

Wide Transition-State Ensemble as Key Component for Enzyme Catalysis

Reviewed Preprint

v2 • June 6, 2024

Revised by authors


Reviewed Preprint

v1 • November 24, 2023

Gabriel Ernesto Jara, Francesco Pontiggia, Renee Otten, Roman V. Agafonov, Marcelo A. Martí , Dorothee Kern 

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Analítica y Química-Física (INQUIMAE-CONICET) • Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Biochemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA • Departamento de Química Biológica (IQUIBICEN-CONICET), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 Copyright information

Abstract

Transition-state theory has provided the theoretical framework to explain the enormous rate accelerations of chemical reactions by enzymes. Given that proteins display large ensembles of conformations, unique transition states would pose a huge entropic bottleneck for enzyme catalysis. To shed light on this question, we studied the nature of the enzymatic transition state for the phosphoryl-transfer step in adenylate kinase by quantum-mechanics/molecular-mechanics calculations. We find a structurally wide set of energetically equivalent configurations that lie along the reaction coordinate and hence a broad transition-state ensemble (TSE). A conformationally delocalized ensemble, including asymmetric transition states, is rooted in the macroscopic nature of the enzyme. The computational results are buttressed by enzyme kinetics experiments that confirm the decrease of the entropy of activation predicted from such wide TSE. Transition-state ensembles as a key for efficient enzyme catalysis further boosts a unifying concept for protein folding and conformational transitions underlying protein function.

eLife assessment

In this potentially **important** study, the authors report results of QM/MM simulations and kinetic measurements for the phosphoryl-transfer step in adenylate kinase. The results point to the mechanistic proposal that the transition state ensemble is broader in the most efficient form of the enzyme (i.e., in the presence of Mg²⁺ in the active site) and thus a different activation entropy. With a broad set of computations and experimental analyses, the level of evidence is considered **solid** by some reviewers. On the other hand, there remain limitations in the computational analyses, especially regarding free energy profiles using different methodologies and the activation entropy, leading some reviewers to the evaluation that the level of evidence is **incomplete**.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.93099.2.sa3>

Introduction

Understanding the underlying physical mechanism of the impressive rate accelerations achieved by enzymes has been a central question in biology. Transition-state theory has provided the fundamental framework since the rate of a reaction is dictated by the free-energy difference between the ground and transition states (Truhlar, 2015 [↗](#)). A wealth of research has led to the general notion that enzymatic rate acceleration is due to the enzymes' much higher affinity to the transition state (TS) relative to its substrates. This idea has been supported experimentally by the high affinities measured for transition-state analogues (TSA) relative to substrates, leading to the design of TSA as high-affine enzyme inhibitors (Lienhard, 1973 [↗](#); Schramm, 2007 [↗](#)). Since the TS represents a maximum in the free-energy landscape, its structure cannot be determined directly due to its low probability and ephemeral nature, TSA-bound species have been extensively used as structural proxies.

The classic transition-state theory and structural visualization of enzyme/TSA complexes lead to a current view of quite unique structures on the dividing surface at the maximum in the free-energy landscape. In contrast, it is now generally accepted that proteins are large ensembles of conformations, a concept rooted in pioneering work by Frauenfelder and coworkers for protein function, in analogy to the ensemble/funnel concept of protein folding (Frauenfelder & Wolynes, 1985 [↗](#)). Consequently, enzyme-substrate (ES) complexes - experimentally accessible as minima in the free-energy landscape - are composed of a vast ensemble of molecular configurations due to their macromolecular nature. Hence, catalysis by pathing through exclusive TS's would pose a huge entropic bottleneck/energy barrier for enzyme-catalyzed reactions.

Motivated by this consideration, together with the marginal outcomes in current enzyme design relative to the catalytic power of naturally evolved enzymes, we set out to investigate the atomistic nature of transition states of the chemical step in an enzymatic cycle and its implication for rate acceleration by combining quantum-mechanics/molecular-mechanics (QM/MM) calculations and experiments. We take advantage of the groundbreaking work on TS theory by QM simulation developed in the field (Kamerlin et al., 2013 [↗](#); Masgrau & Truhlar, 2015 [↗](#); Warshel & Bora, 2016 [↗](#); Zinovjev & Tuñón, 2017 [↗](#)), well summarized in several reviews (Cui, 2016 [↗](#); Senn & Thiel, 2009 [↗](#); van der Kamp & Mulholland, 2013 [↗](#)). We chose a phosphoryl-transfer (P-transfer) reaction due to its impressive enzymatic rate acceleration, as the uncatalyzed reactions have extremely high energy barriers (Kerns et al., 2015 [↗](#); Lassila et al., 2011 [↗](#)). The chemical rationale for these high barriers and reasons as to why P-transfer reactions are ubiquitous in living organisms and are involved in almost all essential biological processes is elegantly discussed in a fundamental paper by Westheimer in 1987: "Why nature chose phosphates" (Westheimer, 1987 [↗](#)). The central role of enzyme-catalyzed P-transfer reactions in biology (i.e., genetic code, energy storage, signaling, and compartmentalization) has led to extensive discussions and controversies of how enzymes catalyze these vital reactions so efficiently (Allen & Dunaway-Mariano, 2016 [↗](#); Kamerlin et al., 2013 [↗](#); Kerns et al., 2015 [↗](#); Lassila et al., 2011 [↗](#); Pabis et al., 2016 [↗](#); Westheimer, 1987 [↗](#)). Here, we combine QM/MM calculations with experimental temperature- and pH-dependent kinetic studies and X-ray crystallography of adenylate kinase (Adk) to shed light on the question of transition-state structures for the chemical step in its catalytic cycle. While QM/MM methods have been extensively applied to study enzymatic reactions, yielding accurate and detailed descriptions of the molecular events during catalysis (Acevedo & Jorgensen, 2010 [↗](#); Cheng et al., 2005 [↗](#); Dinner et al., 2001 [↗](#); Hahn et al., 2015 [↗](#); Hirvonen et al., 2020 [↗](#); Karplus & Kuriyan, 2005 [↗](#); Lai & Cui, 2020a [↗](#); López-Canut et al., 2011 [↗](#); Mones et al., 2013 [↗](#); Palermo et al., 2015 [↗](#); Rosta et al., 2014 [↗](#); Roston et al., 2018 [↗](#); Roston & Cui, 2016 [↗](#); Schwartz & Schramm, 2009 [↗](#); Senn & Thiel, 2009 [↗](#); Turjanski et al., 2009 [↗](#)), we test

here the reaction free-energy profiles from simulations subsequently by designed experiments. We deliver a revised notion of transition-state stabilization by the enzyme through our discovery of a structurally broad transition-state ensemble (TSE).

Results

Adk is an extensively studied phosphotransferase that catalyzes the reversible, roughly isoenergetic conversion of two ADP molecules into ATP and AMP (**Figure 1a,b**), thereby maintaining the cellular concentrations of these nucleotides (Dzeja & Terzic, 2009). It is an essential enzyme found in every cell and organism. Extensive structural, dynamic and kinetic studies led to a comprehensive picture of the overall Adk reaction mechanism and its underlying energy landscape (**Figure 1a**) (Beckstein et al., 2009; Berry et al., 1994, 2006; Henzler-Wildman et al., 2007; Kerns et al., 2015; Müller et al., 1996; Müller & Schulz, 1992; Wolf-Watz et al., 2004). The conformational change of the AMP- and ATP-lids closing and opening is crucial in the enzymatic cycle: Lid-closing positions the two substrates and the active-site residues for efficient chemistry (i.e., P-transfer) and prohibits the alternative, energetically favorable reaction of phosphoryl hydrolysis (Kerns et al., 2015). Lid-opening, essential for product release, and not P-transfer is the rate-limiting step in the catalytic cycle and Mg^{2+} accelerates both steps (Kerns et al., 2015). Here we investigate the actual P-transfer step computationally, followed by experimental testing of our computational results. This chemical step would take about 7000 years without the enzyme (Stockbridge & Wolfenden, 2009) compared to > than $5,000\text{ s}^{-1}$ with the enzyme (Kerns et al., 2015).

QM/MM calculations of the P-transfer step in Adk

In order to shed light on the mechanism by which Adk from *Aquifex aeolicus* catalyzes the phosphoryl-transfer reaction by more than 12 orders of magnitude (Kerns et al., 2015), we performed QM/MM simulations starting with two ADP molecules and Mg^{2+} bound in the active site. The starting structures for the simulations were prepared using the X-ray structure of Adk in complex with Ap5A (P1,P5-Di(adenosine-5') pentaphosphate) and coordinated to Zn^{2+} (2RGX (Henzler-Wildman et al., 2007)). ADP-ADP coordinates were built using Ap5A as a template and Zn^{2+} was replaced by Mg^{2+} . For the QM/MM simulations, the QM region was defined as the diphosphate moiety of both ADP molecules, the Mg^{2+} ion, plus the four coordinating water molecules. The rest of the system was described at molecular mechanics level using the AMBER ff99sb force field (Hornak et al., 2006) and was solvated with TIP3P water molecules (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1).

The equilibrated starting structures agree well with the X-ray structures of the enzyme bound to Mg^{2+} /ADP (4CF7 (Kerns et al., 2015) Figure 1 – supplement 2). Steered molecular dynamics simulations were run in both the forward (ADP/ADP to ATP/AMP) and reverse direction (ATP/AMP to ADP/ADP) with Mg^{2+} present in the active site (Figure 1 – supplement 3). Since it is unknown whether the fully charged or monoprotonated nucleotide (on one β -ADP oxygen) state is the more reactive configuration, we performed QM/MM simulations for both cases. Free-energy profiles of the P-transfer were determined using Multiple Steered Molecular Dynamics and Jarzynski's Relationship (Crespo et al., 2005; Jarzynski, 1997; Ramírez et al., 2014) (**Figure 1c**, and Figures 1 – supplements 3-5). The results reveal a much smaller free energy of activation for the fully charged nucleotide state ($\Delta_F G^\ddagger$ of $13 \pm 0.9\text{ kcal/mol}$) relative to monoprotonated state ($\Delta_F G^\ddagger$ of $23 \pm 0.9\text{ kcal/mol}$) (**Table 1**); hence, we conclude that this is the most reactive enzyme configuration.




Figure 1

Investigation of the chemical step of phosphoryl transfer by QM/MM calculations in the enzymatic reaction of Adk.

a) Complete reaction scheme with corresponding illustrative free-energy landscape highlighting the chemical phosphoryl-transfer step (modified from ref. (Kerns et al., 2015)). Protein structures shown are apo Adk in the open conformation and Adk in the closed conformation with two bound ADP molecules and one Mg²⁺ atom (active-site arginine side chains are shown in stick representation). **b**) Phosphoryl-transfer step is drawn with corresponding distances used to define the reaction coordinate as used in panels d,e. **c**) Free-energy profiles for the Adk catalyzed interconversion of ADP-ADP into ATP-AMP in the absence (blue) and presence of Mg²⁺ (orange) from QM/MM calculations. AD(T)P is fully charged for the reaction with Mg²⁺, and singly protonated on one ADP β-oxygen for reaction without Mg²⁺. The reaction coordinate is defined as the difference between the distance of the leaving oxygen to the transferring phosphorus d(O_{leaving}-P) and the distance of the attacking oxygen to the transferring phosphorus d(O_{attacking}-P). **d,e**) Distance between acceptor and leaving oxygens along the reaction coordinate in the presence (e) and in the absence (d) of Mg²⁺. The transition state regions in c-e are highlighted in orange and grey, respectively.

	with Mg ²⁺	without Mg ²⁺	with Mg ²⁺ , ADP monoprotinated
ΔG°	-6 (1.7)	+4 (2.5)	+6 (1.9)
$\Delta_f G^\ddagger$	13 (0.9)	34 (1.6)	23 (0.9)
$\Delta_b G^\ddagger$	20 (0.8)	30 (0.9)	18 (0.9)
$\xi(\text{TS})$	-0.5 – 0.7	-0.2 – 0	-0.3 – -0.1
$\zeta(\text{TS})$	160 – 200	165-180	170-180

Table 1.

Free-energy profile estimates of the free-energy parameters of the reaction, using SCC-DFTB (all values in kcal/mol).

ΔG° : Overall reaction free-energy; $\Delta_f G^\ddagger$: Activation free-energy of the forward reaction. $\Delta_b G^\ddagger$: Activation free-energy of the backward reaction. $\xi(\text{TS})$ is the range of the reaction coordinate in the TSE (in Å); $\zeta(\text{TS})$ is the improper dihedral angle of the transferring phosphate in the TS. The estimated errors of the free energies are in parenthesis and are computed as described in materials and methods.

