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#### Abstract

We compute equivariant fundamental classes of orbits in GL(2)-representations. As applications, we find degrees of the orbit closures corresponding to elliptic fibrations and self-maps of the projective line.


## 1. Introduction

If we fix a hypersurface in projective space, how complicated is the set of all hypersurfaces obtained from the fixed one by changes of coordinates? Similarly, if we fix a self-map of the projective space, how complicated is the set of all self-maps obtained from the fixed one by changes of coordinates? These questions, and many others, generalise as follows. Given a representation $W$ of an algebraic group $G$, how complicated is the $G$-orbit of a fixed $w \in W$ ? One measure of complexity is the degree of the orbit closure in $\mathbf{P} W$. A more refined measure is the $G$-equivariant fundamental class. Our main theorem (Theorem 1.3) completely describes the equivariant fundamental classes (and hence degrees) of orbits in representations of $G=\mathrm{GL}(2)$. The case where $W$ is irreducible was already known. The new contribution is treating reducible $W$; this presents new challenges, but also has new applications. The case of $G=\mathrm{GL}(1)$, or more generally, any torus, is straightforward. We treat it in Appendix A

The question of finding equivariant classes of orbit closures has been well studied, especially in cases where the orbits have a geometric interpretation. For the GL(2) representation $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{2}$, where the orbits represent divisors of degree $n$ on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ modulo changes of coordinates, the degree of the orbit closure was computed by Enriques-Fano [8] for the generic case and Aluffi-Faber in general [1]. The equivariant class was computed by Lee-Patel-Tseng [14, Appendix B]. For the GL(3) representation $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{3}$, where the orbits represent plane curves of degree $n$, the degree of the orbit closure was computed by Aluffi-Faber 23. For the GL(4) representation Sym $^{3} \mathbf{C}^{4}$, where the orbits represent cubic surfaces, the equivariant class of a generic orbit closure was computed in [7]. Local analogues of equivariant orbit classes are Thom polynomials, which have been studied by Buch, Fehér, Rimányi, and Weber among others [10,11,19]. In all these cases, the equivariant class yields a counting formula - the equivariant orbit/Thom class of $w$ gives the number of times $w$ appears, up to isomorphism, in a given family. We expect the equivariant class to reflect the geometry of $w$. This is indeed the case for divisors on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, where the class depends on the multiplicities in the divisor, and for curves in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, where the class depends on the singularities and flexes of the curve.

As a direct application of the main theorem, we compute the degrees of orbit closures in two (reducible) representations of geometric significance. The first is the GL(2)-representation $\operatorname{Sym}^{4 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{6 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$, where the orbits represent isomorphism classes of elliptic fibrations over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. In this case, the degree depends on the Kodaira types of the singular fibers. The second is the $\operatorname{GL}(2)$-representation $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$, where most orbits represent isomorphism classes of

[^0]self-maps $\mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ of degree $n$. (The space of degree $n$ maps $\mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and its quotient by changes of coordinates are important objects of study in complex dynamics, where they are usually denoted by Rat ${ }_{n}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n}, 15,18,20,21$ ). In this case, the degree is (surprisingly) independent of the orbit.

We first give these two applications in Section 1.1 and Section 1.2 , respectively, before stating the main theorem in Section 1.3
1.1. Elliptic fibrations. Fix a positive integer $n$, and let $W=\operatorname{Sym}^{4 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{6 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$. A non-zero $(A, B) \in W$ determines an elliptic fibration over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ defined by the Weierstrass equation

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B
$$

The GL(2)-orbits in $W$ thus represent Weierstrass elliptic fibrations over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, up to isomorphism.
Let $h$ be the class of the Weil divisor $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the weighted projective space $\mathbf{P} W=(W-0) / \mathbf{G}_{m}$, where $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ acts by weight 2 on $\operatorname{Sym}^{4 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$ and by weight 3 on $\operatorname{Sym}^{6 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$. Given $(A, B) \in W$ and $u \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, let $\operatorname{ord}(A)_{u}$ and $\operatorname{ord}(B)_{u}$ be the orders of vanishing of $A$ and $B$ at $u$. Set

$$
c(u)=\min \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ord}(A)_{u}, \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{ord}(B)_{u}\right) .
$$

Theorem 1.1. Fix a non-zero $w=(A, B) \in W=\operatorname{Sym}^{4 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{6 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$, and let $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be the Weierstrass fibration defined by $w$. Let $D \subset \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be a finite set such that $\pi$ is smooth on $\mathbf{P}^{1}-D$. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(2)$ be the stabiliser of $w \in W$ and let $\operatorname{Orb}([w])$ be the closure of the PGL(2)-orbit of $[w] \in \mathbf{P} W$. Then

$$
|\Gamma|[\operatorname{Orb}([w])]=2^{4 n+3} 3^{6 n+1} \cdot n \cdot\left(4 n^{3}-\sum_{u \in D} c(u)^{2}(3 n-c(u))\right) h^{10 n-2}
$$

If $\pi$ is a minimal Weierstrass fibration as in [16, III.3], then $c(u)<2$ and $c(u)$ determines the Kodaira fiber type over $u$ (see [16, IV.3.1]). See Table 1 for the Kodaira types and their contribution to the formula above. The main theorem in fact gives the equivariant class, of which the degree is a particular specialisation. See Section 7.1 for the proof.

| $c(u)$ | Type | Description | Contribution to the degree $c(u)^{2}(3 n-c(u))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $I_{N}$ | Smooth elliptic curve, nodal rational curve, or cycle of smooth rational curves | 0 |
| 1 | $I_{N}^{*}$ | $\widetilde{D}_{4+N}$-configuration of rational curves | $3 n-1$ |
| $1 / 3$ | II | Cuspidal rational curve | $1 / 27 \cdot(9 n-1)$ |
| $1 / 2$ | III | Two tangent rational curves | $1 / 8 \cdot(6 n-1)$ |
| $2 / 3$ | IV | Three concurrent rational curves | $4 / 27 \cdot(9 n-2)$ |
| 4/3 | $I V^{*}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{6}$-configuration of rational curves | 16/27 $\cdot(9 n-4)$ |
| $3 / 2$ | $I I I *$ | $\widetilde{E}^{\widetilde{E}_{7}}$-configuration of rational curves | $27 / 8 \cdot(2 n-1)$ |
| $5 / 3$ | $I I^{*}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{8}$-configuration of rational curves | $25 / 27 \cdot(9 n-5)$ |
| TABLE 1. Contributions from the singular fibers in a minimal Weierstrass fibration $y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B$ towards the degree of the orbit closure of $(A, B) \in$ $\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{4 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{6 n}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)\right)$. |  |  |  |

1.2. Rational self maps. Fix a positive integer $n$ and set $W=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$. An element $f \in W$ is equivalent to a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f$ in a Zariski open subset, the map (11) is surjective, and hence defines a map $\mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ of degree $n$. Conversely, every map $\mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ of degree $n$ arises from an $f \in W$, which is unique up to a scalar. Thus, most GL(2)-orbits in $W$ represent maps $\mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ of degree $n$ modulo changes of coordinates.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$ defines a map $\mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ of degree $n$. Let $\bar{\Gamma} \subset$ $\operatorname{PGL}(2)$ be the stabiliser of $[f] \in \mathbf{P} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Orb}([f])$ the closure of the $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-orbit of $[f]$. Then

$$
|\bar{\Gamma}| \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{Orb}([f]))=n(n+1)(n-1)
$$

Again, the main theorem gives the equivariant class, of which the degree is a particular specialisation. See Section 7.2 for the proof.

We highlight that Theorem $\sqrt{1.2}$ does not hold for all $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$. For the $f$ whose associated map (1) is not surjective- $f$ with base-points-the stabiliser-weighted degree can be different. It is remarkable that for the $f$ without base-points, it is constant. This is in contrast to the case of divisors on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, where the multiplicities in the divisor matter.
1.3. Main theorem. Fix a 2-dimensional vector space $V$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 . Fix a finite dimensional GL $V$ representation

$$
W=W_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{n}, \quad \text { where } W_{i}=\operatorname{Sym}^{a_{i}-b_{i}} V \otimes \operatorname{det} V^{b_{i}}
$$

Set $d_{i}=a_{i}+b_{i}$, and assume that $d_{i}>0$ for all $i$. Fix a maximal torus $T \subset$ GL $V$. We then have an isomorphism between the equivariant (rational) Chow ring $A_{\mathrm{GL} V}$ and the symmetric polynomials in $A_{T}=\mathbf{Q}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$.

We must now introduce some notation. Fix a non-zero $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in W$, and write $w_{i}=f_{i} \otimes \delta^{b_{i}}$ for some $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{a_{i}-b_{i}} V$ and $\delta \in \operatorname{det} V$. Given $u \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, let $r_{i}^{u}$ be the order of vanishing of $f_{i}$ at $u$. Let $\Lambda^{u} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be the convex hull of the union of the shifted quadrants

$$
\frac{1}{d_{i}}\left(r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}, b_{i}\right)+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^{2}
$$

Let $\lambda^{u}(0), \ldots, \lambda^{u}\left(k^{u}\right)$ be the vertices of $\Lambda^{u}$ arranged from the bottom right to the top left. For a $p \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$, use $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ to denote the first and the second coordinates. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
b=\min \left(b_{i} / d_{i} \mid w_{i} \neq 0\right), \quad r_{\mathrm{gen}}^{u} & =\lambda^{u}(0)_{1}-b, \quad r^{u}=\min \left(\left(r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}\right) / d_{i}\right), \text { and } \\
s^{u} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1-\frac{\lambda^{u}(0)_{1}-\lambda^{u}(1)_{1}}{\lambda^{u}(0)_{2}-\lambda^{u}(1)_{2}}, \text { if } k^{u} \geq 1, \\
1, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $j=1, \ldots, k^{u}$, let $\eta^{u}(j)$ and $\zeta^{u}(j)$ be the smallest integral normal vectors to the rays of $\Lambda^{u}$ at the vertex $\lambda^{u}(j)$. Set $N^{u}(j)=\operatorname{det}\left(\eta^{u}(j), \zeta^{u}(j)\right)$. Let $A \subset \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be a finite set that includes the common zero locus of $\left\{f_{i} \mid b_{i} / d_{i}=b\right\}$.

