Genomic Data & Privacy Risks & opportunities

Michael Baudis | UZH BIO390 HS24 | December 2024





Genomic Data & Privacy Risks & opportunities

- Why do we need a lot of data for understanding genomic variation in health and disease?
- Data sharing protocols ...
 - ► GA4GH Beacon
- Breaking data privacy
 - Different types of (genomic) privacy attacks
 - Beacon attacks and mitigation
 - DTC and Longe-range familial attacks
- Regulation of genome data production & access in Switzerland
- Some strategies for enabling genomic data sharing & re-use




variation genome with human 0 Ð



Finding Somatic Mutations In Cancer Many Needles in a Large Haystack


- a typical human genome (~3 billion base pairs) has ~5 million variants
- most of them are "rare"; i.e. can only be identified as recurring when sequencing thousands of people
- cancer cells accumulate additional variants, only **few** of which ("**drivers**") are relevant for the disease



* Variants with allele frequency < 0.5% are considered as rare variants in 1000 genomes project.

The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Nature. 2015. 526:68-74 Khurana E. et al. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2016. 17:93-108

Graphic adapted from Mark Gerstein (GersteinLab.org; @markgerstein)




Nature Reviews | Genetics

Chro

CANCERS SHOW THOUSANDS OF SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE VARIANTS PER SAMPLE, MOSTLY IN NON-CODING REGIONS

Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes (PCAWG) data show widespread mutations in non-coding regions of cancer genomes (Khurana et al., Nat. Rev. Genet. (2016)

Quantifying Somatic Mutations In Cancer



On average ~19% of a cancer genome are in an imbalanced state (more/ less than 2 alleles); Original data based on 43654 cancer genomes from progenetix.org



200+ Genomic Data Initiatives Globally








RESEARCH



HEALTHCARE

60M individuals **132.5M** sequences







2.7-3M individuals



COHORTS

140M individuals





This dataset represents the world's largest single release of Whole Genome Sequencing data

When combined with the extensive amount of lifestyle, biochemical and health outcome data already held for the participants in UK Biobank, it will enable researchers to better understand the role of genetics for health outcomes and to advance drug discovery and development



UK Biobank | November 2021



WHOLE GENOME SEQUENCING DATA **ON 200,000 UK BIOBANK PARTICIPANTS ARE NOW AVAILABLE FOR RESEARCH USE**

5 PETABYTES OF WGS DATA



Johnson Johnson INNOVATION decode agenetics













Comparison of *PIK3CA* Mutation **Prevalence in Breast Cancer Across Predicted Ancestry Populations**

Jessica W. Chen, PhD¹; Karthikeyan Murugesan, MS²; Justin Y. Newberg, PhD²; Ethan S. Sokol, PhD²; Heidi M. Savage, BA¹; Thomas J. Stout, PhD³; Sophia L. Maund, PhD¹; and Katherine E. Hutchinson, PhD¹

JCO Precision Oncology no. 6 (2022) e2200341. Published online November 29, 2022. PMID: 36446041 | DOI: 10.1200/PO.22.00341

PURPOSE Understanding the differences in biomarker prevalence that may exist among diverse populations is invaluable to accurately forecast biomarker-driven clinical trial enrollment metrics and to advance inclusive research and health equity. This study evaluated the frequency and types of *PIK3CA* mutations (*PIK3CA*mut) detected in predicted genetic ancestry subgroups across breast cancer (BC) subtypes.

METHODS Analyses were conducted using real-world genomic data from adult patients with BC treated in an academic or community setting in the United States and whose tumor tissue was submitted for comprehensive genomic profiling.

RESULTS Of 36,151 patients with BC (median age, 58 years; 99% female), the breakdown by predicted genetic ancestry was 75% European, 14% African, 6% Central/South American, 3% East Asian, and 1% South Asian. We demonstrated that patients of African ancestry are less likely to have tumors that harbor PIK3CAmut compared with patients of European ancestry with estrogen receptor-positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (ER+/HER2-) BC (37% [949/2,593] v 44% [7,706/17,637]; q = 4.39E-11) and triplenegative breast cancer (8% [179/2,199] v 14% [991/7,072]; q = 6.07E-13). Moreover, we found that PIK3CAmut were predominantly composed of hotspot mutations, of which mutations at H1047 were the most prevalent across BC subtypes (35%-41% ER+/HER2- BC; 43%-61% HER2+ BC; 40%-59% triple-negative breast cancer).

CONCLUSION This analysis established that tumor *PIK3CA*mut prevalence can differ among predicted genetic ancestries across BC subtypes on the basis of the largest comprehensive genomic profiling data set of patients with cancer treated in the United States. This study highlights the need for equitable representation in research studies, which is imperative to ensuring better health outcomes for all.

JCO Precis Oncol 6:e2200341. © 2022 by American Society of Clinical Oncology Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 License (c)

Key Objective

As both biomarker-driven precision medicine trials and calls for diversity in clinical trials become increasingly common, accurate assessment of biomarker prevalence is critical for informing study enrollment metrics. In this study, we investigated the variation in the frequency and spectrum of *PIK3CA* mutations in breast cancer (BC) across predicted genetic ancestry subgroups.

Knowledge Generated


Patients of African ancestry are less likely to have tumors that harbor PIK3CA mutations compared with patients of European ancestry with estrogen receptor-positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative BC and triple-negative BC. However, across predicted genetic ancestry groups, the most frequently observed *PIK3CA* mutations were generally similar and most, but not all, are able to be identified using commercially available polymerase chain reaction-based assays.


Relevance


This study highlights the need to systematically assess biomarker prevalence in historically under-represented populations to increase confidence in the generalizability and translatability of clinical trial outcomes to the population at large.


Why is population representation needed?

- types and prevalences of somatic variations may vary on different ancestral backgrounds
- relevant e.g. for design of variant panels, drug selection and clinical trial statistics









Limited Population Diversity in Cancer Studies





Figure 1. Racial/Ethnic disparities in cancer research. Racial/ethnic inclusion was studied in several aspects of oncological research, from cell lines and patient-derived xenografts to biobanking, genomics and clinical trials.

Guerrero S, López-Cortés A, Indacochea A, et al. Analysis of Racial/Ethnic Representation in Select Basic and Applied Cancer Research Studies. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):13978.

Publication Landscape of Cancer CNV Profiling

Publication statistics for cancer genome screening studies. The graphic shows our as- sessment of publications reporting whole-genome screening of cancer samples, using molecular detection methods (chromosomal CGH, genomic array technologies, whole exome and genome sequencing).

For the years 1993-2018, we found 3'229 publications reporting 174'530 individual samples in single series from 1 to more than 1000 samples. Yaxis and size of the dots correspond to the sample number; the color codes indicate the technology used.





When Dr. Anil Kapoor was diagnosed with stage four colon cancer in January his prognosis was positive, and his family was hopeful treatment would buy him several more years.

But weeks later, the 58-year-old Burlington, Ont., resident was dead — killed not by the cancer, say doctors, but by the commonly prescribed cancer drug Fluorouracil (5-FU) that was supposed to help save his life.

. . .

Studies favour white populations: expert

Anil was pre-screened and got the all clear to receive the drug.

Three weeks later, on Feb. 28, Anil died. More testing later revealed he had a genetic variant that wasn't included in the pre-screening.