When the calculations were repeated in the absence of Mg^{2+} (with the nucleotide monoprotonated, since the fully-charged nucleotide state prohibited the reaction), a large increase in the free energy activation barrier was observed relative to the Mg^{2+} bound system (**Figure 1c** and Figure 1 – supplement 5, $\Delta_f G^\ddagger$ of 34 ± 1.6 kcal/mol), in agreement with expectations from experiments (Kerns et al., 2015). We note that only a lower limit for the overall acceleration by Mg^{2+} ($>10^5$ -fold) could be estimated from published results (Kerns et al., 2015), since the P-transfer was too fast to be measured experimentally in the presence of Mg^{2+} .

During revision, we tested the accuracy and robustness of our results from our original QM/MM level of theory by employing higher level pure DFT (PBE) free energy calculations similar to the approaches used by Ganguly et al. (Ganguly et al., 2020) (Figure 1 – supplement 6). The results are in excellent agreement with the free energy profiles obtained before (**Figures 1c,e** and **Figures 2a,c**) thus further supporting the computational results.

The Transition-State Ensemble (TSE) – transferring phosphoryl group delocalized

The nature of the transition state of enzyme-catalyzed P-transfer reaction with respect to its associative and dissociative character has been of significant interest and heated debate (Kamerlin et al., 2013; Kamerlin & Wilkie, 2007; Lassila et al., 2011; Roston & Cui, 2016). The definition as well as theoretical and experimental approaches to distinguish between them are rooted in elegant and fundamental work on *nonenzymatic* P-transfer reactions (Duarte et al., 2015; Hengge, 2002; Hou et al., 2012; Hou & Cui, 2012; Kamerlin et al., 2013; Kerns et al., 2015; Kirby & Nome, 2015; Stockbridge & Wolfenden, 2009). For Adk, a concerted mechanism is observed with no intermediates (**Figures 1c** and **2**). Instead of using the classification of associative versus dissociative character (Lassila et al., 2011), we rather apply the clear definition by Cui and coworkers of a tight versus loose TS for concerted P-transfer reactions as they are unambiguously related to the bond-order in the transition state (Lai & Cui, 2020a, 2020b; Roston et al., 2018; Roston & Cui, 2016). For Adk, a contraction of the active site is observed while passing through the TSE, as captured by the decrease in the distance between acceptor and leaving group during the transition (**Figures 1d,e**). In the absence of Mg^{2+} , the acceptor and donor need to be within 4 Å for the reaction to occur (**Figure 1d**) whereas in the presence of Mg^{2+} an acceptor-donor distance of 4.5 Å is sufficient (**Figure 1e**). The character of the TSE can best be seen from the widely used Moore-O’Ferrall-Jencks diagram (Jencks, 2002; O’Ferrall, 1970) that plots the distance from the transferring phosphate to the oxygen of the donor and acceptor, respectively (**Figure 2**). The TSE changes from tight/synchronous without Mg^{2+} (meaning high bond-order for both bonds between transferring phosphate to the leaving group and the attacking nucleophile) into loose with Mg^{2+} (lower bond-order for these two bonds; **Figures 2** and **3**) (Lai & Cui, 2020a, 2020b; Roston et al., 2018; Roston & Cui, 2016).

Importantly, from these two-dimensional (**Figure 2**) and the one-dimensional (**Figure 1c**) free energy plots, we noticed a novel striking feature: a large ensemble of conformations in the TS region with vast differences in the position of the transferring phosphate but equal values in free energy for the enzyme with Mg^{2+} (light blue dots in **Figure 2a**, yellow area in **Figure 1c**). In other words, the enzyme seems to operate with a wide TSE. In contrast, without Mg^{2+} fewer conformations seem to comprise the TSE. To dive more into this unexpected computational result and better visualize the TSE, we show zoom-ins of representative TSE snapshots and their superposition (**Figure 3** and Figure 3 – supplement 1). Notably, the TSE conformations are distributed along the reaction coordinate. The difference in position of the transferring phosphate along the reaction coordinate within the TSE (about 1 Å with Mg^{2+} ; **Figures 1c**, **2a**, and **3b**) implies that the TSE contains many highly asymmetric conformations, meaning that the




Figure 2

Mechanism of phosphoryl transfer.

Diagram of Moore-O’Ferrall-Jencks (Jencks, 2002 [\[1\]](#); O’Ferrall, 1970 [\[2\]](#)) from the QM/MM simulations, plotting the two P-O distances involved in the P-transfer for the reaction **a,c**) with Mg^{2+} and **b,d**) without Mg^{2+} (P_T for transferring phosphate). The theoretical transition pathways for a tight, synchronous, and loose transition state are shown in c,d (as defined in ref. Roston et al. (Roston & Cui, 2016 [\[3\]](#))).




Figure 3

Broad transition-state ensemble (TSE) in fully active enzyme.

a) Representative snapshots for structure of reactants, transition states, and products in the Adk active site in the presence and absence of magnesium. $d(O_{\text{attacking}}-P)$ and $d(O_{\text{leaving}}-P)$ are shown. Labels in black indicate the length of the bonds involved in the phosphate transfer and in green, the dihedral angle of the phosphoryl group. **b,c)** Superposition of the transition-state ensembles reveals a wider TSE with Mg^{2+} (b) relative to the one without the cation (c) with mean rmsd (\pm s.d.) of distances of the central P atom from its "average" position of 0.30 ± 0.11 Å (b) and 0.13 ± 0.06 Å (c). The TSE's are superimposed with the X-ray structure solved with a transition-state analogue in green (AMP, AlF_4^- and ADP) reported in ref. (Kerns et al., 2015) (PDBID: 3SR0). **d)** Superposition of two extreme structures out of the large TSE for the enzyme with Mg^{2+} , one where the phosphoryl group is closest to the donor oxygen (blue) and the other closest to the acceptor oxygen (red) highlighting the asymmetric character of TSE members. **e, f)** superposition of most symmetric snapshot from TSE of QM/MM calculations (ball and stick representation in cyan) with the X-ray structure of transition-state analogue (green, PDBID: 3SR0 (Kerns et al., 2015)) including coordinating water molecules in f). **g)** zoom into the active site to display the broad TSE in the presence of Mg^{2+} aided by flexible Arg and Lys side chains in the active site.

transferring phosphate can be much closer to the leaving oxygen than the attacking oxygen and vice versa (**Figure 3d** [↗](#)). This asymmetry is logically tied with nonplanar configurations of the transferring phosphate (**Figure 3d** [↗](#) and **Table 1** [↗](#)).

Comparison of the TSE snapshots for the fully active, Mg^{2+} -bound enzyme obtained from QM/MM with an X-ray structure of the same enzyme in complex with the transition-state analogue ($\text{ADP-Mg}^{2+}\text{-AlF}_4\text{-AMP}$) (Kerns et al., 2015 [↗](#)) serves as an initial experimental validation of our simulations (**Figures 3e,f** [↗](#) and Figure 3 – supplement 2). At the same time, this comparison highlights the power of the QM/MM simulations to investigate the catalytic mechanism, as the TSA-bound X-ray structure seems to imply quite a unique TS conformation, in sharp contrast to the broad TSE discovered in our simulations.

A detailed analysis of the pathways with and without Mg^{2+} reveals well-known features from other P-transfer enzymes, such as coordinated changes of the Mulliken charge populations coupled with proton transfers (**Figure 3** [↗](#) – supplements 3-5). Mg^{2+} achieves its catalytic effect on the chemical step playing several roles. It enables the donor and acceptor phosphates to come close enough to react, thus achieving a reactive conformation. In the absence of Mg^{2+} , a proton must bridge the terminal phosphates to prevent the strong electrostatic repulsion between the two nucleotides. In addition, Mg^{2+} stabilizes the charge of the transferring phosphate and thereby lowering the enthalpic barrier. Most interestingly, the presence of a cation seems to result in a wide TSE. Structures of the transition-state ensemble show that Mg^{2+} is optimally coordinated to the transferring phosphates and water molecules (**Figure 3g** [↗](#)).

Besides the multifaceted role of Mg^{2+} , our simulations provide insights into the function of the fully-conserved arginine residues in the active site for lowering the activation barrier for this chemical reaction (Figure 3 – supplements 6-8). R85 appears to arrange the β -phosphates of the ADPs in the proper position for the reaction to be started. R150 and R161 are involved in anchoring ATP and AMP residues in the backward reaction. These last two residues and R85 interact with the P α of AMP, stabilizing its negative charge. R36 is near to the α -phosphate of AD(M)P along the entire catalytic reaction, stabilizing both the transition state and products. Overall, interactions between these arginine side chains and several backbone amides and the Mg^{2+} with the phosphates of the substrate make up a well-organized, asymmetric active site enabling efficient reversible P-transfer with a wide TSE (**Figure 3g** [↗](#)).

Umbrella sampling and committor analysis

buttress wide TSE for Adk with Mg^{2+}

To verify our computational finding of a wide TSE in the fully assembled enzyme with Mg^{2+} in contrast to a narrower TSE without a divalent metal, we performed QM/MM umbrella sampling and a committor analysis as described in methods. The umbrella sampling (**Figure 4a** [↗](#)) yields very similar qualitative results to our original QM/MM simulations (**Figure 1c** [↗](#)), however the free energies of activation are more reliable from umbrellas sampling. Notably, the calculated difference in activation barriers with and without Mg from these umbrella simulations of about 9.5 kcal/mol are in good agreement with the experimentally determined ones of ≥ 11 kcal/mol, (P-transfer with Mg is $> 500 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Kerns et al., 2015 [↗](#)) compared to $7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured here).

We also would like to discuss the comparison of the calculated free energy differences between the reactants (ADP/ADP/Mg^{2+}) and products (ATP/AMP/Mg^{2+}) to the experimentally determined free energy differences. As we found in our QM/MM simulations, for the monoprotonated state, ADP/ADP is much more stable than ATP/AMP (see Figure 1 – supplement 4, about 8 kcal/mol), while for the nonprotonated state the equilibrium is flipped (**Figures 1c** [↗](#) and **4a** [↗](#)). Experimentally, we determined the equilibrium of about -1 kcal/mol for ADP/ADP relative to ATP/AMP with Mg (Kerns et al., 2015 [↗](#)) at a pH of 7. With a pK_a of about 7.2 for ADP, under these experimental




Figure 4

**Computational testing of wider TSE for P-transfer step
in Adk in the presence of Mg^{2+} versus no divalent metal.**

a) Free energy profiles obtained by Umbrella Sampling for reactions with and without Mg^{2+} . **b)** Commitment plot with the commitment probability to products (ATP+AMP) for the reaction with and without Mg^{2+} .

conditions more than 50% is in the monoprotonated state. Consequently, our QM/MM and umbrella simulations roughly recapitulate the ensemble equilibrium of substrates/products measured at pH 7.

A consequent comparative commitment analysis that calculates the probability of reaching either reactants or products displays a very shallow change along the reaction coordinate in the presence of Mg^{2+} , further corroborating a wide TSE for the P-transfer step in the fully active enzyme, in stark contrast to a narrow and steep change in the committers indicative of a narrower TSE without Mg^{2+} (**Figure 4b** [↗](#)).

Experimental characterization of the activation parameters of the P-transfer step

We felt the need to additionally experimentally test our major new finding from the QM/MM simulations: a delocalized TSE for the fully active enzyme. This feature would result in a lowering of the entropic barrier for the chemical step, thereby contributing to the enzyme-catalyzed rate enhancement. To avoid the issues of accuracy of QM/MM simulations that are well documented ([Acevedo & Jorgensen, 2010](#) [↗](#); [Elstner, 2007](#) [↗](#); [Gaus et al., 2014](#) [↗](#); [Roston et al., 2018](#) [↗](#)), our system has the advantage of comparing the exact same reaction coordinate with the only difference being the presence/absence of a single atom, Mg^{2+} , thereby studying differences rather than absolute values. Since the reaction with Mg^{2+} (fully active enzyme) revealed a more pronounced delocalization than the reaction in its absence, the divalent cation is predicted to lower the activation entropy. To test this prediction, we experimentally determined the enthalpic and entropic contributions to the chemical reaction barrier in Adk by measuring its temperature dependence in the presence and absence of Ca^{2+} . The experimental trick of replacing Mg^{2+} with Ca^{2+} was used since the chemical step with Mg^{2+} is too fast to be experimentally measured, and previous studies showed that Ca^{2+} is an appropriate mimic to selectively probe the chemical step ([Kerns et al., 2015](#) [↗](#)).