Given $F \in \mathbf{Q}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, denote by $F_{\text {sym }}$ its symmetrisation

$$
F_{\mathrm{sym}}=F\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+F\left(v_{2}, v_{1}\right)
$$

Let $N=\operatorname{dim} W$ and observe that in $A_{T}=\mathbf{Q}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$, we have the top Chern class

$$
c_{N}(W)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{a_{i}-b_{i}}\left(\left(b_{i}+j\right) v_{1}+\left(a_{i}-j\right) v_{2}\right)
$$

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be the stabiliser of $w \in W$; assume that it is finite.
Theorem 1.3. In the notation above, the GL $V$-equivariant class of the orbit closure of $w \in W$ in $A_{\mathrm{GL} V}(W) \subset \mathbf{Q}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Gamma|[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]=c_{N}(W) \cdot\left(F_{\mathrm{sym}}+\sum_{u \in A} G_{\mathrm{sym}}^{u}+\sum_{u \in A} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{u}} H^{u}(j)_{\mathrm{sym}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F=2( & \left.(1-b) v_{1}+b v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3} \\
& \quad-(2 b-1)\left((1-b) v_{1}+v_{2}\right)^{-2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-2} \\
G^{u}= & \left(\left(1-r^{u}\right) v_{1}+r^{u} v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3} \\
& -s^{u}\left((1-b) v_{1}+b v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3} \\
& -r_{\text {gen }}^{u}\left((1-b) v_{1}+v_{2}\right)^{-2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-2}, \text { and } \\
H^{u}(j)=\mid & N^{u}(j) \mid \eta^{u}(j)_{1}^{-1} \zeta^{u}(j)_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(1-\lambda^{u}(j)_{2}\right) v_{1}+\lambda^{u}(j)_{2} v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in the sum of $H^{u}(j)_{\text {sym }}$, the bottom right vertex $(j=0)$ is omitted.
Remark 1.4. It is not obvious that the expression in Theorem 1.3 is a polynomial. But it must be, as a consequence of the theorem.
1.4. Negative or mixed weights. Our main theorem applies to representations $W$ whose direct summands have positive weights $d_{i}$. The theorem can also be used for $W$ whose direct summands have negative weights by dualising or by twisting by a large negative $n$ as described in Section 4 .

The cases where $W$ has summands of weight 0 or some summands of positive weights and some of negative weights are a bit strange. In these cases, a generic $w \in W$ does not contain the origin in its orbit closure. Therefore, its equivariant class of a generic orbit closure is 0 , as can be seen by pulling back to the equivariant Chow ring of the origin.
1.5. Ideas in the proof. Let $\mathbf{P} W$ be the weighted projective space $(W-0) / \mathbf{G}_{m}$ for the central $\mathbf{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL}(2)$. Given a $w \in W$, the key idea is to find a complete orbit parametrisation for $\operatorname{Orb}([w])$, namely a proper PGL(2)-variety $X$ and an equivariant finite map $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P} W$ whose image is $\operatorname{Orb}([w])$. Then the class of $\operatorname{Orb}([w])$ is the push-forward of $[X]$, up to a constant factor. The push-forward also gives GL(2)-equivariant class of $\operatorname{Orb}(w)$ (see Proposition 3.4.).

To find $X$, we start with $M=\mathbf{P} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{k}^{2}, \mathbf{k}^{2}\right)$, and the rational map $M \rightarrow \mathbf{P} W$ given by $m \mapsto$ $m w$. We find an explicit resolution $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} W$, which serves as our complete orbit parametrisation. We then compute the push-forward as an integral on $\widetilde{M}$ using Atiyah-Bott localisation.

The resolution $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a weighted blow-up. It is much more convenient to take the weighted blow-up in a stacky sense. The stacky blow-up is smooth and maps to the weighted projective stack $\mathscr{P} W$. We can then write the push-forward as an integral and evaluate it using localisation. The stacky blow-up is toroidal, and is completely described by the combinatorial data of the Newton polygons $\Lambda^{u}$.
1.6. Conventions and organisation. We work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 . A stack means an algebraic stack over $\mathbf{k}$. All schemes and stacks are of finite type over $\mathbf{k}$. Given a vector space/bundle $V$, the projectivisation $\mathbf{P} V$ refers to the space of one-dimensional sub-spaces/bundles of $V$, consistent with the convention in $\sqrt{12}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P} V}(-1)$ denotes the universal sub-bundle. All Chow groups are with rational coefficients.

In Section 2, we recall stacky weighted blow-ups in preparation for our main construction. In Section 3. we describe how to find the equivariant class of an orbit using a complete parametrisation. Both of these sections are general (not specific to GL(2)). In Section 4 , we observe that the main theorem is invariant under a twist operation, which allows some simplification. In Section 5 , we construct a complete parametrisation of a GL(2)-orbit using a stacky blow-up. In Section 6, we evaluate the equivariant orbit class using localisation. In Section 7 we deduce the applications to elliptic fibrations and rational self maps. In Appendix A, we explain the case of $G$ a torus.
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## 2. Rational Newton polyhedra and weighted blow-ups

The material in this section should be well-known to experts (see, for example, [17, § 2]).
Set $M=\mathbf{Z}^{n}$ and $N=\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbf{Z})$. Let $M_{\geq 0}$ be the set of vectors with non-negative coordinates in $M \otimes \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{R}^{n}$, and similarly for $N_{\geq 0}$. A rational Newton polyhedron is a closed convex polyhedron $\Lambda \subset M$ whose recession cone is $M_{\geq 0}$ and whose vertices have rational coordinates. Such a $\Lambda$ gives a fan $\Lambda^{\perp}$ in $N \otimes \mathbf{R}$ supported on $\bar{N}_{\geq 0}$, called the normal fan of $\Gamma$. There is an inclusion reversing bijection between the faces of $\Lambda$ and the cones of $\Lambda^{\perp}$. To a face $F$ of $\Lambda$, we associate the cone $F^{\perp}$ of $\Lambda^{\perp}$ defined by

$$
F^{\perp}=\{f \in N \mid f \text { is constant on } F \text { and this constant is the minimum of } f \text { on } \Lambda\}
$$

Since the recession cone of $\Lambda$ is $M_{\geq 0}$, and $f$ achieves a minimum on $\Lambda$, it must lie in $N_{\geq 0}$.
Let $F$ be a maximal face of $\Lambda$, that is, of dimension $(n-1)$. Then $F^{\perp}$ is a ray. For every $F$, choose a non-zero vector $\beta_{F} \in F^{\perp}$ with integer coordinates. Let $r$ be the number of maximal faces of $\Lambda$. Then the collection $\left\{\beta_{F}\right\}$ gives a homomorphism $\beta: \mathbf{Z}^{r} \rightarrow N$ with finite cokernel. Let $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta}$ be the toric stack defined by the data $\left(N, \Lambda^{\perp}, \beta\right)$ in the sense of $[4]$. It comes with a canonical map $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n}$, which we call the stacky blow-up of $\mathbf{A}^{n}$ defined by $(\Lambda, \beta)$.

Let us describe $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n}$ in charts, following [4, Proposition 4.3]. Assume that $\Lambda$ is simplicial, that is, every vertex of $\Lambda$ has exactly $n$ incident rays. Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Lambda$. Denote the rays incident to $v$ by $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}$ and the maximal faces incident to $v$ by $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ such that $R_{i}$ is the only ray not contained in $F_{i}$. Set $\beta_{i}=\beta_{F_{i}}$ and let $r_{i} \in R_{i}$ be the unique vector such that $\left\langle\beta_{i}, r_{i}\right\rangle=1$. Then $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ is a basis of $M \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ dual to the basis $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ of $N \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Let $M_{v} \supset M$ be the dual lattice of the sub-lattice of $N$ spanned by $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$. Then $M_{v} / M$ is a finite abelian group. Set $\mu_{v}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{v} / M, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$. The chart of $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta}$ defined by $v$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] / \mu_{v}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the action given as follows. A $\zeta \in \mu_{v}$ acts by

$$
\zeta: u_{i} \mapsto \zeta\left(r_{i}\right) u_{i}
$$

In particular, note that $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta}$ is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack.

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be the standard basis vectors in $M$. In the chart above, the map to $\mathbf{A}^{n}=$ $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \mapsto u_{1}^{\left\langle\beta_{1}, e_{i}\right\rangle} \cdots u_{n}^{\left\langle\beta_{n}, e_{i}\right\rangle} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\zeta \in \mu_{v}$ multiplies the image of $x_{i}$ by $\zeta(e)$ where

$$
e=r_{1}\left\langle\beta_{1}, e_{i}\right\rangle+\cdots+r_{n}\left\langle\beta_{n}, e_{i}\right\rangle
$$

Since $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ are dual bases, we see that $e=e_{i} \in M$ and hence $\zeta(e)=1$. So the map (4) is indeed $\mu_{v}$-invariant. Write $r_{i}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ in standard coordinates with $a_{i} \in \mathbf{Q}$. Informally, it is helpful to think of $u_{i}$ as $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$.

Let $X_{\Lambda}$ be the toric variety associated to $\left(N, \Lambda^{\perp}\right)$. Then we have a map $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda}$ which is the coarse space map [4, Proposition 3.7].

Remark 2.1. Let $\left(N, \Lambda^{\perp}, \beta\right)$ be the stacky fan given by a rational Newton polyhedron as above. Let $r$ be the number of rays of $\Lambda^{\perp}$. In [4], the stack associated to $\left(N, \Lambda^{\perp}, \beta\right)$ is defined as the quotient of an open subset $Z \subset \mathbf{A}^{r}$ by the action of a group $G$ that acts on $\mathbf{A}^{r}$ through a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m}^{r}$. In our case, $N$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module. From the construction of $G \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m}^{r}$ in [4, §2], it follows that $G \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m}^{r}$ is injective. Let $\mathscr{X}=[Z / G]$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}=\left[Z / \mathbf{G}_{m}^{r}\right]$. It is easy to see that we have the pull-back diagram


Given $\Lambda$, we use two natural choices of $\beta$. For the first, denoted by $\beta^{\text {can }}$, we let $\beta_{F}$ be the shortest vector with integer coordinates on the ray $F^{\perp}$. For the second, denoted by $\beta^{\text {res }}$, we let $\beta_{F}$ be the shortest vector with integer coordinates on the ray $F^{\perp}$ such that the value of $\beta_{F}$ on $F$ is an integer. Then we have a map

$$
\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\mathrm{res}}} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\mathrm{can}}}
$$

which is a sequence of root stacks along the divisors defined by the rays. Precisely, it is the root stack of order $\beta_{F}^{\text {res }} / \beta_{F}^{\text {can }}$ along the divisor defined by the ray $F^{\perp}$. The map $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\text {can }}} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda}$ is called the canonical desingularisation. The map $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{c a n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n}$ is an isomorphism away from the origin. The map $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\text {res }}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n}$ is an isomorphism away from the union of the coordinate hyperplanes.

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be variables. For $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}$, we write $x^{p}$ for the monomial $x_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{p_{n}}$. A weighted monomial is a pair $\left(x^{p}, d\right)$, where $d$ is a positive integer. Let $L$ be a set of weighted monomials. Let $\Lambda$ be the rational Newton polyhedron defined by the points $\frac{1}{d} p$ for $(p, d) \in L$, that is, the convex hull of the union of $\frac{1}{d} p+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^{n}$ for $\left(x^{p}, d\right) \in L$. Assume that $\Lambda$ is simplicial. Then we have the stacky blow-ups $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\text {res }}}$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\mathrm{can}}}$. We call $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\mathrm{can}}}$ the canonical weighted blow-up in the set of weighted monomials $L$, and $\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\text {res }}}$ the resolving weighted blow-up. The following two propositions justify the name.