This commonly prescribed cancer drug was supposed to help save this doctor's life. Instead, it killed him

Some provinces pre-screen patients at risk of toxic reactions, but experts say tests don't go far enough




Rosa Marchitelli, Jenn Blair · CBC News Posted: Nov 27, 2023 4:00 AM EST | Last Updated: November 28






Anil (Monty) Kapoor died on Feb. 28 after being prescribed a cancer drug that was toxic to him. From left, brothers Dr. Vimal (Scott) Kapoor, Dr. Sunil Kapoor and Anil's son, Akshay Kapoor. (Keith Burgess/CBC)



Global Genomic Data Sharing Can...



Demonstrate patterns in health & disease



Increase statistical significance of analyses



Lead to "stronger" variant interpretations





Global Alliance for Genomics & Health



Increase accurate diagnosis



Advance precision medicine






GENOMICS

A federated ecosystem for sharing genomic, clinical data

Silos of genome data collection are being transformed into seamlessly connected, independent systems


The Global Alliance for Genomics and Health* **SCIENCE** 10 JUNE 2016 • VOL 352 ISSUE 6291

A federated data ecosystem. To share genomic data globally, this approach furthers medical research without requiring compatible data sets or compromising patient identity.



Federation of Data in Biomedical Genomics

FROM





Data Copying



Data Visiting








A **Beacon** answers a query for a specific genome variant against individual or aggregate genome collections YES NO \0





Have you seen this variant? It came up in my patient and we don't know if this is a common SNP or worth following up.


A Beacon network federates genome variant queries across databases that support the **Beacon API**

Here: The variant has been found in **few** resources, and those are from **disease** specific collections.



Beacon Project in 2016

An open web service that tests the willingness of international sites to share genetic data.







 \equiv

Global Alliance "Beacon" - Jim Ostell, NCBI, March 7, 2014

Introduction

... I proposed a challenge application for all those wishing to seriously engage in *international* data sharing for human genomics. ...

- 1. Provide a public web service
- 2. Which accepts a query of the form "Do you have any genomes with an "A" at position 100,735 on chromosome 3?"
- 3. And responds with one of "Yes" or "No" ...

"Beacon" because ... people have been scanning the universe of human research for signs of willing participants in far reaching data sharing, but ... it has remained a dark and quiet place. The hope of this challenge is to 1) trigger the issues blocking groups ... in way that isn't masked by the ... complexities of the science, fully functional interfaces, and real issues of privacy, and to 2) in short order ... see real beacons of measurable signal ... from at least some sites ... Once your "GABeacon" is shining, you can start to take the next steps to add functionality to it, and finding the other groups ... following their GABeacons.

Utility


Some have argued that this simple example is not "useful" so nobody would build it. Of course it is not the first priority for this application to be scientifically useful. ...intended to provide a low bar for the first step of real ... engagement. ... there is some utility in ...locating a rare allele in your data, ... not zero.

A number of more useful first versions have been suggested.


- 1. Provide *frequencies of all alleles* at that point
- 2. Ask for all alleles seen in a gene *region* (and more elaborate versions of this)
- 3. Other more complicated queries


Implementation

- 1. Specifying the chromosome ... The interface needs to specify the *accession.version* of a chromosome, or *build number*...
- 2. Return values ... right to *refuse* to answer without it being an error ... DOS *attack* ... or because ...especially *sensitive*...
- 3. Real time response ... Some sites suggest that it would be necessary to have a *"phone home" response* ...



"I would personally recommend all those be held for version 2, when the beacon becomes a service." Jim Ostell, 2014





A **Beacon** answers a query for a specific genome variant against individual or aggregate genome collections YES NO \0



Making Beacons Biomedical - Beacon v2

- Scoping queries through "biodata" parameters
- Extending the queries towards clinically ubiquitous variant formats
 - cytogenetic annotations, named variants, variant effects
- Beacon queries as entry for data delivery
 - Beacon v2 permissive to respond with variety of data types
 - Phenopackets, biosample data, cohort information ...
 - handover to stream and download using htsget, VCF, EHRs
- Interacting with EHR standards
 - FHIR translations for queries and handover ...
- Beacons as part of local, secure environments
- Authentication to enable non-aggregate, patient derived datasets ELIXIR AAI with compatibility to other providers (OAuth...)












Have you seen deletions in this region on chromosome 9 in Glioblastomas from a juvenile patient, in a dataset with unrestricted access?





Beacon v2 API

The Beacon API v2 proposal opens the way for the design of a simple but powerful "genomics API".









Genome Beacons Compromise **Security?**

Querying for thousands of specific SNV occurrences in a genomic data pool can identify individuals in an anonymized genomic data collection

Stanford researchers identify potential security hole in genomic data-sharing network

Hackers with access to a person's genome might find out if that genome is in an international network of disease databases.

OCT 29 2015

Sharing genomic information among researchers is critical to the advance of biomedical research. Yet genomic data contains identifiable information and, in the wrong hands, poses a risk to individual

privacy. If someone had access to your genome sequence — either directly from your saliva or other tissues, or from a popular genomic information service — they could check to see if you appear in a database of people with certain medical conditions, such as heart disease, lung cancer or autism.

Work by a pair of researchers at the Stanford University School of Medicine makes that genomic data more secure. Suyash Shringarpure, PhD, a





postdoctoral scholar in genetics, and Carlos Bustamante, PhD, a professor of genetics, have demonstrated a technique for hacking a network of global genomic databases and how to prevent it. They are working with investigators from the Global Alliance for Genomics and Health on implementing preventive measures.

The work, published Oct. 29 in *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, also bears importantly on the larger question of how to analyze mixtures of genomes, such as those from different people at a crime scene.









IDENTIFICATION OF INDIVIDUALS FROM MIXED COLLECTIONS USING RARE ALLELES

Privacy Risks from Genomic Data-Sharing Beacons

Suyash S. Shringarpure^{1,*} and Carlos D. Bustamante^{1,*}

The human genetics community needs robust protocols that enable secure sharing of genomic data from participants in genetic research. Beacons are web servers that answer allele-presence queries—such as "Do you have a genome that has a specific nucleotide (e.g., A) at a specific genomic position (e.g., position 11,272 on chromosome 1)?"—with either "yes" or "no." Here, we show that individuals in a beacon are susceptible to re-identification even if the only data shared include presence or absence information about alleles in a beacon. Specifically, we propose a likelihood-ratio test of whether a given individual is present in a given genetic beacon. Our test is not dependent on allele frequencies and is the most powerful test for a specified false-positive rate. Through simulations, we showed that in a beacon with 1,000 individuals, re-identification is possible with just 5,000 queries. Relatives can also be identified in the beacon. Re-identification is possible even in the presence of sequencing errors and variant-calling differences. In a beacon constructed with 65 European individuals from the 1000 Genomes Project, we demonstrated that it is possible to detect membership in the beacon with just 250 SNPs. With just 1,000 SNP queries, we were able to detect the presence of an individual genome from the Personal Genome Project in an existing beacon. Our results show that beacons can disclose membership and implied phenotypic information about participants and do not protect privacy a priori. We discuss risk mitigation through policies and standards such as not allowing anonymous pings of genetic beacons and requiring minimum beacon sizes.

