



BlockSec

Security Audit Report for WenCore

Date: Feb 2, 2024

Version: 1.0

Contact: contact@blocksec.com

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	About Target Contracts	1
1.2	Disclaimer	1
1.3	Procedure of Auditing	1
1.3.1	Software Security	2
1.3.2	DeFi Security	2
1.3.3	NFT Security	2
1.3.4	Additional Recommendation	2
1.4	Security Model	3
2	Findings	4
2.1	DeFi Security	5
2.1.1	Incorrect Calculation of Staking Rewards in esWenstaking	5
2.1.2	Front-Running of Reward Distribution in submit()	6
2.1.3	Improper Check of Input in setRewardEndTime()	6
2.1.4	Precision Loss of Rewards in claim()	7
2.1.5	Transferable esWen Token	8
2.1.6	Incapable Collateral Token within Protocol	9
2.1.7	Losses of Stakers in Stability Pool due to Flash Loan Liquidation	10
2.1.8	Incorrect Update of System Variable lastCollateralError_Offset	11
2.1.9	Timely Redistribution of Liquidated Collateral and Debt among Troves	13
2.1.10	Potential Centralization Issues	17
2.1.11	The Last Trove with Bad Debt can Influence the TCR	18
2.1.12	Potential Revert in Batch Liquidation of Troves	23
2.1.13	Incorrect Rounding Direction in shareBurnt()	29
2.1.14	Lack of Check in Function setMaxSystemDebt()	29
2.1.15	Conflicts of Updating rewardEndTime During Initialization of LPStakingPool	29
2.1.16	Inappropriate Parameter Settings in initLockSettings	30
2.1.17	Lack of Check in Function setMCR()	31
2.1.18	Incorrect Calculation of Debt Interest	31
2.2	Additional Recommendation	32
2.2.1	Incorrect Function Name	32
2.2.2	Inconsistency between Implementation and Comments	32
2.3	Note	33
2.3.1	Contract Supports Multiple Collateral Assets and Relies on Timely Updates from the Price Oracle	33

Report Manifest

Item	Description
Client	Wen-Protocol
Target	WenCore

Version History

Version	Date	Description
1.0	February 2, 2024	First Version

About BlockSec The **BlockSec Team** focuses on the security of the blockchain ecosystem, and collaborates with leading DeFi projects to secure their products. The team is founded by top-notch security researchers and experienced experts from both academia and industry. They have published multiple blockchain security papers in prestigious conferences, reported several zero-day attacks of DeFi applications, and released detailed analysis reports of high-impact security incidents. They can be reached at [Email](#), [Twitter](#) and [Medium](#).

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 About Target Contracts

Information	Description
Type	Smart Contract
Language	Solidity
Approach	Semi-automatic and manual verification

The target of this audit is the code repository of WenCore¹ of Wen-Protocol.

The auditing process is iterative. Specifically, we will audit the commits that fix the discovered issues. If there are new issues, we will continue this process. The commit SHA values during the audit are shown in the following. Our audit report is responsible for the only initial version (i.e., [Version 1](#)), as well as new codes (in the following versions) to fix issues in the audit report.

Project		Commit SHA
WenCore	Version 1	b4f1a412874924cfcc66fb0b084779f9de575ad1
	Version 2	cab02c83243b3b86aa1e239d4dd1c86c6370af83

1.2 Disclaimer

This audit report does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation. It does not consider, and should not be interpreted as considering or having any bearing on, the potential economics of a token, token sale or any other product, service or other asset. Any entity should not rely on this report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell any token, product, service or other asset.

This audit report is not an endorsement of any particular project or team, and the report does not guarantee the security of any particular project. This audit does not give any warranties on discovering all security issues of the smart contracts, i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee the nonexistence of any further findings of security issues. As one audit cannot be considered comprehensive, we always recommend proceeding with independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

The scope of this audit is limited to the code mentioned in Section 1.1. Unless explicitly specified, the security of the language itself (e.g., the solidity language), the underlying compiling toolchain and the computing infrastructure are out of the scope.

1.3 Procedure of Auditing

We perform the audit according to the following procedure.

- **Vulnerability Detection** We first scan smart contracts with automatic code analyzers, and then manually verify (reject or confirm) the issues reported by them.

¹<https://github.com/WENProtocol/WenCore/>

- **Semantic Analysis** We study the business logic of smart contracts and conduct further investigation on the possible vulnerabilities using an automatic fuzzing tool (developed by our research team). We also manually analyze possible attack scenarios with independent auditors to cross-check the result.
- **Recommendation** We provide some useful advice to developers from the perspective of good programming practice, including gas optimization, code style, and etc.
We show the main concrete checkpoints in the following.

1.3.1 Software Security

- * Reentrancy
- * DoS
- * Access control
- * Data handling and data flow
- * Exception handling
- * Untrusted external call and control flow
- * Initialization consistency
- * Events operation
- * Error-prone randomness
- * Improper use of the proxy system

1.3.2 DeFi Security


- * Semantic consistency
- * Functionality consistency
- * Access control
- * Business logic
- * Token operation
- * Emergency mechanism
- * Oracle security
- * Whitelist and blacklist
- * Economic impact
- * Batch transfer

1.3.3 NFT Security

- * Duplicated item
- * Verification of the token receiver
- * Off-chain metadata security

1.3.4 Additional Recommendation

- * Gas optimization
- * Code quality and style

 **Note** The previous checkpoints are the main ones. We may use more checkpoints during the auditing process according to the functionality of the project.

1.4 Security Model

To evaluate the risk, we follow the standards or suggestions that are widely adopted by both industry and academy, including OWASP Risk Rating Methodology ² and Common Weakness Enumeration ³. The overall *severity* of the risk is determined by *likelihood* and *impact*. Specifically, likelihood is used to estimate how likely a particular vulnerability can be uncovered and exploited by an attacker, while impact is used to measure the consequences of a successful exploit.

In this report, both likelihood and impact are categorized into two ratings, i.e., *high* and *low* respectively, and their combinations are shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Vulnerability Severity Classification

Impact	<i>High</i>	High	Medium
	<i>Low</i>	Medium	Low
		<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
		Likelihood	

Accordingly, the severity measured in this report are classified into three categories: **High**, **Medium**, **Low**. For the sake of completeness, **Undetermined** is also used to cover circumstances when the risk cannot be well determined.

Furthermore, the status of a discovered item will fall into one of the following four categories:

- **Undetermined** No response yet.
- **Acknowledged** The item has been received by the client, but not confirmed yet.
- **Confirmed** The item has been recognized by the client, but not fixed yet.
- **Fixed** The item has been confirmed and fixed by the client.

²https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP_Risk_Rating_Methodology

³<https://cwe.mitre.org/>

Chapter 2 Findings

In total, we find **eighteen** potential issues. Besides, we also have **two** recommendations and **one** note as follows:

- High Risk: 7
- Medium Risk: 10
- Low Risk: 1
- Recommendations: 2
- Note: 1

ID	Severity	Description	Category	Status
1	High	Incorrect Calculation of Staking Rewards in esWenstaking	DeFi Security	Fixed
2	Medium	Front-Running of Reward Distribution in submit()	DeFi Security	Confirmed
3	Medium	Improper Check of Input in setRewardEndTime()	DeFi Security	Fixed
4	Medium	Precision Loss of Rewards in claim()	DeFi Security	Fixed
5	High	Transferable esWen Token	DeFi Security	Fixed
6	High	Incapable Collateral Token within Protocol	DeFi Security	Fixed
7	High	Losses of Stakers in Stability Pool due to Flash Loan Liquidation	DeFi Security	Fixed
8	High	Incorrect Update of System Variable lastCollateralError_Offset	DeFi Security	Fixed
9	Medium	Timely Redistribution of Liquidated Collateral and Debt among Troves	DeFi Security	Confirmed
10	Medium	Potential Centralization Issues	DeFi Security	Confirmed
11	Medium	The Last Trove with Bad Debt can Influence the TCR	DeFi Security	Fixed
12	Medium	Potential Revert in Batch Liquidation of Troves	DeFi Security	Fixed
13	Medium	Incorrect Rounding Direction in shareBurnt()	DeFi Security	Confirmed
14	Medium	Lack of Check in Function setMaxSystemDebt()	DeFi Security	Fixed
15	Low	Conflicts of Updating rewardEndTime During Initialization of LPStakingPool	DeFi Security	Confirmed
16	High	Inappropriate Parameter Settings in initLockSettings	DeFi Security	Fixed
17	Medium	Lack of Check in Function setMCR()	DeFi Security	Fixed
18	High	Incorrect Calculation of Debt Interest	DeFi Security	Fixed
19	-	Incorrect Function Name	Recommendation	Fixed
20	-	Inconsistency between Implementation and Comments	Recommendation	Fixed
21	-	Contract Supports Multiple Collateral Assets and Relies on Timely Updates from the Price Oracle	Note	Confirmed

The details are provided in the following sections.