Proposition 2.2. In the setup above, let $v=\frac{1}{d} p$ be a vertex of $\Lambda$. Consider the chart

$$
\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\mathrm{res}}}
$$

defined by $v$. The image of $x^{p}$ in $\mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right]$ is the d-th power of a monomial $u$. Furthermore, for every $\left(x^{q}, e\right) \in L$, the monomial $u^{e}$ divides the image of $x^{q}$.

Proof. Set $\beta_{i}=\beta_{F_{i}}^{\mathrm{res}}$. Using (4), we see that

$$
x^{p} \mapsto \prod_{i} \prod_{j} u_{j}^{p_{i}\left\langle\beta_{j}, e_{i}\right\rangle}=\prod_{j} u_{j}^{\left\langle\beta_{j}, p\right\rangle}
$$

By the choice of $\beta_{j}$, the quantity $\left\langle\beta_{j}, p / d\right\rangle$ is a non-negative integer. Thus, $x^{p}$ maps to the $d$-th power of the monomial

$$
u=\prod_{j} u_{j}^{\left\langle\beta_{j}, p / d\right\rangle}
$$

Consider $\left(x^{q}, e\right) \in L$. Let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ be the rays of $\Lambda$ incident to $v$. Then the point $q / e$ is in the cone defined by the vertex $v$ and the rays spanned by $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$. That is, we can write

$$
q / e=p / d+a_{1} r_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} r_{n}
$$

for some non-negative rational numbers $a_{i}$. By applying $\beta_{i}$ to both sides, we see that $e \cdot a_{i}$ is a non-negative integer. Using (4) again, we get

$$
x^{q} \mapsto u^{e} \prod u_{i}^{e \cdot a_{i}}
$$

Let $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}$ be positive integers and let $\mathscr{P}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$ be the weighted projective stack

$$
\mathscr{P}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)=\left[\left(\mathbf{A}^{m}-0\right) / \mathbf{G}_{m}\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ acts coordinate-wise by weights $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}$. Consider the rational map

$$
\mathbf{A}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)
$$

defined by the monomials $x^{p_{1}}, \ldots, x^{p_{m}}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left[x^{p_{1}}: \cdots: x^{p_{m}}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Lambda$ be the Newton polyhedron defined by the weighted monomials $\left(x^{p_{1}}, d_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x^{p_{m}}, d_{m}\right)$. Assume that $\Lambda$ is simplicial.
Proposition 2.3. The map (5) extends uniquely to a morphism

$$
\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\mathrm{res}}} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)
$$

Proof. The domain is normal and the co-domain is separated. Therefore, if the map extends, it extends uniquely [9, Appendix A]. To see that it extends, we may work locally on charts. Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Lambda$, say $v=p_{i} / d_{i}$. By Proposition 2.2 , on the chart $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right]$, the pull-back of $x^{p_{i}}$ is $u^{d_{i}}$ for a monomial $u$, and the pull-back of $x^{p_{j}}$ is divisible by $u^{d_{j}}$. The extension of (5) on Spec $\mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right]$ is given by

$$
\left[x^{p_{1}} u^{-d_{1}}: \cdots: x^{p_{i-1}} u^{-d_{i-1}}: 1: x^{p_{i+1}} u^{-d_{i+1}}: \cdots: x^{p_{m}} u^{-d_{m}}\right]
$$

We reformulate 2.3 to suit our setting.
Corollary 2.4. Let $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{m}$ be finite dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces and set $W=\bigoplus_{i} W_{i}$. Let $\mathscr{P} W$ be the weighted projective stack where $W_{i}$ has weight $d_{i}>0$. Let $f: \mathbf{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W$ be the rational map defined by $f_{i} \in W_{i} \otimes \mathbf{A}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and assume that the coordinates of $f_{i}$ generate the monomial ideal $\left\langle x^{p_{i}}\right\rangle$. Let $\Lambda$ be the Newton polyhedron defined by the weighted monomials $\left(x^{p_{1}}, d_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x^{p_{m}}, d_{m}\right)$. Then the rational map $f$ extends uniquely to a morphism

$$
\mathscr{X}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\mathrm{res}}} \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Lambda$, say $v=p_{i} / d_{i}$. Let $u^{d_{i}}$ be the pull-back of $x^{p_{i}}$ to the chart defined by $v$. Then for all $j$, the element $u^{-d_{j}} f_{j} \in W_{j} \otimes \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right]$ has polynomial coordinates. Furthermore, for $j=i$, the coordinates generate the unit ideal. On this chart, the extension of the rational map $f$ is given by $\left[u^{-d_{1}} f_{1}: \cdots: u^{-d_{m}} f_{m}\right]$.

## 3. Class of an orbit using a complete parametrisation

Let $W$ be a finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$. Consider the central $\mathbf{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL}(m)$, and assume that it acts on $W$ by positive weights. We denote by $\mathscr{P} W$ the weighted projective stack

$$
\mathscr{P} W=\left[W-0 / \mathbf{G}_{m}\right]
$$

Fix a non-zero vector $w \in W$, and let

$$
[w]: \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W
$$

be the corresponding point of $\mathscr{P} W$. By the stabiliser $\Gamma$ of $w$, we mean the fiber product


We have the diagram

in which the right square and the outer square are cartesian. Therefore, the left square is also cartesian. Therefore, $\Gamma$ is simply the stabiliser of $w$ in $\mathrm{GL}(m)$.

A complete orbit parametrisation of $[w]$ is a proper morphism

$$
i: X \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W
$$

where $X$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack together with the action of $\operatorname{PGL}(m)$ and $i$ is a $\operatorname{PGL}(m)-$ equivariant map such that there exists an open subscheme $U \subset X$ isomorphic to $\operatorname{PGL}(m)$ as a $\operatorname{PGL}(m)$-scheme and a point $x \in U$ whose image is $[w]$. The orbit of $[w]$, denoted by $\operatorname{Orb}([w])$, is the Zariski closure in $\mathscr{P} W$ of $\operatorname{PGL}(m) \cdot[w]$, with the reduced scheme structure.
Proposition 3.1. Let $i: X \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W$ be a complete parametrisation of the orbit of $w$. Assume that the stabiliser $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(m)$ of $w$ is finite. Then, we have the equality of cycles

$$
i_{*}[X]=|\Gamma|[\operatorname{Orb}([w])] .
$$

Proof. We have the fiber product


Consider the open inclusion $\operatorname{PGL}(m) \rightarrow X$ that sends $a$ to $a \cdot x$. The image of this inclusion is $U$. The points of $X$ in the complement of $U$ are stabilised by a positive dimensional subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}(m)$ and hence they map to points in $\operatorname{Orb}([w])$ that are stabilised by a positive dimensional
subgroup. In particular, they do not map to $[w]$. As a result, the fiber product (7) gives the fiber product


We see that the map $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Orb}([w])$ is generically finite of degree $|\Gamma|$. The proposition follows.
We now give a cohomological formula for the push-forward. We first need a lemma, adapted from [6, Proposition 2.1]. Let $U$ be a vector space of dimension $N$ with the action of an algebraic group $G$. Set $U^{*}=U-0$ and let $\pi: U^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} U$ be the projection.

Lemma 3.2. Let $Y$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack with a $G$-action and a $G$-equivariant map $\phi: Y \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P} U$. Then, in $A_{G}\left(U^{*}\right)$, we have the equality

$$
\pi^{*} \phi_{*}[Y]=\int_{Y} \frac{c_{N}(U)}{\phi^{*} c_{1} \mathcal{O}(-1)}
$$

The integral on the right is the push-forward $A_{G}(Y) \rightarrow A_{G}$, considered as an element of $A_{G}\left(U^{*}\right)$ via the pull-back $A_{G} \rightarrow A_{G}\left(U^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be the cokernel of $\phi^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}$. On $Y \times \mathbf{P} U$, let $\pi_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ be the two projections. The vanishing locus of the composite map

$$
\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y \times \mathbf{P} U} \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{*} Q
$$

is precisely the graph $Z$ of $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P} U$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[Z]=c_{N-1}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} Q \otimes \pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}(1)\right)[Y \times \mathbf{P} U] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the fiber square


By the push-pull formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\pi_{2 *}} \widetilde{\pi}^{*}[Z]=\pi^{*} \pi_{2 *}[Z] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (9) is $\pi^{*} \phi_{*}[X]$. Since the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P} U}(1)$ to $U^{*}$ is trivial, (8) shows that

$$
\widetilde{\pi}^{*}[Z]=c_{N-1}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} Q\right)\left[Y \times U^{*}\right]
$$

The statement follows by applying $\widetilde{\pi_{2}}$ to the above equation.
We need an analogue of Lemma 3.2 for weighted projective spaces. Let $W$ be a vector space of dimension $N$ with an action of a torus $T$. Set $W^{*}=W-0$ and $\mathscr{P} W=\left[W^{*} / \mathbf{G}_{m}\right]$, where $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ acts on $W$ by positive weights and this action commutes with the action of $T$. Let $\pi: W^{*} \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W$ be the projection.
Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack with a T-action and a T-equivariant map $\phi: X \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{P} W$. Then, in $A_{T}\left(W^{*}\right)$, we have the equality

$$
\pi^{*} \phi_{*}[X]=\int_{X} \frac{c_{N}(W)}{\phi^{*} c_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{P} W}(-1)}
$$

We understand the right-hand side in the same sense as in Lemma 3.2.