collection without having access to individual datasets




Figure 1. Power of Re-identification Attacks on Beacons Constructed with Simulated Data Power curves for the likelihood-ratio test (LRT) on (A) a simulated beacon with 1,000 individuals and (B) detecting relatives in the simulated beacon. The false-positive rate was set to 0.05 for all scenarios.

rare allelic variants can be used to identify an individual (or her relatives) in a genome

b however, such an approach requires previous knowledge about the individual's SNPs

Information Leakage from **Functional Genomics Data**

- many research studies contain "functional" genomics data, e.g. from expression analyses
- such (anonymized) data may have lower protection levels than data from dedicated genotyping studies
- with a non-noisy genome of interest, attackers can generate linkage scores to identify the best match to the genomic profile




Figure 1. Functional Genomics Data De-anonymization Scheme with Perfect Genomes

(A) Anonymized functional genomics data from a cohort of individuals can be seen as a database D to be attacked, which contains functional genomics reads and phenotypes for every individual in the cohort. The perfect information I about an individual can be the genome of an individual. After obtaining genotypes from the functional genomics reads, the attacker scores each individual in the cohort based on the overlapping genotypes between the known individual's genome and the noisy genotypes called from functional genomics. These scores are then ranked and the top-ranked individual in the cohort is selected as the known individual. See also Figure S1.

(B) gap values for the 1000 Genomes Project individuals in the gEUVADIS RNA-seq cohort. Red circles are the gap values obtained by linking a random set of genotypes to the RNA-seq panel. gap values are also shown after adding false-positive genotypes to the genotype set of each individual in the database. (C) The linking scores for each individual in the functional genomics cohort after the addition of genetically related individuals to the query, with and without the query individual present in the database.



Making Beacons Biomedical - Beacon v2

- Scoping queries through "biodata" parameters
- Extending the queries towards clinically ubiquitous variant formats
- cytogenetic annotations, named variants, variant effects
- Beacon queries as entry for data delivery
- ► Beacon v2 permissive to respond with variety of data types
- Phenopackets, biosample data, cohort information ...
- handover to stream and download using htsget, VCF, EHRs
- Interacting with EHR standards
 - FHIR translations for queries and handover ...
- Beacons as part of local, secure environments
- Authentication to enable non-aggregate, patient derived datasets ELIXIR AAI with compatibility to other providers (OAuth...)





Definitely breaks the **"Relative Security** by Design" **Concept!**





But genotyping itself is for professional labs, right?

Rapid re-identification of human samples

...

We developed a rapid, inexpensive, and portable strategy to reidentify human DNA using the MinION. Our strategy requires only ~60 min preparation and 5-30 minutes of MinION sequencing, works with low input DNA, and enables familial searches using Direct-to-Consumer genomic reference datasets. This method can be implemented in a variety of fields:



Forensics

Identification of abandoned meterial using DNA fingerprinting is a common practice. The main challange currently being: time. Our method allows rapid sample preparation at the crime scene (see movie). We envision that the method can be adopted in the field for rapid checks, after a mass disaster, and can be adopted in border control to fight human traffacking.




Clinic

Clinics procces many samples, either for analysis or, for example, organ donations. These samples are DNA fingerprinted to prevent sample mix-up mistakes. Our method can be implemnted in the clinic for rapid sanitiy-check of all incoming samples.



Cell line identification

Cross contamination of cell lines in science is a major problem. It results in unreproducible data, and clinical trails based on inaccurate findings. This problem costs billions of dollars per year. We envision labs can adopt our identification method to ensure the purity of the cell line, and detect contamination.






The MinION (Oxford Nanopore) Source: Sophie Zaaijer https://medium.com/neodotlife/nanopore-6443c81d76d3





DEMOCRATIZING DNA FINGERPRINTING Sophie Zaaijer, Assaf Gordon, Robert Piccone, Daniel Speyer, Yaniv Erlich, 2016

ddf.teamerlich.org










Typical Data Scopes in Genomics (Research) Collections Biomedical and procedural "Meta" data types


- Diagnostic classification
 - mapping text-based cancer diagnoses to standard classification systems
- Provenance data
 - store identifier-based pointers
 - geographic attribution (individual, biosample, experiment)
- Clinical information
 - **core set** of typical cancer study values:
 - \Rightarrow stage, grade, followup time, survival status, genomic sex, age at diagnosis
 - balance between annotation effort and expected usability



Routes for breaching and protecting genetic privacy

The map contrasts different scenarios, such as identifying de-identified genetic data sets, revealing an attribute from genetic data and unmasking of data. It also shows the interdependencies between the techniques and suggests potential routes to exploit further information after the completion of one attack. There are several simplifying assumptions (black circles).


In certain scenarios (such as insurance decisions), uncertainty about the target's identity within a small group of people could still be considered a success (assumption 1). For certain privacy harms (such as surveillance), identity tracing can be considered a success and the end point of the process (assumption 2). The complete DNA sequence is not always necessary (assumption 3).



"We're an information economy. They teach you that in school. What they don't tell you is that it's impossible to move, to live, to operate at any level without leaving traces, bits, seemingly meaningless fragments of personal information. Fragments that can be retrieved, amplified"

-William Gibson in "Johnny Mnemonic" (1986)


Genomes Privacy Society



Gattaca (1997) A genetically inferior man assumes the identity of a superior one in order to

pursue his lifelong dream of space travel.

- genetic determinism
 - main character has been determined to be unsuitable for complex jobs based on genetic analysis
- genetic identification
 - the use of genetic sampling for personal identification is daily routine




Gattaca (1997) A genetically inferior man assumes the identity of a superior one in order to

pursue his lifelong dream of space travel.

- genetic determinism
 - main character has been determined to be unsuitable for complex jobs based on genetic analysis
- genetic identification
 - the use of genetic sampling for personal identification is daily routine





DTC Genomics Direct-to-Consumer Genomic Testing

- family ancestry or genealogy
 - ► >7Mill customers in 2018 at ancestry.com
- DNA-based health & traits information
 - disease risk
 - carrier status
 - lifestyle information

participation in large cohort studies



ancestry 23andMe® **O**MyHeritage

Think Before You Spit

https://blogs.cdc.gov/genomics/2017/04/18/direct-to-consumer-2/



DTC Genomics



Whole Genome Sequencing is the only (and last) DNA screening that you need. This at-home screening gives you clinical-grade results and provides you with ultra-personalized insights that can help you live a healthier life.

Get Sequenced

Learn more about what you can learn with these reports:



Rare Disease Screen DNA Report: There are more than 10,000 rare diseases, syndromes, conditions, and traits. Outsmart your DNA for yourself today and for your children tomorrow.

Medication and Drug Reaction: Discover through our pharmacogenomics analysis if you need to be more mindful of potential medication side effects or if you may be at risk of addiction to certain medications and illicit drugs.





Complete Genome Analysis: learn all there is to learn about your DNA, from inherited traits and conditions, disease susceptibility, to ancestry (including mtDNA and Y-DNA analyses).