2.1 DeFi Security

2.1.1 Incorrect Calculation of Staking Rewards in esWenstaking

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract `esWenStaking`, the function `_updateSnapshot()` is used to update rewards. `F_Tokens` represent the current value per share. When the user stakes for the first time, since the current stake amount is zero, the current `F_Token` will not be assigned to `snapshots`. The calculation of rewards earned by the user is based on the difference between the global `F_Tokens` and the `F_Tokens` recorded in the user's `snapshots`. In this case, the calculated reward is wrong and the user can invoke the function `unstake()` to claim the incorrect reward immediately.

```
54 function stakeWithoutLock(uint256 amount) external {
55     uint256 id = _MaxId;
56     _updateSnapshot(msg.sender, _MaxId);
57     esWen.sendToken(msg.sender, amount);
58     stakes[msg.sender][id] += amount;
59     stakeSharesByTokenAmountWithoutLock(msg.sender, id, amount);
60     totalStakes += amount;
61     emit StakedWithoutLock(msg.sender, id, amount);
62 }
63
64
65 function earned(address user, address token, uint256 id) public view override returns (uint256) {
66     return (shareOf(user, id) * (F_Tokens[token] - snapshots[user][token][id])) / PRECISION;
67 }
68
69
70 function _updateSnapshot(address user, uint256 id) internal {
71     for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokensLength(); i++) {
72         uint256 currentStakes = stakes[user][id];
73         (address token,) = tokenAt(i);
74         if (currentStakes > 0) {
75             uint256 amount = earned(user, token, id);
76             snapshots[user][token][id] = F_Tokens[token];
77             if (amount > 0) {
78                 IERC20(token).transfer(user, amount);
79                 emit Claimed(user, id, amount);
80             }
81         }
82     }
83 }
84
85
86 function unstake(uint256 id) external {
87     if (id != _MaxId) {
```



```
88     require(block.timestamp > unlockTime(msg.sender, id), "esWenStaking: token is in lock
      period");
89   }
90   uint256 amount = stakeOf(msg.sender, id);
91   _updateSnapshot(msg.sender, id);
92   burnSharesByTokenAmount(msg.sender, id, amount);
93   stakes[msg.sender][id] -= amount;
94   totalStakes -= amount;
95   esWen.transfer(msg.sender, amount);
96   emit Withdrawn(msg.sender, id, amount);
97 }
```

Listing 2.1: esWenStaking.sol

Impact The rewards pool can be drained.

Suggestion Modify the corresponding logic in the function `_updateSnapshot()` to ensure that users' `snapshots` will be correctly updated during their first stake.

2.1.2 Front-Running of Reward Distribution in `submit()`

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract of `esWenStaking`, function `submit()` is used to distribute rewards for staking. These rewards are not directly distributed to users. Instead, they are first recorded in the corresponding `F_Tokens[token]`. In this case, malicious users only need to stake before the function `submit()` is invoked to instantly receive a certain proportion of the newly allocated rewards.

```
127 function submit(address token, uint256 amount) external override {
128     require(amount > 0, "esWenStaking: zero amount");
129     require(totalShares() > 0, "esWenStaking: zero stakes");
130     require(tokenExists(token), "esWenStaking: nonexistent token");
131     IERC20(token).transferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amount);
132     F_Tokens[token] += (amount * PRECISION) / totalShares();
133     emit FeeIncreased(token, amount);
134 }
```

Listing 2.2: esWenStaking.sol

Impact Front running can be used to claim undeserved newly allocated rewards by executing transactions ahead of function `submit()`, which results in losses of other stakers.

Suggestion Staking without a lock-up period should not immediately gain rewards.

2.1.3 Improper Check of Input in `setRewardEndTime()`

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract `LPStakingPool`, the privileged function `setRewardEndTime()` is used to set the end time of reward distribution. However, there is no verification for the input parameter `_rewardEndTime` to ensure the new `rewardEndTime` is larger than the current `timestamp`. Instead, the previous `rewardEndTime` is checked, which means the `rewardEndTime` can only be updated before it expires.

```
48 function setRewardEndTime(uint256 _rewardEndTime) external onlyOwner {
49     updatePool();
50     require(rewardEndTime > block.timestamp, "invalid rewardEndTime");
51     rewardEndTime = _rewardEndTime;
52     emit RewardEndTimeUpdated(_rewardEndTime);
53 }
```

Listing 2.3: LPStakingPool.sol

Impact The newly updated `rewardEndTime` is able to be lower than the current `timestamp`, which terminates the distribution of rewards instantly.

Suggestion Verify the input parameter `_rewardEndTime` in function `setRewardEndTime()`.

2.1.4 Precision Loss of Rewards in claim()

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract of `Vest`, function `claim()` allows the user to claim the linearly released rewards. Based on the staking duration, there are three states of reward distribution: `inCliff`, `inRelease`, and `outOfRelease`. In the `inCliff` state, rewards are not distributed. In the `inRelease` state, the distribution of rewards is calculated based on the elapsed time. In the `outOfRelease` state, all rewards are fully released.

However, in the `inRelease` state, if the staked amount and elapsed time are both relatively small, the reward calculation may result in a loss of precision, causing the rewards to be rounded down to zero. Nevertheless, the contract still considers this reward segment as released and records the elapsed time.

The same issue also exists in function `claimAll()`.

```
62 function claimAll(uint256[] memory ids) external {
63     address account = msg.sender;
64     for (uint256 i = 0; i < ids.length; i++) {
65         uint256 id = ids[i];
66         require(id < currentIds[account], "Vest: invalid id");
67         State state = stateOf(account, id);
68         uint64 total = orderInfos[account][id].releaseEnd - orderInfos[account][id].cliffEnd;
69         if (orderInfos[account][id].released == total) {
70             continue;
71         }
72         if (state == State.inCliff) {
73             continue;
74         } else if (state == State.inRelease) {
75             uint64 walked = uint64(block.timestamp - orderInfos[account][id].cliffEnd);
76             uint256 amount = ((walked - orderInfos[account][id].released) * orderInfos[account][id].amount) / total;
```

```
77         orderInfos[account][id].released = walked;
78         Wen.esWen2Wen(account, amount);
79         emit Claimed(account, id, amount);
80     } else {
81         uint64 leftWalk = total - orderInfos[account][id].released;
82         uint256 amount = (leftWalk * orderInfos[account][id].amount) / total;
83         orderInfos[account][id].released = total;
84         Wen.esWen2Wen(account, amount);
85         emit Claimed(account, id, amount);
86     }
87 }
88 }
89 function claim(uint256 id) external {
90     address account = msg.sender;
91     require(id < currentIds[account], "Vest: invalid id");
92     State state = stateOf(account, id);
93     uint64 total = orderInfos[account][id].releaseEnd - orderInfos[account][id].cliffEnd;
94     require(orderInfos[account][id].released < total, "Vest: order claimed");
95     if (state == State.inCliff) {
96         revert("Vest: in cliff");
97     } else if (state == State.inRelease) {
98         uint64 walked = uint64(block.timestamp - orderInfos[account][id].cliffEnd);
99         uint256 amount = ((walked - orderInfos[account][id].released) * orderInfos[account][id]
100             .amount) / total;
101         orderInfos[account][id].released = walked;
102         Wen.esWen2Wen(account, amount);
103         emit Claimed(account, id, amount);
104     } else {
105         uint64 leftWalk = total - orderInfos[account][id].released;
106         uint256 amount = (leftWalk * orderInfos[account][id].amount) / total;
107         orderInfos[account][id].released = total;
108         Wen.esWen2Wen(account, amount);
109         emit Claimed(account, id, amount);
110     }
```

Listing 2.4: Vest.sol

Impact Claiming rewards may result in loss of rewards for users due to precision loss.

Suggestion Set a minimum vesting amount in `Vest`.

2.1.5 Transferable esWen Token

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description According to the design, `esWen` token is supposed to be staked and then linearly converted into `Wen` Token, and `esWen` Token should not be transferable. However, in the current implementation, the function `transferFrom()` can bypass the check of senders.

```
87     function transfer(address to, uint256 amount) public override(IERC20, ERC20) returns (bool) {
```

```
88     _requireCallerIsSender();
89     _transfer(msg.sender, to, amount);
90     return true;
91 }
92 function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint256 value) public virtual returns (bool) {
93     address spender = _msgSender();
94     _spendAllowance(from, spender, value);
95     _transfer(from, to, value);
96     return true;
97 }
```

Listing 2.5: esWen.sol

Impact From the protocol design, `esWen` should not be allowed to be transferred to anyone, but the current implementation still allows transfers via the function `transferFrom()`.

Suggestion Overwrite the internal function `_transfer()`, instead of the function `transfer()`.

2.1.6 Incapable Collateral Token within Protocol

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description According to the design, the protocol supports multiple tokens as collateral, but the protocol's implementation is not compatible with rebasing tokens such as `stETH`.

