Event::ValidatorReceiveAnchorReward {
120             consumer_chain_id: &reward_info.consumer_chain_id,
121             anchor_id: &reward_info.anchor_id,
122             reward_token_id: &reward_info.reward_token_id,
123             reward_token_amount: &validator_receive_reward_amount.into(),
124             validator_id: &validator_id,
125             sequence: &reward_info.sequence,
126             reward_uuid: &reward_info.reward_uuid,
127             validator_commission_rate: &validator_commission_rate,
128         }
```

```
129     .emit();
130     self.internal_use_validator_or_panic(&validator_id, |validator| {
131         validator.restaking_rewards.push(&RewardInfo {
132             reward_token_id: reward_info.reward_token_id.clone(),
133             reward_amount: validator_receive_reward_amount,
134             sequence: reward_info.sequence.clone(),
135             claimed_delegators: UnorderedSet::new(
136                 StorageKey::RewardClaimedDelegatorInValidator {
137                     validator_id: validator.validator_id.clone(),
138                     timestamp: env::block_timestamp(),
139                 },
140             ),
141             reward_uuid: reward_info.reward_uuid.clone(),
142             validator_commission_rate: validator_commission_rate,
143         })
144     });
145 }
146 }
```

Listing 2.88: restaking_impl.rs

Impact The validator cannot be destroyed even when it is in the `ToBeDestroyed` state..

Suggestion Add checks to ensure that new data cannot be added to `self.restaking_rewards` when the validator is in the `ToBeDestroyed` state.

Feedback from the Project The team may decide to upgrade contract `LposMarket`'s when this issue really happens.

2.1.32 Potential Panic in Function `transfer_near()`

Severity High

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `transfer_near()`, if the `NEAR` amount in the transfer is 0 or if the contract account has an insufficient balance, the function will panic, leading to the failure of `NEAR` transfer. Since this function is used in multiple callback functions, the panic should not occur, or the status rollbacks will fail as well.

```
110 pub(crate) fn transfer_near(&self, account_id: AccountId, amount: Balance) {
111     assert!(amount > 0, "Failed to send near because the amount is 0.");
112     assert!(account_available_amount() >= amount, "Failed to send near because account
113         available balance less than the amount.");
114     log!("transfer {} to {}", amount, account_id);
115     Promise::new(account_id).transfer(amount);
116 }
```

Listing 2.89: lib.rs

Impact If the panic in handling the failed promise result occurs within a callback function, both the status rollbacks and the operation to return `NEAR` tokens to the user will fail.

Suggestion Avoid causing a panic in the function `transfer_near()`, provide the team with the proper error log for refunding.

Feedback from the Project The team promises to monitor all failed actions associated with this contract.

2.2 Additional Recommendation

2.2.1 Redundant code

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In contract `RestakingBaseContract`, the check within the function `update_consumer_chain_info()` to ensure the caller is the privileged `governance` account can be completely replaced by the function `assert_cc_gov()` in `consumer_chain.rs`.

```
112 fn update_consumer_chain_info(
113     &mut self,
114     consumer_chain_id: ConsumerChainId,
115     update_param: ConsumerChainUpdateParam,
116 ) {
117     self.assert_contract_is_running();
118     assert_one_yocto();
119     let mut consumer_chain = self.consumer_chains.get(&consumer_chain_id).expect(
120         format!(
121             "ConsumerChain not exist when update_consumer_chain_info by this chain_id: {}",
122             consumer_chain_id
123         )
124         .as_str(),
125     );
126     // check if predecessor is consumer chain governance
127     assert_eq!(
128         consumer_chain.governance,
129         env::predecessor_account_id(),
130         "Only cc_gov({}) can update_consumer_chain_info",
131         consumer_chain.governance
132     );
133
134
135     // Update unbonding period for every stakers.
136     if let Some(new_unbonding_period) = update_param.unbonding_period {
137         if new_unbonding_period != consumer_chain.unbonding_period {
138             for staker_id in consumer_chain.bonding_stakers.iter() {
139                 self.internal_use_staker_or_panic(&staker_id, |staker| {
140                     staker.update_unbonding_period(&consumer_chain_id, new_unbonding_period)
141                 });
142             }
143         }
144     }
145
146
147     consumer_chain.update(update_param.clone());
```

