

An introduction to spectral sequences

Carlo Buccisano

January 16, 2020

Contents

1	Preliminaries	1
2	Terminology	2
3	Spectral sequence of a filtration	4
4	Spectral sequence of a double complex	7
5	Snakes!	8

1 Preliminaries

We'll denote with \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{U} -small categories, for some Grothendieck universe \mathcal{U} . For our purpose, we'll need an abelian category \mathcal{C} which respects the following Grothendieck axioms (and their dual versions, i.e. substitute coproduct with product and mono with epi):

AB3 For every (small) indexed family $(A_i)_{i \in I} \subset \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the coproduct $\coprod_i A_i$ exists, i.e. \mathcal{C} is cocomplete.

AB4 \mathcal{C} satisfies AB3 and the coproduct of a family of mono is mono.

For the sake of simplicity, we'll use the Freyd-Mitchell embedding of \mathcal{C} in a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}(A)$ for some ring A . Similar to the notation used in [Wei94], we'll adopt left arrows for chain complexes: let $\Omega \in C(\mathcal{C})$, then

$$\dots \longleftarrow \Omega_{n-1} \xleftarrow{d_n} \Omega_n \xleftarrow{d_{n+1}} \Omega_{n+1} \longleftarrow \dots$$

which is the same as adopting the right-arrows notation and working in \mathcal{C}^{op} . For double chain complexes, we'll use left and downward arrows, i.e. let $E \in C^2(\mathcal{C})$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longleftarrow & E_{p-1,q+1} & \xleftarrow{d_{p,q+1}} & E_{p,q+1} & \xleftarrow{d_{p+1,q+1}} & E_{p+1,q+1} & \longleftarrow \dots \\
 & & \downarrow d'_{p-1,q+1} & & \downarrow d'_{p,q+1} & & \downarrow d'_{p+1,q+1} & \\
 \dots & \longleftarrow & E_{p-1,q} & \xleftarrow{d_{p,q}} & E_{p,q} & \xleftarrow{d_{p+1,q}} & E_{p+1,q} & \longleftarrow \dots \\
 & & \downarrow d'_{p-1,q} & & \downarrow d'_{p,q} & & \downarrow d'_{p+1,q} & \\
 \dots & \longleftarrow & E_{p-1,q-1} & \xleftarrow{d_{p,q-1}} & E_{p,q-1} & \xleftarrow{d_{p+1,q-1}} & E_{p+1,q-1} & \longleftarrow \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

We ask for the squares of a double chain complex to be anti-commutative, that is, we must have $d' \circ d + d \circ d' = 0$. We recall that there are two different definitions of total complexes, $\text{Tot}^{\text{II}}(E)$ and $\text{Tot}^{\oplus}(E)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tot}^{\text{II}}(E)_n &= \prod_{p+q=n} E_{p,q}, & \text{Tot}^{\oplus}(E)_n &= \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_{p,q} \\ d_n^{\text{II}} \Big|_{E_{p,q}} &= d_{p,q} + d'_{p,q}, & d_n^{\oplus} \Big|_{E_{p,q}} &= d_{p,q} + d'_{p,q} \end{aligned}$$

which coincides if E is such that, for any n , we have only a finite number of non-zero terms along the anti-diagonal $p + q = n$.

2 Terminology

Definition 2.1. A *homology spectral sequence* in \mathcal{C} is the following data:

1. A family $\{E_{p,q}^r\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ defined for all integers p, q and $r \geq a$, for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$;
2. Differentials $d_{p,q}^r : E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r$ such that $d^r \circ d^r = 0$, which corresponds to chain complexes of slope $-(r+1)/r$ in the lattice $E_{\bullet\bullet}^r$.
3. Isomorphisms between $E_{p,q}^{r+1}$ and the homology of $E_{\bullet\bullet}^r$ at (p, q) , i.e. $E_{p,q}^{r+1} \cong \ker d_{p,q}^r / \text{im } d_{p+r, q-r+1}^r$.