Proof. It suffices to prove the equality in $A_{\widetilde{T}}\left(W^{*}\right)$ where $\widetilde{T} \rightarrow T$ is a finite cover by another torus. Choose a basis $\left\langle w_{i}\right\rangle$ of $W$ compatible with the action of $T$ and $\mathbf{G}_{m}$. Suppose $T$ acts on $w_{i}$ by the character $\chi_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$ and $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ acts on $w_{i}$ by weight $d_{i}$. Let $\widetilde{T} \rightarrow T$ be a finite cover by a torus such that the image of $\chi_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\widetilde{T}, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$ is divisible by $d_{i}$. Let $U$ be the $\mathbf{k}$-span of the symbols $u_{i}$. Equip $U$ with a $\widetilde{T}$ action so that $\widetilde{T}$ acts on $u_{i}$ by the character $\frac{1}{d_{i}} \chi_{i}$ and with the $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ action by weight 1 . Then the map

$$
\mu: U \rightarrow W
$$

defined by $\sum x_{i} u_{i} \mapsto \sum x_{i}^{d_{i}} w_{i}$ is equivariant for the $\widetilde{T}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ actions and finite of degree

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mu=\prod d_{i}
$$

Under the induced map $\mu: \mathbf{P} U \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W$, the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{P} W}(-1)$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P} U}(-1)$. Define $Y$ by the pull-back diagram


Set $U^{*}=U-0$ and denote by $\widetilde{\pi}: U^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} U$ the projection. By Lemma 3.2 , in $A_{\widetilde{T}}\left(U^{*}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\pi}^{*} \widetilde{\phi}_{*}[Y]=\int_{Y} \frac{c_{N}(U)}{\phi^{*} c_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P} U}(-1)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $c_{N}(U)=\prod d_{i}^{-1} c_{N}(W)$. Since $Y \rightarrow X$ is of degree $\prod d_{i}$, the integral on the right-hand side of 10 is equal to

$$
\int_{X} \frac{c_{N}(W)}{\phi^{*} c_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{P} W}(-1)}
$$

Now the statement follows by applying $\mu_{*}: A_{\widetilde{T}}\left(U^{*}\right) \rightarrow A_{\widetilde{T}}\left(W^{*}\right)$ to both sides of 10 .
Let $\operatorname{Orb}(w) \subset W$ be the closure of the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$-orbit of $w$, with the reduced scheme structure. Let $N=\operatorname{dim} W$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $i: X \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W$ be a complete parametrisation of the orbit of $w \in W$. Assume that the stabiliser $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(m)$ of $w$ is finite. Then, in $A_{G}(W)=A_{G}$, we have

$$
|\Gamma| \cdot[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]=\int_{X} \frac{c_{N}(W)}{i^{*} c_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{P} W}(-1)}
$$

The integral on the right is the push-forward $A_{G}(X) \rightarrow A_{G}$.
Proof. Let $T \subset \mathrm{GL}(m)$ be a maximal torus. It suffices to prove the equality in $A_{T}(W)$. Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 together give the equality in $A_{T}\left(W^{*}\right)$. But then the equality also holds in $A_{T}(W)$ since $A_{T}^{i}(U)=A_{T}^{i}\left(U^{*}\right)$ for $i=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Orb}(w)$.

## 4. Twist invariance

Consider a representation $W$ of GL(2) defined by

$$
\rho: \mathrm{GL}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)
$$

For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have the surjective homomorphism

$$
T_{n}: \mathrm{GL}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2), \quad M \mapsto M \cdot(\operatorname{det} M)^{n}
$$

whose kernel is the diagonally embedded $\mu_{n+2} \subset \mathrm{GL}(2)$. The composite $\rho \circ T_{n}$ gives a new representation $\mathrm{GL}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ which we call $W(n)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } W \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{a-b} V \otimes \operatorname{det} V^{b}, \text { then } W(n) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{a-b} V \otimes \operatorname{det}^{b+n(a+b)} V \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the identity map $W(n) \rightarrow W$ together with $T_{n}: G L(2) \rightarrow G L(2)$ induces a map

$$
e_{n}:[W(n) / \mathrm{GL}(2)] \rightarrow[W / \mathrm{GL}(2)]
$$

Given $w \in W$, the GL(2)-orbit closure of $w$ in $W$ under $\rho$ is equal to that of $w$ in $W(n)$. But to distinguish the ambient representations, we denote them by $\operatorname{Orb}(w)$ and $\operatorname{Orb}(w)(n)$, respectively. Then

$$
\operatorname{Orb}(w)(n)=e_{n}^{-1}(\operatorname{Orb}(w))
$$

and hence

$$
[\operatorname{Orb}(w)(n)]=e_{n}^{*}([\operatorname{Orb}(w)]) \in A_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}
$$

The map

$$
e_{n}^{*}: A_{\mathrm{GL}(2)} \rightarrow A_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}
$$

is easy to describe. Thinking of $A_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}$ as the subring of $\mathbf{Q}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$ consisting of symmetric polynomials, it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}^{*}: v_{1} \mapsto v_{1}+n\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) \text { and } v_{2} \mapsto v_{2}+n\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma(n)$ be the stabilisers of $w$ under $\rho$ and $\rho \circ T_{n}$, respectively. Then we have the sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \mu_{n+2} \rightarrow \Gamma(n) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1
$$

In particular, we have $|\Gamma(n)|=(n+2)|\Gamma|$.
Given $u \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, let $\Lambda^{u}$ be the Newton polygon associated to $w \in W$ and $\Lambda^{u}(n)$ the Newton polygon associated to $w \in W(n)$. Using (11), it follows that $\Lambda^{u}(n) \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is obtained from $\Lambda^{u} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ by applying the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{n+2}(x+1, y+1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q$ be the polynomial on the right-hand side of $(2)$ in the main theorem for $w \in W$ and $Q(n)$ the corresponding polynomial for $w \in W(n)$. Using 12 and 13 , it is easy to check that

$$
e_{n}^{*}(Q)=Q(n) /(n+2)
$$

Since we also have

$$
e_{n}^{*}(|\Gamma|[\operatorname{Orb}(w)])=|\Gamma(n)|[\operatorname{Orb}(w)(n)] /(n+2)
$$

the main theorem holds for $w \in W$ if and only if it holds for $w \in W(n)$. Thus, in the proof, we are free to replace $W$ by $W(n)$ for any $n$. In particular, by choosing a sufficiently large $n$, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \cong \bigoplus \operatorname{Sym}^{a_{i}-b_{i}} V \otimes \operatorname{det}^{b_{i}} V \text { with } a_{i} \geq b_{i} \geq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Complete orbit parametrisations of GL(2)-orbits

Recall that we have a 2-dimensional vector space $V$ and

$$
W=W_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{n}, \quad \text { where } W_{i}=\operatorname{Sym}^{a_{i}-b_{i}} V \otimes \operatorname{det} V^{b_{i}}
$$

We set $d_{i}=a_{i}+b_{i}$, which we call the weight of $W_{i}$, and assume $d_{i}>0$ for all $i$. Also assume that $b_{i} \geq 0$; this can be achieved after twisting $W$ as in Section 4. Consider the central $\mathbf{G}_{m} \rightarrow$ GL $V$ given by $t \mapsto t \cdot I$. Observe that $t \in \mathbf{G}_{m}$ scales the elements of $W_{i}$ by $t^{d_{i}}$. If $U$ is another 2-dimensional vector space, then by $W_{i}(U)$ we mean the representation

$$
W_{i}(U)=\operatorname{Sym}^{a_{i}-b_{i}} U \otimes \operatorname{det}^{b_{i}} U,
$$

and by $W(U)$ the direct sum

$$
W(U)=\bigoplus_{i} W_{i}(U)
$$

Let $\mathscr{P} W$ be the weighted projective stack

$$
\mathscr{P} W=\left[W-0 / \mathbf{G}_{m}\right]
$$

Let $U$ be another two-dimensional vector space and set

$$
M=\mathbf{P} \operatorname{Hom}(U, V)
$$

Fix a non-zero $w \in W(U)$. Let $w_{i} \in W_{i}(U)$ be the $i$-th component of $w$.
Let $I=\left\{i \mid w_{i} \neq 0\right\}$ and $J=\{1, \ldots, n\}-I$. Set $W_{I}=\oplus_{i \in I} W_{i}$ and similarly for $W_{J}$. Let $w_{I}$ be the projection of $w$ to $W_{I}$. Plainly, we have $\operatorname{Orb}(w)=\operatorname{Orb}\left(w_{I}\right) \times\{0\} \subset W_{I} \oplus W_{J}$, and hence

$$
[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]=c_{\operatorname{dim} W_{J}}\left(W_{J}\right)\left[\operatorname{Orb}\left(w_{I}\right)\right] .
$$

Using this, we see that it suffices to prove the main theorem when $J=\emptyset$. So, assume that $w_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$.

We have a rational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \xrightarrow[P]{ } W \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by

$$
m \mapsto[m \cdot w]
$$

It is defined on the locus of $m$ such that $m \cdot w \neq 0$. More formally, on $M=\mathbf{P} \operatorname{Hom}(U, V)$, we have the universal homomorphism

$$
e: U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M}(-1) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M}
$$

which induces

$$
W_{i}(U) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M}
$$

By pre-composing with the section

$$
w_{i}: \mathcal{O}_{M} \rightarrow W_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M},
$$

we get the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{M}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maps in 16 define a morphism to $\mathscr{P} W$ on the open subset of $M$ where at least one of the maps is non-zero. Observe that this open subset includes all points of $M$ corresponding to invertible homomorphisms.


Figure 1. The scheme theoretic zero locus of the map 16 is cut out locally by an ideal of the form $I_{K_{u}}^{r} \cdot I_{\Delta}^{b}$, where $\Delta \subset M$ is the determinant quadric and $K_{u} \subset \Delta$ are certain lines on it.

We now describe the scheme theoretic zero locus of the map 16. It is supported on the determinant quadric

$$
\Delta=\{m \in M \mid \operatorname{det} m=0\}
$$

and it has embedded primes supported on lines of one ruling of this quadric (see Proposition 5.1 and Figure 11. Given a point $u \in \mathbf{P} U$, let $K_{u} \subset M$ be the line defined by

$$
K_{u}=\{m \in M \mid m u=0\}
$$

Observe that as $u$ varies in $\mathbf{P} U \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$, the lines $K_{u}$ sweep out one of the two rulings of $\Delta$.
Proposition 5.1. Suppose $w_{i}=f \otimes \delta^{b_{i}}$, where $f \in \operatorname{Sym}^{a_{i}-b_{i}}(U)$ and $\delta \in \operatorname{det} U$ are non-zero. Take $m \in \Delta \subset M$ and let $u \in \mathbf{P} U$ be the kernel of $m$. Suppose $f$ vanishes to order $r$ at $u$. Then, in $a$ neighbourhood of $m$, the scheme theoretic zero locus of the map

$$
e: \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M}
$$

defined in 16, is cut out by the ideal $I_{K_{u}}^{r} \cdot I_{\Delta}^{b_{i}}$.
Proof. Since $i$ is fixed, we omit it from the subscript in $a_{i}, b_{i}$, and $d_{i}$, and do a local calculation. Denote by $u_{2} \in U$ a lift of $u \in \mathbf{P} U$. We choose a linearly independent vector $u_{1} \in U$ and take $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ as a basis of $U$. In this basis, the point $u \in \mathbf{P} U$ is given by [0:1].