Get Check out more DNA Reports











Health

Marketplace: DNA Apps & DNA Reports

Health



Wellness and Longevity App MD

\$120



Rare Disease Screen Sequencing.com

\$90



TBG Total Wellness **Toolbox Genomics**

\$119



Sign Up

Right to Know? Dealing with "non-actionable" genomic predictions

- diagnostic and direct to consumer genetic tests may provide risk predictions for disease susceptibility
- most will be non-deterministic, nonactionable, and usually be associated with a very low *absolute* risk - but heritable
- understanding such "prognostications" is challenging & potentially fraught with errors - and opens the door to services


VANI' Y FAR

EXCLUSIVE

Chris Hemsworth Changed His Life After an Ominous Health Warning

In an exclusive sit-down with Vanity Fair, the actor discusses movies, the future of Thor, his businesses, fatherhood, and how a genetic predisposition for Alzheimer's alters everything.

> **BY ANTHONY BREZNICAN** NOVEMBER 17, 2022





... His makeup includes two copies of the gene APOE4, one from his mother, the other from his father, which studies have linked to an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. One in four people carry a single copy of the gene, but only 2 to 3% of the population have both, according to a 2021 study by the National Institutes of Health.

"For me, the positive of it was like, "Right, if I didn't know this [Alzheimer's] information, I wouldn't have made the changes I made." I just wasn't aware of any of it, so now I feel thankful that I have in my arsenal the sort of tools to best prepare myself and prevent things happening in that way."









- Commercial, "Direct to Customer" DNA analyses are provided through independent sites and such affiliated to genealogy services (MyHeritage, Ancestry.com, 23andMe...)
- Genealogy sites identify individuals with matching haplotype blocks & provide a prediction about degree of genetic relation
- Law enforcement agencies (and who else?!) can send individual SNP profiles (e.g. recovered from evidence many years after a crime) using a *Jane* Doe identity, to identify relatives of the suspect long range familial search

Long-Range Familial Searches

Daily Journal

Northeast Mississippi Grow!

ALL SEC Devaughn had never been a suspect until genetic genealogy put police on his trail several months ago. Earlier this year, police sent the DNA E-EDITION profile to Parabon, a private genetics company, to compare the suspect's DNA sample to a public genealogy DNA database looking for people with similar DNA profiles who might be kin to the suspect. That eventually led authorities to look at Devaughn.

Rienzi man charged with 1990 Starkville murder

By William Moore Daily Journal 15 hrs ago Comments

© Copyright 2018 Daily Journal, 1242 S Green St Tupelo, M

The New York Times

Attacks Associated With the Golden State Killer


How a Genealogy Site Led to the Front Door of the Golden State Killer Suspect

Investigators used DNA from crime scenes that had been stored all these years and plugged the genetic profile of the suspected assailant into an online genealogy database. One such service, GEDmatch, said in a statement on Friday that law enforcement officials had used its database to crack the case. Officers found distant relatives of Mr. DeAngelo's and, despite his years of eluding the authorities, traced their DNA to his front door. The New York Times, April 26, 2018

Francisco CALIFORNIA Pacific Ocean



UBSCRIBE



Suspect in 1972 Murder Dies in Suicide Hours Before Conviction

Detectives used genetic genealogy to connect to outside Seattle. He was charged last the killing of year.



The New York Times

"A man who eluded homicide investigators in Washington State for nearly 50 years — until a DNA match on a coffee cup cracked the cold case — died in a suicide on Monday just hours before a jury convicted him of murder, the authorities said. ... Investigators used genetic genealogy, a process that involved crosschecking DNA evidence — taken from a hiking boot worn by Ms. yyyyy — with ancestry records to connect Mr. xxxxx to the unsolved murder....

In 2008, the samples were sent to the Washington State Patrol Crime Laboratory for DNA testing, but they did not return a match. ...

The breakthrough in the case came in 2018 when investigators, working with Parabon NanoLabs, were able to put together a family tree of possible suspects based on the semen sample found on the heel of the victim's hiking boot. The company uses DNA to help law enforcement agencies find genetic matches.

That's when investigators began their surveillance of Mr. xxxxx, whom they followed to a nearby casino and from whom they retrieved a coffee cup that he had thrown in the garbage, the probable cause affidavit said. The DNA sample was an exact match to the semen found on Ms. yyyyyy's boot, the affidavit said."

Long-Range Familial Searches

Genealogy Sites Have Helped Identify Suspects. Now They've Helped Convict One.

A new forensic technique sailed through its first test in court, leading to a guilty verdict. But beyond the courtroom, a battle over privacy is intensifying.

By Heather Murphy

July 1, 2019

The New York Times

"... Genetic genealogy — in which DNA samples are used to find relatives of suspects, and eventually the suspects themselves — has redefined the cutting edge of forensic science, solving the type of cases that haunt detectives most: the killing of a schoolteacher 27 years ago, an assault on a 71-year-old church organ player, the rape and murder of dozens of California residents by a man who became known as the Golden State Killer.

But until a trial this month in the 1987 murder of a young Canadian couple, it had never been tested in court. Whether genetic genealogy would hold up was one of the few remaining questions for police departments and prosecutors still weighing its use, even as others have rushed to apply it. On Friday, the jury returned a guilty verdict.


"There is no stopping genetic genealogy now," aid CeCe Moore, a genetic genealogist whose work led to the arrest in the murder case. "I think it will become a regular, accepted part of law enforcement investigations." ...

A forensic consulting firm, Parabon, offered to generate a **predictive likeness** using DNA. This was **not helpful** either."



DNA Drives Help Identify Missing People. It's a Privacy Nightmare

Police are hosting events to collect DNA samples that can help solve missing persons cases. But when people put their DNA in a commercial database, it can used for other purposes.



PHOTOGRAPH: GETTY IMAGES

A DNA drive planned for June in Massachusetts was postponed in part because of privacy concerns raised by the state's American Civil Liberties Union. The Middlesex County District Attorney's Office, which was sponsoring the event, planned to ask volunteers for DNA samples in the form of cheek swabs. In return, people would get a free genetic ancestry analysis from FamilyTreeDNA. Kade Crockford, director for the Massachussetts ACLU's Technology for Liberty Program, says she worries about potential future uses of consumer DNA databases, such as investigating people who have had abortions. "Someone in Massachusetts who provides their sample to a database, thinking it's going to be helpful to Massachusetts law enforcement, could be putting their cousin or niece in a different state at risk of law enforcement accessing that information to investigate an abortion crime," she says. (In 2018, Georgia police

tracked down the mother of fetal remains found in wastewater using DNA testing. A coroner determined that the fetus was from a miscarriage.)

Rapid DNA Legalizing DNA Tests for DNA Indexing



Rapid DNA is a new technique that can analyze DNA samples in about 90 minutes, instead of days or even weeks as it took previously. A bill that passed the Senate and House last week would expand the use of this technology.

What the bill does

The Rapid DNA Act establishes a system for Rapid DNA's nationwide coordination among law enforcement departments, by connecting it to the FBI's Combined DNA Index System.

Labelled <u>S. 139</u> in the Senate and <u>H.R. 510</u> in the House, the legislation was introduced by Sen. Orrin Hatch (R-UT) and Rep. James Sensenbrenner (R-WI5).

Former FBI Director James Comey cited a real-life example of how the technology could be used effectively. "[It will] allow us, in booking stations around the country, if someone's arrested, to know instantly - or near instantly - whether that person is the rapist who's been on the loose in a particular community before they're released on bail and get away or to clear somebody, to show that they're not the person," Comey <u>said in testimony</u>.