The *total degree* of $E_{p,q}^r$ is $p+q$ and each differential $d_{p,q}^r$ decreases the total degree by one. These objects form a category: a morphism $f : E \rightarrow E'$ is a family of maps $f_{p,q}^r : E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E'_{p,q}$ in \mathcal{C} with $d'^r \circ f^r = f^r \circ d^r$ and such that $f_{p,q}^{r+1}$ is the map induced by $f_{p,q}^r$ on homology.

We can also define a cohomology spectral sequence, with differentials going “to the right”, and we’ll denote one by $\{E_r^{p,q}\}$. From now on, unless otherwise specified, we’ll work with homology spectral sequences.

Definition 2.2 (E^∞ terms). Let $\{E_{p,q}^r\}$ be a spectral sequence; each $E_{p,q}^{r+1}$ is a subquotient of $E_{p,q}^r$ and with an induction on r we can prove there exists a nested family of subobjects in $E_{p,q}^a$

$$0 = B_{p,q}^a \subseteq \dots \subseteq B_{p,q}^r \subseteq B_{p,q}^{r+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_{p,q}^{r+1} \subseteq Z_{p,q}^r \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_{p,q}^a = E_{p,q}^a$$

such that $E_{p,q}^r \cong Z_{p,q}^r / B_{p,q}^r$. We define

$$B_{p,q}^\infty := \bigcup_{r=a}^{\infty} B_{p,q}^r, \quad Z_{p,q}^\infty := \bigcap_{r=a}^{\infty} Z_{p,q}^r$$

and set $E_{p,q}^\infty := Z_{p,q}^\infty / B_{p,q}^\infty$.

Definition 2.3. A spectral sequence is:

1. *Bounded* if for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are only finitely many nonzero terms of total degree n in $E_{\bullet\bullet}^a$ (and hence in each $E_{\bullet\bullet}^r$ for $r \geq a$). In this case, for each (p, q) , there exists an r_0 such that $E_{p,q}^r = E_{p,q}^{r+1}$ for all $r \geq r_0$ and hence $E_{p,q}^\infty$ corresponds to this stable value.
2. *Bounded below* if for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $s(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E_{p, n-p}^a = 0$ for every $p < s(n)$.
3. *Regular* if for each (p, q) the differentials $d_{p,q}^r$ (i.e. leaving $E_{p,q}^r$) are zero for all large r . Equivalently, there exists $r = r(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $Z_{p,q}^\infty = Z_{p,q}^r$.

It is easily seen that every property implies the ones below it.

Example 2.4. A first quadrant spectral sequence is one where $E_{p,q}^r = 0$ unless (p, q) belongs to the first quadrant. Clearly it is bounded. A right half-plane spectral sequence, instead, is bounded below but not bounded.

Definition 2.5 (Convergence). The spectral sequence $\{E_{p,q}^r\}$ *weakly converges* to $H_\star = \{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ if for each H_n we have a filtration (indexed in \mathbb{Z})

$$\cdots \subseteq F_{p-1}H_n \subseteq F_pH_n \subseteq F_{p+1}H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H_n$$

and isomorphisms $\beta_{p,q}: E_{p,q}^\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} F_pH_{p+q}/F_{p-1}H_{p+q}$ for every (p, q) .

We say that E *approaches* H_\star if it weakly converges to it and every filtration is Hausdorff and exhaustive, i.e. $\cap F_pH_n = 0$ and $\cup F_pH_n = H_n$.

Finally, we say that E *converges* to H_\star if E is regular, it approaches H_\star and H_\star is also complete, i.e. $H_n = \varprojlim (H_n/F_pH_n)$. We'll denote convergence by

$$E_{p,q}^a \implies H_{p+q}.$$

Example 2.6. If a first quadrant spectral sequence converges to H_\star then every H_n has a finite filtration (sometimes called ‘‘canonical filtration’’)

$$0 = F_{-1}H_n \subseteq F_0H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_nH_n = H_n$$

such that the bottom piece $F_0H_n = E_{0,n}^\infty$ is on the y -axis and the top piece $H_n/F_{n-1}H_n \cong E_{n,0}^\infty$ is on the x -axis. Since this is a first quadrant sequence, each $E_{0,n}^\infty$ is a quotient of $E_{0,n}^a$ and each $E_{n,0}^\infty$ is a subobject of $E_{n,0}^a$. Hence we have the edge morphisms

$$E_{0,n}^a \rightarrow E_{0,n}^\infty \subseteq H_n, \quad H_n \rightarrow E_{n,0}^\infty \subseteq E_{n,0}^a.$$