Choose a basis $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ of $V$ and suppose that in the chosen bases, the map $m: U \rightarrow V$ is given by

$$
m=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can take $\delta=u_{1} \wedge u_{2}$. Consider the affine neighbourhood of $m \in M$ given by matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x \\
z & y+x z
\end{array}\right)
$$

Up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar, the element $f=f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=u_{1}^{a-b-r} u_{2}^{r}+* \cdot u_{1}^{a-b-r-1} u_{2}^{r+1}+\cdots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $u_{1} \mapsto v_{1}+z v_{2}$ and $u_{2} \mapsto x v_{1}+(y+x z) v_{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
(M f)\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)= & \left(v_{1}+z v_{2}\right)^{a-b-r}\left(x v_{1}+(y+x z) v_{2}\right)^{r} \\
& +* \cdot\left(v_{1}+z v_{2}\right)^{a-b-r-1}\left(x v_{1}+(y+x z) v_{2}\right)^{r+1}+\cdots \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2. For $W=\operatorname{Sym}^{3} V \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{2} V \otimes \operatorname{det} V$, the Newton polygon $\Lambda^{u}$ at $u \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$ with the vanishing orders $r_{1}=2$ and $r_{2}=0$. The short normal vectors (dashed) represent $\beta^{\text {can }}$ and the longer ones (dotted) represent $\beta^{\text {res }}$.
and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \delta)\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=y\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the ideal generated by the coefficients of $M f$ is $\langle x, y\rangle^{r}$. The ideal $\langle x, y\rangle$ is precisely the ideal $I_{K_{u}}$ and the ideal $\langle y\rangle$ is precisely the ideal $I_{\Delta}$. So the ideal generated by the coefficients of $M\left(f \otimes \delta^{b}\right)$ is $I_{K_{u}}^{r} \cdot I_{\Delta}^{b}$, as required.

We now resolve the rational map $M \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W$ using a stacky blow-up. Let the components of $w$ be $w_{i}=f_{i} \otimes \delta^{b_{i}}$. Let $A \subset \mathbf{P} U$ be any finite set that includes the common zeros of $f_{i}$ for $i$ that realise the minimum $\min _{i} b_{i} / d_{i}$. Let $\mathrm{Bl}_{A} M \rightarrow M$ be the blow-up of $M$ along the lines $K_{u}$ for $u \in A$. Let $E_{u} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ be the exceptional divisor over $K_{u}$ and $D \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ the proper transform of $\Delta \subset M$. Let $r_{i}^{u}$ be the order of vanishing of $f_{i}$ at $u$. Let

$$
e: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Bl}_{A} M}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Bl}_{A} M}
$$

be the pull-back of (16). By Proposition 5.1, the ideal generated by the components of this map is $I_{E_{u}}^{r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}} I_{D}^{b_{i}}$.

Fix $u \in A \subset \mathbf{P} U$. Let $\Lambda=\Lambda^{u} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be the Newton polygon defined by the set of weighted monomials $\left\{\left(x^{r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}} y^{b_{i}}, d_{i}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. Let $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{\Lambda, \beta} \rightarrow\left[\mathbf{A}^{2} / \mathbf{G}_{m}^{2}\right]$ be the blow-up defined in Section 22 (see Remark 2.1) for $\beta=\beta^{\text {can }}$ and $\beta=\beta^{\text {res }}$. Let $\mathrm{Bl}_{A} M \rightarrow\left[\mathbf{A}^{2} / \mathbf{G}_{m}^{2}\right]$ be the map defined by the divisors $E_{u}$ and $D$ and let $\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ and $\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {can }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ be the pullbacks of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\text {res }}} \rightarrow\left[\mathbf{A}^{2} / \mathbf{G}_{m}^{2}\right]$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{\Lambda, \beta^{\text {can }}} \rightarrow\left[\mathbf{A}^{2} / \mathbf{G}_{m}^{2}\right]$. Since $E_{u}$ and $D$ are smooth, normal crossings divisors, the map $\mathrm{Bl}_{A} M \rightarrow\left[\mathbf{A}^{2} / \mathbf{G}_{m}^{2}\right]$ is smooth, and therefore both $\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {res }}$ and $\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {can }}$ are smooth. See Figure 2 for an example of $\Lambda^{u}$ with $\beta^{\text {can }}$ and $\beta^{\text {res }}$.

We can write local charts for $\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {res }}$ and $\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {can }}$ by simply substituting local equations of $E_{u}$ and $D$ in the local charts described in Section 2, Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ be the vertex of the Newton polyhedron $\Lambda=\Lambda^{u}$ with the smallest second coordinate. Then $\lambda_{2}=\min _{i}\left(b_{i} / d_{i}\right)$. Suppose $\lambda_{2}=b / d$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1$. Note that $\lambda+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times 0$ is a ray of $\Lambda$. Its associated divisor is the vanishing locus of $y$, which pulls back to $D \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$. The functional $\beta_{1}^{\text {can }}$ associated to this ray is the projection $p_{2}:(a, b) \mapsto b$. On the other hand, the functional $\beta_{1}^{\text {res }}$ is $d \cdot p_{2}$. As a result, $\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ over the complement of $E_{u}$ is the root stack along $D$ of order $d$. Note that $d$ is independent of $u \in A$.

Let $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ and $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ be the blow-ups as above carried out for all $u \in A$ at once. That is, for all $u \in A$, in a neighbourhood of $E_{u}$, the map $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ is the blow-up
$\mathscr{M}_{u}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$, and similarly for $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$. We have maps

$$
\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{res}} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}^{\text {can }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M
$$

The map $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ is an isomorphism away from the union of the lines $E_{u} \cap D$ for $u \in A$. The map $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ is an isomorphism away from the union of the divisors $E_{u}$ for $u \in A$ and $D$. Over the complement of the union of $E_{u}$ for $u \in A$, it is the root stack of order $d$ along $D$.

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$ or $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$. For every $g \in \mathrm{GL} V$, it is easy to check that the action map $g: M \rightarrow M$ lifts to a morphism $g: \mathscr{M} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}$. For $g, h \in \mathrm{GL} V$, the two morphisms $h \circ g$ and $g h$ agree on a dense open subscheme in $\mathscr{M}$. Since $\mathscr{M}$ is normal and separated, 9 , Appendix A] implies that there exists a unique 2-morphism $h \circ g \Longrightarrow g h$. As a result, the maps $g: \mathscr{M} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}$ for $g \in$ GL $V$ give an action of GL $V$ on $\mathscr{M}$.

Proposition 5.2. The rational map

$$
M \xrightarrow[P]{ } W
$$

extends to a regular map

$$
\iota: \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{res}} \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W
$$

which is a complete orbit parametrisation of the orbit of $[w] \in \mathscr{P} W$.
Proof. The extension exists due to Proposition 2.3 (see Corollary 2.4). It is immediate that $\iota$ gives a complete orbit parametrisation.

## 6. Atiyah-Bott localisation

Proposition 3.1 gives a formula for $[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]$ as an integral. We compute the integral in Proposition 3.1 using the Atiyah-Bott localisation formula for stacks [13, §5.3]. In this section, we use $\mathscr{M}$ to denote either $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ or $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$. A claim about $\mathscr{M}$ is understood to hold for both $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ and $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$. Most such claims will be on the level of points or rational Chow groups, both of which are identical for the two stacks.

Fix a basis $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ of $V$. Let $T \subset$ GL $V$ be the diagonal torus with respect to the chosen basis. The $T$-fixed locus in $M$ is the disjoint union of the two lines $L_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ defined by

$$
L_{i}=\left\{m \mid \operatorname{Image}(m) \subset\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

These are lines on $\Delta$ of the opposite ruling compared to the lines $K_{u}$ (see Figure 1).
6.1. Fixed points of the $T$-action on $\mathscr{M}$. Let $\mathscr{L}_{i}^{\text {res }} \subset \mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{i}^{\text {can }} \subset \mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$ be the proper transforms of $L_{i} \subset M$ (with the reduced scheme structure). We use $\mathscr{L}_{i} \subset \mathscr{M}$ to refer to either one of these.

Fix a $u \in A \subset \mathbf{P} U$. Choose a basis $u_{1}, u_{2}$ of $U$ such that $u=\left[u_{2}\right]$. Consider the affine open chart $\mathbf{A}_{x, y, z}^{3} \subset M$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x \\
z & y+x z
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this basis, the line $L_{1}$, the line $K_{u}$, and the determinant $\Delta$ are cut out by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1} & : z=y=0 \\
K_{u} & : x=y=0 \\
\Delta & : y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The line $L_{2}$ is absent from this chart. Thinking of $x, y, z$ as regular functions on this chart, we see that the $T$-action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right):(x, y, z) \mapsto\left(x, t_{1} t_{2}^{-1} y, t_{1} t_{2}^{-1} z\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The blow-up $\mathrm{Bl}_{K_{u}} M$ has the local description

$$
\{(x, y, z,[X: Y]) \mid X y=x Y\} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[x, y, z] \times \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

On the blow-up, the proper transform of $L_{1}$ is cut out by $z=0$ and $Y=0$. The only $T$-fixed points on the blow-up are the points of the proper transform of $L_{1}$ and the point $p_{1}^{u}$ with coordinates $((0,0,0),[0: 1])$.

The proper transform of $\Delta$ is defined by $Y=0$, and is thus contained in the affine chart of the blow-up given by $X \neq 0$. This chart is given by

$$
\{(x, y, z,[1: Y]) \mid y=x Y\} \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[x, Y, z]
$$

The $T$-action is given by

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right):(x, Y, z) \mapsto\left(x, t_{1}^{-1} t_{2} Y, t_{1}^{-1} t_{2} z\right) .
$$

The stacky blow-up of this chart is defined by the weighted monomials ( $x^{r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}} Y^{b_{i}}, d_{i}$ ). Let $\Lambda^{u}$ be the Newton polyhedron defined by these weighted monomials (see Section 24. Since $z$ is absent from the monomials, we may think of $\Lambda^{u}$ as a subset of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Let $\lambda(0), \ldots, \lambda(k)$ be the vertices of $\Lambda^{u}$ arranged from the bottom-right to the top-left. That is, using subscripts to denote first and second coordinates, we have

$$
\lambda(0)_{1}>\cdots>\lambda(k)_{1} \text { and } \lambda(0)_{2}<\cdots<\lambda(k)_{2} .
$$

Note that the point corresponding to the bottom-right vertex $\lambda(0)$ lies on the proper transform of $L_{1}$. It is easy to check that the only $T$-fixed points on the stacky blow-up of this chart are:
(1) points of the proper transform of $L_{1}$,
(2) points corresponding to the vertices $\lambda(1), \ldots, \lambda(k)$.

For $j=1, \ldots, k$, we label the point corresponding to $\lambda(j)$ as $p_{1, j}^{u}$.
We have analogous points $p_{2}^{u}$ and $p_{2, j}^{u}$ over the line $L_{2} \subset M$.
Summarising the discussion above, we see that the $T$-fixed locus of $\mathscr{M}$ is the disjoint union of
(1) $\mathscr{L}_{1} \sqcup \mathscr{L}_{2}$
(2) $\left\{p_{1}^{u}, p_{2}^{u}\right\}$ for $u \in A$.
(3) $\left\{p_{1, j}^{u}, p_{2, j}^{u} \mid j=1, \ldots, k=k^{u}\right\}$ for $u \in A$.
6.2. Ingredients of the localisation formula. Recall that we have the map

$$
\iota: \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{res}} \rightarrow \mathscr{P} W
$$

which is the complete orbit parametrisation of $[w]$. We describe the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ and the normal bundles to the components of the fixed locus of the $T$-action as elements of the corresponding (rational) $T$-equivariant Grothendieck groups.