Specifically, the function `transferFrom()` in `stETH` takes the parameter `_amount` as the quantity of `ETH` by default. It converts this amount to the corresponding number of shares through the function `getSharesByPooledEth()` and internally records changes in shares between accounts. The contract uses the parameter `_amount`, which is not converted into shares, as the basis for collecting and returning `stETH` during the process of opening and closing `troves`. As a result, due to the nature of `stETH`, the amount of `ETH` that can be obtained per share is increasing over time, users will receive fewer shares when closing `trove` compared to the initial deposit shares.

```
237 function transferFrom(address _sender, address _recipient, uint256 _amount) external returns (
    bool) {
238     _spendAllowance(_sender, msg.sender, _amount);
239     _transfer(_sender, _recipient, _amount);
240     return true;
241 }
```

Listing 2.6: stETH.sol

```
375 function _updateBalances() private {
376     _updateRewardIntegral(totalActiveDebt);
377     _accrueActiveInterests();
378 }
379
380
381 function _transfer(address _sender, address _recipient, uint256 _amount) internal {
382     uint256 _sharesToTransfer = getSharesByPooledEth(_amount);
```

```
383     _transferShares(_sender, _recipient, _sharesToTransfer);
384     _emitTransferEvents(_sender, _recipient, _amount, _sharesToTransfer);
385 }
```

Listing 2.7: stETH.sol

Impact Users will receive less shares as expected when they close `troves` that use tokens like `stETH` as collateral.

Suggestion Implement relevant logic to support special collateral tokens such as `stETH`.

Feedback The project party ensures that `Rebase-Token` will not be used as collateral in subsequent operations.

2.1.7 Losses of Stakers in Stability Pool due to Flash Loan Liquidation

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract `StabilityPool`, the functions `provideToSP()` and `withdrawFromSP()` are allowed to be executed in the same transaction. This means that a large amount of `WenUSD` can be borrowed using a `flashloan` to first stake (`provideToSP()`) in the `StabilityPool`, then to liquidate troves to gain collateral rewards distributed to the `StabilityPool`, and finally unstake (`withdrawFromSP()`) to repay the `flashloan`.

```
196 function provideToSP(uint256 _amount) external {
197     require(!WenCore.paused(), "Deposits are paused");
198     require(_amount > 0, "StabilityPool: Amount must be non-zero");
199
200
201     _triggerRewardIssuance();
202
203
204     _accrueDepositorCollateralGain(msg.sender);
205
206
207     uint256 compoundedDeposit = getCompoundedDeposit(msg.sender);
208
209
210     _accrueRewards(msg.sender);
211
212
213     WenUSD.sendToSP(msg.sender, _amount);
214     uint256 newTotalWenUSDDeposits = totalWenUSDDeposits + _amount;
215     totalWenUSDDeposits = newTotalWenUSDDeposits;
216     emit StabilityPoolWenUSDBalanceUpdated(newTotalWenUSDDeposits);
217
218
219     uint256 newDeposit = compoundedDeposit + _amount;
220     accountDeposits[msg.sender] = newDeposit;
221 }
```

```
222
223     _updateSnapshots(msg.sender, newDeposit);
224     emit UserDepositChanged(msg.sender, newDeposit);
225 }
```

Listing 2.8: StabilityPool.sol

```
230 function withdrawFromSP(uint256 _amount) external {
231     uint256 initialDeposit = accountDeposits[msg.sender];
232     require(initialDeposit > 0, "StabilityPool: User must have a non-zero deposit");
233
234
235     _triggerRewardIssuance();
236
237
238     _accrueDepositorCollateralGain(msg.sender);
239
240
241     uint256 compoundedDeposit = getCompoundedDeposit(msg.sender);
242     uint256 debtToWithdraw = WenMath._min(_amount, compoundedDeposit);
243
244
245     _accrueRewards(msg.sender);
246
247
248     if (debtToWithdraw > 0) {
249         WenUSD.returnFromPool(address(this), msg.sender, debtToWithdraw);
250         _decreaseDebt(debtToWithdraw);
251     }
252
253
254     // Update deposit
255     uint256 newDeposit = compoundedDeposit - debtToWithdraw;
256     accountDeposits[msg.sender] = newDeposit;
257
258
259     _updateSnapshots(msg.sender, newDeposit);
260     emit UserDepositChanged(msg.sender, newDeposit);
261 }
```

Listing 2.9: StabilityPool.sol

Impact This could result in losses for users who provide liquidity in the contract `StabilityPool` over a long time, and decrease their motivation to stake into the contract `StabilityPool`.

Suggestion Add a check in the function `withdrawFromSP()` to ensure that `provideToSP()` and `withdrawFromSP()` cannot be executed in the same block.

2.1.8 Incorrect Update of System Variable `lastCollateralError_Offset`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description As mentioned, the protocol supports multiple tokens as collateral, and in the [Stability Pool](#), there are various collateral rewards obtained from liquidations as well. These rewards are distributed based on the amount of [WenUSD](#) deposited by the staker. In the reward distribution calculation process, the division before multiplication is intentionally used, resulting in precision loss. This loss of precision will be calculated and recorded in the global variable `lastCollateralError_Offset`, which will be redistributed in the next calculation.

The problem arises when this variable is shared among different collateral tokens during reward calculation.

```
359 function _computeRewardsPerUnitStaked(uint256 _collToAdd, uint256 _debtToOffset, uint256
    _totalWenUSDDeposits) internal returns (uint256 collateralGainPerUnitStaked, uint256
    debtLossPerUnitStaked) {
360     /*
361     * Compute the Debt and collateral rewards. Uses a "feedback" error correction, to keep
362     * the cumulative error in the P and S state variables low:
363     *
364     * 1) Form numerators which compensate for the floor division errors that occurred the last
        time this
365     * function was called.
366     * 2) Calculate "per-unit-staked" ratios.
367     * 3) Multiply each ratio back by its denominator, to reveal the current floor division
        error.
368     * 4) Store these errors for use in the next correction when this function is called.
369     * 5) Note: static analysis tools complain about this "division before multiplication",
        however, it is intended.
370     */
371     uint256 collateralNumerator = (_collToAdd * DECIMAL_PRECISION) + lastCollateralError_Offset
        ;
372     if (_debtToOffset == _totalWenUSDDeposits) {
373         debtLossPerUnitStaked = DECIMAL_PRECISION; // When the Pool depletes to 0, so does each
        deposit
374         lastDebtLossError_Offset = 0;
375     } else {
376         uint256 debtLossNumerator = (_debtToOffset * DECIMAL_PRECISION) -
            lastDebtLossError_Offset;
377         /*
378         * Add 1 to make error in quotient positive. We want "slightly too much" Debt loss,
379         * which ensures the error in any given CompoundedDeposit favors the Stability Pool.
380         */
381         debtLossPerUnitStaked = (debtLossNumerator / _totalWenUSDDeposits) + 1;
382         lastDebtLossError_Offset = (debtLossPerUnitStaked * _totalWenUSDDeposits) -
            debtLossNumerator;
383     }
384     collateralGainPerUnitStaked = collateralNumerator / _totalWenUSDDeposits;
385     lastCollateralError_Offset = collateralNumerator - (collateralGainPerUnitStaked *
        _totalWenUSDDeposits);
386     return (collateralGainPerUnitStaked, debtLossPerUnitStaked);
387 }
```

Listing 2.10: StabilityPool.sol

Impact The rewards distributed to stakers will be allocated incorrectly.

Suggestion Implement a proper differentiation and accurate reward allocation mechanism for each collateral token.

2.1.9 Timely Redistribution of Liquidated Collateral and Debt among Troves

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the implementation of function `liquidateTroves()`, multiple `troves` can be liquidated in a single invocation. If the collateral ratio of a liquidated `trove` is less than `minICR`, its collateral and debt will be redistributed to other `troves`.

However, when liquidating troves that meet the criteria (collateralization ratio below `maxICR`), the bad debt from the liquidation is not immediately allocated to those `troves`. In fact, this will be done at the end of the liquidation process with the function `finalizeLiquidation()`.