Definition 2.7. A spectral sequence E *collapses* at E^r ($r \geq 2$) if there is exactly one nonzero row/column in the lattice $E_{\bullet\bullet}^r$. If E converges to H_\star then H_n is the unique nonzero $E_{p,n-p}^r$. (The majority of applications of spectral sequences involve collapsing spectral sequences at E^2).

Lemma 2.8 (Mapping Lemma). *Let $f: E \rightarrow E'$ be a morphism of spectral sequences such that, for a certain r , $f^r: E^r \xrightarrow{\sim} E'^r$ is an iso. Then f^s is an iso as well for every $s \geq r$. Also, the natural morphism $f^\infty: E^\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} E'^\infty$ is an iso.*

Proof. The fact that f^s is an iso can be proved by induction on s . It easily derives from the fact that if $f^{s-1}: E^{s-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'^{s-1}$ then it also induces an iso between homologies, which then implies that f^s is also an iso. To conclude that also f^∞ is an iso we observe that

$$Z^\infty/B^\infty = \varprojlim Z^n/B^n = \varprojlim Z^\infty/B^n = \varprojlim Z^n/B^\infty$$

and that we have a natural induced map $Z^\infty/B^\infty \rightarrow Z'^\infty/B'^\infty$ and we conclude using AB4. \square

Definition 2.9 (Compatible maps). Let E, E' be two spectral sequences weakly convergent to H_\star and H'_\star respectively. A map $h: H_\star \rightarrow H'_\star$ is *compatible* with a morphism $f: E \rightarrow E'$ if h maps F_pH_n to $F_pH'_n$ and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_pH_n/F_{p-1}H_n & \xrightarrow{h} & F_pH'_n/F_{p-1}H'_n \\ \wr \uparrow \beta_{p,q} & & \wr \uparrow \beta'_{p,q} \\ E_{p,q}^\infty & \xrightarrow{f_{p,q}^\infty} & E'_{p,q}^\infty \end{array}$$

Theorem 2.10 (Comparison theorem). *Let E, E' converge to H_\star and H'_\star and let $h: H_\star \rightarrow H'_\star$ be a compatible map with a morphism $f: E \rightarrow E'$. If $f^r: E^r \rightarrow E'^r$ is an iso for some r then also h is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By the Mapping Lemma we know that also f^r and f^∞ are iso. Weak convergence gives us the following exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{p-1}H_n/F_sH_n & \longrightarrow & F_pH_n/F_sH_n & \longrightarrow & E_{p,n-p}^\infty \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{p-1}H'_n/F_sH'_n & \longrightarrow & F_pH'_n/F_sH'_n & \longrightarrow & E'_{p,n-p}^\infty \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Fixed s , an easy induction shows us that $F_p H_n / F_s H_n \cong F_p H'_n / F_s H'_n$ (use the 5-lemma) for any p . Since this filtration is exhaustive (by def of convergence) then we have $H_n / F_s H_n \cong H'_n / F_s H'_n$ for any s , and we conclude using completeness taking the projective limit of both members. \square

Remark 2.10.1. The same spectral sequence can converge to many different H_* . For example consider, in **Ab**, a first quadrant spectral sequence defined by

$$E_{p,q}^0 := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p < 0 \text{ or } q < 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where the differentials are the zero morphisms. Then $E^\infty = E^0$ and a H_3 can be $\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ or even $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$. The comparison theorem allows us to reconstruct H_* in a different way, having the right maps.

3 Spectral sequence of a filtration

Definition 3.1. Denote by $C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C})$ a chain complex. A filtration on C is a family of chain subcomplexes

$$\cdots \subseteq F_{p-1}C \subseteq F_p C \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C.$$

Our goal is to show that we can associate a spectral sequence to any filtered complex.