Rapid DNA was used for the first time ever in a criminal investigation in 2013, to national burglars who stole more than \$30,000 worth of items from an Air Force Member's Florida home while they were serving in Afghanistan. Presumably more such cases would be solved and quickly with expanded use of rapid DNA.

What supporters say

Supporters say it will save both time and taxpayer dollars by speeding up the DNA analysis process in a manner that's no less effective, reducing the backlog of samples waiting to be tested.

"It will enable officers to take advantage of exciting new developments in DNA technology to more quickly solve crimes and exonerate innocent suspects," Senate lead sponsor Hatch said in a press release. "Under this legislation, rather than having to all send DNA samples to crime labs and wait weeks for results, trained officers will be able to process many samples in less than two hours."

What opponents say

GovTrack Insider could not locate any members of Congress who expressed public opposition to the legislation, but some members of the public are concerned. The New Republic called the rise of rapid DNA <u>"troubling,"</u> citing the potential for privacy violations and misuses by immigration authorities. They also noted that the FBI already has DNA samples from more than 3.5 percent of Americans, a number likely to grow thanks to a 2015 Supreme Court decision allowing DNA samples to be taken without a warrant.

The Electronic Frontier Foundation expressed doubts about the accuracy of Rapid DNA. "Rapid DNA has only been tested on single-source samples—like a swab taken directly from a person's inner cheek," the EFF <u>writes</u>. "And yet, Rapid DNA manufacturers are trying to convince law enforcement agencies to buy these machines to get through their backlog of rape kits and for low-level property crimes—situations where there's a very good chance the DNA came from multiple people — some of whom may have had no connection to the crime at all.

Votes and odds of passage

The legislation attracted a bipartisan mix of <u>12 Senate cosponsors</u>, seven Republicans and five Democrats, and <u>24 House cosponsors</u>, 17 Republicans and seven Democrats. It passed both the House and Senate on May 16, by a unanimous consent voice vote in both chambers, meaning no record of individual votes was recorded. It now goes to President Trump's desk, where he appears likely to sign it.

https://www.govtrack.us/congress/bills/115/hr510/summary

Forensic G2P

Fig. 1. Individual examples of HIrisPlex-based eye and hair color DNA prediction. Probability outcomes are provided for eye and hair color categories as obtained from complete HIrisPlex SNP profiles [50] using the enhanced IrisPlex eye color and the enhance HIrisPlex hair color prediction models [25] (http://www.erasmusmc.nl/fmb/resources/Irisplex_HIrisPlex/) for 12 individuals chosen with varying eye and hair colors. Eye and hair photographs are provided to allow visual phenotype inspection and comparison with DNA predicted conclusions. Those probabilities that led to the eye and hair color conclusions are highlighted in grey based on the highest probability rule for eye color and by using the HIrisPlex hair color prediction guide described elsewhere [25,50]. Individual numbering is 1–6 on the left side and 7–12 on the right side. DNA-based prediction conclusions are as follows 1: black hair and brown eyes, 2: dark brown/black hair and brown eyes, 3: dark brown/black hair and blue eyes, 4: brown/dark brown hair and blue eyes, 5: brown/medium brown hair and brown eyes, 6: brown hair and brown eyes (likely with non-brown parts), 7: blond/dark blond hair and blue eyes, 8: blond hair and blue eyes, 9: blond/dark blond hair and blue eyes, 10: red hair and blue eyes, 11: red hair and brown eyes (likely with non-brown parts), and 12: red hair and blue eyes.


Manfred Kayser. Forensic DNA Phenotyping: Predicting human appearance from crime scene material for investigative purposes. Forensic Science International: Genetics 18 (2015) 33-48




Phenotyping from DNA From DNA to "Wanted" Posters?

- association of genomic variants with phenotypic data collection
- while hair, eye color are easy targets not useful for relevant phenotypic features especially if large environmental component
- huge biases based on input/collection data
- Belgium and Germany do not allow forensic DNA phenotyping
- Switzerland: Bundesrat decision on 2020-12-04 to allow phenotyping for law enforcement purposes

"When the New York Times ran an informal test of the Parabon system with one of its reporters, it failed badly." (ACLU.org)







DNA & Law Enforcement Legal minefields, hard to avoid?

- "...when police in Edmonton, Canada, released a suspect's image, the crude graphic ... came from the suspect's DNA."
- "...every time a **family member** sends in their swab, they're sending in your data too..."
- "...many players in this growing movement offer to translate our genetic code into phenotypes (that is, observable features like eye color), often with scant commitment to scientific accuracy..."
- "...Veering into **pseudoscience**, they are a modern **sales pitch for** the long-discredited **phrenology** of the past. They wrongly treat race as a biological fact, rather than the social construct that it is. And in the process, they open all the flaws of facial recognition to new realms..."
- "...we first have to change our focus from preventing DNA collection to preventing misuse and managing access..."
- "The answer is simple: **Ban DNA searches** ... beyond the types of oneto-one DNA tests that are subject to judicial oversight..."



Cops Might Already Have Your DNA, Without Your Consent

FAREWELL PRIVACY

era of genetic surveillance and nothing—not even

Albert Fox Cahn Ayesha Rasheed

Published Nov. 14, 2022 4:51AM E

"The unchallenged expansion of DNA" collection and law enforcement misuse of the data has also spurred a surge in DNA surveillance startups."

consen thou. C O M >thedai

Al-Assisted Genome Studies Are Riddled with Errors

Sahana Sitaraman **TheScientist** 2024-11-13

Researchers used artificial intelligence in large genomics studies to fill in gaps in patient information and improve predictions, but new research uncovers false positives and misleading correlations.

... GWAS rely on large biobanks with extensive patient data. However, these repositories could be lacking anything from blood reports, scans, and patient history to family data. Even with a thorough survey, challenges such as the lack of data on late onset diseases in a cohort of young participants can throw a wrench into researchers' plans.

To address gaps in the data, scientists developed two approaches: machine learning and GWAS-by-proxy (GWAX), which relies on family history data as predictors of late-onset diseases. Many researchers combine GWAS and GWAX to improve the statistical power of their predictions. However, the University of Wisconsin-Madison research team has found that these "solutions" can

erroneously link gene variants with diseases....

