Note:

Slide 2-39 are for 1-hr research talk

Slide 40-50 are for a short talk to growers' association

The past, present and future of quantitative genetics in plant breeding

CJ Yang Aug 2, 2023

Self introduction

Wisconsin

Indiana 2009-2012 BSc Biotech, Math

Edinburgh 2019-now Postdoc

Freising 2018-2019 Postdoc

Talk outline

Talk outline

Talk outline

Introduction – Plant Breeding

Wallace et al (2018)

Integration of genetic and genomic data; current state of the art

BREEDING 4.0 Ability to combine any known alleles into optimal combinations; will be reached soon for some crops

- Domestication
- **Improvement**
- Experimental design

- Biology-Breeding
- Functional variants
- Gene editing (GE)
- Machine learning (ML/AI)

Introduction – Genetic Gain

Breeder's equation (Lush 1937)

Rate of genetic gain

 $R = h^2S$

 $R=$ $\sigma_{\!g}^2$ $\frac{g}{\sigma_p^2}\sigma_p$ i

 $\sigma_{\!g}$ i

 $\Delta R =$ $h\sigma_{\!g}$ i \bar{t}

 $\Delta R =$

 sel . $accuracy \times genetic$ variation \times sel. intensity time Framework for quantitative genetics

 $R = h\sigma_g i$

 $\sigma_{\!g}$

 σ_p

 $R=$

Introduction – Improving ∆

Primitive Technology 101: Domestication

This earliest form of plant breeding is known as domestication, where plants were selected to be more productive, easier to harvest, or more aesthetically or gastronomically pleasing (Flint-Garcia 2013).

Primitive Technology 101: Domestication

This earliest form of plant breeding is known as domestication, where plants were selected to be more productive, easier to harvest, or more aesthetically or gastronomically pleasing (Flint-Garcia 2013).

Teosinte (left) and maize (right) in Homestead, FL, 2013-2017.

Primitive Technology 101: Domestication

Domestication reduced the genetic variances and changed the genetic correlations.

Yang et al (2019), see also Chen et al (2020, 2021) and Samayoa et al (2021)

Shuffling genetic diversity

Selection reduces genetic diversity.

Rely on exotic sources (wild/landrace) for novel alleles, e.g. stress tolerance/resistance. Linkage drag is a challenge.

Shuffling genetic diversity

Selection reduces genetic diversity.

Rely on exotic sources (wild/landrace) for novel alleles, e.g. stress tolerance/resistance. Linkage drag is a challenge.

Selection bias

- Simulation study by Gorjanc et al (2016)
- Selection within exotic is slow.
- Selection within exotic x elite reconstitutes the elite genome.

Selection bias

- Simulation study by Gorjanc et al (2016)
- Selection within exotic is slow.
- Selection within exotic x elite reconstitutes the elite genome.

Origin Specific Genomic Selection (OSGS)

How do we improve selection in exotic x elite?

Can we target favorable exotic alleles?

GS **OSGS** |u(-)| Favorable Elite elite alleles X $\mu(+)$ Favorable Exotic exotic alleles Favorable alleles New elite lines

Yield in a barley NAM family (BC1)

Yang et al (2020)

Origin Specific Genomic Selection (OSGS)

- Simulation with 60:40 split in favorable alleles between the elite and exotic parents.
- OSGS prevents selection on the favorable alleles from the elite parent.

Origin Specific Genomic Selection (OSGS)

- Simulation with 60:40 split in favorable alleles between the elite and exotic parents.
- OSGS prevents selection on the favorable alleles from the elite parent.
- The advantage of OSGS diminishes in BC population.

Vertical farming of purslane

- Rich in Omega-3.
- Novel crop in the UK.
- Not adapted to the UK climate.

Purslane cultivation

• Green = 4 weeks, golden = 3 weeks.

Extension activities with purslane

- Royal Highland Show (state fair).
- Taste test, survey, flyer, talk, demonstration.
- Other work: PhD student, nutritional profiling, variety registration, market development.

Research vision

Basic science

- Breeding program design
- Innovative strategy
- Technology evaluation
- Method development

Applied science

- Small grains breeding
- Cultivar delivery
- SunGrains
- Population development

Research vision

Research: lab, field, greenhouse, statistics, simulation, programming Dissemination: publications, talks, posters, websites, social media, outreach Funding: federal, state, industry, commodity board Collaboration: projects, grants, interdisciplinary Engagement: stakeholders, seed industries, growers, consumers

Research vision

Dissemination: publications, talks, posters, websites, social media, outreach

Engagement: stakeholders, seed industries, growers, consumers

building, independence

How can we improve breeding strategy to deliver higher rate of genetic gain?

An example with 2-part strategy (Gaynor et al 2017)

- Isolate breeding program into PI and PD.
- Allows us take advantage of GS and SB.