Definition 3.2. A filtration on C is called *bounded* if for any n there are integers $s < t$ such that $F_s C_n = 0$ and $F_t C_n = C_n$. If $s = -1$ and $t = n$ then the filtration is canonically bounded. If $s = -\infty$ ($t = +\infty$) then the filtration is bounded above (below).

Theorem 3.3 (Construction of the spectral sequence). *A filtration F on a chain complex C naturally determines a spectral sequence starting with $E_{p,q}^0 = F_p C_{p+q} / F_{p-1} C_{p+q}$ and $E_{p,q}^1 = H_q(E_{p,\bullet}^0)$.*

Proof. Let $\eta_{p,q}: F_p C_{p+q} \rightarrow F_p C_{p+q} / F_{p-1} C_{p+q} = E_{p,q}^0$ be the natural surjection. Let's define the sets

$$A_{p,q}^r := \{c \in F_p C_{p+q} : d(c) \in F_{p-r} C_{p+q-1}\}$$

i.e. the elements of $F_p C_{p+q}$ that are cycles "mod $F_{p-r} C_{p+q-1}$ ". Let's immediately observe that we have the inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & A_{p,q}^{r+1} & \longleftarrow & A_{p,q}^r & \longleftarrow & A_{p,q}^{r-1} & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & A_{p+1,q-1}^{r+2} & \longleftarrow & A_{p+1,q-1}^{r+1} & \longleftarrow & A_{p+1,q-1}^r & \longleftarrow & \cdots \end{array} \quad (1)$$

$$d(A_{p,q}^r) \subseteq A_{p-r,q+r-1}^s \quad \text{for any } s. \quad (2)$$

Now let's define

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{p,q}^r &:= \eta_{p,q}(A_{p,q}^r) \subseteq E_{p,q}^0, & B_{p,q}^r &:= \eta_{p,q}(d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1})) \subseteq E_{p,q}^0 \\ Z_{p,q}^\infty &:= \bigcap_{r=1}^\infty Z_{p,q}^r, & B_{p,q}^\infty &:= \bigcup_{r=1}^\infty B_{p,q}^r \end{aligned}$$

so that we have the following inclusions in $E_{p,q}^0$

$$0 = B_{p,q}^0 \subseteq B_{p,q}^1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq B_{p,q}^r \subseteq B_{p,q}^\infty \subseteq Z_{p,q}^\infty \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_{p,q}^r \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_{p,q}^1 \subseteq Z_{p,q}^0 = E_{p,q}^0.$$

The inclusions $B_{p,q}^r \subseteq B_{p,q}^{r+1}$ and $Z_{p,q}^{r+1} \subseteq Z_{p,q}^r$ immediately comes from (1) while $B_{p,q}^s \subseteq Z_{p,q}^r$ comes from (2). Let's now observe some other "rules" we'll use in all the following isomorphisms (together with the classical theorem $S + T/T \cong S/S \cap T$) and some clever tricks):

$$A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1} = A_{p,q}^r \cap F_{p-1} C_{p+q} \quad (3)$$

$$A_{p-1,q+1}^r = A_{p,q}^{r+1} \cap A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1}. \quad (4)$$

Let's now define the terms of the spectral sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p,q}^r &:= \frac{Z_{p,q}^r}{B_{p,q}^r} = \frac{A_{p,q}^r/A_{p,q}^r \cap F_{p-1}C_{p+q}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+1}^{r-1})/d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+1}^{r-1}) \cap F_{p-1}C_{p+q}} \cong \\ &\cong \frac{A_{p,q}^r + d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + F_{p-1}C_{p+q}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + F_{p-1}C_{p+q}} \cong \\ &\cong \frac{A_{p,q}^r}{A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1} + d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