Let $M_{T}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $K_{T}=\mathbf{Z}\left[M_{T}\right]$. We use $\oplus$ to denote the formal sums in $K_{T}$. By a rational $T$-representation, we mean a representation of a finite cover of $T$. Every rational $T$-representation has a class in $K_{T}$. In particular, for $m, n \in \mathbf{Q}$, we have classes $\chi(m, n) \in K_{T}$ of rational characters. See the discussion before [13, Proposition 5.3.4] for the need to accommodate rational representations.

Proposition 6.1. Fix $u \in A \subset \mathbf{P} U$. Suppose $i$ realises the minimum $\min _{i}\left(\frac{1}{d_{i}}\left(r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}\right)\right)$. Then the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{res}}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero at $p_{1}^{u}$ and its image is spanned by $v_{1}^{a_{i}-b_{i}-r_{i}} v_{2}^{r_{i}} \otimes\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right)^{b_{i}}$.
Proof. In the local coordinates introduced in Section 6.1, the point $p_{1}^{u}$ lies in the chart

$$
\{(x, y, z,[X: 1]) \mid x=y X\} \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[X, y, z]
$$

of the blow-up $\mathrm{Bl}_{K_{u}} M$. The stacky blow-up $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ of this chart is defined by the weighted monomials $\left(y^{r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}}, d_{i}\right)$. Suppose the minimum in the statement is $c / d$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(c, d)=1$. From (3) and (4), we get the following local chart of $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{K_{u}} M$ at $p_{1}^{u}$ :

$$
\left[\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right] / \mu_{d}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[X, y, z]
$$

where the map is defined by

$$
X \mapsto u_{1}, \quad y \mapsto u_{2}^{d}, \quad z \mapsto u_{3}
$$

Let $r=r_{i}^{u}$ and $b=b_{i}$. From the proof of Corollary 2.4, we know that on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right]$, the map (21) is $y^{-r-b}$ times the original map $e$ studied in Proposition 5.1. From (18) and (19), we see that the map $e$ is given by

$$
y^{b}\left(\left(v_{1}+z v_{2}\right)^{a-b-r} y^{r}\left(X v_{1}+(1+X z) v_{2}\right)^{r}+\cdots\right) \otimes\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right)^{b}
$$

Multiplying by $y^{-r-b}$ and setting $u_{1}=u_{2}=u_{3}=0$ yields the result.
Let $\mathcal{O}(-1)_{p_{1}^{u}}$ be the class in $K_{T}$ of the fiber of $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)$ at $p_{1}^{u}$. Similarly, let $N_{p_{1}^{u}}$ be the class in $K_{T}$ of the normal bundle of $p_{1}^{u}$ in $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$. Let $r^{u}=\min _{i}\left(r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}\right) / d_{i}$.
Proposition 6.2. With the notation above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(-1)_{p_{1}^{u}} & =\chi\left(1-r^{u}, r^{u}\right), \text { and } \\
N_{p_{1}^{u}} & =\chi(1,-1) \oplus \chi(-1,1) \oplus \chi(-1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Suppose $i$ realises the minimum $\min _{i}\left(r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}\right) / d_{i}$. Proposition 6.1 identifies the fiber of $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(-d_{i}\right)$ at $p_{1}^{u}$ with the span of $v_{1}^{a_{i}-r_{i}} v_{2}^{r_{i}} \otimes\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right)^{b_{i}}$, on which $T$ acts by weights $a_{i}-r_{i}$ and $b_{i}+r_{i}$. Dividing through by $d_{i}$ yields the first equality.

The map $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ is an isomorphism near $p_{1}^{u}$. The normal space at $p_{1}^{u}$ is spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial X}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, on which the $T$ acts by weights $(1,-1),(-1,1)$, and $(-1,1)$, respectively.

Proposition 6.3. Fix $u \in A \subset \mathbf{P} U$. Let $\lambda$ be a vertex of $\Lambda^{u}$, say $\lambda=\frac{1}{d_{i}}\left(r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}, b_{i}\right)$. Then the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{res}}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero at $p_{1, j}^{u}$ and its image is spanned by $v_{1}^{a_{i}-b_{i}} \otimes\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right)^{b_{i}}$.
Proof. The proof is parallel to the proof of Proposition 6.1. In the local coordinates introduced in Section 6.1, consider the chart of $\mathrm{Bl}_{K_{u}} M$ given by

$$
\{(x, y, z,[1: Y]) \mid y=x Y\} \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[x, Y, z]
$$

Consider the chart of $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ given by (3) and (4):

$$
\left[\mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right] / \mu\right] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[x, Y, z]
$$

Let $r=r_{i}^{u}$ and $b=b_{i}$. From the proof of Corollary 2.4, we know that on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right]$, the map (22) is $x^{-r-b} Y^{-b}$ times the original map $e$ studied in Proposition 5.1. From 18) and (19), we see that the map $e$ is given by

$$
x^{b} Y^{b}\left(\left(v_{1}+z v_{2}\right)^{a-b-r} x^{r}\left(v_{1}+(1+Y z) v_{2}\right)^{r}+\cdots\right) \otimes\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right)^{b}
$$

Multiplying by $x^{-r-b} Y^{-b}$ and setting $u_{1}=u_{2}=u_{3}=0$ yields the result.
Let $\mathcal{O}(-1)_{p_{\ell, j}^{u}}$ be the class in $K_{T}$ of the fiber of $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)$ at $p_{1, j}^{u}$. Similarly, let $N_{p_{1, j}^{u}}$ be the class in $K_{T}$ of the normal bundle of $p_{1, j}^{u}$ in $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$. Let $\eta$ and $\zeta$ be the shortest integral normal vectors to the two rays of $\Lambda^{u}$ at the vertex $\lambda(j)$. Let $N=\operatorname{det}(\eta, \zeta)$, so that $|N|$ is the index of the sub-lattice $\langle\eta, \zeta\rangle \subset \mathbf{Z}^{2}$.

Proposition 6.4. With the notation above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(-1)_{p_{1, j}^{u}} & =\chi\left(1-\lambda(j)_{2}, \lambda(j)_{2}\right), \text { and } \\
N_{p_{1, j}^{u}} & =\chi\left(\zeta_{1} / N,-\zeta_{1} / N\right) \oplus \chi\left(-\eta_{1} / N, \eta_{1} / N\right) \oplus \chi(-1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We use the notation in Proposition 6.3. In particular, we let $i$ be such that $\lambda(j)=$ $\frac{1}{d_{i}}\left(a_{i}+r_{i}^{u}, b_{i}\right)$. Proposition 6.3 shows that $\mathcal{O}(-1)_{p_{1, j}^{u}}=\frac{1}{d_{i}} \chi\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$, by the same argument as Proposition 6.2. Since $d_{i}=a_{i}+b_{i}$, we can re-write this as $\chi\left(1-\lambda(j)_{2}, \lambda(j)_{2}\right)$.

For the second equality, we write $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ in charts at $p_{1, j}^{u}$ using (3) and (4):

$$
\left[\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right] / \mu\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[x, Y, z]
$$

where the map is given by

$$
x \mapsto u_{1}^{\eta_{1}} u_{2}^{\zeta_{1}}, \quad Y \mapsto u_{1}^{\eta_{2}} u_{2}^{\zeta_{2}}, \quad z \mapsto u_{3} .
$$

The torus $T$ acts on $x, Y$, and $z$ by weights $(0,0),(1,-1)$, and $(1,-1)$, respectively. It follows that it must act on $u_{1}, u_{2}$, and $u_{3}$ by weights $\frac{1}{N}\left(-\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right), \frac{1}{N}\left(\eta_{1},-\eta_{1}\right)$, and $(1,-1)$, respectively. Since the normal space to $p_{1, j}^{u}$ is spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3}}$, the second equality follows.

Remark 6.5. In Proposition 6.4 suppose $\lambda=\lambda(0)$ is the bottom right vertex of $\Lambda^{u}$. Then one of the two rays incident at $\lambda$ is $\lambda+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$, so we may choose $\eta=(0,1)$. In that case, we have a trivial summand $\chi(0,0)$ in $N_{p_{1,0}^{u}}$. This summand corresponds to the normal direction in $\mathcal{L}_{1}$, which is fixed by $T$.

We have now described all the ingredients of the localisation formula for the isolated fixed points. We now turn to the $T$-fixed lines $\mathscr{L}_{i}$. Note that the coarse space of $\mathscr{L}_{i}$ is $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Use $K$ to denote the numerical Grothendieck group (two classes considered equal if they have the same Chern character). For a finite cover $\widetilde{T} \rightarrow T$, a $\widetilde{T}$-equivariant bundle on $\mathscr{L}_{i}$ has a class in $K_{T} \otimes K\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}\right)$. For $a \in \mathbf{Q}$, the notation $\mathcal{O}(a)$ denotes the class in $K\left(\mathscr{L}_{i}\right)$ of a line bundle of degree $a$.

For $u \in A$, we denote by $\lambda^{u}(j)$ the $j$-th vertex of $\Lambda^{u} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ with the convention that the vertices are arranged from the bottom-right to the top-left (in the increasing order by the second coordinate). Recall that $b=\min _{i}\left(b_{i} / d_{i}\right)$ and $r_{\text {gen }}^{u}=\lambda^{u}(0)_{1}-b$ and $r_{\text {gen }}=\sum_{u \in A} r_{\text {gen }}^{u}$.
Proposition 6.6. The class of $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)$ restricted to $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ in $K_{T} \otimes K\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\left.\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)\right|_{\mathscr{L}_{1}}=\chi(1-b, b) \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(-1+2 b+r_{\mathrm{gen}}\right)
$$

Proof. Recall that the points $p_{1,0}^{u}$ lie on $\mathscr{L}_{1}$. Proposition 6.4 applied to $j=0$ shows that the fiber of $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)$ at $p_{1,0}^{u}$, as a rational $T$-representation, is $\chi(1-b, b)$. So, the class of $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)$ restricted to $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ is $\chi(1-b, b) \otimes \mathcal{O}(a)$ for some $a \in \mathbf{Q}$, which is simply the degree of $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)$ on $\mathscr{L}_{1}$.