Due to the redistribution mechanism, the liquidation of bad debt will inevitably decrease the collateralization ratio of `troves` with initially higher ratios. In this case, `troves` that were originally above `maxICR` can potentially have their collateralization ratios fall below `maxICR`. In this case, liquidators may miss some `troves` whose collateralization ratio should fall below `maxICR` during the liquidation process.

```
134 function liquidateTroves(ITroveManager troveManager, uint256 maxTrovesToLiquidate, uint256
    maxICR) external {
135     require(_enabledTroveManagers[troveManager], "TroveManager not approved");
136     IStabilityPool stabilityPoolCached = stabilityPool;
137     troveManager.distributeInterestDebt();
138
139
140     ISortedTroves sortedTrovesCached = ISortedTroves(troveManager.sortedTroves());
141
142
143     LiquidationValues memory singleLiquidation;
144     LiquidationTotals memory totals;
145     TroveManagerValues memory troveManagerValues;
146
147
148     uint256 trovesRemaining = maxTrovesToLiquidate;
149     uint256 troveCount = troveManager.getTroveOwnersCount();
150     troveManagerValues.price = troveManager.fetchPrice();
151     troveManagerValues.sunsetting = troveManager.sunsetting();
152     troveManagerValues.MCR = troveManager.MCR();
153     uint debtInStabPool = stabilityPoolCached.getTotalWenUSDDeposits();
154
155
156     while (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {
157         address account = sortedTrovesCached.getLast();
158         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(account, troveManagerValues.price);
159         if (ICR > maxICR) {
160             // set to 0 to ensure the next if block evaluates false
161             trovesRemaining = 0;
```



```
162     break;
163 }
164 if (ICR <= _100pct) {
165     singleLiquidation = _liquidateWithoutSP(troveManager, account);
166     _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
167 } else if (ICR < troveManagerValues.MCR) {
168     singleLiquidation = _liquidateNormalMode(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
169         troveManagerValues.sunsetting);
170     debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
171     _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
172 } else break; // break if the loop reaches a Trove with ICR >= MCR
173 unchecked {
174     --trovesRemaining;
175     --troveCount;
176 }
177 if (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {
178     (uint entireSystemColl, uint entireSystemDebt) = borrowerOperations.
179         getGlobalSystemBalances();
180     entireSystemColl -= totals.totalCollToSendToSP * troveManagerValues.price;
181     entireSystemDebt -= totals.totalDebtToOffset;
182     address nextAccount = sortedTroveCached.getLast();
183     ITroveManager _troveManager = troveManager; //stack too deep workaround
184     while (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {
185         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(nextAccount, troveManagerValues.price);
186         if (ICR > maxICR) break;
187         unchecked {
188             --trovesRemaining;
189         }
190         address account = nextAccount;
191         nextAccount = sortedTroveCached.getPrev(account);
192
193         uint256 TCR = WenMath._computeCR(entireSystemColl, entireSystemDebt);
194         if (TCR >= CCR || ICR >= TCR) break;
195
196
197         singleLiquidation = _tryLiquidateWithCap(_troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
198             troveManagerValues.MCR, troveManagerValues.price);
199         if (singleLiquidation.debtToOffset == 0) continue;
200         debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
201         entireSystemColl -= (singleLiquidation.collToSendToSP + singleLiquidation.
202             collSurplus) * troveManagerValues.price;
203         entireSystemDebt -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
204         _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
205         unchecked {
206             --troveCount;
207         }
208     }
209 }
210 require(totals.totalDebtInSequence > 0, "nothing to liquidate");
```

```
211     if (totals.totalDebtToOffset > 0 || totals.totalCollToSendToSP > 0) {
212         // Move liquidated collateral and Debt to the appropriate pools
213         stabilityPoolCached.offset(troveManager.collateralToken(), totals.totalDebtToOffset,
            totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
214         troveManager.decreaseDebtAndSendCollateral(address(stabilityPoolCached), totals.
            totalDebtToOffset, totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
215     }
216     troveManager.finalizeLiquidation(msg.sender, totals.totalDebtToRedistribute, totals.
        totalCollToRedistribute, totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalDebtGasCompensation,
        totals.totalCollGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
217     emit Liquidation(totals.totalDebtInSequence, totals.totalCollInSequence - totals.
        totalCollGasCompensation - totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalCollGasCompensation,
        totals.totalDebtGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
218 }
```

Listing 2.11: LiquidateManager.sol

```
223     function batchLiquidateTrove(ITroveManager troveManager, address[] memory _troveArray) public
        {
224         require(_enabledTroveManagers[troveManager], "TroveManager not approved");
225         require(_troveArray.length != 0, "TroveManager: Calldata address array must not be empty");
226         troveManager.distributeInterestDebt();
227
228
229         LiquidationValues memory singleLiquidation;
230         LiquidationTotals memory totals;
231         TroveManagerValues memory troveManagerValues;
232
233
234         IStabilityPool stabilityPoolCached = stabilityPool;
235         uint debtInStabPool = stabilityPoolCached.getTotalWenUSDDeposits();
236         troveManagerValues.price = troveManager.fetchPrice();
237         troveManagerValues.sunsetting = troveManager.sunsetting();
238         troveManagerValues.MCR = troveManager.MCR();
239         uint troveCount = troveManager.getTroveOwnersCount();
240         uint length = _troveArray.length;
241         uint troveIter;
242         while (troveIter < length && troveCount > 1) {
243             // first iteration round, when all liquidated troves have ICR < MCR we do not need to
                track TCR
244             address account = _troveArray[troveIter];
245
246
247             // closed / non-existent troves return an ICR of type(uint).max and are ignored
248             uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(account, troveManagerValues.price);
249             if (ICR <= _100pct) {
250                 singleLiquidation = _liquidateWithoutSP(troveManager, account);
251             } else if (ICR < troveManagerValues.MCR) {
252                 singleLiquidation = _liquidateNormalMode(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
                    troveManagerValues.sunsetting);
253                 debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
254             } else {
255                 // As soon as we find a trove with ICR >= MCR we need to start tracking the global
```

```
TCR with the next loop
256     break;
257 }
258 _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
259 unchecked {
260     ++troveIter;
261     --troveCount;
262 }
263 }
264
265
266 if (troveIter < length && troveCount > 1) {
267     // second iteration round, if we receive a trove with ICR > MCR and need to track TCR
268     (uint256 entireSystemColl, uint256 entireSystemDebt) = borrowerOperations.
        getGlobalSystemBalances();
269     entireSystemColl -= totals.totalCollToSendToSP * troveManagerValues.price;
270     entireSystemDebt -= totals.totalDebtToOffset;
271     while (troveIter < length && troveCount > 1) {
272         address account = _troveArray[troveIter];
273         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(account, troveManagerValues.price);
274         unchecked {
275             ++troveIter;
276         }
277         if (ICR <= _100pct) {
278             singleLiquidation = _liquidateWithoutSP(troveManager, account);
279         } else if (ICR < troveManagerValues.MCR) {
280             singleLiquidation = _liquidateNormalMode(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
                troveManagerValues.sunsetting);
281         } else {
282             uint256 TCR = WenMath._computeCR(entireSystemColl, entireSystemDebt);
283             if (TCR >= CCR || ICR >= TCR) continue;
284             singleLiquidation = _tryLiquidateWithCap(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
                troveManagerValues.MCR, troveManagerValues.price);
285             if (singleLiquidation.debtToOffset == 0) continue;
286         }
287
288
289         debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
290         entireSystemColl -= (singleLiquidation.collToSendToSP + singleLiquidation.
            collSurplus) * troveManagerValues.price;
291         entireSystemDebt -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
292         _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
293         unchecked {
294             --troveCount;
295         }
296     }
297 }
298
299
300 require(totals.totalDebtInSequence > 0, "TroveManager: nothing to liquidate");
301
302
303 if (totals.totalDebtToOffset > 0 || totals.totalCollToSendToSP > 0) {
```

```

304     // Move liquidated collateral and Debt to the appropriate pools
305     stabilityPoolCached.offset(troveManager.collateralToken(), totals.totalDebtToOffset,
        totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
306     troveManager.decreaseDebtAndSendCollateral(address(stabilityPoolCached), totals.
        totalDebtToOffset, totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
307 }
308 troveManager.finalizeLiquidation(msg.sender, totals.totalDebtToRedistribute, totals.
        totalCollToRedistribute, totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalDebtGasCompensation,
        totals.totalCollGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
309
310
311     emit Liquidation(totals.totalDebtInSequence, totals.totalCollInSequence - totals.
        totalCollGasCompensation - totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalCollGasCompensation,
        totals.totalDebtGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
312 }

```

Listing 2.12: LiquidateManager.sol

Impact Liquidators may receive less profit than expected while liquidating multiple `troves` via the function `liquidateTrove()`.

Suggestion Timely updates of collateralization ratio of relevant `troves` during liquidation.

2.1.10 Potential Centralization Issues

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The protocol has potential centralization problems, the owner has privilege to conduct sensitive operations like minting `WEN` or `esWEN` to any accounts.

If the owner's private key is lost or maliciously exploited, it could lead to losses for the entire protocol.

```

145     function mint(address account, uint256 amount) external onlyOwner {
146         require(totalSupply() + esWen.totalSupply() + amount <= MaxCap, "Wen: exceeds MaxCap");
147         _mint(account, amount);
148     }
149     function mintesWen(address account, uint256 amount) external onlyOwner {
150         require(totalSupply() + esWen.totalSupply() + amount <= MaxCap, "Wen: exceeds MaxCap");
151         esWen.mint(account, amount);
152     }

```

Listing 2.13: TroveManager.sol

Impact If the owner's private key is lost or maliciously exploited, it will cause significant losses to the protocol.