The differentials are the natural maps induced by the differential of the complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{p,q}^r & \xrightarrow{d_{p,q}^r} & E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ \frac{A_{p,q}^r}{A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1} + d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1})} & \xrightarrow{d} & \frac{A_{p-r,q+r-1}^r}{A_{p-r-1,q+r}^{r-1} + d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1})} \end{array}$$

which are well defined since $d(A_{p,q}^r) \subseteq A_{p-r,q+r-1}^r$. To conclude the proof we only need to give the isomorphisms between E^{r+1} and $H_*(E^r)$. First of all, let's prove that $d_{p,q}^r$ induces an iso

$$Z_{p,q}^r/Z_{p,q}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{p-r,q+r-1}^{r+1}/B_{p-r,q+r-1}^r.$$

Let's note that $d(A_{p,q}^r) \cap F_{p-r-1}C_{p+q-1} = d(A_{p,q}^{r+1})$ and that $d(A_{p-1,q+1}^r) = d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r+1}) \cap d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1})$ (apply d to (4) and it's easy to show that it commutes with \cap). Using these facts together with classical isomorphism theorems and linearity of d we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p-r,q+r-1}^r &= \frac{d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1})}{d(A_{p-1,q+1}^r)} = \frac{d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1})}{d(A_{p,q}^{r+1}) \cap d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1})} \cong \frac{d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1} + A_{p,q}^{r+1})}{d(A_{p,q}^{r+1})} \\ &\implies \frac{B_{p-r,q+r-1}^{r+1}}{B_{p-r,q+r-1}^r} \cong \frac{d(A_{p,q}^r)}{d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1} + A_{p,q}^{r+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$\frac{Z_{p,q}^r}{Z_{p,q}^{r+1}} \cong \frac{A_{p,q}^r}{A_{p,q}^{r+1} + A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1}}$$

so there is a natural map induced by $d_{p,q}^r: A_{p,q}^r \rightarrow d(A_{p,q}^r)$ and it's an isomorphism because its kernel is contained in $A_{p,q}^{r+1}$. Now we have that

$$\ker d_{p,q}^r = \frac{\{z \in A_{p,q}^r : d(z) \in d(A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1}) + A_{p-r-1,q+r}^{r-1}\}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1}} = \frac{A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1} + A_{p,q}^{r+1}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1}} \cong \frac{Z_{p,q}^{r+1}}{B_{p,q}^r}$$

where the last isomorphism derives from the fact that left and right member are both isomorphic to $A_{p,q}^{r+1}/d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + A_{p-1,q+1}^r$. In-fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker d_{p,q}^r &= \frac{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1} + A_{p,q}^{r+1}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + A_{p-1,q+1}^{r-1}} \cong \frac{A_{p,q}^{r+1}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + A_{p-1,q+1}^r} \\ \frac{Z_{p,q}^{r+1}}{B_{p,q}^r} &\cong \frac{A_{p,q}^{r+1} + d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + F_{p-1}C_{p+q}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + F_{p-1}C_{p+q}} \cong \frac{A_{p,q}^{r+1}}{(d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + F_{p-1}C_{p+q}) \cap A_{p,q}^{r+1}} = \\ &= \frac{A_{p,q}^{r+1}}{d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}) + A_{p-1,q+1}^r} \end{aligned}$$

The map $d_{p,q}^r$ factors as

$$E_{p,q}^r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{Z_{p,q}^r}{B_{p,q}^r} \longrightarrow \frac{Z_{p,q}^r}{Z_{p,q}^{r+1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{B_{p-r,q+r-1}^{r+1}}{B_{p-r,q+r-1}^r} \hookrightarrow \frac{Z_{p-r,q+r-1}^r}{B_{p-r,q+r-1}^r} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r$$

from which we see that $\text{im } d_{p,q}^r = B_{p-r,q+r-1}^{r+1}/B_{p-r,q+r-1}^r$. This, finally, implies

$$E_{p,q}^{r+1} = \frac{Z_{p,q}^{r+1}}{B_{p,q}^{r+1}} \cong \frac{\ker d_{p,q}^r}{\text{im } d_{p+r,q-r+1}^r},$$

which concludes the proof (quotient both by $B_{p,q}^r$). \square

Let C be a filtered complex; then we have an induced filtration on homology:

$$F_p H_n(C) := \text{im}(H_n(F_p C) \rightarrow H_n(C)).$$

If F is exhaustive on C then it is also exhaustive on H (any element of $H_n(C)$ is represented by some $c \in F_p C_n$ s.t. $d(c) = 0$). If F is bounded below on C then it is bounded below also on H , since $F_p C = 0$ implies $F_p H_n(C) = 0$.