Let $\pi: \mathscr{M}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow M$ be the natural map. Then

$$
\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)=\pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{M}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E)
$$

where $E \subset \mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ is an effective divisor. The divisor is characterised by the property that in a neighbourhood of a point $p \in \mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ at which the map $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{M}}$ res is non-zero, the divisor $d_{i} E$ is the vanishing locus of $e: \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}}$. We use this characterisation at $p_{1,0}^{u}$ for every $u \in A \subset \mathbf{P} U$. Given $u \in A$, let $i$ be such that $\lambda^{u}(0)=\frac{1}{d_{i}}\left(r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}, b_{i}\right)$. By Proposition 6.3, the map $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O} \mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ is non-zero. The proof of Proposition 6.3 shows that the vanishing locus of $e: \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(-d_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}}$ is cut out in the local coordinates by $x^{r_{i}^{u}+b_{i}} Y^{b_{i}}=x^{r_{i}^{u}} y^{b_{i}}$. The divisor cut out by $y$ is the pre-image of the determinant $\Delta \subset M$. The divisor cut out by $x$ is the pre-image of the exceptional divisor $E_{u} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$. Therefore, in a neighbourhood of $p_{1,0}^{u}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\frac{b_{i}}{d_{i}} \Delta+\frac{r_{i}^{u}}{d_{i}} E_{u} \\
& =b \Delta+r_{\text {gen }}^{u} E_{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering all $u \in A \subset \mathbf{P} U$, we see that in a neighbourhood of $\mathscr{L}_{1}$, we have

$$
E=b \Delta+\sum_{u \in A} r_{\text {gen }}^{u} E_{u}
$$

On $\mathscr{L}_{1}$, the degree of $\Delta$ is 2 and the degree of $E_{u}$ is 1 . The result follows.
Let $N_{1} \in K_{T} \otimes K\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}\right)$ be the class of the normal bundle of $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\text {can }} \subset \mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$. For $u \in A \subset \mathbf{P} U$, if $\Lambda^{u}$ has at least two vertices, set

$$
s^{u}=1-\frac{\lambda^{u}(0)_{1}-\lambda^{u}(1)_{1}}{\lambda^{u}(0)_{2}-\lambda^{u}(1)_{2}} .
$$

Otherwise, set $s^{u}=1$. Let $s=\sum_{u \in A} s^{u}$.
Proposition 6.7. With the notation above, the class of the normal bundle $N_{1}$ is equal to

$$
\chi(-1,1) \otimes(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2-s)))
$$

Proof. For simplicity, we drop the superscript "can", but alert the reader that it is important that we are working with $\mathcal{M}^{\text {can }}$ and not $\mathcal{M}^{\text {res }}$. We use the local coordinates introduced in Section 6.1. At a generic point $(x, 0,0) \in \mathscr{L}_{1}$, the normal bundle is spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ on which $T$ acts by weights $(-1,1)$. Therefore, the class of $N_{1}$ is $\chi(-1,1)$ times the class in $K\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}\right)$ of the normal bundle. We simply need to find the degree of $N_{1}$.

Let $\pi: \mathscr{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ be the natural map. Let $\widetilde{L}_{1} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M$ be the proper transform of $L_{1}$. Consider the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow N_{1} \xrightarrow{d \pi} \pi^{*} N_{\widetilde{L}_{1} / \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0
$$

so that $Q$ is supported on $\left\{p_{1,0}^{u} \mid u \in A\right\}$. Let $\eta=(0,1)$ and $\zeta$ be the shortest integer normal vectors to the two rays of $\Lambda^{u}$ at $\lambda(0)$. Then, in a neighbourhood of $p_{1,0}^{u}$, the map $\pi$ is

$$
\left[\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right] / \mu\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[x, Y, z]
$$

where

$$
x \mapsto u_{2}^{\zeta_{1}}, \quad Y \mapsto u_{1} u_{2}^{\zeta_{2}}, \quad z \mapsto u_{3}
$$

and $\mu$ is a cyclic group of order $\zeta_{1}$. At $p_{1,0}^{u}$, the dual of $N_{\widetilde{L}_{1} / B_{A} M}$ is spanned by $d Y$ and $d z$, whereas the dual of $N_{1}$ is spanned by $d u_{1}$ and $d u_{3}$. On $\mathscr{L}_{1}$, which is cut out by $u_{1}=u_{3}=0$, we have

$$
d Y=u_{2}^{\zeta_{2}} d u_{1} \text { and } d z=d u_{3}
$$

Therefore, the pull-back of $Q$ to $\mathbf{k}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right]$ has length $\zeta_{2}$. But since the order of $\mu$ is $\zeta_{1}$, the degree of $Q$ at $p_{1,0}^{u}$ is $\zeta_{2} / \zeta_{1}$. If $\Lambda^{u}$ has only one vertex, then $\zeta=(1,0)$, so $\zeta_{2}=0$. Otherwise, $\zeta_{2} / \zeta_{1}$ is the negative of the reciprocal of the slope of the line joining $\lambda(0)$ and $\lambda(1)$, which is precisely $s^{u}-1$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{deg} N_{1}=\operatorname{deg} N_{\widetilde{L}_{1} / \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M}-\sum_{u \in A}\left(s^{u}-1\right)
$$

But we also know that

$$
\operatorname{deg} N_{\widetilde{L}_{1} / \mathrm{Bl}_{A} M}=\operatorname{deg} N_{L_{1} / M}-\sum_{u \in A} 1=2-\sum_{u \in A} 1
$$

Combining the two yields the proposition.
6.3. Proof of the main theorem. We now have the tools to prove Theorem 1.3 Let $T \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a maximal torus. Let $N=\operatorname{dim} W$. By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
|\Gamma| \cdot[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]=\int_{\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{res}}} \frac{c_{N}(W)}{\iota^{*} c_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{P} W}(-1)} .
$$

The pull-back along $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$ identifies the rational Chow groups, and the push-forward of the fundamental class of $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ is the fundamental class of $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$. Therefore, we may replace $\mathscr{M}^{\text {res }}$ in the integral by $\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$.

From Section 6.1. recall that the $T$-fixed points of $\mathcal{M}^{\text {can }}$ consist of the line $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\text {can }}$, the points $p_{1}^{u}$ for $u \in A$, the points $p_{1, j}^{u}$ for $u \in A$ and $j=1, \ldots, k^{u}$, where $k^{u}$ is the number of vertices of the Newton polygon $\Lambda^{u}$, and their analogues where the subscript 1 is replaced by 2 . For $\ell=1,2$, we denote by $N_{\ell}$ the normal bundle of $\mathscr{L}_{\ell}^{\text {can }}$, by $N_{p_{\ell}^{u}}$ the normal space of $p_{\ell}^{u}$, and by $N_{p_{\ell, j}^{u}}$ the normal space of $p_{\ell, j}^{u}$. Let us write $\xi=c_{N}(W) / \iota^{*} c_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{P} W}(-1)$. By the localisation formula, we have the equality of $T$-equivariant classes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}} \xi=\int_{\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\text {can }}} \frac{\xi}{c_{2}\left(N_{1}\right)}+\sum_{u \in A} \int_{p_{1}^{u}} \frac{\xi}{c_{3}\left(N_{p_{1}^{u}}\right)}+\sum_{u \in A} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{u}} \int_{p_{1, j}^{u}} \frac{\xi}{c_{3}\left(N_{p_{1, j}^{u}}\right)}+\cdots \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cdots$ denotes the sum of analogous integrals over $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{\text {can }}$ and $p_{2}^{u}$ and $p_{2, j}^{u}$.
Let us now evaluate each term in 23), starting with the integral over $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\text {can }}$. Denote by $h \in$ $A^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ the class of a point. Using Proposition 6.6 and Proposition 6.7 (and the notation there), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{c_{N}(W)} \int_{\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\text {can }}} \frac{\xi}{c_{2}\left(N_{1}\right)}=\int \frac{1}{c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(-1)) \cdot c_{2}\left(N_{1}\right)} \\
& \quad=\int\left((1-b) v_{1}+b v_{2}+\left(2 b+r_{\text {gen }}-1\right) h\right)^{-1}\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{2}-v_{1}+(2-s) h\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral is the coefficient of $h$ in the expansion of the integrand as a power series in $h$. To find it, we formally differentiate with respect to $h$ and set $h=0$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{c_{N}(W)} \int_{\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\text {can }}} \frac{\xi}{c_{2}\left(N_{1}\right)}=(2-s)( & \left.(1-b) v_{1}+b v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3}  \tag{24}\\
& -\left(2 b+r_{\text {gen }}-1\right)\left((1-b) v_{1}+b v_{2}\right)^{-2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-2}
\end{align*}
$$

The analogous integral over $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{\text {can }}$ is obtained by switching $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$.
Let us turn to the integral over $p_{1}^{u}$. By Proposition 6.2 (and the notation there), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{c_{N}(W)} \int_{p_{1}^{u}} \frac{\xi}{c_{3}\left(N_{1}\right)} & =\int\left(\left(1-r^{u}\right) v_{1}+r^{u} v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3}  \tag{25}\\
& =\left(\left(1-r^{u}\right) v_{1}+r^{u} v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3}
\end{align*}
$$

The analogous integral over $p_{2}^{u}$ is obtained by switching $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$.
Finally, let us compute the integral over $p_{1, j}^{u}$. By Proposition 6.4 (and the notation there), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{c_{N}(W)} \int_{p_{1, j}^{u}} \frac{\xi}{c_{3}\left(N_{1}\right)} & =\int N^{2}\left(\left(1-\lambda(j)_{2}\right) v_{1}+\lambda(j)_{2} v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3} \zeta_{1}^{-1} \eta_{1}^{-1}  \tag{26}\\
& =|N| \zeta_{1}^{-1} \eta_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(1-\lambda(j)_{2}\right) v_{1}+\lambda(j)_{2} v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last equality, we have used that $p_{1, j}^{u} \in \mathscr{M}^{\text {can }}$ has a stabiliser of order $|N|$, and hence the integral divides the integrand by $|N|$. The analogous integral over $p_{2, j}^{u}$ is obtained by switching $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$.

The expression in Theorem 1.3 is the sum of the contributions from $\sqrt[24]{24}, 24,26$, and their analogues with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ switched.