The resulting Eyring plots for the two experiments (**Figure 5a** [↗](#)) deliver the enthalpic (ΔH^\ddagger of 15.3 ± 0.5 and 16.7 ± 1 kcal/mol) and entropic ($\Delta S^\ddagger = -5.7 \pm 1.6$ and -19.1 ± 3.1 cal/mol/K) contributions to the chemical reaction barrier in the presence and absence of Ca^{2+} , respectively. The presence of Ca^{2+} reduces both barriers considerably. The decrease in the enthalpic barrier by Ca^{2+} , which is even more pronounced with the optimal Mg^{2+} ion, is not new and has been well-established in the literature for P-transfer reactions, including the Adk chemical reaction ([Kerns et al., 2015](#) [↗](#); [Pérez-Gallegos et al., 2017](#) [↗](#); [Rosta et al., 2014](#) [↗](#); [L. Yang et al., 2012](#) [↗](#)). The striking new result, central to this work, is the large decrease in the entropic barrier in the presence of a divalent cation, thereby strongly supporting our key finding of a broader TSE from the QM/MM simulations.

We were able to further test our QM/MM simulations with a second designed set of experiments by measuring the pH dependence of the P-transfer step. We find that the reaction rate increases with higher pH in the presence of Ca^{2+} , whereas without a metal the opposite trend is observed (**Figure 5b** [↗](#)). These experimental results match the simulation results: the fully-charged nucleotides state was the most reactive in the presence of the metal, whereas the monoprotonated state had a much higher free-energy barrier. In contrast, without metal only the monoprotonated nucleotides were reactive. Notably, at low pH (pH 5), the P-transfer step becomes rate limiting even with Mg^{2+} , allowing for an estimate of the rate enhancement of Mg^{2+} versus Ca^{2+} of 24-fold.

Finally, we measured the forward and backward reaction rate constants of several arginine mutants that were identified as important for the P-transfer from the QM/MM simulations: R36K, R85K, R124K, R150K, and R161K (**Table 2** [↗](#)). The large decrease in the catalytic rate for each single Arg to Lys mutation further highlights the notion of a highly-choreographed active site for a well-coordinated P-transfer (**Figure 3g** [↗](#)), in which arginine residues that are not even directly coordinated to the transferring phosphate play an equally important role.




Figure 5

Experimental testing of the computational findings.

a,b) Turnover rate constants that represent the chemical step (k_{chem}) under these conditions were measured with 8 mM ADP/ Mg^{2+} by HPLC detection of build-up of ATP and AMP. **a)** Temperature dependence of the phosphoryl transfer step measured in presence of calcium and in absence of divalent any cations, plotted as Eyring plots. Fits to the Eyring equation (dashed lines) result in $\Delta H^\ddagger = 16.7 \pm 1 \text{ kcal/mol}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = -19.1 \pm 3.1 \text{ cal/mol/K}$ without metal, and $\Delta H^\ddagger = 15.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = -5.7 \pm 1.6 \text{ cal/mol/K}$ with Ca^{2+} . **b)** pH dependence of k_{chem} measured in the presence of calcium and in absence of any divalent cation.

AAdk mutant	rate constant (s^{-1})	rate constant (s^{-1})
	2 ADP \rightarrow ATP + AMP	ATP + AMP \rightarrow 2 ADP
R124K	4.6 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.4
R150K	1.3 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.3
R161K	0.4 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.2
R85K	0.3 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.2
R36K	0.8 ± 0.2	14 ± 2

Table 2.

Experimentally determined observed rate constants of the forward and backward chemical reactions for mutant forms of Adk in the presence of Mg^{2+} .

Note that the corresponding rate constants for the phosphoryl transfer in the wild-type protein are too fast to be directly measured and have been estimated to be more than three orders of magnitude faster than in the mutants (Kerns et al., 2015).

Discussion

Adk is an impressively efficient enzyme, being able to accelerate the P-transfer reaction over a billion times. Previous work showed the complexity of the Adk free-energy landscape for this two-substrate, two-product reaction (**Figure 1a**), providing direct experimental evidence for the widely accepted concept of a multidimension free energy landscape with multiple intermediates and transitions states for the full enzymatic cycle, already described and demonstrated by Benkovic and Hammes-Schiffer (Benkovic et al., 2008). Full lid-closure results in an excellent preorientation of the donor and acceptor groups of the two bound nucleotides and the aiding active site residues in the enzyme/substrate complex, in agreement with the general view of necessary pre-organization in enzyme catalysis. Lid-opening had been identified as the rate-limiting step in the enzymatic cycle (Kerns et al., 2015), and the corresponding TSE for this conformational change (Stiller et al., 2019) and the high-resolution structure of next intermediate, the EP-complex before product dissociation, recently been described (Stiller et al., 2022).

For a comprehensive understanding of the complete free-energy landscape of Adk catalysis, we here investigate the key step, catalyzing the actual chemical step of P-transfer. While ES and EP complexes such as Adk bound to ADP/Mg²⁺ can be structurally characterized by traditional experimental methods as they represent a minimum in the free-energy landscape, the reaction path from substrate to product involving breaking and forming covalent bonds (chemical step) and traversing the crucial transition state can only be “visualized” by Quantum mechanics based molecular simulations. The power of such simulations to examine the chemical steps in enzymes, including P-transfer reactions, has been extensively documented (Jin et al., 2017; Lai & Cui, 2020b; Mokrushina et al., 2020; Pérez-Gallegos et al., 2015, 2017; Roston & Cui, 2016; Valiev et al., 2007). The focus in the literature has been on the comparison of the enzyme-catalyzed and uncatalyzed reaction with respect to the bond character at the transitions state (i.e., associate versus dissociative or tight versus loose) (Admiraal & Herschlag, 1995; Hengge, 2002; Kamerlin et al., 2013; Lassila et al., 2011). Here, we uncover a central new result that provokes a modified transition-state theory. Enzymes, due to their macromolecular nature, provide a fundamentally different, advantageous way to catalyze these chemical reactions compared to the uncatalyzed reaction by employing a broad TSE. Many different molecular configurations can be accommodated in the transition-state region with comparable energies via collective motions, spanning a 1 Å range along the reaction coordinate for the transferring phosphate that travels a total distance of only 2 Å during the P-transfer. This features resemblance the now well-established conformational sampling of proteins in ground states such as ES complexes. Our findings explain why enzymes do not face an entropic bottleneck for catalysis. Furthermore, as enzyme active sites are asymmetric in contrast to the symmetric nature of the solvent for uncatalyzed reactions, we find that the TSE comprises also highly asymmetric conformations. Our findings help resolve the controversy about the nature of the transition state in enzyme-catalysed P-transfer reactions between theory and experiments (Lassila et al., 2011). The complex nature of the active site of enzymes, in contrast to simple solvent, results in different mechanisms in the enzyme catalyzed reaction.

We note that a previous QM/MM minimum energy path calculations for a different Adk enzyme, *E.coli* Adk, using a semiempirical method had proposed a different mechanism with a stable metaphosphate intermediate, reporting an even lower energy for this metaphosphate intermediate than the ES- and EP-complexes (Shibanuma et al., 2020). In complex systems, such as enzymes, it is possible to observe artificial local minima when using minimum energy path searching strategies due to inadequate sampling (Mendieta-Moreno et al., 2015; Quesne et al., 2016). From our experimental NMR and x-ray data we know that such a stable metaphosphate

does not exist in Adk-catalyzed reactions, highlighting the importance of experimental verification of simulations as performed here, and the use of extensive sampling with proper thermodynamic treatment.

More recently, another group performed QM/MM simulations for *E.coli* Adk using the semiempirical AM1/d-phoT method and umbrella sampling, and measure and compute rate reduction in the chemical step by similar Arg mutants as reported here (Ojeda-May et al., 2021 [↗](#) and Dulko-Smith et al., 2023). In contrast to the paper described above (Shibanuma et al., 2020 [↗](#)), their results are in agreement with our data. First, the experimentally measured rate reductions in (Ojeda-May et al., 2021 [↗](#) and Dulko-Smith et al., 2023) are in full agreement with our results. Second, our activation barriers from umbrella sampling are qualitatively similar to their values, with the obvious differences in the enzyme species (we study the thermophilic Aquifex Adk), and their choice of analyzing the QM/MM simulations in the opposite direction ATP/AMP to 2 ADP molecules. The latter lead them to singly protonate one oxygen on ATP, since this “back reaction” is faster for the mono-protonated state, in agreement with our simulations. This nice agreement in both experiments and computation by our two groups strengthens the validity of reported results.

Intriguingly, the Nam/Wolf-Watz team (Ojeda-May et al., 2021 [↗](#) and Dulko-Smith et al., 2023) and our group focus on completely different interpretations of the data! Nam/Wolf-Watz propose an interconnection, synchronization, between the chemical step and lid-opening. This language can be misleading since it can imply that the chemical step directly effects the opening, which of course cannot be the case. There is no “memory” of the chemical step, the energy from the closing/opening step is dissipated within picoseconds. Lid-opening/closing is an energetically fully independent step from the P-transfer step, see our **Figure 1a** [↗](#). We agree with the obvious result/interpretation by the authors that the Arg residues in the active site are important for both the P-transfer and the consequent lid opening, but there is no synchronization between these independent steps. In contrast, we discover here a fundamental concept for rate enhancement by an optimal enzyme, the reduction in the activation entropy by a wide TSE. New experiments were triggered by our finding that then delivered experimental validation of this concept.

A wide TSE agrees with the definition of the TS being a surface on the potential energy surface instead of a saddle as elegantly discussed in a theoretical paper by Nussinov and colleagues (Ma et al., 2000 [↗](#)). Our results deliver concrete evidence for this fundamental concept from QM/MM calculations and commitment analysis on Adk that were further buttressed by the consequently designed experiments. Although we have only quantitatively demonstrated this TSE concept here for Adk catalyzed P-transfer, we hypothesize such mechanism to be more general for enzyme catalysis as it is rooted in the macroscopic nature of proteins. A conformationally spread-out TSE is likely one reason why enzymes are much bigger than a few active-site residues: On one hand residues remote from the site of the chemical action allow for conformational flexibility without the protein unfolding, on the other hand they allow for increasing the probability of highly-choreographed motions along the reaction coordinate (Hammes-Schiffer & Benkovic, 2006 [↗](#); Klinman & Kohen, 2013 [↗](#); Saen-Oon et al., 2008 [↗](#); Schramm & Schwartz, 2018 [↗](#)) while reducing excursions into unproductive conformations (Otten et al., 2020 [↗](#)). The latter mechanism is directly demonstrated here in the TSE, as the configurations seen in the simulations are along the P-transfer reaction coordinate. We note that we focus here on equilibrium effects and an atomistic description of the TSE, this is not related to the fundamental work on dynamical effects manifested in transmission coefficients due to barrier recrossing (Antonioni & Schwartz, 2016 [↗](#); Zinovjev & Tuñón, 2017 [↗](#)). The latter has been quantitatively demonstrated to play a minor role.

A question often being asked is why would nature evolve a conformational step to be rate limiting, as the lid-opening step for Adk? We propose that this is the “price” the enzyme pays for stabilizing the ES complex to enable efficient rate acceleration of the chemical step, and for suppressing detrimental alternate reactions such as phosphate hydrolysis. Release of product requires disassembly of such closed, low-energy structure. These principles have now been directly

revealed on an atomic scale for Adk: extensive electrostatic interactions of five Arg and one Lys with the phosphoryl groups to efficiently accelerate the P-transfer step, which then need to be broken for lid opening to allow product release. The effect of different Arg mutations on the lid opening has been recently nicely demonstrated (Ojeda-May et al., 2021 [DOI](#) and Dulko-Smith et al., 2023).

As long as the rate of this conformational transition (k_{open}) and hence k_{cat} is not limiting for organismal fitness, there is no evolutionary pressure to further enhance it.

The importance of TSE including multiple transition pathways for protein folding (Frauenfelder et al., 2006 [DOI](#); Royer, 2008 [DOI](#)), and more recently for protein conformational transitions within the folded state (Pontiggia et al., 2015 [DOI](#); Stiller et al., 2019 [DOI](#); Tsai & Nussinov, 2014 [DOI](#)) has been well documented. TSE in enzyme catalysis of chemical steps where covalent bonds are broken and formed as demonstrated here further promotes a unifying concept of protein folding and function, as the same principal concept of TSE is seen for these different types of conformational changes. Consequently, protein folding and function is embedded in a unifying energy landscape which is simply altered by ligands and solvent.