Theorem 3.4 (Classical convergence theorem). *Let C be a filtered complex.*

1. *Suppose the filtration on C is bounded. Then the spectral sequence is bounded and converges to $H_*(C)$, that is*

$$E_{p,q}^0 = F_p C_{p+q}/F_{p-1} C_{p+q} \implies H_{p+q}(C).$$

2. *Suppose the filtration on C is bounded below and exhaustive. Then the spectral sequence is bounded below and converges to $H_*(C)$. Moreover, if $f: C \rightarrow C'$ is a map of filtered complexes (i.e. it respects the filtrations) then the induced map $f_*: H_*(C) \rightarrow H_*(C')$ is compatible with the corresponding map induced on the spectral sequences.*

Proof. As already said above exhaustiveness and below-boundedness are inherited by the filtration on $H_*(C)$. Then $H_*(C)$ is Hausdorff, regular (both implied by bounded below) and complete (implied by bounded below and exhaustive) hence, recalling the definition Convergence, we just need to prove weak convergence. First of all, observe that, since the filtration on C is bounded below, fixed (p, q) , we have that the $A_{p,q}^r = \{c \in F_p C_{p+q} : d(c) \in F_{p-r} C_{p+q-1}\}$ (see Construction of the spectral sequence) stabilize for a large enough r_0 : we'll then define $A_{p,q}^\infty := A_{p,q}^{r_0}$. Then we observe the following facts:

$$Z_{p,q}^\infty = \eta_{p,q}(A_{p,q}^\infty), \quad A_{p,q}^\infty = \ker(F_p C_{p+q} \xrightarrow{d} F_p C_{p+q-1}).$$

Let's observe now that, since the filtration is exhaustive, we have

$$d(C_{p+q}) \cap F_p C_{p+q-1} = \bigcup_r d(A_{p+r,q-r}^r) = d(\cup_r A_{p+r,q-r}^r) \subseteq A_{p,q}^\infty$$

and that $A_{p-1,q+1}^\infty = \ker(A_{p,q}^\infty \xrightarrow{\eta_{p,q}} E_{p,q}^0)$, since $A_{p-1,q+1}^\infty \subseteq F_{p-1} C_{p+q}$. We easily see that

$$B_{p,q}^r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta_{p,q}(d(A_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1})) \implies B_{p,q}^\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_r B_{p,q}^r = \eta_{p,q}(d(\cup_r A_{p+r,q-r+1}^r)).$$

Putting all together, recalling that $F_p H_{p+q}(C) = \text{im}(H_{p+q}(F_p C) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(C))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_p H_{p+q}(C)}{F_{p-1} H_{p+q}(C)} &= \frac{A_{p,q}^\infty/d(C_{p+q+1}) \cap A_{p,q}^\infty}{A_{p-1,q+1}^\infty/d(C_{p+q+1}) \cap A_{p-1,q+1}^\infty} = \frac{A_{p,q}^\infty/d(\cup_r A_{p+r,q-r+1}^r) \cap A_{p,q}^\infty}{A_{p-1,q+1}^\infty/d(\cup_r A_{p+r,q-r+1}^r) \cap A_{p-1,q+1}^\infty} \cong \\ &\cong \frac{A_{p,q}^\infty}{A_{p-1,q+1}^\infty + d(\cup_r A_{p+r,q-r+1}^r)} \cong \frac{\eta_{p,q}(A_{p,q}^\infty)}{\eta_{p,q}(d(\cup_r A_{p+r,q-r+1}^r))} = \frac{Z_{p,q}^\infty}{B_{p,q}^\infty} = E_{p,q}^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of convergence. \square

Example 3.5 (First quadrant spectral sequence). Suppose that the filtration of C is canonically bounded, i.e. $F_{-1} C_n = 0$ and $F_n C_n = C_n$, so that the spectral sequence lies in the first quadrant. Then it converges to $H_*(C)$.