Suggestion Safeguard the owner's private key, and remove unnecessary interfaces (e.g., `mint`, `mintesWen`) in contract `Wen`.

Feedback `esWen`'s incentives will be distributed in batches, and the time and quantity of each distribution need to be determined based on the actual situation of future operations. Therefore, it is necessary to introduce this interface to control the minting of `esWen`.

2.1.11 The Last Trove with Bad Debt can Influence the TCR

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description During the sunsetting of the `troveManager`, the liquidation process cannot liquidate all the `troves` and the function `redeemCollateral()` does not support the redemption of collateral from `troves` with bad debt. In this case, if the `trove` with bad debt is the last active one, unless the owner of the trove actively closes it, the entire system debt cannot be zero. This can prohibit the sunsetting `troveManager` being removed, which further influences the `TCR`.

```
134 function liquidateTrove(  
135     ITroveManager troveManager,  
136     uint256 maxTroveToLiquidate,  
137     uint256 maxICR  
138 ) external {  
139     require(  
140         _enabledTroveManagers[troveManager],  
141         "TroveManager not approved"  
142     );  
143     IStabilityPool stabilityPoolCached = stabilityPool;  
144     troveManager.updateBalances();  
145     ISortedTrove sortedTroveCached = ISortedTrove(  
146         troveManager.sortedTrove()  
147     );  
148     LiquidationValues memory singleLiquidation;  
149     LiquidationTotals memory totals;  
150     TroveManagerValues memory troveManagerValues;  
151     uint256 trovesRemaining = maxTroveToLiquidate;  
152     uint256 troveCount = troveManager.getTroveOwnersCount();  
153     troveManagerValues.price = troveManager.fetchPrice();  
154     troveManagerValues.sunsetting = troveManager.sunsetting();  
155     troveManagerValues.MCR = troveManager.MCR();  
156     uint debtInStabPool = stabilityPoolCached.getTotalDebtTokenDeposits();  
157     while (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {  
158         address account = sortedTroveCached.getLast();  
159         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(  
160             account,  
161             troveManagerValues.price  
162         );  
163         if (ICR > maxICR) {  
164             // set to 0 to ensure the next if block evaluates false  
165             trovesRemaining = 0;  
166             break;  
167         }  
168         if (ICR <= _100pct) {  
169             singleLiquidation = _liquidateWithoutSP(troveManager, account);  
170             _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);  
171         } else if (ICR < troveManagerValues.MCR) {  
172             singleLiquidation = _liquidateNormalMode(  
173                 troveManager,
```

```
174         account,
175         debtInStabPool,
176         troveManagerValues.sunsetting
177     );
178     debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
179     _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
180 } else break; // break if the loop reaches a Trove with ICR >= MCR
181 unchecked {
182     --trovesRemaining;
183     --troveCount;
184 }
185 }
186 if (
187     trovesRemaining > 0 &&
188     !troveManagerValues.sunsetting &&
189     troveCount > 1
190 ) {
191     (uint entireSystemColl, uint entireSystemDebt) = borrowerOperations
192         .getGlobalSystemBalances();
193     entireSystemColl -=
194         totals.totalCollToSendToSP *
195         troveManagerValues.price;
196     entireSystemDebt -= totals.totalDebtToOffset;
197     address nextAccount = sortedTroveCached.getLast();
198     ITroveManager _troveManager = troveManager; //stack too deep workaround
199     while (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {
200         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(
201             nextAccount,
202             troveManagerValues.price
203         );
204         if (ICR > maxICR) break;
205         unchecked {
206             --trovesRemaining;
207         }
208         address account = nextAccount;
209         nextAccount = sortedTroveCached.getPrev(account);
210         uint256 TCR = ListaMath._computeCR(
211             entireSystemColl,
212             entireSystemDebt
213         );
214         if (TCR >= CCR || ICR >= TCR) break;
215         singleLiquidation = _tryLiquidateWithCap(
216             _troveManager,
217             account,
218             debtInStabPool,
219             troveManagerValues.MCR,
220             troveManagerValues.price
221         );
222         if (singleLiquidation.debtToOffset == 0) continue;
223         debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
224         entireSystemColl -=
225             (singleLiquidation.collToSendToSP +
226             singleLiquidation.collSurplus) *
```

```
227         troveManagerValues.price;
228         entireSystemDebt -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
229         _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
230         unchecked {
231             --troveCount;
232         }
233     }
234 }
235 require(
236     totals.totalDebtInSequence > 0,
237     "TroveManager: nothing to liquidate"
238 );
239 if (totals.totalDebtToOffset > 0 || totals.totalCollToSendToSP > 0) {
240     // Move liquidated collateral and Debt to the appropriate pools
241     stabilityPoolCached.offset(
242         troveManager.collateralToken(),
243         totals.totalDebtToOffset,
244         totals.totalCollToSendToSP
245     );
246     troveManager.decreaseDebtAndSendCollateral(
247         address(stabilityPoolCached),
248         totals.totalDebtToOffset,
249         totals.totalCollToSendToSP
250     );
251 }
252 troveManager.finalizeLiquidation(
253     msg.sender,
254     totals.totalDebtToRedistribute,
255     totals.totalCollToRedistribute,
256     totals.totalCollSurplus,
257     totals.totalDebtGasCompensation,
258     totals.totalCollGasCompensation
259 );
260 emit Liquidation(
261     totals.totalDebtInSequence,
262     totals.totalCollInSequence -
263         totals.totalCollGasCompensation -
264         totals.totalCollSurplus,
265     totals.totalCollGasCompensation,
266     totals.totalDebtGasCompensation
267 );
268 }
```

Listing 2.14: LiquidateManager.sol

```
476 function redeemCollateral(uint256 _debtAmount, address _firstRedemptionHint, address
    _upperPartialRedemptionHint, address _lowerPartialRedemptionHint, uint256
    _partialRedemptionHintNICKR, uint256 _maxIterations, uint256 _maxFeePercentage) external {
477     ISortedTrove _sortedTroveCached = sortedTrove;
478     RedemptionTotals memory totals;
479     require(_maxFeePercentage >= redemptionFeeFloor && _maxFeePercentage <= maxRedemptionFee, "
        Max fee 0.5% to 100%");
480     require(block.timestamp >= systemDeploymentTime + BOOTSTRAP_PERIOD, "BOOTSTRAP_PERIOD");
```

```
481     _distributeInterestDebt();
482     totals.price = fetchPrice();
483     uint256 _MCR = MCR;
484     require(IBorrowerOperations(borrowerOperationsAddress).getTCR() >= _MCR, "Cannot redeem
         when TCR < MCR");
485     require(_debtAmount > 0, "Amount must be greater than zero");
486     require(WenUSD.balanceOf(msg.sender) >= _debtAmount, "Insufficient balance");
487     totals.totalDebtSupplyAtStart = getGlobalSystemDebt();
488     totals.remainingDebt = _debtAmount;
489     address currentBorrower;
490     if (_isValidFirstRedemptionHint(_sortedTroveCached, _firstRedemptionHint, totals.price,
         _MCR)) {
491         currentBorrower = _firstRedemptionHint;
492     } else {
493         currentBorrower = _sortedTroveCached.getLast();
494         // Find the first trove with ICR >= MCR
495         while (currentBorrower != address(0) && getRedemptionICR(currentBorrower, totals.price)
             < _MCR) {
496             currentBorrower = _sortedTroveCached.getPrev(currentBorrower);
497         }
498     }
499     // Loop through the Troves starting from the one with lowest collateral ratio until _amount
        of debt is exchanged for collateral
500     if (_maxIterations == 0) {
501         _maxIterations = type(uint256).max;
502     }
503     while (currentBorrower != address(0) && totals.remainingDebt > 0 && _maxIterations > 0) {
504         _maxIterations--;
505         // Save the address of the Trove preceding the current one, before potentially
            modifying the list
506         address nextUserToCheck = _sortedTroveCached.getPrev(currentBorrower);
507         _applyPendingRewards(currentBorrower);
508         SingleRedemptionValues memory singleRedemption = _redeemCollateralFromTrove(
            _sortedTroveCached, currentBorrower, totals.remainingDebt, totals.price,
            _upperPartialRedemptionHint, _lowerPartialRedemptionHint,
            _partialRedemptionHintNICR);
509         if (singleRedemption.cancelledPartial) break; // Partial redemption was cancelled (out-
            of-date hint, or new net debt < minimum), therefore we could not redeem from the
            last Trove
510         totals.totalDebtToRedeem = totals.totalDebtToRedeem + singleRedemption.debtLot;
511         totals.totalCollateralDrawn = totals.totalCollateralDrawn + singleRedemption.
            collateralLot;
512         totals.totalInterest = totals.totalInterest + singleRedemption.interestLot;
513         totals.remainingDebt = totals.remainingDebt - singleRedemption.debtLot;
514         currentBorrower = nextUserToCheck;
515     }
516     require(totals.totalCollateralDrawn > 0, "Unable to redeem any amount");
517     // Decay the baseRate due to time passed, and then increase it according to the size of
        this redemption.
518     // Use the saved total debt supply value, from before it was reduced by the redemption.
519     _updateBaseRateFromRedemption(totals.totalCollateralDrawn, totals.price, totals.
        totalDebtSupplyAtStart);
520     // Calculate the collateral fee
```



```
521     totals.collateralFee = sunsetting ? 0 : _calcRedemptionFee(getRedemptionRate(), totals.  
        totalCollateralDrawn);  
522     _requireUserAcceptsFee(totals.collateralFee, totals.totalCollateralDrawn, _maxFeePercentage  
        );  
523     _sendCollateral(feeReceiver(), totals.collateralFee);  
524     totals.collateralToSendToRedeemer = totals.totalCollateralDrawn - totals.collateralFee;  
525     emit Redemption(_debtAmount, totals.totalDebtToRedeem, totals.totalCollateralDrawn, totals.  
        totalInterest, totals.collateralFee);  
526     // Burn the total debt that is cancelled with debt, and send the redeemed collateral to msg  
        .sender  
527     WenUSD.burn(msg.sender, totals.totalDebtToRedeem);  
528     // Update Trove Manager debt, and send collateral to account  
529     totalActiveDebt = totalActiveDebt - totals.totalDebtToRedeem;  
530     decreaseOutstandingInterestDebt(totals.totalInterest);  
531     _sendCollateral(msg.sender, totals.collateralToSendToRedeemer);  
532     _resetState();  
533 }
```