```
148
149
150     self.consumer_chains
151         .insert(&consumer_chain_id, &consumer_chain);
152
153
154     Event::UpdateConsumerChain {
155         consumer_chain_info: &consumer_chain.into(),
156         consumer_chain_update_param: &update_param,
157     }
158     .emit();
159 }
```

Listing 2.90: restaking_impl.rs

```
32 pub fn assert_cc_gov(&self) {
33     let predecessor_account_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
34
35     assert_eq!(
36         predecessor_account_id, self.governance,
37         "The predecessor_account_id({}) is not consumer chain governance({})",
38         predecessor_account_id, self.governance
39     );
40 }
```

Listing 2.91: consumer_chain.rs

Suggestion I Replace above implementation with the function `assert_cc_gov()`.

2.2.2 Lack of Validation for Register Fee

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In `owner_impl.rs`, the `owner` is allowed to set the fee amount for users to register a chain through the function `set_cc_register_fee()`. However, there is no limitation for the maximum of the `new_cc_register_fee`.

The above issue also occurs in the function `set_deploy_fee()` in `owner_impls.rs`.

```
112 fn set_cc_register_fee(&mut self, new_cc_register_fee: U128) {
113     assert_one_yocto();
114     self.assert_owner();
115     self.cc_register_fee = new_cc_register_fee.into();
116 }
```

Listing 2.92: Owner_impl.rs

```
6 fn set_deploy_fee(&mut self, new_deploy_fee: U128) {
7     assert_one_yocto();
8     self.assert_owner();
9     self.settings.validator_deploy_fee = new_deploy_fee.0
10 }
```

Listing 2.93: Owner_impl.rs

Suggestion I Add a check to ensure the fee has a maximum value.

2.2.3 Lack of Check in Function `delegate`

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the function `delegate()`, users can delegate their [NEAR](#) to validators. However, this process lacks sufficient checks, enabling validators to delegate to themselves. This is meaningless.

```
253  #[payable]
254  fn delegate(&mut self, validator_id: AccountId, delegate_amount: U128) {
255      self.assert_contract_is_running();
256      self.assert_max_gas();
257
258
259      assert!(delegate_amount.0 > 0);
260      assert_eq!(STORAGE_FEE + delegate_amount.0, env::attached_deposit());
261
262
263      let delegator_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
264      let delegator = self.internal_get_delegator_or_insert_new(&delegator_id);
265
266
267      assert!(
268          delegator.share_balance == 0 && delegator.select_validator_id.is_none(),
269          "Failed to delegate, already stake in {:?}.",
270          delegator.select_validator_id
271      );
272
273
274      assert!(
275          delegate_amount.0 >= self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount,
276          "Failed to stake, attach near({}) less than minimum_delegator_stake_amount.({}) ",
277          env::attached_deposit(),
278          self.settings.minimum_delegator_stake_amount
279      );
280      let validator = self.internal_get_validator_or_panic(&validator_id);
281
282
283      assert!((validator.delegators.len() as u32) <= self.settings.maximum_delegators_limit);
284      assert!(matches!(validator.status, ValidatorStatus::Staking));
285
286
287      self.ping(validator.validator_id).then(
288          Self::ext(env::current_account_id())
289              .with_static_gas(Gas::ONE_TERA.mul(TGAS_FOR_INCREASE_STAKE_AFTER_PING))
290              .delegate_after_ping(delegator_id, validator_id, delegate_amount),
291      );
292  }
```

Listing 2.94: `staking_impl.rs`

Suggestion I Add checks to prevent validators from delegating themselves.

2.2.4 Incorrect Error Message

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In function `calculate_increase_shares()`, the error message `Increase delegation amount should be positvie` should be `Increase near amount should be positive`.