Materials and Methods

Computational Set up of the system

The crystal structure of adenylate kinase from *Aquifex aeolicus* in complex with Ap5A coordinated to Zn^{2+} (PDB ID 2RGX (Henzler-Wildman et al., 2007 [DOI](#))) was used as model. The Zn^{2+} ion was replaced by Mg^{2+} and the ADPs structures were built by modifying the structure of Ap5A, keeping the crystallographic coordination of the cation (2 oxygens from ADPs and 4 waters). For the simulation in low pH and without the magnesium ion, the nucleophilic oxygen of AD(T)P was protonated. Standard protonation states were assigned to all titratable residues (aspartate and glutamate residues are negatively charged, lysines and arginines residues are positively charged). Histidine protonation was assigned favoring formation of hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure. Each protein was immersed in a truncated octahedral box of TIP3P water (Jorgensen et al., 1983 [DOI](#)) and neutralized with Na^+ ions (3 to 5 ions, depending on the system).

Classical Simulation Parameters

The parameters used for all protein residues were those corresponding to AMBER force field ff99sb (Hornak et al., 2006 [DOI](#)). For the non-protein residues, such as ADP and Mg^{2+} , parameters were taken from refs. Meagher et al. (Meagher et al., 2003 [DOI](#)) and Allnér et al. (Allnér et al., 2012 [DOI](#)). All simulations were performed with periodic boundary conditions. Pressure and temperature were kept constant with the Berendsen barostat and thermostat, respectively (Berendsen et al., 1984 [DOI](#)). The SHAKE algorithm was used to keep Hydrogen atoms at their equilibrium bond distances, and a 2 fs time step was employed, except for the system without Mg^{2+} for which it was set to 1 fs. The ADP-ADP system was initially subjected to a classical equilibration protocol, followed by QM/MM equilibration and production simulations. Starting from the classically equilibrated ADP-ADP, the mono-protonated systems with ADPH-ADP was built, by adding the H^+ and removing a Na^+ from the solvent, and subsequently the system without Mg^{2+} was built by removing the ion and adding two Na^+ to the solvent. Each of these systems was again equilibrated classically and then used for forward QM/MM simulations.

The backward simulations were equilibrated just using QM/MM simulations because the starting point was the product of the forward SMD simulation with the lowest work at product RC. Classical equilibration followed consisted of: first, 2000 steps of conjugate gradient optimization, followed by 100ps MD simulation at NVT condition, where the system's temperature was slowly raised to 300 K; The third step consisted of 100ps MD simulation at NPT conditions to equilibrate the

system's density. During the temperature and density equilibration process, the protein α -carbon atoms were restrained by a 1 kcal/mol harmonic potential. Finally, non-restrained classical simulation was performed at least for 1 ns at NPT conditions to obtain an equilibrated structure to be used as the QM/MM input.

QM/MM simulations were performed using a 1 fs timestep without SHAKE. To equilibrate the system with the QM/MM hamiltonian, we performed first a conjugate gradient QM/MM optimization, followed by a 50 ps QM/MM MD equilibration process at NVT condition, subsequently each production simulation was performed at NVT condition (at least 100 ps saving coordinates every 1 ps). QM/MM calculations were performed using the additive scheme implemented in the sander module of the AMBER program suite (Case et al., 2005). The quantum (QM) region was treated with the self-consistent charge-density functional tight-binding (SCC-DFTB) approach (Elstner, 2007), usually considered a semi-empirical QM method, as implemented in Sander (Seabra et al., 2007; Walker et al., 2008). The SCC-DFTB parameter set involving carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, phosphate, and magnesium was employed throughout this study (Cai et al., 2007; Y. Yang et al., 2008). All the other atoms were described with AMBER ff99SB force field (Hornak et al., 2006). The interface between the QM and MM portions of the system was treated with the scaled position-link atom method. The electrostatic interactions between the QM and MM regions were treated using electrostatic embedding using a simple mulliken charge - RESP charge interaction. In the case of the van der Waals interactions, these were calculated classically.

The QM region of the complete system consists of the diphosphate moiety of both ADP molecules, one Mg^{2+} ion, and the four water molecules that coordinate the metal ion in the crystal structure (Figure 1 – supplement 1).

Free energy determination strategy using MSMD and Jarzynski's equation

The free-energy profiles were constructed by performing constant velocity multiple steered molecular dynamics (MSMD) simulations (i.e., stiff string approximation), and using Jarzynski's equality (Jarzynski, 1997), which relates equilibrium free-energy values with the irreversible work performed over the system along a user defined reaction coordinate that drives the system from reactants to products. In the present study, the reaction coordinate (ξ) was chosen as:

$$\xi = d(O_{leaving}-P) - d(O_{attacking}-P) \quad (1)$$

Calculations were performed using a force constant of 300 kcal/mol/Å and pulling velocities of 0.05 Å ps⁻¹. To reconstruct the free-energy profile of the phosphate transfer reaction, two sets of at least 10 SMD runs were performed starting from equilibrated QM/MM MD structures corresponding to either the system in 1) the Adk/ADP-ADP state (presently defined as forward reaction), and 2) the Adk/ATP-AMP state (backward reaction). Each set of work profiles was used to obtain the corresponding forward and back free-energy profiles with Jarzynski's equality (Jarzynski, 1997), and finally the two profiles were combined to obtain the complete curve. Since when using MSMD in combination with Jarzynski's inequality, the system starts from equilibrium conditions and is driven along the RC further from equilibrium as the reaction proceeds, and therefore the FEP estimate increasingly overestimates the real FEP. The best way to combine forward and back reaction is performed by keeping the initial segment (and thus lower) FEP of each forward and back reaction estimates. This strategy was successfully used to obtain enzymatic reaction free-energy profiles in previous works (Crespo et al., 2005; Defelipe et al., 2015).

Along the present work, the ADP in the ATP-lid will be called as AD(T)P and the other, in the AMP-lid, as AD(M)P. The reaction involves the two P-O bonds, one forming and the another breaking, the two bonds sharing the same phosphorus atom from the transferring phosphate (Figure 1b).

In the former, an oxygen atom of the AD(T)P reacts and we will name it as $O_{\text{attacking}}$. The breaking bond is described by the oxygen atom for the AD(M)P and it will be called O_{leaving} .

Higher level DFT(PBE) free energy calculations

The free-energy profile of the ADK with Mg^{2+} was also computed using a higher level of theory. Here, the QM system was described with PBE functional (Perdew et al., 1996) using a DZVP basis set as implemented in the GPU based code LIO that works with amber (Nitsche et al., 2014). To take advantage of previous DFTB sampling, five lowest work vs RC profiles of the forward and back reaction were selected, and segmented in 10 windows or stages. For each window, the free energy profile at the DFT(PBE) level was computed using SMD with a steering velocity of 0.05 Å/ps. Windows were combined to obtain both forward and back FEP and the final profile was obtained by joining them. Details of the staged strategy can be found in references Ozer et al. (Ozer et al., 2010, 2012) and Ganguly et al. (Ganguly et al., 2020).

Commitment analysis

To verify the true nature of the observed TS we performed a commitment analysis. We first selected 20 structures for each of 11 points along the RC in the TS region (every 0.1 Å from -0.6 to 0.6 Å on the RC). Structures were equilibrated at the corresponding RC position for 5 ps using a harmonic restrain potential of 300 kcal/mol/Å. From the final equilibrated structure we used the modified Berendsen thermostat (Bussi et al., 2007) to assign random initial velocities, and performed 10 non restrained simulations. Each simulation was followed and determined whether it reached the reactants or products. Finally, the resulting probability (estimated from the observed frequency) to reach product for each point along the RC was computed.

Umbrella Sampling simulations

Finally, to avoid possible bias due to the use of MSMD to obtain the FEP, we also computed the corresponding profile using Umbrella Sampling (US). The initial structures for each window were randomly selected from the lowest work MSMD trajectories along the RC. The force constant for the harmonic restraint was set to 300 kcal/mol/Å², ensuring sufficient confinement without inducing artificial behavior.

Windows were separated every 0.1 Å along the whole RC. Each window simulation started with a equilibration run of 60 ps at NPT condition, followed by sampling over a production run of 40 ps, with coordinates recorded at 1 ps intervals and the reaction coordinate values every 1 fs. Simulations were performed at the previously described SCC-DFTB level of theory. The weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM) was employed to reconstruct the free energy landscape from the individual window simulations (Version 2.0.10.1; Grossfield, A.).

Steady-state kinetics measurements

Steady-state kinetics measurements for *Aquifex aeolicus* Adk (Adk) were performed at different pH values in the absence of divalent metals (using 50 mM of EDTA) and the presence of Mg^{2+} or Ca^{2+} . In all cases the reaction was started by the addition of 8 mM ADP and saturating metal concentrations were used if applicable (32 mM at pH 5 and 8 mM at pH 7 and 9, respectively). The enzyme concentration varied between 30 nM and 200 μM depending on the rate of interconversion; measurements were collected at 25 °C. The amount of product was quantified with high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). Protein precipitated by quench (30% TCA + 6 M HCl mixture) was separated with Spin-X centrifugal tube filters (Costar), filtered supernatant was diluted to avoid HPLC detector saturation, and the pH was brought to 6.0 to achieve optimal separation. The samples were analyzed on an HPLC system (Agilent Infinity 1260) with a high-precision autosampler (injection error <0.1 μL) and analytical HPLC column ACE (i.d. 2.4 mm, length 250 mm, C18-AR, 5 Å pore size) and separated with isocratic elution with potassium phosphate mobile phase (100 mM, pH 6.1). The observed rate constants were determined from 8 –

15 data points for each temperature and/or pH value using initial rate analysis. The values and uncertainties (s.d.) shown in **Figure 5** and **Table 2** were determined from least-squares linear regression. Similarly, values and uncertainties (s.d.) of ΔH^\ddagger and ΔS^\ddagger were extracted from linear regression of the data points presented in **Figure 5a**.

Temperature Dependence of catalysis and Mutant activity

Experiments were essentially performed as described previously⁶ and above. In short, the steady-state kinetics measurements were collected at temperatures between 20 and 60 °C for the temperature-dependency and at 25 °C for the mutants. For the temperature dependence, the samples contained 4 mM ADP and equimolar (with nucleotide) concentrations of calcium or 50 mM EDTA. The enzyme concentration was varied between 50 nM – 1 μM (with Ca²⁺) or 100 – 200 μM (with EDTA); buffer was 100 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, and 80 mM KCl. The amount of product produced over time (10-16 minutes) was quantified with HPLC and observed rates were extracted as described above. A similar approach was used for the Arg-to-Lys Aadk mutants, where the reaction was measured in both directions starting with either 4 mM ADP or ATP/AMP and equimolar (with nucleotide) concentrations of Mg²⁺.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) to D.K. We thank to “High-Performance Computing Center” (CeCAR, <https://cecar.fcen.uba.ar/>) of the *Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales* at the University of Buenos Aires for the computational resources.

Competing interests

D.K. is co-founder of Relay Therapeutics and MOMA Therapeutics. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary Figures




Figure 1 – supplement 1.

QM/MM system.

The QM subsystem is shown in CPK representation and the MM subsystem is in lines representation.




Figure 1 – supplement 2.

Superposition of the starting model structure for QM/MM and the crystallographic structure (PDB ID: 4CF7 (Kerns et al., 2015 [DOI](#))) of Adk with 2 ADP/Mg bound.

a) Comparison of ADPs, main amino acids and Mg^{2+} ion in the active site against the crystallographic model in green (starting structure for QM/MM as ball and stick), **b)** zoom-in showing Mg^{2+} ion coordinated by water molecules and the phosphates, and **c)** the Adk backbone of the starting model (cyan) and the crystallographic structure (green) with a backbone RMSD of 0.98 Å.




Figure 1 – supplement 3.

Forward and backward QM/MM reactions for Adk with two ADP and Mg^{2+} bound

a) Free energy profile (FEP) for the forward (solid grey line) and backward (solid blue line) reactions for the *nonprotonated* system in the presence of Mg^{2+} . The dashed lines are the standard deviation for the forward and backward reactions in grey and blue, respectively. **b,c)** Works profiles obtained for the forward (b) and backward (c) reaction.




Figure 1 – supplement 4.

Forward and backward QM/MM reactions for Adk with ADP, ADP and Mg^{2+} bound

a) Free energy profile for the forward (solid grey line) and backward (solid blue line) reactions for the *monoprotonated system with Mg^{2+}* . The dashed lines are the standard deviation for the forward and backward reactions in grey and blue, respectively.

b,c) Works profiles obtained for the forward (b) and backward (c) reaction.




Figure 1 – supplement 5.

Forward and backward QM/MM reactions for Adk with two ADP and without Mg^{2+} bound

a) Free energy profile for the forward (solid grey line) and backward (solid blue line) reactions for the *monoprotonated system without Mg^{2+}* . The dashed lines are the standard deviation for the forward and backward reactions in grey and blue, respectively. **b,c)** Works profiles obtained for the forward (b) and backward (c) reaction.