Listing 2.15: TroveManager.sol

```
549     function _redeemCollateralFromTrove(  
550         ISortedTrove _sortedTroveCached,  
551         address _borrower,  
552         uint256 _maxDebtAmount,  
553         uint256 _price,  
554         address _upperPartialRedemptionHint,  
555         address _lowerPartialRedemptionHint,  
556         uint256 _partialRedemptionHintNICR  
557     ) internal returns (SingleRedemptionValues memory singleRedemption) {  
558         Trove storage t = Troves[_borrower];  
559         uint256 interest = getTroveInterest(_borrower, t.debt);  
560         if (_maxDebtAmount < interest) {  
561             singleRedemption.cancelledPartial = true;  
562             return singleRedemption;  
563         }  
564         singleRedemption.interestLot = interest;  
565         // Determine the remaining amount (lot) to be redeemed, capped by the entire debt of the  
        Trove minus the liquidation reserve  
566         singleRedemption.debtLot = WenMath._min(_maxDebtAmount - singleRedemption.interestLot, t.  
        debt - DEBT_GAS_COMPENSATION);  
567         // Get the CollateralLot of equivalent value in USD  
568         singleRedemption.collateralLot = ((singleRedemption.debtLot + singleRedemption.interestLot)  
        * DECIMAL_PRECISION) / _price;  
569         // Decrease the debt and collateral of the current Trove according to the debt lot and  
        corresponding collateral to send  
570         uint256 newDebt = (t.debt) - singleRedemption.debtLot;  
571         uint256 newColl = (t.coll) - singleRedemption.collateralLot;  
572         if (newDebt == DEBT_GAS_COMPENSATION) {  
573             // No debt left in the Trove (except for the liquidation reserve), therefore the trove  
            gets closed  
574             _removeStake(_borrower);  
575             _closeTrove(_borrower, Status.closedByRedemption);  
576             _redeemCloseTrove(_borrower, DEBT_GAS_COMPENSATION, newColl);
```

```
577     emit TroveUpdated(_borrower, 0, 0, 0, TroveManagerOperation.redeemCollateral);
578   } else {
579     uint256 newNICR = WenMath._computeNominalCR(newColl, newDebt);
580     /*
581      * If the provided hint is out of date, we bail since trying to reinsert without a good
582      * hint will almost
583      * certainly result in running out of gas.
584      *
585      * If the resultant net debt of the partial is less than the minimum, net debt we bail.
586      */
587     {
588       // We check if the ICR hint is reasonable up to date, with continuous interest
589       // there might be slight differences (<1bps)
590       uint256 icrError = _partialRedemptionHintNICR > newNICR ?
591         _partialRedemptionHintNICR - newNICR : newNICR - _partialRedemptionHintNICR;
592       if (icrError > 5e14 || _getNetDebt(newDebt) < IBorrowerOperations(
593         borrowerOperationsAddress).minNetDebt()) {
594         singleRedemption.cancelledPartial = true;
595         return singleRedemption;
596       }
597     }
598     _sortedTroveCached.reInsert(_borrower, newNICR, _upperPartialRedemptionHint,
599       _lowerPartialRedemptionHint);
600     t.debt = newDebt;
601     t.coll = newColl;
602     _updateStakeAndTotalStakes(t);
603     _updateTroveRewardSnapshots(_borrower);
604     emit TroveUpdated(_borrower, newDebt, newColl, t.stake, TroveManagerOperation.
605       redeemCollateral);
606   }
607   return singleRedemption;
608 }
```

Listing 2.16: TroveManager.sol

Impact [Trove](#)s that cannot be closed and remain as bad debt will accumulate debt interests over time. This accumulation of debt interests can indeed have an impact on the system's [Total Collateralization Ratio \(TCR\)](#).

Suggestion Implement corresponding logic for the [admin](#) to handle the last bad debt [trove](#).

2.1.12 Potential Revert in Batch Liquidation of Troves

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description During the liquidation process, if a trove's [ICR](#) is above [MCR](#), the protocol will try to use the liquidity from the [StabilityPool](#) for the liquidation, and the collateral rewards obtained from the liquidation will be sent to the [StabilityPool](#), rewarding the liquidity providers. If one collateral is sunsetting, the [indexByCollateral\[collateral\]](#) will be set to 0, which disables the liquidity in the [StabilityPool](#) from being used in the liquidation process.

In this case, when the protocol is in [Recovery Mode](#) and the specified collateral is sunsetting, if a user tries to liquidate a batch of [troves](#), including a [trove](#) whose [ICR](#) is between [MCR](#) and [CCR](#), it will revert. In this case, the [troves](#) whose [ICR](#) is lower than [MCR](#) can not be liquidated, which is not friendly for liquidators.

```
223 function batchLiquidateTroves(ITroveManager troveManager, address[] memory _troveArray) public
    {
224     .....
225     while (troveIter < length && troveCount > 1) {
226         // first iteration round, when all liquidated troves have ICR < MCR we do not need to
            track TCR
227         address account = _troveArray[troveIter];
228
229
230         // closed / non-existent troves return an ICR of type(uint).max and are ignored
231         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(account, troveManagerValues.price);
232         if (ICR <= _100pct) {
233             singleLiquidation = _liquidateWithoutSP(troveManager, account);
234         } else if (ICR < troveManagerValues.MCR) {
235             singleLiquidation = _liquidateNormalMode(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
                troveManagerValues.sunsetting);
236             debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
237         } else {
238             // As soon as we find a trove with ICR >= MCR we need to start tracking the global
                TCR with the next loop
239             break;
240         }
241         _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
242         unchecked {
243             ++troveIter;
244             --troveCount;
245         }
246     }
247
248
249     if (troveIter < length && troveCount > 1) {
250         // second iteration round, if we receive a trove with ICR > MCR and need to track TCR
251         (uint256 entireSystemColl, uint256 entireSystemDebt) = borrowerOperations.
            getGlobalSystemBalances();
252         entireSystemColl -= totals.totalCollToSendToSP * troveManagerValues.price;
253         entireSystemDebt -= totals.totalDebtToOffset;
254         while (troveIter < length && troveCount > 1) {
255             address account = _troveArray[troveIter];
256             uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(account, troveManagerValues.price);
257             unchecked {
258                 ++troveIter;
259             }
260             if (ICR <= _100pct) {
261                 singleLiquidation = _liquidateWithoutSP(troveManager, account);
262             } else if (ICR < troveManagerValues.MCR) {
263                 singleLiquidation = _liquidateNormalMode(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
                    troveManagerValues.sunsetting);
264             } else {
265                 uint256 TCR = WenMath._computeCR(entireSystemColl, entireSystemDebt);
```

```
266         if (TCR >= CCR || ICR >= TCR) continue;
267         singleLiquidation = _tryLiquidateWithCap(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
          troveManagerValues.MCR, troveManagerValues.price);
268         if (singleLiquidation.debtToOffset == 0) continue;
269     }
270
271
272     debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
273     entireSystemColl -= (singleLiquidation.collToSendToSP + singleLiquidation.
          collSurplus) * troveManagerValues.price;
274     entireSystemDebt -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
275     _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
276     unchecked {
277         --troveCount;
278     }
279 }
280 }
281
282
283 require(totals.totalDebtInSequence > 0, "TroveManager: nothing to liquidate");
284
285
286 if (totals.totalDebtToOffset > 0 || totals.totalCollToSendToSP > 0) {
287     // Move liquidated collateral and Debt to the appropriate pools
288     stabilityPoolCached.offset(troveManager.collateralToken(), totals.totalDebtToOffset,
          totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
289     troveManager.decreaseDebtAndSendCollateral(address(stabilityPoolCached), totals.
          totalDebtToOffset, totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
290 }
291 troveManager.finalizeLiquidation(msg.sender, totals.totalDebtToRedistribute, totals.
          totalCollToRedistribute, totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalDebtGasCompensation,
          totals.totalCollGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
292
293
294 emit Liquidation(totals.totalDebtInSequence, totals.totalCollInSequence - totals.
          totalCollGasCompensation - totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalCollGasCompensation,
          totals.totalDebtGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
295 }
296 function offset(IERC20 collateral, uint256 _debtToOffset, uint256 _collToAdd) external virtual
          {
297     _offset(collateral, _debtToOffset, _collToAdd);
298 }
299
300
301 function _offset(IERC20 collateral, uint256 _debtToOffset, uint256 _collToAdd) internal {
302     require(msg.sender == liquidationManager, "StabilityPool: Caller is not Liquidation Manager
          ");
303     uint256 idx = indexByCollateral[collateral];
304     idx -= 1;
305
306
307     uint256 totalDebt = totalWenUSDDeposits; // cached to save an SLOAD
308     if (totalDebt == 0 || _debtToOffset == 0) {
```

```
309     return;
310 }
311
312
313     _triggerRewardIssuance();
314
315
316     (uint256 collateralGainPerUnitStaked, uint256 debtLossPerUnitStaked) =
317         _computeRewardsPerUnitStaked(_collToAdd, _debtToOffset, totalDebt);
318
319     _updateRewardSumAndProduct(collateralGainPerUnitStaked, debtLossPerUnitStaked, idx); //
320         updates S and P
321
322     // Cancel the liquidated Debt debt with the Debt in the stability pool
323     _decreaseDebt(_debtToOffset);
324 }
```