We only cite a more powerful result,

Theorem 3.6 (Complete convergence theorem). *Suppose the filtration on C is complete and exhaustive and the spectral sequence is regular. Then*

1. *the spectral sequence weakly converges to $H_*(C)$;*
2. *if the spectral sequence is bounded above then it converges to $H_*(C)$.*

Proof. See [Wei94, p. 140]. \square

4 Spectral sequence of a double complex

One important application of spectral sequences is to compute the total homology of a double complex. Given a double complex $C \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathcal{C})$ we have two filtrations for $\text{Tot}(C)$, hence two different spectral sequences. We'll then be able to play them off against each other to prove some properties (e.g. 5-lemma, snake lemma).

Remark 4.0.1. Let C be a double complex; we'll denote by H^h (H^v) the homology related to the horizontal (vertical) differentials. We'll use the generic notation $\text{Tot}(C)$ meaning that we can define/do the same things for both total complexes.

Definition 4.1 (Filtration by columns). Let $C = C_{\bullet\bullet}$ be a double complex and let ${}^I F_n \text{Tot}(C)$ be the total complex of

$$({}^I F_n C)_{p,q} := \begin{cases} C_{p,q} & \text{if } p \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

We have defined a filtration of $\text{Tot}(C)$, called filtration by columns.

It is easy to see that this filtration on $\text{Tot}(C)$ gives rise to a spectral sequence $\{{}^I E_{p,q}^r\}$ starting with ${}^I E_{p,q}^0 = C_{p,q}$, where the maps d^0 are exactly the vertical differentials of C so that ${}^I E_{p,q}^1 = H_q^v(C_{p,\bullet})$. The maps $d^1: H_q^v(C_{p,\bullet}) \rightarrow H_q^v(C_{p-1,\bullet})$ are clearly the ones induced on the homology by the horizontal differentials of C .

Definition 4.2 (Filtration by rows). Let $C = C_{\bullet\bullet}$ be a double complex and let ${}^{II} F_n \text{Tot}(C)$ be the total complex of

$$({}^{II} F_n C)_{p,q} := \begin{cases} C_{p,q} & \text{if } q \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{cccc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \end{array}$$

We have defined a filtration of $\text{Tot}(C)$, called filtration by rows.

Since ${}^{II} F_p \text{Tot}(C) / {}^{II} F_{p-1} \text{Tot}(C)$ is the row $C_{\bullet,p}$ we have that the corresponding spectral sequence $\{{}^{II} E_{p,q}^r\}$ starts with ${}^{II} E_{p,q}^0 = C_{q,p}$ (vertical morphisms d^0 of E^0 are exactly the horizontal morphisms of C) and ${}^{II} E_{p,q}^1 = H_q^h(C_{\bullet,p})$ and, as one imagines, the differentials d^1 are induced by the vertical differentials of C . Let's now study the convergence of these sequences in some special cases.

First quadrant Let C be a first quadrant double complex then both the filtration of $\text{Tot}(C)$ (here we have only one kind of total complex) are canonically bounded and so, by the Classical convergence theorem, both the spectral sequences converge to $H_*(\text{Tot}(C))$:

$${}^I E_{p,q}^0, {}^{II} E_{p,q}^0 \implies H_{p+q}(\text{Tot}(C)).$$

Zeros in second quadrant Let C be such that $C_{p,q} = 0$ in the second quadrant (e.g. a fourth quadrant complex).

- **Columns** The filtration on $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ by columns is bounded below but is not exhaustive (so we cannot apply our convergence theorems), in-fact we have

$$\bigcup_{p \geq p_0} \prod_{i=p_0}^p C_{i,p_0-i} \subsetneq \prod_{i=p_0}^{\infty} C_{i,p_0-i}$$

since the lhs contains only the “definitively zero terms”. Instead, the column filtration on $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is both bounded below and exhaustive so we can apply the Classical convergence theorem to obtain

$${}^I E_{p,q}^0 \implies H_{p+q}(\text{Tot}^\oplus(C))$$

(hence here we must be careful because the two different total complexes are different and we have convergence only with $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$).