Figure 1 – supplement 6.

Free-energy profiles for the Adk catalyzed interconversion of ADP-ADP into ATP-AMP and presence of Mg^{2+} employing higher level DFT(PBE) free energy calculations (see methods for details). Reaction coordinate is the same as in [Figure 1](#). **a**) Superposition of the forward and backward free-energy profiles. **b**) The free-energy profile as result of the combination of the forward and backward free-energy profiles. The transparent pink region shows the transition state region. **c**) Distance between acceptor and leaving oxygens along the reaction coordinate in the presence of Mg^{2+} . The transition state region is highlighted in pink. **d,e**) Diagram of Moore-O'Ferrall-Jencks (Jencks, 2002; O'Ferrall, 1970) from the simulations, plotting the two P-O distances involved in the P-transfer for the reaction with Mg^{2+} , using DFT level at the QM region (P_T for transferring phosphate). The theoretical transition pathways for a tight, synchronous, and loose transition state are shown in e (as defined in ref. Roston et al. (Roston & Cui, 2016)).




Figure 3 – supplement 1.

Representative snapshots for the reactant, TS and product states in the monoprotonated system with Mg^{2+} . The labels in black describe the distances that are part of the phosphate transfer and the label in green show the dihedral angle. Label of the phosphates in orange.




Figure 3 – supplement 2.

A representative transition state structure is compared against the x-ray structure PDB ID: 3SR0 (Kerns et al., 2015 [DOI](#)).

The superposition is showed for: the main active site's residues the atoms taking part of the reaction and backbone of the Adk.




Figure 3 – supplement 3.

Reaction with Mg^{2+} and fully charged nucleotides.

a-b) The change in geometrical parameters along the reaction coordinate are shown for (a) forward and (b) backward reaction. Parameters: distance $\text{O}_{\text{leaving}} - \text{P}_{\text{transferring}}$ (red), distance $\text{O}_{\text{attacking}} - \text{P}_{\text{transferring}}$ (black), transferring phosphate dihedral angle (light blue), distance $\text{Mg}^{2+} - \text{O}$ from transferring phosphate (blue), and distance $\text{Mg}^{2+} - \text{O}$ from beta-phosphate of $\text{ADP}_{\text{ATP-lid}}$ (orange). **c, d)** The charge (mulliken charge) variation of different moieties involved in the reaction are shown for (c) forward and (d) backward reaction: Mg^{2+} (green), alpha-phosphate of $\text{ADP}_{\text{ATP-lid}}$ (red), alpha-phosphate of $\text{ADP}_{\text{AMP-lid}}$ (orange), beta phosphate of $\text{ADP}_{\text{ATP-lid}}$ (black), transferring phosphate (blue). Note that a proton is transiently transferred from one water molecule coordinating the Mg^{2+} to an oxygen of Pa in $\text{ADP}_{\text{AMP lid}}$ (leaving group). In all plots, the FEP is shown in the background as light grey dashed line.




Figure 3 – supplement 4.

Reaction without Mg^{2+} .

a,b) The change in different geometrical parameters along the reaction coordinate are shown for (a) forward and (b) backward reaction. Parameters shown: distance $O_{\text{leaving}} - P_{\text{transferring}}$ (red), distance $O_{\text{attacking}} - P_{\text{transferring}}$ (black), transferring phosphate dihedral angle (light blue). **c,d)** The charge (mulliken charge) variation of different moieties involved in the reaction are shown for (c) forward and (d) backward reaction: alpha phosphate of $ADP_{ATP-lid}$ (red), alpha phosphate of $ADP_{AMP-lid}$ (orange), beta phosphate of $ADP_{ATP-lid}$ (black), transferring phosphate (blue). **e,f)** The distances involving the proton transfer are shown for (e) forward and (f) backward reaction: $H - O_{\text{attacking}}$ (black), $H - O$ of transferring phosphate (red) and $H - O$ of alpha phosphate of $ADP_{AMP-lid}$ (green). In all plots, the FEP is shown in the background as light grey dashed line.




Figure 3 – supplement 5.

Reaction with Mg²⁺ and protonated in an oxygen of the beta phosphate of ADP_{ATP-liid}.

a,b) The change in different geometrical parameters along the reaction coordinate are shown for (a) forward and (b) backward reaction. Parameters shown: distance O_{leaving} - P_{transferring} (red), distance O_{attacking} - P_{transferring} (black), transferring phosphate dihedral angle (light blue), distance Mg²⁺ - O from transferring phosphate (blue), and distance Mg - O from beta phosphate of ADP_{ATP-liid} (orange). **c,d)** The charge (mulliken charge) variation of different moieties involved in the reaction with Mg²⁺ are shown for (c) forward and (d) backward reaction: Mg²⁺ (green), alpha phosphate of ADP_{ATP-liid} (red), alpha phosphate of ADP_{AMP-liid} (orange), beta phosphate of ADP_{ATP-liid} (black), transferring phosphate (blue). **e,f)** The distances involving the proton transfer are shown for (e) forward and (f) backward reaction: H - O_{attacking} (black), H - O of transferring phosphate (red) and H - O of alpha phosphate of ADP_{AMP-liid} (green). In all plots, the FEP is shown in the background as light grey dashed line.




Figure 3 - supplement 6.

Representative snapshots for the reactant, TS and product states in the system with Mg^{2+} .

The main interactions between the phosphate moieties of the nucleotides and the amino acid side-chains in the active site are shown (in gray dashed lines).




Figure 3 - supplement 7.

Representation of the interactions between the nucleotides with the active-site amino acids and Mg^{2+} in the active site of Adk for a representative snapshot from the TSE.




Figure 3 – supplement 8.

Superposition of reactant (blue), TS (red), and product (green) states focusing on the transferring phosphate and the main amino acids assisting phosphoryl transfer, for the system with Mg^{2+} .

References

- Acevedo O., Jorgensen W. L (2010) **Advances in Quantum and Molecular Mechanical (QM/MM) Simulations for Organic and Enzymatic Reactions** *Accounts of Chemical Research* **43**:142–151 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar900171c>
- Admiraal S. J., Herschlag D (1995) **Mapping the transition state for ATP hydrolysis: Implications for enzymatic catalysis** *Chemistry & Biology* **2**:729–739 [https://doi.org/10.1016/1074-5521\(95\)90101-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/1074-5521(95)90101-9)
- Allen K. N., Dunaway-Mariano D (2016) **Catalytic scaffolds for phosphoryl group transfer** *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **41**:172–179 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2016.07.017>
- Allnér O., Nilsson L., Villa A (2012) **Magnesium Ion–Water Coordination and Exchange in Biomolecular Simulations** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **8**:1493–1502 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct3000734>
- Antoniou D., Schwartz S. D (2016) **Phase Space Bottlenecks in Enzymatic Reactions** *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **120**:433–439 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b11157>
- Beckstein O., Denning E. J., Perilla J. R., Woolf T. B (2009) **Zippering and Unzippering of Adenylate Kinase: Atomistic Insights into the Ensemble of OpenClosed Transitions** *Journal of Molecular Biology* **394**:160–176 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2009.09.009>
- Benkovic S. J., Hammes G. G., Hammes-Schiffer S (2008) **Free-Energy Landscape of Enzyme Catalysis** *Biochemistry* **47**:3317–3321 <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi800049z>
- Berendsen H. J. C., Postma J. P. M., Van Gunsteren W. F., Dinola A., Haak J. R. (1984) **Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath** *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **81**:3684–3690 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.448118>
- Berry M. B., Bae E., Bilderback T. R., Glaser M., Phillips G. N (2006) **Crystal structure of ADP/AMP complex of Escherichia coli adenylate kinase** *Proteins* **62**:555–556 <https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.20699>
- Berry M. B., Meador B., Bilderback T., Liang P., Glaser M., Phillips G. N (1994) **The closed conformation of a highly flexible protein: The structure of E. coli adenylate kinase with bound AMP and AMPPNP. Proteins: Structure Function and Genetics** **19**:183–198 <https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.340190304>
- Bussi G., Donadio D., Parrinello M (2007) **Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling** *Journal of Chemical Physics* **126** <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2408420>
- Cai Z.-L., Lopez P., Reimers J. R., Cui Q., Elstner M (2007) **Application of the Computationally Efficient Self-Consistent-Charge Density-Functional Tight-Binding Method to Magnesium-Containing Molecules †** *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **111**:5743–5750 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp071701m>
- Case D. A., Cheatham T. E., Darden T., Gohlke H., Luo R., Merz K. M., Onufriev A., Simmerling C., Wang B., Woods R. J (2005) **The Amber biomolecular simulation programs** *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **26**:1668–1688 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20290>

- Cheng Y., Zhang Y., McCammon J. A (2005) **How does the cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalyze the phosphorylation reaction: An ab Initio QM/MM study** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **127**:1553–1562 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0464084>
- Crespo A., Mart?? M. A., Estrin D. A., Roitberg A. E. (2005) **Multiple-steering QM-MM calculation of the free energy profile in chorismate mutase** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **127**:6940–6941 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0452830>
- Cui Q (2016) **Perspective: Quantum mechanical methods in biochemistry and biophysics** *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **145** <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4964410>
- Defelipe L. A., Lanzarotti E., Gauto D., Marti M. A., Turjanski A. G (2015) **Protein Topology Determines Cysteine Oxidation Fate: The Case of Sulfenyl Amide Formation among Protein Families** *PLOS Computational Biology* **11** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004051>
- Dinner A. R., Blackburn G. M., Karplus M (2001) **Uracil-DNA glycosylase acts by substrate autocatalysis** *Nature* **413**:752–755 <https://doi.org/10.1038/35099587>
- Duarte F., Åqvist J., Williams N. H., Kamerlin S. C. L (2015) **Resolving Apparent Conflicts between Theoretical and Experimental Models of Phosphate Monoester Hydrolysis** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **137**:1081–1093 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja5082712>
- Dulko-Smith B., Ojeda-May P., Ådén J., Wolf-Watz M., Nam K (2023) **Mechanistic Basis for a Connection between the Catalytic Step and Slow Opening Dynamics of Adenylate Kinase** *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* **63**:1556–1569 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.2c01629>
- Dzeja P., Terzic A (2009) **Adenylate kinase and AMP signaling networks: Metabolic monitoring, signal communication and body energy sensing** *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **10**:1729–1772 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms10041729>
- Elstner M (2007) **SCC-DFTB: What is the proper degree of self-consistency** *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **111**:5614–5621 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp071338j>
- Frauenfelder H., Fenimore P. W., Chen G., McMahon B. H. (2006) **Protein folding is slaved to solvent motions** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**:15469–15472 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0607168103>
- Frauenfelder H., Wolynes P. G (1985) **Rate theories and puzzles of heme protein kinetics** *Science* **229**:337–345 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.4012322>
- Ganguly A., Weissman B. P., Giese T. J., Li N.-S., Hoshika S., Rao S., Benner S. A., Piccirilli J. A., York D. M (2020) **Confluence of theory and experiment reveals the catalytic mechanism of the Varkud satellite ribozyme** *Nature Chemistry* **12**:193–201 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-019-0391-x>
- Gaus M., Cui Q., Elstner M (2014) **Density functional tight binding: Application to organic and biological molecules** *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science* **4**:49–61 <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1156>
- Grossfield A, Grossfield A **“WHAM: an implementation of the weighted histogram analysis method”**