Questions to follow:

- Trade-off between speed and genetic diversity?
- Trade-off between speed and prediction accuracy?
- Compatibility with crop types?
- How does it work for different traits?
- Is there indirect selection (SSD/SB, epigenetics)?
- Any uptake in real-world breeding?

Higher genetic gain in 2P at a cost of depleting genetic variance.

Ņ $\frac{10}{1}$ $\mathbf{\tau}$ Conv Conv **Conv GS Conv GS** PYT GS \circ **PYT GS Head GS Head GS** ∞ 2Part 2Part+H 2Part 2Part+H $0.\overline{8}$ Variance Genetic Gain $\mathbf 0$ 6 Genetic λ
0.4 0.6 4 \mathbf{a} $\mathbf{\Omega}$ ö 0.0 \circ -20 -10 10 20 O 15 20 5 10 O Year Year

Optimal Contribution Selection (OCS) to sustain the genetic gain.

OSGS in cultivar development

In general,

```
Polygenic traits = Genomic Selection (GS)
```
Oligogenic traits = Marker Assisted Selection (MAS)

Recall from earlier slides…

- Introgression of polygenic traits.
- E.g. tolerance to abiotic stresses (drought, heat, salinity).
- Important traits for climate change.

Improvement to OSGS

Currently, OSGS has only been shown in single trait in bi-parental populations.

Traits: multi-trait mixed model

Parents: parental probability or haplotype approach

Improvement to OSGS

Currently, OSGS has only been shown in single trait in bi-parental populations.

Traits: multi-trait mixed model

Parents: parental probability or haplotype approach

And we assume $u \sim N(0, I \sigma_u^2)$. The SNP-BLUP model is $y = X\beta + Wu + \varepsilon$.

- Does the normality assumption still hold when the two parents are vastly different?
- Is there any bias introduced by the model?

The following is a conventional mixed model for genomic BLUP (gBLUP).

 $y = X\beta + Zg + \varepsilon$ $g \sim N(0, K\sigma_g^2)$ $K = MM'$

In phenomic selection, the genomic markers (M) are replaced by phenome.

- Phenome can be near-infrared spectra (NIRS), image data, etc.
- Part of the phenome is heritable.
- Phenome is cheap(?).
- Phenome captures G x E x M.

Current states of phenomic selection

- Maize lines (elite, landrace populations).
- PS vs GS depends on populations and traits.

Opportunities in phenomic selection

Compare GS and PS for different traits. Predictions from PS are biased toward information within the predictors, Dallinger et al (2023).

Evaluate PS across developmental time points.

Simulation is an important part of GS, how can we do that for PS?

Summary

Quantitative genetics, plant breeding

Many thanks to the Search Committee, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, NCSU for the opportunity to present the talk!

Wisc + Others John Doebley Ali York Qiuyue Chen Wei Xue Natalia de Leon Claudia Calderón Jim Holland L Fernando Samayoa Ed Buckler M Cinta Romay Peter Bradbury Many more…

MAGIC

SRUC

OSGS

Ian Mackay

Wayne Powell

Rajiv Sharma

Nicola Rossi

Emma Irving

David Marshall

Gregor Gorjanc

Sarah Hearne

Rodney Edmondson Hans-Peter Piepho

DUS Joanne Russell Luke Ramsay Bill Thomas

RALLY Funmi Ladejobi Richard Mott

RL

Scottish Society for Crop Research

Computing UK Crop Diversity

M https://cjyang-work.github.io/

XXX

in cjyang90

Quantitative Genetics and

Small Grains Breeding

CJ Yang Aug 3, 2023

Self introduction

Wisconsin

Indiana 2009-2012 BSc Biotech, Math

Edinburgh 2019-now Postdoc

Freising 2018-2019 Postdoc

Research background

Research background

Background – Small Grains Breeding

- Small grains: wheat, barley, oats, rye, triticale, etc.
- 6% of NC total crop production value in 2022 [\(USDA NASS](https://www.nass.usda.gov/Quick_Stats/Ag_Overview/stateOverview.php?state=NORTH%20CAROLINA)).
- Winter wheat ranked fifth with a production value of \$202 millions.
- Primary use: flour.
- Other uses: feed, malt, cover crop.
- Availability of crop insurance?
- Line breeding, GS, hybrid?
- SunGrains (NC, AR, FL, GA, LA, SC, TX): cooperative breeding, royalty.

Soft red winter wheat

National Association of Wheat Growers www.wheatworld.org

https://www.uswheat.org/working-with-buyers/wheat-classes/

- Low protein content (8.5-10.5%)
- Flour for cake, pastry, dessert, etc.

https://kswheat.com/news/which-wheat-for-what

www.uswheat.org

www.wheatfoods.org

Research and breeding vision

Research and breeding vision

- Link between basic and applied science test and apply innovations.
- Incorporate end users' interest into breeding.
- Create new markets.
- Maintain/develop healthy relationship with industry/public breeders, growers.
- Train future breeders.
- Learn the process and ensure continuity.

Relevant research areas