Genetic Discrimination Is Coming for Us All



Illustration by The Atlantic. Source: Getty

Kristen V. Brown The Atlantic 2024-11-12

Genetic discrimination is becoming a reality in the US, with insurers denying coverage or increasing premiums based on genetic risk factors for diseases like ALS and breast cancer. While GINA protects against genetic discrimination in health insurance, exceptions for life, long-term care, and disability insurance allow insurers to use this information. This has led to people avoiding genetic testing and potentially lifesaving treatments, raising concerns about the future of genetic testing and healthcare access. (Summary by Apple AI)

The Swiss Way





Genomic Data & Privacy Protection - The Swiss View Relevant areas

- Medical treatment (Federal Act on Human Genetic Testing, HGTA)
- Human Research (Human Research Act, HRA)
- Tests other than for medical purposes (new in the HGTA from 2021 on)
- Law enforcement (Federal Act on the Use of DNA Profiles in Criminal Proceedings and for Identifying Unidentified or Missing Person, DNA Profiles Act)
- Data protection (Data Protection Act, DPA)




ue e le t

Law's View on Modern Medicine



Treatment

HGTA : Federal Act on Human **Genetic Testing**



- How do we handle the growing overlap area?
 - unclear; current legislative movement: HRA will relate more to HGTA in the future


HRA: Human Research Act

Content provided by Julian Mausbach | Oberassistent für Strafrecht und Strafprozessrecht





| HGTA new | medical field | outside the medical field | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Investigated characteristics | medical relevant | especially protective values characteristics | other characteristics | | | |
| General Requirements | Non-discrimination, information and consent, right to information, right not to know, avoidance of surplus information, protection of samples and genetic data, Circulation concerning public advertising, state of science and technology, penal provisions | | | | | |
| Initiation | Physician | Health professional (controlled taking of samples) | Consumer (DTC) | | | |
| Persons concerned | Persons with and without capacity of judgement, pregnant woman (PND) | ONLY persons with Capacity of judgement | ONLY persons with Capacity o judgement | | | |
| Communication of surplus information | as a rule according to decision of the person concerned | Not allowed | Not allowed | | | |
| Laboratory | subject to authorization (cyto and molecular genetic studies) | subject to authorization (cyto and molecular genetic studies) | not subject to authorisation | | | |
| Employers and Insurance institutions | Studies and Recovery of Results / Data only in regulated exceptional cases | Prohibition to carry out investigations and the Recovery of Results / Data | Prohibition to carry out investigations and the Recovery of Results / Data | | | |



Verordnung über genetische Untersuchungen beim Menschen

(GUMV)

vom 23. September 2022 (Stand am 1. Dezember 2022)

Dieser Text ist in Kraft

Der Schweizerische Bundesrat,

gestützt auf das Bundesgesetz vom 15. Juni 2018¹ über genetische Untersuchungen beim Menschen (GUMG) und

Artikel 8 Absatz 2 des Fortpflanzungsmedizingesetzes vom 18. Dezember 1998² (FMedG),

verordnet:

- 🛃 Art. 3 Schutz von Proben und genetischen Daten

(Art. 6 Bst. c und 10 GUMG)

¹ Wer genetische Daten bearbeitet, muss sicherstellen, dass der Schutz der Daten insbesondere vor unbefugter oder unbeabsichtigter Bekanntgabe, Veränderung, Löschung, Vernichtung oder Erstellung von Kopien sowie vor Verlust gewährleistet ist.

² Der Schutz ist durch angemessene technische und organisatorische Massnahmen zu gewährleisten, insbesondere durch:

- a. die Beschränkung der Bearbeitung der genetischen Daten auf diejenigen Personen, die die Daten zur Erfüllung ihrer Aufgaben benötigen;
- b. die Protokollierung aller zur Gewährleistung der Rückverfolgbarkeit massgeblichen Bearbeitungsvorgänge;
- c. die sichere Übermittlung genetischer Daten;
- d. die Pseudonymisierung genetischer Daten, wenn sie in ein Land übermittelt werden, dessen Gesetzgebung keinen angemessenen Schutz gewährleistet.

³ Die Massnahmen sind anhand einer Risikoabschätzung und unter Berücksichtigung des Stands der Technik zu bestimmen und zu aktualisieren.

⁴ Werden genetische Daten pseudonymisiert und in ein Land übermittelt, dessen Gesetzgebung keinen angemessenen Schutz gewährleistet, so muss die betroffene Person im Rahmen ihrer Aufklärung darüber informiert werden.

² Für die Erstellung von DNA-Profilen zur Klärung der Abstammung oder zur Identifizierung gilt die Verordnung vom 14. Februar 2007³ über die Erstellung von DNA-Profilen im Zivil- und im Verwaltungsbereich (VDZV).

| | Untersuchung | | Erforderlicher Titel (x) | | | | |
|----|---|---|--------------------------|---|---|----|--|
| | | С | Η | I | Ρ | MP | |
| 1. | Creutzfeldt-Jakob-Krankheiten, fatale familiäre Insomnie, Gerstmann-Sträussler-Krankheit | | ~~~~ | | | Х | |
| 2. | Familiär defektes Apolipoprotein B-100 | х | | | х | | |
| 3. | Familiäre Krebssyndrome; direkte oder indirekte Mutationsanalyse bei Prädispositionen für Karzinome, Sarkome, Lymphome, Leukämien, neurogene, melanozytäre oder embryonale Tumore | | | | | x | |
| 4. | Genetische Untersuchungen zur Typisierung von Blutgruppen sowie Blut- und Gewebemerkmalen im Rahmen der Abklärung einer Erbkrankheit oder einer Krankheitsveranlagung | × | х | x | x | | |
| 5. | Hämochromatose, familiäre; direkte Mutationsanalyse | х | х | | х | х | |
| 6. | Hämoglobinopathien; direkte oder indirekte Mutationsanalyse bei Thalassämien, Sichelzellanämie | | х | | х | | |
| 7. | Hämostasestörungen; direkte oder indirekte Mutationsanalyse bei Faktor II- und Faktor-V-Störung | x | х | | x | | |

Art. 61 Genetische Untersuchungen von pathologisch verändertem biologischem Material bei Krebserkrankungen

¹ Genetische Untersuchungen von pathologisch verändertem biologischem Material, die bei Krebserkrankungen durchgeführt werden und nicht zur Abklärung von erblichen Eigenschaften des Erbguts dienen, sind vom Geltungsbereich des GUMG ausgenommen, wenn aufgrund der Zusammensetzung des untersuchten biologischen Materials und des gewählten Untersuchungsverfahrens davon auszugehen ist, dass keine Überschussinformationen zu erblichen Eigenschaften entstehen.

² Pathologisch verändertes biologisches Material bei Krebserkrankungen umfasst insbesondere:

- a. pathologisch oder potenziell pathologisch veränderte Gewebe, Zellen oder Körperflüssigkeiten;
- b. im Blut vorhandene pathologisch veränderte Zellen oder deren Bestandteile.

³ Ist bei genetischen Untersuchungen von pathologisch verändertem biologischem Material, die bei Krebserkrankungen durchgeführt werden und nicht zur Abklärung von erblichen Eigenschaften des Erbguts dienen, davon auszugehen, dass Überschuss-informationen zu erblichen Eigenschaften entstehen, so gelten die Artikel 3–5, 7–15, 27 und 56–58 GUMG.



Data Ownership

- Within Switzerland, there is no coherent approach on ownership of data as such (but academic discussion is ongoing, if that is needed).
- Restrictions of usage and disclosure of data other than personal data mainly stem from contractual relationships.
- In the field of research this leads mostly to a data ownership by the research institution.

Of course the restrictions of the different acts that are in the field need to be respected (procuring data lawfully, consent for further use, etc.)



Content provided by Julian Mausbach | Oberassistent für Strafrecht und Strafprozessrecht









HACKERS



Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act













Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act

CRYPTOGRAPHY






Making Beacons Biomedical - Beacon v2

- Scoping queries through "biodata" parameters
- Extending the queries towards clinically ubiquitous variant formats
 - cytogenetic annotations, named variants, variant effects
- Beacon queries as entry for data delivery
- Beacon v2 permissive to respond with variety of data types
- Phenopackets, biosample data, cohort information ...
- ► handover to stream and download using htsget, VCF, EHRs
- Interacting with EHR standards
 - ▶ FHIR translations for queries and handover ...
- Beacons as part of local, secure environments
- Authentication to enable non-aggregate, patient derived datasets
- ELIXIR AAI with compatibility to other providers (OAuth...)