## 7. Applications

7.1. Orbits of elliptic fibrations. Recall that an element $(A, B) \in \operatorname{Sym}^{4 n}(V) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{6 n}(V)$ gives rise to an elliptic fibration

$$
\pi: E \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

defined locally by the Weierstrass equation

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B
$$

Given $u \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, recall that $r_{1}^{u}$ is the order of vanishing of $A$ at $u$ and $r_{2}^{u}$ is the order of vanishing of $B$ at $u$. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1, which computes the degree of the orbit closure of $(A, B)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $w=(A, B) \in W=\operatorname{Sym}^{4 n}(V) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{6 n}(V)$ be non-zero. In the notation of Theorem 1.3, we have $b=0$. For every $u \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, the Newton polygon $\Lambda^{u}$ has only one possible shape. It is a translated quadrant $\lambda+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ whose vertex $\lambda$ is

$$
\lambda=\left(\min \left(\frac{1}{4 n} r_{1}^{u}, \frac{1}{6 n} r_{2}^{u}\right), 0\right)=\left(\frac{c(u)}{2 n}, 0\right)
$$

In the notation of Theorem 1.3, we have $r^{u}=r_{\text {gen }}^{u}=c(u) / 2 n$ and $s^{u}=1$.
Note that $\mathbf{P} W$ is the quotient of $W-0$ by the $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ acting by weights 2 and 3 . The central $\mathbf{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL} V$ acts by weights $4 n$ and $6 n$. Therefore, the equivariant class for the first $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ is obtained
from the GL $V$-equivariant class by the specialisation $v_{1}=v_{2}=\frac{h}{2 n}$. With these substitutions, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.3 .
7.2. Orbits of rational self maps. Recall that elements in a Zariski open subset of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right)$ give rise to maps $f: \mathbf{P} V \rightarrow \mathbf{P} V$ of degree $n$. We have an isomorphism of GL $V$-representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right)=\operatorname{Sym}^{n-1} V \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1} V \otimes \operatorname{det} V^{-1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first projection $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{n-1} V$ is the contraction. The second projection arises as the composite

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right) \otimes \operatorname{det} V=V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1} V
$$

where the first map arises from the isomorphism $V^{*} \otimes \operatorname{det} V=V$ and the second map is the multiplication. The element in $\mathrm{Sym}^{n+1} V$ in the second projection defines the scheme theoretic fixed locus of $f$. I do not know a similar geometric interpretation of the first projection.

Fix a basis $x, y$ of $V$ with the dual basis $x^{*}, y^{*}$ of $V^{*}$.
Proposition 7.1. Let $f=x^{*} \otimes F(x, y)+y^{*} \otimes G(x, y)$, where $F, G \in \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V$ are polynomials of degree $n$. Let $f$ correspond to $\left(I, J \otimes x^{*} \wedge y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{Sym}^{n-1} V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V \otimes \operatorname{det} V^{-1}$. Then, up to non-zero scalar multiples, we have

$$
I=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x, y)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} G(x, y) \text { and } J=y F(x, y)-x G(x, y)
$$

In the other direction, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n+1} F=\frac{\partial J}{\partial y}+x I \text { and } \frac{1}{n+1} G=y I-\frac{\partial J}{\partial x}
$$

Proof. It is enough to check that the construction of $I$ and $J$ is GL(2)-equivariant. We leave this to the reader. The other direction follows from the first using Euler's formula

$$
x \frac{\partial *}{\partial x}+y \frac{\partial *}{\partial y}=\operatorname{deg}(*) \cdot *
$$

Fix a non-zero $\delta \in \operatorname{det} V$.
Proposition 7.2. Suppose $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right)$ defines a rational map $\mathbf{P} V \rightarrow \mathbf{P} V$ of degree $n$ and corresponds to $\left(I, J \otimes \delta^{-1}\right)$ under an isomorphism 27). If $J$ vanishes to order at least 2 at $u \in \mathbf{P} V$, then $I$ does not vanish at $u$.

Proof. Write $f=x^{*} \otimes F(x, y)+y^{*} \otimes G(x, y)$ in coordinates. Since $f$ defines a map of degree $n$, the polynomials $F$ and $G$ have no common factor. If $J$ vanishes to order at least 2 at $u$, then both partials of $J$ vanish to order at least 1 at $u$. Since at least one of $F$ or $G$ does not vanish at $u$, we see from Proposition 7.1 that $I$ cannot vanish at $u$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2 , which computes the equivariant orbit class of a rational map.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right)$ define a rational map $\mathbf{P} V \rightarrow \mathbf{P} V$ of degree $n$. Let $f$ correspond to $\left(I, J \otimes \delta^{-1}\right)$ under an isomorphism 27). We apply Theorem 1.3, taking $A=V(J)$ to be the set of fixed points of $\mathbf{P} V \rightarrow \mathbf{P} V$. We have $b=-1 /(n-1)$. By Proposition 7.2 for $u \in A$, the Newton polygon $\Lambda^{u}$ has two possible shapes (see Figure 3). If $u$ is a simple fixed point, then


Figure 3. $\Lambda^{u}$ for a simple fixed point $u$ (left) and a fixed point of order $j \geq 2$ (right)
its only vertex is

$$
\lambda^{u}(0)=\frac{1}{d_{2}}\left(r_{2}^{u}+b_{2}, b_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1}(0,-1) .
$$

In this case, $r_{\text {gen }}^{u}=1 /(n-1)$ and $r^{u}=0$ and $s^{u}=1$. If $u$ is a fixed point of order $j^{u} \geq 2$, then the vertices of $\Lambda^{u}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{u}(0)=\frac{1}{d_{2}}\left(r_{2}^{u}+b_{2}, b_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(j^{u}-1,-1\right) \text { and } \\
& \lambda^{u}(1)=\frac{1}{d_{1}}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}\right)=(0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, $r_{\text {gen }}^{u}=j^{u} /(n-1)$ and $r^{u}=0$ and $s^{u}=j^{u}$. In the notation of Theorem 1.3 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =2(n-1)\left(n v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3}+(n+1)\left(n v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-2}, \text { and } \\
G^{u} & =v_{1}^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3}-j^{u}(n-1)\left(n v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3}-j^{u}\left(n v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for a higher order fixed point $u$,

$$
H^{u}(1)=\left(j^{u}-1\right) v_{1}^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{-3} .
$$

Summing up and multiplying by $c_{N}(W)$ yields the class

$$
n(n+1)(n-1)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\left(j v_{1}+(n-1-j) v_{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left((j-1) v_{1}+(n-j) v_{2}\right)
$$

Note that $\mathbf{P} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right)$ is the quotient of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right)-0$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ acting by weight one. The central $\mathbf{G}_{m} \subset$ GL $V$ acts by weight $n-1$. Therefore, the weight one $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ equivariant class is obtained from the GL $V$-equivariant class by specialising to $v_{1}=v_{2}=1 /(n-1)$. The stabiliser group $\Gamma \subset$ GL $V$ in Theorem 1.3 and the stabiliser group $\bar{\Gamma} \subset$ PGL $V$ in Theorem 1.2 are related by the exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \mu_{n-1} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow 1
$$

So we must divide the class given by Theorem 1.3 by $(n-1)$. Specialising to $v_{1}=v_{2}=1 /(n-1)$ and dividing by $(n-1)$ gives $n(n+1)(n-1)$.

## Appendix A. Equivariant classes of torus orbits

Fix an algebraic torus $T=\mathbf{G}_{m}^{d}$. We compute $T$-equivariant fundamental classes of orbits in $T$-representations. Let $M=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$ be the character group of $M$ and set $N=\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbf{Z})$. Identify $A_{T}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(M_{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$.

Fix a $T$-representation $W$ and a $w \in W$. Write $W=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}$, where $W_{i}$ is one-dimensional on which $T$ acts by the character $\chi_{i} \in M$. Fix a non-zero $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in W$.

We recall the notion of equivariant multiplicity from 5]. Given a polyhedral rational pointed cone $\sigma \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$, denote by $\sigma^{\vee} \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$ the dual cone. Since $\sigma$ is pointed, $\sigma^{\vee}$ has non-empty interior. Given $\lambda$ in the interior of $\sigma^{\vee}$, let $P_{\sigma}(\lambda)$ be the convex polytope

$$
P_{\sigma}(\lambda)=\{x \in \sigma \mid\langle x, \lambda\rangle \leq 1\} .
$$

There exists a unique rational function $e_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{frac} \operatorname{Sym}\left(M_{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$ such that for every $\lambda$ in the interior of $\sigma^{\vee}$, we have

$$
e_{\sigma}(\lambda)=d!\cdot \operatorname{Vol} P_{\sigma}(\lambda)
$$

The function $e_{\sigma}$ is called the equivariant multiplicity associated to $\sigma$ (see [5, § 5.2]).
Let $\sigma \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ be the closed convex cone spanned by $\left\{\chi_{i} \mid w_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Let $\operatorname{Orb}(w) \subset W$ be the closure of the $T$-orbit of $w$ and $[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]$ its fundamental class in $A_{T}(W)=A_{T}$. Let $\Gamma \subset T$ be the stabiliser of $w$, and assume that it is finite.
Theorem A.1. In the setup above, if $\sigma$ contains a line, then $[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]=0$. Otherwise,

$$
|\Gamma| \cdot[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]=e_{\sigma} \cdot c_{n}(W)
$$

Proof. If $\sigma$ contains a line, then $0 \in W$ is not in $\operatorname{Orb}(w)$. As a result, the pull-back of $[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]$ to $A_{T}(0)$ vanishes. But the pull-back $A_{T}(W) \rightarrow A_{T}(0)$ is an isomorphism, so [ $\left.\operatorname{Orb}(w)\right]$ vanishes.

Assume that $\sigma$ contains no line, that is, it is pointed. Let $X$ be the affine toric variety

$$
X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[M \cap \sigma] .
$$

It is easy to check that the map $T \rightarrow W$ that sends $t \in T$ to $t \cdot w$ extends to a proper morphism $i: X \rightarrow W$, generically finite of degree $|\Gamma|$. Then $|\Gamma| \cdot[\operatorname{Orb}(w)]=i_{*}[X]$.

To compute the push-forward, we use localisation [5, § 4.2 Corollary]. Let $0_{X} \in X$ and $0_{W} \in W$ be the origins. Then we have

$$
[X]=e_{\sigma} \cdot\left[0_{X}\right]
$$

and hence

$$
i_{*}[X]=e_{\sigma} \cdot\left[0_{W}\right] .
$$

Since $\left[0_{W}\right]=c_{n}(W)[W] \in A_{T}(W)$, the theorem follows.
Example A.2. Let $T=\mathbf{G}_{m}^{3}$ act on $W=\mathbf{C}^{4}$ by the characters $(0,0,1),(0,1,1),(1,0,1)$, and $(1,1,1)$. Take $w=(1,1,1,1)$. Let $x, y, z$ be the standard basis vectors of $M=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$. Let $\sigma \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ be the cone spanned by the four characters. Given $\lambda=(a, b, c) \in \sigma^{\vee} \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$, we compute

$$
3!\cdot \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{\sigma}(a, b, c)\right)=\frac{1}{c(b+c)(a+b+c)}+\frac{1}{c(a+c)(a+b+c)}
$$

Since $a=\langle x, \lambda\rangle$ and $b=\langle y, \lambda\rangle$ and $c=\langle z, \lambda\rangle$, the equivariant multiplicity function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\sigma} & =\frac{1}{z(y+z)(x+y+z)}+\frac{1}{z(x+z)(x+y+z)} \\
& =\frac{x+y+2 z}{z(x+z)(y+z)(x+y+z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem A. 1 the equivariant fundamental class of $\operatorname{Orb}(w)$ is $x+y+2 z$. Indeed, in this case, $\operatorname{Orb}(w) \subset W$ is the quadric hypersurface cut out by $w_{1} w_{4}-w_{2} w_{3}$, a polynomial with character $(1,1,2)$.
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