- Hahn D. K., Tusell J. R., Sprang S. R., Chu X (2015) **Catalytic Mechanism of Mammalian Adenylyl Cyclase: A Computational Investigation** *Biochemistry* **54**:6252–6262 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00655>
- Hammes-Schiffer S., Benkovic S. J (2006) **Relating Protein Motion to Catalysis** *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **75**:519–541 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.75.103004.142800>
- Hengge A. C (2002) **Isotope Effects in the Study of Phosphoryl and Sulfuryl Transfer Reactions** *Accounts of Chemical Research* **35**:105–112 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar000143q>
- Henzler-Wildman K. a et al. (2007) **Intrinsic motions along an enzymatic reaction trajectory** *Nature* **450**:838–844 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06410>
- Hirvonen V. H. A., Mulholland A. J., Spencer J., van der Kamp M. W. (2020) **Small Changes in Hydration Determine Cephalosporinase Activity of OXA-48 β -Lactamases** *ACS Catalysis* **10**:6188–6196 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00596>
- Hornak V., Abel R., Okur A., Strockbine B., Roitberg A., Simmerling C (2006) **Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters.** *Proteins: Structure Function, and Bioinformatics* **65**:712–725 <https://doi.org/10.1002/PROT.21123>
- Hou G., Cui Q (2012) **QM/MM analysis suggests that alkaline phosphatase (AP) and nucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase slightly tighten the transition state for phosphate diester hydrolysis relative to solution: Implication for catalytic promiscuity in the AP superfamily** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **134**:229–246 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja205226d>
- Hou G., Zhu X., Elstner M., Cui Q (2012) **A modified QM/MM hamiltonian with the self-consistent-charge density-functional-tight-binding theory for highly charged QM regions** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **8**:4293–4304 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct300649f>
- Jarzynski C (1997) **Nonequilibrium Equality for Free Energy Differences** *Physical Review Letters* **78**:2690–2693 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2690>
- Jencks W. P (2002) **General acid-base catalysis of complex reactions in water** *Chemical Reviews* **72**:705–718 <https://doi.org/10.1021/CR60280A004>
- Jin Y., Richards N. G., Waltho J. P., Blackburn G. M (2017) **Metal Fluorides as Analogues for Studies on Phosphoryl Transfer Enzymes** *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **56**:4110–4128 <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201606474>
- Jorgensen W. L., Chandrasekhar J., Madura J. D., Impey R. W., Klein M. L (1983) **Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water** *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **79**:926–935 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.445869>
- Kamerlin S. C. L., Sharma P. K., Prasad R. B., Warshel A (2013) **Why nature really chose phosphate** *Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics* **46**:1–132 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033583512000157>
- Kamerlin S. C. L., Wilkie J (2007) **The role of metal ions in phosphate ester hydrolysis** *Organic and Biomolecular Chemistry* **5**:2098–2108 <https://doi.org/10.1039/b701274h>

- Karplus M., Kuriyan J (2005) **Molecular dynamics and protein function** *Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences Of The United States Of America* **102**:6679–6685 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408930102>
- Kerns S. J. *et al.* (2015) **The energy landscape of adenylate kinase during catalysis** *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **22**:124–131 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2941>
- Kirby A. J., Nome F (2015) **Fundamentals of Phosphate Transfer** *Accounts of Chemical Research* **48**:1806–1814 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.ACCOUNTS.5B00072>
- Klinman J. P., Kohen A (2013) **Hydrogen Tunneling Links Protein Dynamics to Enzyme Catalysis** *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **82**:471–496 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-051710-133623>
- Lai R., Cui Q (2020) **Differences in the Nature of the Phosphoryl Transfer Transition State in Protein Phosphatase 1 and Alkaline Phosphatase: Insights from QM Cluster Models** *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **124**:9371–9384 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c07863>
- Lai R., Cui Q (2020) **What Does the Brønsted Slope Measure in the Phosphoryl Transfer Transition State?** *ACS Catalysis* **10**:13932–13945 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03764>
- Lassila J. K., Zalatan J. G., Herschlag D (2011) **Biological Phosphoryl-Transfer Reactions: Understanding Mechanism and Catalysis** *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **80**:669–702 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060409-092741>
- Lienhard G. E (1973) **Enzymatic Catalysis and Transition-State Theory** *Science* **180**:149–154 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.180.4082.149>
- López-Canut V., Roca M., Bertrán J., Moliner V., Tuñón I. (2011) **Promiscuity in alkaline phosphatase superfamily. Unraveling evolution through molecular simulations** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **133**:12050–12062 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja2017575>
- Ma B., Kumar S., Tsai C. J., Hu Z., Nussinov R (2000) **Transition-state ensemble in enzyme catalysis: Possibility, reality, or necessity?** *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **203**:383–397 <https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.2000.1097>
- Masgrau L., Truhlar D. G (2015) **The Importance of Ensemble Averaging in Enzyme Kinetics** *Accounts of Chemical Research* **48**:431–438 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar500319e>
- Meagher K. L., Redman L. T., Carlson H. A (2003) **Development of Polyphosphate Parameters for Use with the AMBER Force Field** *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **24**:1016–1025
- Mendieta-Moreno J. I., Marcos-Alcalde I., Trabada D. G., Gómez-Puertas P., Ortega J., Mendieta J. (2015) **A Practical Quantum Mechanics Molecular Mechanics Method for the Dynamical Study of Reactions in Biomolecules** *Advances in Protein Chemistry and Structural Biology* **100**:67–88 <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apcsb.2015.06.003>
- Mokrushina Y. A. *et al.* (2020) **Multiscale computation delivers organophosphorus reactivity and stereoselectivity to immunoglobulin scavengers** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**:22841–22848 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2010317117>
- Mones L., Tang W.-J., Florián J. (2013) **Empirical valence bond simulations of the chemical mechanism of ATP to cAMP conversion by anthrax edema factor** *Biochemistry* **52**:2672–2682 <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi400088y>

- Müller C. W., Schlauderer G. J., Reinstein J., Schulz G. E (1996) **Adenylate kinase motions during catalysis: An energetic counterweight balancing substrate binding.** *Structure* [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126\(96\)00018-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126(96)00018-4)
- Müller C. W., Schulz G. E (1992) **Structure of the complex between adenylate kinase from Escherichia coli and the inhibitor Ap5A refined at 1.9 Å resolution. A model for a catalytic transition state** *Journal of Molecular Biology* **224**:159–177 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(92\)90582-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(92)90582-5)
- Nitsche M. A., Ferreria M., Mocskos E. E., González Lebrero M. C. (2014) **GPU Accelerated Implementation of Density Functional Theory for Hybrid QM/MM Simulations** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **10**:959–967 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct400308n>
- O’Ferrall R. A. M (1970) **Relationships between E2 and E1cB mechanisms of β -elimination.** *J. Chem. Soc. B* **0**:274–277 <https://doi.org/10.1039/J29700000274>
- Ojeda-May P., Mushtaq A. U. I., Rogne P., Verma A., Ovchinnikov V., Grundström C., Dulko-Smith B., Sauer U. H., Wolf-Watz M., Nam K (2021) **Dynamic Connection between Enzymatic Catalysis and Collective Protein Motions** *Biochemistry* **60**:2246–2258 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.1c00221>
- Otten R. *et al.* (2020) **How directed evolution reshapes the energy landscape in an enzyme to boost catalysis** *Science* **370**:1442–1446 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd3623>
- Ozer G., Quirk S., Hernandez R (2012) **Thermodynamics of Decaalanine Stretching in Water Obtained by Adaptive Steered Molecular Dynamics Simulations** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **8**:4837–4844 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct300709u>
- Ozer G., Valeev E. F., Quirk S., Hernandez R (2010) **Adaptive Steered Molecular Dynamics of the Long-Distance Unfolding of Neuropeptide Y** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **6**:3026–3038 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct100320g>
- Pabis A., Duarte F., Kamerlin S. C. L (2016) **Promiscuity in the Enzymatic Catalysis of Phosphate and Sulfate Transfer** *Biochemistry* **55**:3061–3081 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.6b00297>
- Palermo G., Cavalli A., Klein M. L., Alfonso-Prieto M., Dal Peraro M., De Vivo M. (2015) **Catalytic metal ions and enzymatic processing of DNA and RNA** *Accounts of Chemical Research* **48**:220–228 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar500314j>
- Perdew J. P., Burke K., Ernzerhof M (1996) **Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple** *Physical Review Letters* **77**:3865–3868 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865>
- Pérez-Gallegos A., Garcia-Viloca M., González-Lafont À., Lluch J. M. (2015) **SP20 Phosphorylation Reaction Catalyzed by Protein Kinase A: QM/MM Calculations Based on Recently Determined Crystallographic Structures** *ACS Catalysis* **5**:4897–4912 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b01064>
- Pérez-Gallegos A., Garcia-Viloca M., González-Lafont À., Lluch J. M. (2017) **Understanding how cAMP-dependent protein kinase can catalyze phosphoryl transfer in the presence of Ca²⁺ and Sr²⁺: A QM/MM study** *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **19**:10377–10394 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP00666G>

- Pontiggia F., Pachov D. V., Clarkson M. W., Villali J., Hagan M. F., Pande V. S., Kern D (2015) **Free energy landscape of activation in a signalling protein at atomic resolution** *Nature Communications* **6** <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8284>
- Quesne M. G., Borowski T., de Visser S. P. (2016) **Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics Modeling of Enzymatic Processes: Caveats and Breakthroughs** *Chemistry-A European Journal* **22**:2562–2581 <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201503802>
- Ramírez C. L., Zeida A., Jara G. E., Roitberg A. E., Martí M. A (2014) **Improving Efficiency in SMD Simulations Through a Hybrid Differential Relaxation Algorithm** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **10**:4609–4617 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct500672d>
- Rosta E., Yang W., Hummer G (2014) **Calcium Inhibition of Ribonuclease H1 Two-Metal Ion Catalysis** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **136**:3137–3144 <https://doi.org/10.1021/JA411408X>
- Roston D., Cui Q (2016) **Substrate and Transition State Binding in Alkaline Phosphatase Analyzed by Computation of Oxygen Isotope Effects** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **138**:11946–11957 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b07347>
- Roston D., Lu X., Fang D., Demapan D., Cui Q (2018) **Analysis of Phosphoryl-Transfer Enzymes with QM/MM Free Energy Simulations** *In Methods in Enzymology* **607**:53–90 <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2018.05.005>
- Royer C. A (2008) **The nature of the transition state ensemble and the mechanisms of protein folding: A review** *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **469**:34–45 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2007.08.022>
- Saen-Oon S., Ghanem M., Schramm V. L., Schwartz S. D (2008) **Remote mutations and active site dynamics correlate with catalytic properties of purine nucleoside phosphorylase** *Biophysical Journal* **94**:4078–4088 <https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.107.121913>
- Schramm V. L (2007) **Enzymatic Transition State Theory and Transition State Analogue Design** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **282**:28297–28300 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R700018200>
- Schramm V. L., Schwartz S. D (2018) **Promoting Vibrations and the Function of Enzymes. Emerging Theoretical and Experimental Convergence** *Biochemistry* **57**:3299–3308 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.BIOCHEM.8B00201>
- Schwartz S. D., Schramm V. L (2009) **Enzymatic transition states and dynamic motion in barrier crossing** *Nature Chemical Biology* **5**:551–558 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.202>
- Seabra G. de M., Walker R. C., Elstner M., Case D. A., Roitberg A. E (2007) **Implementation of the SCC-DFTB Method for Hybrid QM/MM Simulations within the Amber Molecular Dynamics Package †** *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **111**:5655–5664 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0700711>
- Senn H. M., Thiel W. (2009) **QM/MM methods for biomolecular systems** *Angewandte Chemie (International Ed. in English)* **48**:1198–1229 <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200802019>
- Shibanuma Y., Nemoto N., Yamamoto N., Sampei G.-I., Kawai G (2020) **Crystal structure of adenylate kinase from an extremophilic archaeon Aeropyrum pernix with ATP and AMP** *The Journal of Biochemistry* **168**:223–229 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvaa043>

- Stiller J. B., Jordan Kerns S., Hoemberger M., Cho Y. J., Otten R., Hagan M. F., Kern D (2019) **Probing the transition state in enzyme catalysis by high-pressure NMR dynamics** *Nature Catalysis* **2**:726–734 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0307-6>
- Stiller J. B., Otten R., Häussinger D., Rieder P. S., Theobald D. L., Kern D (2022) **Structure determination of high-energy states in a dynamic protein ensemble** *Nature* **603**:528–535 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04468-9>
- Stockbridge R. B., Wolfenden R (2009) **The Intrinsic Reactivity of ATP and the Catalytic Proficiencies of Kinases Acting on Glucose, N-Acetylgalactosamine, and Homoserine** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **284**:22747–22757 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.017806>
- Truhlar D. G (2015) **Transition state theory for enzyme kinetics** *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **582**:10–17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2015.05.004>
- Tsai C. J., Nussinov R (2014) **A Unified View of “How Allostery Works.”** *PLoS Computational Biology* **10** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003394>
- Turjanski A. G., Hummer G., Gutkind J. S (2009) **How Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases Recognize and Phosphorylate Their Targets: A QM/MM Study** *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **131**:6141–6148 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8071995>
- Valiev M., Yang J., Adams J. a, Taylor S. S., Weare J. H. (2007) **Phosphorylation reaction in cAPK protein kinase-free energy quantum mechanical/molecular mechanics simulations** *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B* **111**:13455–13464 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp074853q>
- van der Kamp M. W., Mulholland A. J. (2013) **Combined Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics (QM/MM) Methods in Computational Enzymology** *Biochemistry* **52**:2708–2728 <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi400215w>
- Walker R. C., Crowley M. F., Case D. A (2008) **The implementation of a fast and accurate QM/MM potential method in Amber** *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **29**:1019–1031 <https://doi.org/10.1002/JCC.20857>
- Warshel A., Bora R. P (2016) **Perspective: Defining and quantifying the role of dynamics in enzyme catalysis** *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **144** <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4947037>
- Westheimer F (1987) **Why nature chose phosphates** *Science* **235**:1173–1178 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2434996>
- Wolf-Watz M., Thai V., Henzler-Wildman K., Hadjipavlou G., Eisenmesser E. Z., Kern D (2004) **Linkage between dynamics and catalysis in a thermophilic-mesophilic enzyme pair** *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology* **11**:945–949 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb821>
- Yang L., Liao R.-Z., Ding W.-J., Liu K., Yu J.-G., Liu R.-Z (2012) **Why calcium inhibits magnesium-dependent enzyme phosphoserine phosphatase? A theoretical study** *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* **131**:1–12 <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00214-012-1275-Y>
- Yang Y., Yu H., York D., Elstner M., Cui Q (2008) **Description of Phosphate Hydrolysis Reactions with the Self-Consistent-Charge Density-Functional-Tight-Binding (SCC-DFTB) Theory. 1. Parameterization** *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **4**:2067–2084 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct800330d>