Listing 2.17: LiquidationManager.sol

```
223 function offset(IERC20 collateral, uint256 _debtToOffset, uint256 _collToAdd) external virtual
224     {
225     _offset(collateral, _debtToOffset, _collToAdd);
226 }
227
228 function _offset(IERC20 collateral, uint256 _debtToOffset, uint256 _collToAdd) internal {
229     require(msg.sender == liquidationManager, "StabilityPool: Caller is not Liquidation Manager
230         ");
231     uint256 idx = indexByCollateral[collateral];
232     idx -= 1;
233
234     uint256 totalDebt = totalWenUSDDeposits; // cached to save an SLOAD
235     if (totalDebt == 0 || _debtToOffset == 0) {
236         return;
237     }
238
239     _triggerRewardIssuance();
240
241
242
243     (uint256 collateralGainPerUnitStaked, uint256 debtLossPerUnitStaked) =
244         _computeRewardsPerUnitStaked(_collToAdd, _debtToOffset, totalDebt);
245
246     _updateRewardSumAndProduct(collateralGainPerUnitStaked, debtLossPerUnitStaked, idx); //
247         updates S and P
248
249     // Cancel the liquidated Debt debt with the Debt in the stability pool
250     _decreaseDebt(_debtToOffset);
```

```
251 }
```

Listing 2.18: StabilityPool.sol

```
223 function startCollateralSunset(IERC20 collateral) external onlyOwner {
224     require(indexByCollateral[collateral] > 0, "Collateral already sunsetting");
225     _sunsetIndexes[queue.nextSunsetIndexKey++] = SunsetIndex(uint128(indexByCollateral[
        collateral] - 1), uint128(block.timestamp + SUNSET_DURATION));
226     delete indexByCollateral[collateral]; //This will prevent calls to the SP in case of
        liquidations
227 }
```

Listing 2.19: LiquidationManager.sol

```
134 function liquidateTrove(ITroveManager troveManager, uint256 maxTroveToLiquidate, uint256
    maxICR) external {
135     require(_enabledTroveManagers[trовеManager], "TroveManager not approved");
136     IStabilityPool stabilityPoolCached = stabilityPool;
137     troveManager.distributeInterestDebt();
138     ISortedTrove sortedTroveCached = ISortedTrove(troveManager.sortedTrove());
139     LiquidationValues memory singleLiquidation;
140     LiquidationTotals memory totals;
141     TroveManagerValues memory troveManagerValues;
142     uint256 trovesRemaining = maxTroveToLiquidate;
143     uint256 troveCount = troveManager.getTroveOwnersCount();
144     troveManagerValues.price = troveManager.fetchPrice();
145     troveManagerValues.sunsetting = troveManager.sunsetting();
146     troveManagerValues.MCR = troveManager.MCR();
147     uint debtInStabPool = stabilityPoolCached.getTotalWenUSDDeposits();
148     while (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {
149         address account = sortedTroveCached.getLast();
150         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(account, troveManagerValues.price);
151         if (ICR > maxICR) {
152             // set to 0 to ensure the next if block evaluates false
153             trovesRemaining = 0;
154             break;
155         }
156         if (ICR <= _100pct) {
157             singleLiquidation = _liquidateWithoutSP(troveManager, account);
158             _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
159         } else if (ICR < troveManagerValues.MCR) {
160             singleLiquidation = _liquidateNormalMode(troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
                troveManagerValues.sunsetting);
161             debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
162             _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
163         } else break; // break if the loop reaches a Trove with ICR >= MCR
164         unchecked {
165             --trovesRemaining;
166             --troveCount;
167         }
168     }
169     if (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {
170         (uint entireSystemColl, uint entireSystemDebt) = borrowerOperations.
            getGlobalSystemBalances();
```

```
171     entireSystemColl -= totals.totalCollToSendToSP * troveManagerValues.price;
172     entireSystemDebt -= totals.totalDebtToOffset;
173     address nextAccount = sortedTroveCached.getLast();
174     ITroveManager _troveManager = troveManager; //stack too deep workaround
175     while (trovesRemaining > 0 && troveCount > 1) {
176         uint ICR = troveManager.getCurrentICR(nextAccount, troveManagerValues.price);
177         if (ICR > maxICR) break;
178         unchecked {
179             --trovesRemaining;
180         }
181         address account = nextAccount;
182         nextAccount = sortedTroveCached.getPrev(account);
183         uint256 TCR = WenMath._computeCR(entireSystemColl, entireSystemDebt);
184         if (TCR >= CCR || ICR >= TCR) break;
185         singleLiquidation = _tryLiquidateWithCap(_troveManager, account, debtInStabPool,
186             troveManagerValues.MCR, troveManagerValues.price);
187         if (singleLiquidation.debtToOffset == 0) continue;
188         debtInStabPool -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
189         entireSystemColl -= (singleLiquidation.collToSendToSP + singleLiquidation.
190             collSurplus) * troveManagerValues.price;
191         entireSystemDebt -= singleLiquidation.debtToOffset;
192         _applyLiquidationValuesToTotals(totals, singleLiquidation);
193         unchecked {
194             --troveCount;
195         }
196     }
197     require(totals.totalDebtInSequence > 0, "nothing to liquidate");
198     if (totals.totalDebtToOffset > 0 || totals.totalCollToSendToSP > 0) {
199         // Move liquidated collateral and Debt to the appropriate pools
200         stabilityPoolCached.offset(troveManager.collateralToken(), totals.totalDebtToOffset,
201             totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
202         troveManager.decreaseDebtAndSendCollateral(address(stabilityPoolCached), totals.
203             totalDebtToOffset, totals.totalCollToSendToSP);
204     }
205     troveManager.finalizeLiquidation(msg.sender, totals.totalDebtToRedistribute, totals.
206         totalCollToRedistribute, totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalDebtGasCompensation,
207         totals.totalCollGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
208     emit Liquidation(totals.totalDebtInSequence, totals.totalCollInSequence - totals.
209         totalCollGasCompensation - totals.totalCollSurplus, totals.totalCollGasCompensation,
210         totals.totalDebtGasCompensation, totals.totalInterest);
211 }
```

Listing 2.20: LiquidationManager.sol

Impact When liquidating a batch of troves, if it contains a trove whose **ICR** is higher than **MCR** but less than **CCR**, the whole liquidation process will revert.

Suggestion When the protocol is in **Recovery Mode** and the specified collateral is sunsetting, skip the liquidation for troves whose **ICR** is between **MCR** and **CCR**.

2.1.13 Incorrect Rounding Direction in shareBurnt()

Severity Medium

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the `StakeBoost` contract, the function `shareBurnt()` calculates the number of shares to be burned based on the input parameter `amount`. The default downward rounding in division calculations will cause precision loss, which in fact burns less shares of the user.

```
72  function shareBurnt(address user, uint256 id, uint256 amount, uint256 stakes) public view
      returns (uint256) {
73      if (stakes == 0)
74      {
75          return 0;
76      }
77      return (amount * shareOf(user, id)) / stakes;
78  }
```

Listing 2.21: StakingBoost.sol

Impact The precision loss caused by rounding down could result in users burning less shares, resulting in losses for the protocol.