```

48 pub fn calculate_increase_shares(&self, increase_near_amount: Balance) -> ShareBalance {
49     assert!(
50         increase_near_amount > 0,
51         "Increase delegation amount should be positvie"
52     );
53     let increase_shares =
54         self.share_balance_from_staked_amount_rounded_down(increase_near_amount);
55     assert!(
56         increase_shares > 0,
57         "Invariant violation. The calculated number of stake shares for unstaking should be
           positive"
58     );
59
60
61     let charge_amount = self.staked_amount_from_shares_balance_rounded_down(increase_shares);
62     assert!(
63         charge_amount > 0 && increase_near_amount >= charge_amount,
64         "charge_amount: {}, increase_near_amount: {}",
65         charge_amount,
66         increase_near_amount
67     );
68     increase_shares
69 }

```

Listing 2.95: `staking_pool.rs`

Suggestion I Replace `Increase delegation amount should be positvie` with `Increase near amount should be positive`.

2.2.5 Refunding Excessive Registration Fee to Incorrect Recipients

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In `storage_management_impl.rs`, users have to register an account for further operations. They can choose to register either for themselves or for others by depositing a specific amount of `storage_fee` through the function `storage_deposit()`. If the specific `account_id` is already registered, the contract will refund `NEAR` to the address corresponding to this `account_id` instead of the sponsor (i.e., `env::predecessor_account_id()`). Similarly, if the account is already registered but the deposited `NEAR` exceeds the `REGISTER_STORAGE_FEE`, the excess part will also be refunded to that address. This design is unreasonable. The above issue also occurs in the function `set_deploy_fee()` in `owner_impls.rs`.

```

11 fn storage_deposit(
12     &mut self,

```

```
13     account_id: Option<AccountId>,
14     #[allow(unused)] registration_only: Option<bool>,
15 ) -> StorageBalance {
16     let account_id = account_id.unwrap_or(env::predecessor_account_id());
17     let exist = self.accounts.contains_key(&account_id);
18     if exist {
19         self.transfer_near(account_id.clone(), env::attached_deposit())
20     } else {
21         assert!(env::attached_deposit() >= REGISTER_STORAGE_FEE);
22         self.internal_save_account(&account_id, &Account::new(account_id.clone()));
23         if env::attached_deposit() > REGISTER_STORAGE_FEE {
24             self.transfer_near(
25                 account_id.clone(),
26                 env::attached_deposit() - REGISTER_STORAGE_FEE,
27             )
28         }
29     }
30
31
32     self.storage_balance_of(account_id).unwrap()
33 }
```

Listing 2.96: storage_management_impl.rs

Suggestion I Refund [NEAR](#) to sponsors.

2.2.6 Lack of Check on Account's NEAR Balance

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The function `transfer_near()` of contract [LposMarket](#) is designed to send [NEAR](#) to other accounts. However, this function lacks a check on the current contract account's [NEAR](#) balance. If the amount of [NEAR](#) sent exceeds the current account's balance, the cross-contract execution will fail.

```
121 pub(crate) fn transfer_near(&self, account_id: AccountId, amount: Balance) {
122     assert!(amount > 0, "Failed to send near because the amount is 0.");
123     Promise::new(account_id).transfer(amount);
124 }
```

Listing 2.97: lib.rs

Suggestion I Add a check to ensure the contract account has sufficient [NEAR](#) balance before transfer.

2.3 Notes

2.3.1 Potential Centralization Problem

Introduced by [version 1](#)

Description The protocol has potential centralization risks. In the current implementation, [validators](#) establish a binding relationship with the selected [consumer chain](#) through the [bond](#) operation to receive

rewards distributed by the `consumer chain`. The privileged account, `pos_account`, of the `consumer chain` has the ability to perform `slash` operations on the bonded `validators`, penalizing them for any illegal actions. However, if this privileged account is compromised or its information is leaked, the `validator's` stake in the pool can be drained through such operations.