Human Rights Foundation



Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)



"The Right to Science"

"Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits."



27(2) "The Right to Recognition"

"Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author."





Improving Data Privacy but Empowering Beneficial Use **Intersecting Areas of Development**

- Make genomic (and functional) data "**obfuscated**" for malicious use
 - e.g. spiking / randomization of variants in "not-disease" loci
- **committees (DACs)**
 - digital "differential" consent using e.g. data use ontologies
- intentional and unintentional (!) data providers have to be protected from abuse by legal regulations - though thin line regarding "overzealous" use by law enforcement
- alternative solution for active consent
 - anonymized data (e.g. using the Beacon protocol with "handover" scenarios)
 - (genomic) data ownership by the individual "data donors, together with strong privacy protection by law

• access protection with defined user access using standardized protocols for users' roles and permissions, in contrast to individual per user, per dataset access requests over **data access**


• encrypted wide-area networking solutions with managed access control (e.g. SPHN's BiomedIT) and limited access to



The BioMedIT network

BioMedIT provides researchers with access to a secure and protected computing environment for analysis of sensitive data without compromising data privacy

HOSPITALS, UNIVERSITIES, PLATFORMS








Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences


+ SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics





GA4GH Passports

Communicating a user's data access authorizations



- data access over federated data access protocols
- both standards approved in Dec 2019 with early implementation by Google Cloud services and ELIXIR




• format to communicate a user's data access authorizations based on either their role (e.g. researcher), affiliation, or access status • works together with the GA4GH Authentication and Authorization Infrastructure (AAI) OpenID Connect Profile to streamline researchers'








Empowering Beacon use through Access Levels Integrating permissions and discovery elixir





Information Leakage from Functional Genomics Data "Sanitize"....

- "functional" genomics data can be sanitized by removing features which are not relevant for the specific use cases
- an example could be the randomization of variant alleles in datasets where variant call specificity is of minor concern



Gürsoy et al., 2020, Cell 183, 905–917 November 12, 2020 © 2020 Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.036



Health Related Data & Privacy

Considerations when evaluating risks of data sharing

- Is the genetic condition outwardly visible?
- How severe is it? (serious disease, penetrance, age of onset)
- Is it associated with what could be considered to be stigmatizing health information (e.g., associated with mental health, reproductive care, disability)?
- Is it familial (i.e., potential carrier status/reproductive implications for family/relatives)?
- Does it provide information about the likely geographical location of individuals?
- Does it provide information about ethnicity that may be considered potentially stigmatizing information?




Sharing health-related data: a privacy test?

Stephanie OM Dyke¹, Edward S Dove² and Bartha M Knoppers¹

Greater sharing of potentially sensitive data raises important ethical, legal and social issues (ELSI), which risk hindering and even preventing useful data sharing if not properly addressed. One such important issue is respecting the privacy-related interests of individuals whose data are used in genomic research and clinical care. As part of the Global Alliance for Genomics and Health (GA4GH), we examined the ELSI status of health-related data that are typically considered 'sensitive' in international policy and data protection laws. We propose that 'tiered protection' of such data could be implemented in contexts such as that of the GA4GH Beacon Project to facilitate responsible data sharing. To this end, we discuss a Data Sharing Privacy Test developed to distinguish degrees of sensitivity within categories of data recognised as 'sensitive'. Based on this, we propose guidance for determining the level of protection when sharing genomic and health-related data for the Beacon Project and in other international data sharing initiatives.

npj Genomic Medicine (2016) 1, 16024; doi:10.1038/npjgenmed.2016.24; published online 17 August 2016



The three steps of a Data Sharing Privacy Test to Figure 1. distinguish degrees of data sensitivity within categories of data recognised as 'sensitive'.



Modernizing Patient Consent

forward looking, transparent and technically feasible regulations for enabling access to research material and data while empowering *patients*

Generalkonsent: Eine einheitliche Vorlage soll schweizweite Forschung erleichtern

| Art des Forschungs- materials Personenbezug | Biologisches Material und genetische Daten | Nicht-genetische Daten | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Unverschlüsselt (identifizierend) | Information + Einwilligung in jedes einzelne Forschungsprojekt | Information über Weiterverwendur für zukünftige noch unbestimmte Forschungsprojekte + Generalkons für Forschungszwecke | |
| Verschlüsselt | Information über Weiterverwendung für zukünftige noch unbestimmte Forschungs- projekte + Generalkonsent für Forschungs- zwecke | Information über Weiterverwendun zukünftige noch unbestimmte Forso projekte + über Möglichkeit Weiter dung abzulehnen > Widerspruchsre | |
| Anonymisiert | Genetische Daten: Information über Weiterverwendung für zukünftige noch unbestimmte Forschungszwecke + über Möglichkeit Weiterverwendung abzulehnen > Widerspruchsrecht Proben: Information zur Anonymisierung > Widerspruchsrecht | Ausserhalb des Geltungsbereichs de | |

Switzerland: Definition of a unified "Generalkonsent", to provide a single framework to manage permissions for access to patient derived material and related data

Consent Codes: Upholding Standard Data **Use Conditions**

Stephanie O. M. Dyke¹*, Anthony A. Philippakis², Jordi Rambla De Argila^{3,4}, Dina N. Paltoo⁵, Erin S. Luetkemeier⁵, Bartha M. Knoppers¹, Anthony J. Brookes⁶, J. Dylan Spalding⁷, Mark Thompson⁸, Marco Roos⁸, Kym M. Boycott⁹, Michael Brudno^{10,11}, Matthew Hurles¹², Heidi L. Rehm^{2,13}, Andreas Matern¹⁴, Marc Fiume¹⁵, Stephen T. Sherry¹⁶






des HFG



| Consent Codes | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Name | Abbreviation | Description | | | |
| Primary Categories (I ^{ry}) | | | | | |
| no restrictions | NRES | No restrictions on data use. | | | |
| general research use and clinical care | GRU(CC) | For health/medical/biomedical purposes and other biological research, including the study of population origins or ancestry. | | | |
| health/medical/biomedical research and clinical care | HMB(CC) | Use of the data is limited to health/medical/biomedic purposes, does not include the study of population origins or ancestry. | | | |
| disease-specific research and clinical care | DS-[XX](CC) | Use of the data must be related to [disease]. | | | |
| population origins/ancestry research | POA | Use of the data is limited to the study of population origins or ancestry. | | | |
| Secondary Categories (II ^{ry}) (can be | one or more extra cond | ditions, in addition to I ^{ry} category) | | | |
| other research-specific restrictions | RS-[XX] | Use of the data is limited to studies of [research type (e.g., pediatric research). | | | |
| research use only | RUO | Use of data is limited to research purposes (e.g., doe not include its use in clinical care). | | | |
| no "general methods" research | NMDS | Use of the data includes methods development research (e.g., development of software or algorithm ONLY within the bounds of other data use limitation | | | |
| genetic studies only | GSO | Use of the data is limited to genetic studies only (i.e. no research using only the phenotype data). | | | |
| Requirements | | | | | |
| not-for-profit use only | NPU | Use of the data is limited to not-for-profit organizations. | | | |
| publication required | PUB | Requestor agrees to make results of studies using the data available to the larger scientific community. | | | |
| collaboration required | COL-[XX] | Requestor must agree to collaboration with the primary study investigator(s). | | | |
| return data to database/resource | RTN | Requestor must return derived/enriched data to the database/resource. | | | |
| ethics approval required | IRB | Requestor must provide documentation of local IRB/REC approval. | | | |
| geographical restrictions | GS-[XX] | Use of the data is limited to within [geographic region]. | | | |
| publication moratorium/embargo | MOR-[XX] | Requestor agrees not to publish results of studies unt [date]. | | | |
| time limits on use | TS-[XX] | Use of data is approved for [x months]. | | | |
| user-specific restrictions | US | Use of data is limited to use by approved users. | | | |
| project-specific restrictions | PS | Use of data is limited to use within an approved project. | | | |
| institution-specific restrictions | IS | Use of data is limited to use within an approved institution. | | | |