- **Rows** The filtration on $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ by rows is bounded above (hence exhaustive) and complete so we can apply Complete convergence theorem to obtain that ${}^I E_{p,q}^0$ weakly converges to $H_*(\text{Tot}^\Pi(C))$. (We cannot say anything on $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ since its filtration by rows here it is not complete).

Zeroes in fourth quadrant Let C be such that $C_{p,q} = 0$ in the fourth quadrant (e.g. a second quadrant complex).

- **Columns** The column filtration of $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ is bounded above, hence exhaustive, and complete so we can apply Complete convergence theorem to obtain that ${}^I E_{p,q}^0$ weakly converges to $H_*(\text{Tot}^\Pi(C))$. (We cannot say anything on $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ since its filtration by columns here it is not complete).
- **Rows** The row filtration of $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ is not exhaustive so we cannot apply the convergence theorems. Instead, the filtration on $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is bounded below and exhaustive hence, by the Classical convergence theorem, we obtain

$${}^I E_{p,q}^0 \implies H_{p+q}(\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)).$$

5 Snakes!

Finally, we prove the famous snake lemma using the whole machinery of spectral sequences, inspired by [Vak].

Proposition 5.1 (Snake Lemma). *Consider the following commutative diagram, whose rows are exact*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \ker \gamma & \longleftarrow & \ker \beta & \longleftarrow & \ker \alpha \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longleftarrow & C & \longleftarrow & B & \longleftarrow & A \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \\
 & & F & \longleftarrow & E & \longleftarrow & D \longleftarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{coker } \gamma & \longleftarrow & \text{coker } \beta & \longleftarrow & \text{coker } \alpha \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

then there exists a morphism δ such that we have this exact sequence

$$\ker \alpha \longrightarrow \ker \beta \longrightarrow \ker \gamma \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{coker } \alpha \longrightarrow \text{coker } \beta \longrightarrow \text{coker } \gamma.$$

Proof. Consider the double complex (fill with zeroes)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longleftarrow & C & \longleftarrow & B & \longleftarrow & A \\
 & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 & & F & \longleftarrow & E & \longleftarrow & D \longleftarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where rows and columns are numbered such that F is the origin and C is $(0, 1)$. This is a first quadrant complex hence both filtrations converge to the total homology, call it H_* . A little computation shows that ${}^I E^1$ (row filtration) is of this form

$$0 \longleftarrow *$$

$$0 \longleftarrow 0$$

$$* \longleftarrow 0$$

where the bottom left square is the origin. Hence we see that, having only two non-zero terms in different degrees, ${}^{II}E^\infty = {}^{II}E^1$ and we can already read that $H_1 = H_2 = 0$. We'll use this information with the spectral sequence corresponding to the column filtration. The first page is

$$\ker \gamma \longleftarrow \ker \beta \longleftarrow \ker \alpha$$

$$\operatorname{coker} \gamma \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker} \beta \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker} \alpha$$

and the second page is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet_1 & \circ_1 & * \\ & \swarrow & \\ O & \circ_2 & \bullet_2 \end{array}$$

where O is the origin and all the other non-written points are zero. Then we immediately see that, since $H_1 = H_2 = 0$ and the \circ 's are already stable, they must vanish. We also must have that the arrow between the \bullet 's is an iso, because at the next page its homologies must vanish. Let's translate all these information:

- (i) $\circ_2 = 0$ means exactness at $\operatorname{coker} \beta$;
- (ii) $\circ_1 = 0$ means exactness at $\ker \beta$;
- (iii) the isomorphism between the \bullet 's is an iso

$$\delta: \bullet_1 = \operatorname{coker}(\ker \beta \rightarrow \ker \gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\operatorname{coker} \alpha \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \beta) = \bullet_2$$

and so we can glue the two natural exact sequences by this iso to get the wanted exact sequence. \square

References

- [Vak] Ravi Vakil. *SPECTRAL SEQUENCES: FRIEND OR FOE?* URL: <http://math.stanford.edu/~vakil/0708-216/216ss.pdf>.
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge University Press, 1994.