Suggestion Use upward rounding in the function `shareBurnt()`.

2.1.14 Lack of Check in Function setMaxSystemDebt()

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the `TroveManager` contract, the function `setMaxSystemDebt()` is used to set maximum system debt in a single `TroveManager` pool. However, there is no check to ensure the newly updated `maxSystemDebt` is lower than the current accumulated debt.

```
184  function setMaxSystemDebt(uint256 _maxSystemDebt) external onlyOwner {
185      maxSystemDebt = _maxSystemDebt;
186  }
```

Listing 2.22: TroveManager.sol

Impact The contract may suffer a denial of service problem.

Suggestion Add a check to ensure `maxSystemDebt` is larger than current total debt.

2.1.15 Conflicts of Updating rewardEndTime During Initialization of LPStakingPool

Severity Low

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract of `LPStakingPool`, global variable `rewardEndTime` can either be updated by internal function `updatePool()` or by privileged function `setRewardEndTime()`. However, the function `setRewardEndTime()` will invoke the `updatePool()` during the execution, which is inconsistent for the update of `rewardEndTime`.

```
48 function setRewardEndTime(uint256 _rewardEndTime) external onlyOwner {
49     updatePool();
50     require(rewardEndTime > block.timestamp, "invalid rewardEndTime");
51     rewardEndTime = _rewardEndTime;
52     emit RewardEndTimeUpdated(_rewardEndTime);
53 }
54 function updatePool() internal {
55     if (lastUpdateTime == 0) {
56         lastUpdateTime = block.timestamp;
57         rewardEndTime = block.timestamp + duration;
58     }
59     if (totalShares() == 0) {
60         return;
61     }
62     if (lastTimeRewardApplicable() == lastUpdateTime) {
63         return;
64     }
65     if (lastTimeRewardApplicable() > lastUpdateTime) {
66         uint256 pending = (lastTimeRewardApplicable() - lastUpdateTime) * rewardPerSec;
67         accRewardPerShare += pending * PRECISION / totalShares();
68         lastUpdateTime = lastTimeRewardApplicable();
69     }
70 }
```

Listing 2.23: LPStakingPool.sol

Impact Conflicts may arise when updating the `rewardEndTime` during the initialization of the `LPStakingPool`.

Suggestion Add a check to ensure the consistency of `rewardEndTime` between `updatePool()` and `setRewardEndTime()`.

2.1.16 Inappropriate Parameter Settings in `initLockSettings`

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract of `StakingBoost`, the internal function `initLockSettings()` will be invoked during the initialization process. However, the settings for these lock times are not consistent with the documentation.

```
26 function initLockSettings() internal {
27     _MaxId = type(uint256).max;
28     LockSettings.push(LockSetting(30 seconds, 10));
29     LockSettings.push(LockSetting(90 seconds, 20));
30     LockSettings.push(LockSetting(183 seconds, 50));
31     LockSettings.push(LockSetting(365 seconds, 100));
```

```
32 }
```

Listing 2.24: StakingBoost.sol

Impact The locking period is too short in the time unit of seconds, which is against the design of the documentation.

Suggestion Change the time unit from `seconds` to `days`.

2.1.17 Lack of Check in Function `setMCR()`

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the `TroveManager` contract, the function `setMCR()` is used to set `Minimum Collateral Ratio` for individual troves (`MCR`). However, there is no check to ensure the newly updated `MCR` is lower than `Critical System Collateral Ratio` (`CCR`) and larger than 110%.

```
180 function setMCR(uint256 _MCR) external onlyOwner {
181     MCR = _MCR;
182 }
```

Listing 2.25: TroveManager.sol

Impact The contract may disobey the design purpose, which allows users to liquidate `troves` that are not supposed to be liquidated.

Suggestion Implement the checks mentioned above.

2.1.18 Incorrect Calculation of Debt Interest

Severity High

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract `TroveManager`, any user can assist a specified `borrower` in repaying interest through the function `repayInterestDebt()`. This function retrieves the `borrower`'s `debt`, followed by invoking the internal function `_repayInterest()`. Within function `_repayInterest()`, the function `getTroveInterest()` calculates interest based on the `_account`'s `rewardSnapshots` and the `borrower`'s `debt`, where `_account` can be different from the `borrower`.

```
832 function repayInterestDebt(address _borrower) external {
833     _distributeInterestDebt();
834     _applyPendingRewards(_borrower);
835     (uint256 debt, , , ) = getEntireDebtAndColl(_borrower);
836     _repayInterest(msg.sender, _borrower, debt);
837 }
```

Listing 2.26: TroveManager.sol

```
837 function _repayInterest(address _account, address _borrower, uint256 _debt) internal {
838     uint256 interest = getTroveInterest(_account, _debt);
839     if (WenUSD.balanceOf(_account) >= interest) {
840         WenUSD.burn(_account, interest);
841     } else {
842         totalActiveDebt += interest;
843         Troves[_borrower].debt += interest;
844     }
845     decreaseOutstandingInterestDebt(interest);
846     _updateTroveRewardSnapshots(_borrower);
847     emit InsterstPaid(_account, _borrower, interest);
848 }
```

Listing 2.27: TroveManager.sol

```
320 function getTroveInterest(address _borrower, uint256 debt) public view returns (uint256) {
321     return (debt * (getPendingInterestDebt() - rewardSnapshots[_borrower].interest)) /
322         DECIMAL_PRECISION;
323 }
```

Listing 2.28: TroveManager.sol

Impact The calculation of interest is incorrect.

Suggestion In the function `getTroveInterest()`, replace parameter `_account` with the parameter `_borrower`.

2.2 Additional Recommendation

2.2.1 Incorrect Function Name

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract `TroveManager`, the function `repayInterestDetb()` is named with a spelling error. It should be `'Debt'`, instead of `'Detb'`.

```
823 function repayInterestDetb(address _borrower) external {
824     _distributeInterestDebt();
825     _applyPendingRewards(_borrower);
826     (uint256 debt, , , ) = getEntireDebtAndColl(_borrower);
827     _repayInterest(msg.sender, _borrower, debt);
828 }
```

Listing 2.29: TroveManager.sol

Suggestion I The function name should be changed to `repayInterestDebt`.

2.2.2 Inconsistency between Implementation and Comments

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the contract `WenMath`, the comments mention that the function `_calcDecayedBaseRate()` from the contract `TroveManager` and the function `_getCumulativeIssuanceFraction()` from the contract `CommunityIssuance` both use the function `_decPow()` for calculations. However, the implementation of function `_getCumulativeIssuanceFraction()` in the contract `CommunityIssuance` cannot be found.

```
594 * Called by two functions that represent time in units of minutes:
595 * 1) TroveManager._calcDecayedBaseRate
596 * 2) CommunityIssuance._getCumulativeIssuanceFraction
597 function _decPow(uint256 _base, uint256 _minutes) internal pure returns {
598     if (_minutes > 525600000) {
599         _minutes = 525600000;
600     } // cap to avoid overflow
601
602
603     if (_minutes == 0) {
604         return DECIMAL_PRECISION;
605     }
606     uint256 y = DECIMAL_PRECISION;
607     uint256 x = _base;
608     uint256 n = _minutes;
609     // Exponentiation-by-squaring
610     while (n > 1) {
611         if (n % 2 == 0) {
612             x = decMul(x, x);
613             n = n / 2;
614         } else {
615             // if (n % 2 != 0)
616             y = decMul(x, y);
617             x = decMul(x, x);
618             n = (n - 1) / 2;
619         }
620     }
621     return decMul(x, y);
622 }
```

Listing 2.30: BorrowerOperations.sol

Suggestion Modify the implementation logic to align the code with the comments.

2.3 Note

2.3.1 Contract Supports Multiple Collateral Assets and Relies on Timely Updates from the Price Oracle

Introduced by `version 1`

Description According to the current implementation, the protocol supports several collateral tokens, and each collateral token corresponds to one market pool (i.e, `troveManager`). However, when measuring the health of the market, the `Total Collateralization Ratio (TCR)` is calculated based on the total collateral and debt in all market pools. This means that if a collateral token experiences a sharp price drop, the overall market health will also decline. However, due to the larger volume of other markets compared to a

single market, the overall decline in health may not be that large. It is possible that the system's protective mechanism ([Recovery Mode](#)) may not activate in a timely manner. If the [Oracle](#) fails to provide timely price feeds for the rapidly declining price, significant arbitrage opportunities can arise, further reducing the market's health. This can lead to a significant sell pressure of minted [WenUSD](#), causing them to deviate from their peg and resulting in a series of other issues. Therefore, the protocol needs to carefully select tokens that can be used as collateral assets and ensure that the [Oracle](#) can provide timely and accurate price feeds.