SOM Dyke, et al. Consent Codes: Upholding Standard Data Use Conditions. PLoS Genetics 12(1): e1005772. http://journals.plos.org/plosgenetics/article?id=10.1371/journal.pgen.1005772

Contact: Dr. Stephanie Dyke (stephanie.dyke@mcgill.ca)





Power to the People?!

Individuals as Owners & Managers of their Data

- (genomic) data ownership by the individual "data donors"
- supported by technological frameworks for data management and arbitration
- one vision here are "data cooperatives"
- need strong support from policy makers and financial sustainability support

Citizens aggregate data from different sources and make them available for research through data cooperatives. Cooperatives offer oversight mechanisms to filter data access requests and tools for the democratic governance of the data. Blasimme, A., Vayena, E. & Hafen, E. **Democratizing Health Research Through Data Cooperatives**. *Philos. Technol.* 31, 473–479 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-018-0320-8





Genomic Data & Privacy - Key Areas

Re-identification

- some genome data first
- currently only risk with some practical use (e.g. long-range familial attacks)

Genotype-to-Phenotype (G2P) attacks

- In the determination of some disease risk or phenotypic features from a genome itself
- needs access to genome data which is illegal in many jurisdictions (but technically more & more feasible)
- real-world use cases are limited but abuse through wrong perception of utility

Genomic Determinism

- assignment of individual abilities and personal development trajectories from genomic profiling
- topic of (some good, most bad) SciFi
- but: Wehret den Anfängen!

Identification of an individual based on sets of genomic variants they (or close relatives) carry - so one needs

Information to be gained is circumstantial (e.g. their genome is in a particular disease related dataset)

Genomic Data & Privacy - Some Take-Home Messages

- to evaluate e.g. genotype-phenotype relationships
- data curation
- Genomic data is considered to potentially expose unwilling individuals through rephenotype/disease)
- Legislative bodies and law enforcement have varying and *curious* approaches to "genomic privacy", with a mix of de-legalizing genomic data generation (e.g. in data for criminal persecution in a possibly extending set of use cases

Many clinical and research applications in genomics need vast numbers of genomes

 Such data cannot simply be provided by a few reference data curation resources - and those again rely on multitudes of original data resources > federated data access +

identification/de-anonymization but also through direct information (genotype ->

Switzerland) or strictly limiting its use while also using "eminent domain" to co-opt such




Share YOUR Genome data?

- The Beacon concept balanced approach for accessing genome variant data from internationally distributed resources
- However: Genome data has the inherent "risk" of being identified and linked to a person

Solutions from Technology or Society? Discourse!

Welcome to openSNP



- See how your DNA breaks out across 31 populations worldwide
- Discover DNA relatives from around the

SUBSCRIBE SIGN IN >

THE AVERAGE BRITISH PERSON'S DNA IS ONLY 36% BRITISH



- ancestry



How can a DNA firm lose half its users' data to 'Jew-hating' hackers?

Dark-web criminals cited the head of 23andMe's faith after a raid on the details of 6.9 million people — including her Google-founding ex. Now the lawsuits are coming

FAMILY MATTERS-Hackers stole ancestry data of 6.9 million users, 23andMe finally confirmed



Find out what your DNA says about you and your family.

- See how your DNA breaks out across 31 populations worldwide
- Discover DNA relatives from around the
- Share reports with family and friends

order now

USD\$99

How can a DNA firm lose half its users' data to 'Jew-hating' hackers?


Dark-web criminals cited the head of 23andMe's faith after a raid on the details of 6.9 million people — including her Google-founding ex. Now the lawsuits are coming

FAMILY MATTERS -

Hackers stole ancestry data of 6.9 million users, 23andMe finally confirmed

Majority of impacted users are now being notified.






It has now been confirmed that an additional **6.9 million** 23andMe users had ancestry data stolen after hackers accessed thousands of accounts by likely reusing previously leaked passwords.


... Wired estimated that "at least a million data points from 23andMe accounts" that were "exclusively about Ashkenazi Jews" and data points from "hundreds of thousands of users" of Chinese descent" seemed to be exposed.

... prompting a spokesperson to confirm that two groups of users who opted into the **DNA Relatives feature** had their personal data stolen.


23andMe describes the DNA Relatives feature as ... "allowing you to find and connect with genetic relatives and learn more about your family." By **opting in**, users hope to find lost family members by **willingly** giving others access to information like their birth year, current location, and ancestors' names and birth locations. Users can opt out at any time ...

... about 5.5 million users, was hacked after opting in to automatically sharing information with DNA Relatives, including their "name, birth year, relationship labels, the percentage of DNA shared with relatives, ancestry reports, and self-reported location," TechCrunch reported. ... about 1.4 million users, shared "Family Tree profile information" ... including display names, relationship labels, birth year, and self-reported location, TechCrunch reported.






















It depends on who's looking and what else they know about you.

Holly Large **Editorial Assistant**

What could be done with your genetic information?

The real question is perhaps why anybody outside of research or healthcare would want to bother looking at your DNA. There are, of course, nosy parkers, but one of the ethical concerns surrounding genetic testing is that genetic information **could be used in** more nefarious ways by insurers or employers.

Hypothetically, for example, an insurer could deny you health insurance based on your genetic profile, which indicates an increased risk of developing heart disease. In the **US**, that's where the **Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act** of 2008 comes in, a law that bans discrimination based on genetic information (although it's not a catch-all).

With significant strides being made in our genetic testing capabilities and the unpredictability of politics, it's possible that, with time, the situation may well **change**. For now though, if someone were to have a nosy at your DNA, they'd likely face one too many hurdles; first, even figuring out what it says about you, and second, legally doing something with that knowledge.

What Can Someone Do With Your DNA Sequence?



DEC 06, 2023





Genomic Data & Privacy Articles available through link in OLAT ... or email *michael@baud.is* for the link

BIO390 HS24 Exam planning

- 2024-12-17
- Y-03-G85 & Y-03-G91
- time: 08:15-09:45
- multiple (single + multiple) choice w/ one or two open questions
- no material, phones etc.
- student ID for entrance

• special group

- ► Y-35-F47
- ▶ time: 08:00-09:45
- repeat exam
 - ▶ 2025-01-21
 - time: 09:15-10:45



info.baudisgroup.org