Zinovjev K., Tuñón I (2017) **Quantifying the limits of transition state theory in enzymatic catalysis** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**:12390–12395 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710820114>

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Qiang Cui

Boston University, Boston, United States of America

Senior Editor

Qiang Cui

Boston University, Boston, United States of America

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Summary:

This study investigated the phosphoryl transfer mechanism of the enzyme adenylate kinase, using SCC-DFTB quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) simulations, along with kinetic studies exploring the temperature and pH dependence of the enzyme's activity, as well as the effects of various active site mutants. Based on a broad free energy landscape near the transition state, the authors proposed the existence of wide transition states (TS), characterized by the transferring phosphoryl group adopting a meta-phosphate-like geometry with asymmetric bond distances to the nucleophilic and leaving oxygens. In support of this finding, kinetic experiments were conducted with Ca^{2+} ions at different temperatures and pH, which revealed a reduced entropy of activation and unique pH-dependence of the catalyzed reaction.

Strengths:

A combined application of simulation and experiments is a strength.

Weaknesses:

The conclusion that the enzyme-catalyzed reaction involves a wide transition state is not sufficiently clarified with some concerns about the determined free energy profiles compared to the experimental estimate. (See Recommendations for the authors.)

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.93099.2.sa2>

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

Summary:

The authors report results of QM/MM simulations and kinetic measurements for the phosphoryl-transfer step in adenylate kinase. The main assertion of the paper is that a wide transition state ensemble is a key concept in enzyme catalysis as a strategy to circumvent entropic barriers. This assertion is based on observation of a "structurally wide" set of energetically equivalent configurations that lie along the reaction coordinate in QM/MM simulations, together with kinetic measurements that suggest a decrease of the entropy of activation.

Strengths:

The study combines theoretical calculations and supporting experiments.

Weaknesses:

The current paper hypothesizes a "wide" transition state ensemble as a catalytic strategy and key concept in enzyme catalysis. Overall, it is not clear the degree to which this hypothesis is fully supported by the data. The reasons are as follows:

(1) Enzyme catalysis reflects a rate enhancement with respect to a baseline reaction in solution. In order to assert that something is part of a catalytic strategy of an enzyme, it would be necessary to demonstrate from simulations that the activation entropy for the baseline reaction is indeed greater and the transition state ensemble less "wide". Alternatively stated, when indicating there is a "wide transition state ensemble" for the enzyme system - one needs to indicate that is with respect to the non-enzymatic reaction. However, these simulations were not performed and the comparisons not demonstrated. The authors state "This chemical step would take about 7000 years without the enzyme" making it impossible to measure; nonetheless, the simulations of the nonenzymatic reaction would be fairly straight forward to perform in order to demonstrate this key concept that is central to the paper. Rather, the authors examine the reaction in the absence of a catalytically important Mg ion.

(2) The observation of a "wide conformational ensemble" is not a quantitative measure of entropy. In order to make a meaningful computational prediction of the entropic contribution to the activation free energy, one would need to perform free energy simulations over a range of temperatures (for the enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems). Such simulations were not performed, and the entropy of activation was thus not quantified by the computational predictions. The authors instead use a wider TS ensemble as a proxy for larger entropy, and miss an opportunity to compare directly to the experimental measurements.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.93099.2.sa1>

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

Summary:

By conducting QM/MM free energy simulations, the authors aimed to characterize the mechanism and transition state for the phosphoryl transfer in adenylate kinase. The qualitative reliability of the QM/MM results has been supported by several interesting experimental kinetic studies. However, the interpretation of the QM/MM results is not well supported by the current calculations.

Strengths:

The QM/MM free energy simulations have been carefully conducted. The accuracy of the semi-empirical QM/MM results was further supported by DFT/MM calculations, as well as qualitatively by several experimental studies.

Weaknesses:

(1) One key issue is the definition of the transition state ensemble. The authors appear to define this by simply considering structures that lie within a given free energy range from the barrier. However, this is not the rigorous definition of transition state ensemble, which should be defined in terms of committor distribution. This is not simply an issue of semantics, since only a rigorous definition allows a fair comparison between different cases - such as the transition state in an enzyme vs in solution, or with and without the metal ion. For a chemical reaction in a complex environment, it is also possible that many other variables (in addition

to the breaking and forming P-O bonds) should be considered when one measures the diversity in the conformational ensemble.

In the revised ms, the authors included committor analysis. However, the discussion of the result is very brief. In particular, if we use the common definition of the transition state ensemble (TSE) as those featuring the committor around 0.5, the reaction coordinate of the TSE would span a much narrower range than those listed in Table 1. This point should be carefully addressed.

(2) While the experimental observation that the activation entropy differs significantly with and without the Ca^{2+} ion is interesting, it is difficult to connect this result with the "wide" transition state ensemble observed in the QM/MM simulations so far. Even without considering the definition of the transition state ensemble mentioned above, it is unlikely that a broader range of P-O distances would explain the substantial difference in the activation entropy measured in the experiment. Since the difference is sufficiently large, it should be possible to compute the value by repeating the free energy simulations at different temperatures, which would lead to a much more direct evaluation of the QM/MM model/result and the interpretation.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.93099.2.sa0>

Author response:

The following is the authors' response to the original reviews.

eLife assessment

This is a potentially important study that integrates QM/MM free energy simulations and experimental kinetic analyses to probe the nature of phosphoryl transfer transition state in adenylate kinase. The idea that the transition state ensemble encompasses conformations with substantially different structural features (including the breaking/forming bonds) is interesting and potentially applicable to many other enzyme systems. In the current form, however, the study is considered incomplete since the connection between the putative transition state ensemble from the computations and key experimental observables, such as the activation entropy, is not well established.

Thank you so much for your great professional work as the senior editor. We thank you and the reviewers for carefully reading our manuscript and for very valuable suggestions. In response, we have performed the recommended additional calculations and modified the manuscript as suggested, in order to improve the connection between the transition state ensemble obtained from simulations and experimental observables. Importantly, the new simulations fully corroborate our original findings, and thanks to your work made the revised manuscript stronger and better.

Below are our point-to-point responses:

Public Reviews:

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Summary:

This study investigated the phosphoryl transfer mechanism of the enzyme adenylate kinase, using SCC-DFTB quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) simulations, along with kinetic studies exploring the temperature and pH dependence of the enzyme's activity, as well as the effects of various active site mutants. Based on a

broad free energy landscape near the transition state, the authors proposed the existence of wide transition states (TS), characterized by the transferring phosphoryl group adopting a meta-phosphate-like geometry with asymmetric bond distances to the nucleophilic and leaving oxygens. In support of this finding, kinetic experiments were conducted with Ca^{2+} ions (instead of Mg^{2+}) at different temperatures, which revealed a negative entropy of activation. Overall, in its present form, the manuscript has more weaknesses in terms of interpretation of the simulation results than strengths, which need to be addressed by the authors.

We thank the reviewer for carefully reviewing our manuscript and the great suggestions for the revisions. Thanks to these points raised we are able to submit a revised manuscript addressing all questions.

There are several major concerns:

First, the authors' claim that the catalytic mechanism of adenylate kinase (Adk) has not been previously studied by QM/MM free energy simulations is somewhat inaccurate. In fact, two different groups have previously investigated the catalytic mechanism of Adk. The first study, cited by the authors themselves, used the string method to determine the minimum free energy profile, but resulted in an unexpected intermediate; note that they obtained a minimum free energy profile, not a minimum energy profile. The second study (Ojedat-May et al., Biochemistry 2021 and Dulko-Smith et al., J Chem Inf Model 2023) overlaps substantially with the present study, but its main conclusions differ from those of the present study. Therefore, a thorough discussion comparing the results of these studies is needed.

We thank the reviewer for pointing out two additional articles to the one we had discussed. Accordingly, we have changed the claim that the Adk mechanism was not previously studied using QM/MM, and added a discussion of the latter two citations. Notably, although the general outcome is consistent with our results, the conclusions and details of findings differ. The two additional papers agree with our findings of a concerted TS, and not the metastable intermediate as observed in the QM/MM simulation of Shibamura et al., 2020.

The difference of the two papers by Nam/Wolf-Watz and our manuscript pointed out by the reviewer is mainly in the interpretation. Importantly, the authors do not primarily focus on the nature of the Transition State for the P-transfer reaction, but on the connection between the chemical and conformational steps. We have extensively reported on the fact that the conformational changes of lid opening and closing are obviously unrelated to the chemical step, see also our free energy landscape in Fig. 1a. Consequently, there cannot be a coupling. We note that our group had extensively studied the lid opening step both experimentally and computationally before. In contrast, we discover here a fundamental concept for rate enhancement by an optimal enzyme: the reduction in the activation entropy by a wide TSE. New experiments were triggered by this finding, that then delivered experimental validation of this concept.

In the revised version of the manuscript, and according to the reviewer's suggestion we expanded our discussion to these two additional papers.

Second, the interpretation of the TS ensemble needs deeper scrutiny. In general, the TS is defined as the hypersurface separating the reactant and product states. Consequently, if a correct reaction coordinate is defined, trajectories initiated at the TS should have equal probabilities of reaching either the reactant or product state; if an approximate reaction coordinate, such as the distance difference used in this study, is used, recrossing may be introduced as a correction into the probabilities. Thus, in order to establish the presence

of a wide TS region, it is necessary to characterize the TS ensemble through a commitment analysis across the TS region.

We thank the reviewer for suggesting to add a commitment analysis to our calculations. The newly performed commitment analysis is shown in Fig. 4b. The corresponding analysis further strengthens our original findings of the wide TS in the fully active enzyme.

The relatively flat free energy surface observed near TS in Figures 1c and 2a, may be attributed to the cleavage and formation of P-O bonds relative to the marginally stable phosphorane intermediate, as described in Zhou et al.'s work (Chem Rev 1998, 98:991). This scenario is clearly different from a wide TS ensemble concept. In addition, given the inherent similarity in reactivity of the two oxygens towards the phosphoryl atom, it is reasonable to expect a single TS as shown in Figure 1 - supplement 9, rather than two TSs with a marginally stable intermediate as shown in Figure 1c. Consequently, it remains uncertain whether the elongated P-O bonds observed near the TS and their asymmetry are realistic or potentially an artifact of the pulling/non-equilibrium MD simulations. Further validation in this regard is required.

The reviewer raises the key issue of how realistic the observation of the wide TSE is, and the possibility of it being a potential artifact of the simulation strategy, and suggests that further validation is required in this regard. According to his/her suggestion, in the revised version we have further validated this key observation by two additional simulations. First, we performed a commitment analysis (see above), and second, we also performed Umbrella Sampling, see Fig. 4a. We consistently observe one wide TSE in the presence of Mg^{2+} , but not in the absence of Mg^{2+} . The fact that this wide TSE is observed with the three strategies (i.e pulling/nonequilibrium MD, commitment analysis, and umbrella sampling) most likely rules out the possibility of an artifact related to the simulation strategy.

Third, there are several inconsistencies in the free energy results and their discussion. First, the data from Kerns et al. (Kerns, NSMB, 2015, 22:124) indicate that the ATP/AMP \rightarrow ADP/ADP reaction proceeds at a faster rate than the ADP/ADP \rightarrow ATP/AMP reaction, suggesting that the ADP/ADP state has a lower free energy (approximately -1.0 kcal/mol) compared to the ATP/ATP state. This contrasts with Figure 1c, which shows a higher free energy of 6.0 kcal/mol for the ATP/ADP state. This discrepancy needs to be discussed.

The reviewer correctly found our experimental result on the equilibrium of about -1 kcal/mol for ADP/ADP relative to ATP/AMP with Mg. Importantly, that was measured at a pH of 7. With a pK_a of about 7.2 for ADP, under these experimental conditions more than 50% is in the monoprotonated state. As we found in our QM/MM simulations, for the monoprotonated state the ADP/ADP is much more stable than ATP/AMP (see Figure 1 – supplement 4, about 8 kcal/mol). In contrast, as shown in Fig. 1c and highlighted by the reviewer, for the nonprotonated state the equilibrium is flipped. Consequently our QM/MM simulations roughly recapitulate the ensemble equilibrium of substrates/products measured at pH 7.

We should have better described these facts in the manuscript, and we thank the reviewer for noting this point, as it promoted us to better explaining this agreement between experiments and computation for this on enzyme equilibrium between the substrate and product states (see page 11 in the revised manuscript).

Furthermore, the barrier for ATP/AMP \rightarrow ADP/ADP, calculated to be 20 kcal/mol for the fully charged state, exceeds the corresponding barrier for the monoprotonated state. This cautions against the conclusion that the fully charged state is the reactive state. In addition, the difference in the barrier for the no- Mg^{2+} system compared to the barriers with Mg^{2+} is substantially too large (21 kcal/mol from the calculation versus 7 kcal/mol

from the experimental values). These inconsistencies raise questions as to their origins, whether they result from the use of the pulling/non-equilibrium MD simulation approach, which may yield unrealistic TS geometries, or from potential issues related to the convergence of the determined free energy values. To address this issue, a comparison of results obtained by umbrella sampling and similar methodologies is necessary.

We agree that these points need to be clarified. For the resubmission, we performed an umbrella sampling for the fully charged nucleotide with Mg^{2+} , and for the no Mg^{2+} systems, and added these new figures to the manuscript (new Fig. 4). We agree with the reviewer that the obtained free energy profiles from the umbrella sampling are more reliable; the original simulations for the monoprotonated state have larger errors, see Fig. 1, supplement 4. Importantly, we experimentally measured the pH dependence of the reaction in the direction ADP/ADP to AMP and ATP, and hence compare the corresponding barriers in this direction.

In respect to the comparison of the simulated (9.5 kcal/mol) to the experimental barriers with and without Mg, the experimental barrier is 7 kcal/mol for Ca^{2+} versus no metal, but larger for Mg^{2+} versus no metal, for which the simulations were performed. The P-transfer with Mg^{2+} is faster than 500 sec⁻¹, meaning the experimental barrier for the no Mg versus magnesium is ≥ 11 kcal/mol, which is in quite good agreement with our umbrella sampling barrier differences (Fig. 4a). In response to this reviewer's question, we added these points into the revised manuscript.

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

Summary:

The authors report the results of QM/MM simulations and kinetic measurements for the phosphoryl-transfer step in adenylate kinase. The main assertion of the paper is that a wide transition state ensemble is a key concept in enzyme catalysis as a strategy to circumvent entropic barriers. This assertion is based on the observation of a "structurally wide" set of energetically equivalent configurations that lie along the reaction coordinate in QM/MM simulations, together with kinetic measurements that suggest a decrease in the entropy of activation.

We thank the reviewer for the endorsement, and very useful suggestions to improve the manuscript in an revised manuscript. Thanks to the questions, we have edited our manuscript accordingly. All suggested additional simulations and analysis further support our original findings.

Strengths:

The study combines theoretical calculations and supporting experiments.

Weaknesses:

The role(s) of entropy in enzyme catalysis has been discussed extensively in the literature, from the Circe effect proposed by Jencks and many other works. The current paper hypothesizes a "wide" transition state ensemble as a catalytic strategy and key concept in enzyme catalysis. Overall, it is not clear the degree to which this hypothesis is supported by the data. The reasons are as follows:

(1) Enzyme catalysis reflects a rate enhancement with respect to a baseline reaction in solution. In order to assert that something is part of a catalytic strategy of an enzyme, it would be necessary to demonstrate from simulations that the activation entropy for the baseline reaction is indeed greater and the transition state ensemble less "wide".

Alternatively stated, when indicating there is a "wide transition state ensemble" for the enzyme system - one needs to indicate that is with respect to the non-enzymatic reaction. However, these simulations were not performed and the comparisons were not demonstrated.

We agree with the reviewer, that the ideal comparison to address enzyme catalytic power is to compare with the baseline reaction in solution. However, as is the case for many biological relevant reactions, in solution the reactions are too slow (i.e have too high barriers) and thus cannot be measured (this reaction would take about 7000 years without the enzyme). Moreover, in many cases, the reaction mechanism in solution is too different to that observed in the enzyme.

To overcome this problem, another reference reaction is used instead of that in solution, such as a mutant enzyme, or the enzyme lacking a key cofactor, hence a non-optimized enzyme. In the present case, this baseline reaction corresponds to enzyme reaction in the absence of the Mg ion. Consistently, our results clearly show that the reaction without Mg which displays a larger barrier, has a narrower TS. We want to highlight that the extensive and excellent literature about QM/MM calculations of the hydrolysis of ATP hydrolysis in solution, which shows narrow transitions state ensembles, just to mention a few: Klähn, M., Rosta, E., & Warshel, A. (2006).

On the mechanism of hydrolysis of phosphate monoesters dianions in solutions and proteins.

Journal of the American Chemical Society, 128(47), 15310–15323. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja065470t>; Wang, C., Huang, W., & Liao, J. (2015). QM/MM investigation of ATP hydrolysis in aqueous solution. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 119(9), 3720–3726. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp512960e>.

(2) The observation of a "wide conformational ensemble" is not a quantitative measure of entropy. In order to make a meaningful computational prediction of the entropic contribution to the activation of free energy, one would need to perform free energy simulations over a range of temperatures (for the enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems). Such simulations were not performed, and the entropy of activation was thus not quantified by the computational predictions.

In the present work we do not intend to quantify entropy from the simulations, since such calculations are known to have too large errors. However, even if not strictly quantified, a wider TS ensemble is a proxy for a larger entropy.

(3) The authors indicate that lid-opening, essential for product release, and not P-transfer is the rate-limiting step in the catalytic cycle and Mg²⁺ accelerates both steps. How is it certain that the kinetic measurements are reporting on the chemical steps of the reaction, and not other factors such as metal ion binding or conformational changes?

These questions were indeed the absolute critically ones we needed to answer early for studying how adenylate kinase is catalyzing the reaction by more than 14 orders of magnitude. This was done by a combination of pre-steady state, steady-state experiments combined with NMR dynamics, published in (Kerns et al., 2015), and described in the beginning of this manuscript in Fig. 1a. We agree with the reviewer that for many other enzymes such experimental examination of all microscopic steps for the enzymatic cycle had not been performed, leading to the risk of wrong interpretation of observed kinetic rates.

(4) The authors explore different starting states for the chemical steps of the reaction (e.g., different metal ion binding and protonation states), and conclude that the most reactive enzyme configuration is the one with the more favorable reaction-free energy

barrier. However, it is not clear what is the probability of observing the system in these different states as a function of pH and metal ion concentration without performing appropriate pKa and metal ion binding calculations. This was not done, and hence these results seem somewhat inconclusive.

As noted by the reviewer, in the present work our aim was to compare the chemical step of the reaction in different metal ion and protonation states. Our computational results show that the most reactive enzyme configuration is the nonprotonated state with Mg^{2+} in our forward reaction.

We actually know what the probability of the metal-bound states are for this enzyme. The experimental data were described in (Kerns et al., 2015), we directly experimentally determined the concentration needed to fully occupy the Mg site with Mg or Ca, therefore no metal binding calculations are needed as the experiments are a direct measurement. From our x-ray structures we know the accurate binding site, and also see full occupancy. This is also true for the pH dependence of the chemical step, measured in this manuscript and shown in Fig. 5b. We note that the excellent agreement between our simulations and the experiments are one of the key features of the current manuscript. As stated in the manuscript, we analyzed the pH dependence of the P-transfer step and showed that the rate increases with higher pH in the presence of Ca^{2+} , while without a metal the opposite trend is observed. These results further support the QM/MM results showing that the fully-charged nucleotides state was the most reactive in the presence of the metal, whereas in the absence of the cation, only the monoprotonated nucleotides (low pH) were reactive.

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

Summary:

By conducting QM/MM free energy simulations, the authors aimed to characterize the mechanism and transition state for the phosphoryl transfer in adenylate kinase. The qualitative reliability of the QM/MM results has been supported by several interesting experimental kinetic studies. However, the interpretation of the QM/MM results is not well supported by the current calculations.

Strengths:

The QM/MM free energy simulations have been carefully conducted. The accuracy of the semiempirical QM/MM results was further supported by DFT/MM calculations, as well as qualitatively by several experimental studies.

We thank the reviewer for the positive comments on the manuscript, particularly highlighting the support of the QM/MM results by additional DFT/MM calculations and several experiments.

Weaknesses:

(1) One key issue is the definition of the transition state ensemble. The authors appear to define this by simply considering structures that lie within a given free energy range from the barrier. However, this is not the rigorous definition of transition state ensemble, which should be defined in terms of committor distribution. This is not simply an issue of semantics, since only a rigorous definition allows a fair comparison between different cases - such as the transition state in an enzyme vs in solution, or with and without the metal ion. For a chemical reaction in a complex environment, it is also possible that many other variables (in addition to the breaking and forming P-O bonds) should be considered when one measures the diversity in the conformational ensemble.

We thank the reviewer for noting this issue and for this great suggestion, as this led to a strengthening of the key findings in the revised manuscript version. According to his/her suggestion, we performed a commitment analysis to properly define the TSE and compare the results between the enzyme in the presence/absence of Mg^{2+} (see new Fig. 4b). The results further strengthen our previous finding and interpretation of a wider TSE for the reaction with Mg relative to without Mg.

(2) While the experimental observation that the activation entropy differs significantly with and without the Ca^{2+} ion is interesting, it is difficult to connect this result with the "wide" transition state ensemble observed in the QM/MM simulations so far. Even without considering the definition of the transition state ensemble mentioned above, it is unlikely that a broader range of P-O distances would explain the substantial difference in the activation entropy measured in the experiment. Since the difference is sufficiently large, it should be possible to compute the value by repeating the free energy simulations at different temperatures, which would lead to a much more direct evaluation of the QM/MM model/result and the interpretation.

In the present work we do not intend to quantify entropy from the simulations, since such calculations are known to have too large errors. However, even if not strictly quantified, a wider TS ensemble is a proxy for a larger entropy. We believe that the additional committor calculations and the umbrella sampling (new Fig. 4a) are a strong support of our original findings, and better suited for supporting our findings as compared to repeating the free energy simulations at different temperatures.

Recommendations for the authors:

Reviewer #1 (Recommendations For The Authors):

Minor comments:

Make sure consistent units are used, either kJ/mol or kcal/mol.

Thanks, we made the changes.

In the case of the mono-protonated simulation, where does the proton transfer between AD(T)P and AMP occur in both the forward and reverse reactions? It is worthwhile to note that the proton transfer may take place at different reaction coordinate values (between the two reactions), as it is not explicitly defined in the reaction coordinate. In this context, it is also necessary to discuss how to combine the results to generate a single free energy profile.

We agree with the reviewer on this point. Accordingly, we have analyzed for the monoprotonated reaction when (or where in terms of RC) the proton transfer occurs in both forward and reverse reactions. The proton transfer occurs at -0.7 of the reaction coordinate (average value, figures 3-supplement 5 e and f).

The methods section needs improvements:

(1) Computational setup of the system: Were the systems neutralized? If so, what types of ions were used, and how many of them were included? If systems were not neutralized, discuss a potential artifact in the results. In addition, if the system for the reverse reaction (and no- Mg^{2+} systems) was prepared separately, provide details regarding their preparation.

We thank the reviewer for noting this issue. Accordingly, we have provided the requested additional details of the computational setup in the revised version.

(2) Simulation parameters: Clarify how non-bonded interactions were treated in both MM and QM/MM simulations. For the QM/MM simulation, specify the time step used, whether the Shake was applied; whether the NPT simulations were performed, and any other relevant parameters.

We thank the reviewer for noting this issue. Accordingly, we have provided the requested additional details of the simulation parameters.

(3) Free energy determination strategy: Describe how the two profiles (forward and reverse profiles) were combined and provide a theoretical justification for this approach. Additionally, include a comment on whether Jarzynski's inequality equation is directly applicable to the NPT simulation.

According to the reviewer request, in the revised version of the manuscript we have described how the two profiles were combined and provided a theoretical justification for this approach.

Reviewer #3 (Recommendations For The Authors):

See recommendations in the Public Review regarding the analysis of transition state ensemble and activation entropy.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.93099.2.sa4>