

Canada

Philip Converse, University of Michigan
John Meisel, Queen's University
Maurice Pinard, McGill University
Peter Regenstreif, University of Rochester
Mildred Schwartz, University of Chicago

Canadian Election Study, 1965

Study Documentation

August 6, 2020

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
Production Date	August 20, 2017
Version	Version September 15, 2017 Version October 20, 2017
Identification	CES-E-1965

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	4
Sampling	5
Data Collection	5
Accessibility	5
Rights & Disclaimer	5
Files Description	6
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final	6
Variables Group(s)	7
Geographic Variables	7
Respondent Identification	7
1965 Voting Behaviour	7
Administration - Record Numbers	8
Campaign Activities	8
Caouette's Connections	9
Choice of Prime Minister	9
Concept of Conservative Party	9
Concept of Creditiste Party	10
Concept of Liberal Party	10
Concept of New Democratic Party	11
Concept of Social Credit Party	12
Demographic Variables	12
Diefenbaker's Connections	13
Douglas' Connections	13
Election Issues	14
Federal Parties Similar / Different	15
Financial Situation	16
Geography	17
Group Associations	17
Ideal Party for Canada	18
Knowledge of Candidates	19
Mobility Data - Inter-provincial	20
National Problems	21
Opinion of Canadian Provinces	21
Parent's Political Identification	22
Past and Present Party Affiliation	22
Past Voting Behaviour	23
Pearson's Connections	24
Performance of MP's	24
Political Contributions	25
Political Efficacy & Cynicism	27
Thompson's Connections	28
Voting Decisions	28
Weights	29
Provincial Power / Influence	29
Provinces Better Off	29
Provinces Worse Off	30
Province Would Like to Live	31
Province Would Not Like to Live	32
Variables Description	34
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final	35

Canadian Election Study, 1965 (CES 1965)*Étude électorale canadienne 1965*

Overview	
Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-1965
Series	<p>The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries.</p> <p>The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies.</p> <p>The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.</p>
Abstract	
<p>This study consists of a survey administered to eligible Canadian voters after the 1965 national elections. Open-ended questions measured the respondents' attitudes toward the problems confronting Canada and various campaign issues, as well as their political efficacy and trust in government. Provincial and regional conflicts and affinities were explored through several questions inquiring which provinces were more powerful, better off, and worse off. The respondents were also queried about their political party attachments, voting behaviour, campaign activities, and attitudes toward campaign financing. Demographic data include age, sex, marital status, education, religion, occupation, and country of birth, if applicable.</p>	
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Debate, Leaders, Candidates, Votes, Voting, Media
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
Time Period(s)	1965
Countries	Canada
Geographic Coverage	
Canada, Provinces	
Universe	
Canadian Electorate	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Philip Converse, University of Michigan John Meisel, Queen's University Maurice Pinard, McGill University Peter Regenstreif, University of Rochester Mildred Schwartz, University of Chicago
Other Producer(s)	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
Funding Agency/ies	Elections Canada

Sampling

Weighting

Two forms of a weight variable are present in this study -- an integer weight variable (v3) and a decimal weight variable (v4). Both correct sampling deficiencies in identical fashion. The users can decide which form is most desirable for their analyses, but one of the two weight variables must always be used when executing analysis programs on the data. The 2,118 respondents were weighted to 8,193 (integer weight) or 2,719.04 (decimal weight). Integer weight variable (v3) 2118 8193; decimal weight variable (v4) 2118 2729.04.

Please note that in some publications the weighted n (weighted by the decimal weight variable) is reported as 2596 instead of 2729.04 as reported here. This discrepancy stems in part from the fact that the British Columbia respondents were originally wrongly weighted. The data set distributed by the Consortium (ICPSR) corrects the weights for the British Columbia respondents (originally 1, now 2) as per the request of Professor John Meisel.

Data Collection

Time Period(s)	single 1965
-----------------------	-------------

Accessibility

Distributor(s)	Canadian Opinion Research Archive
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

Citation Requirements

Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Copyright	Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012
------------------	---

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final	
# Cases	2118
# Variable(s)	350

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 40 group(s)

Group Geographic Variables							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V5	PROVINCE OF INTERVIEW	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	-
2	V6	RESPONDENT'S CONSTITUENCY	continuous	numeric-3.0	2118	0	-

Group Respondent Identification							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V2	INTERVIEW NUMBER	continuous	numeric-5.0	2118	0	-

Group 1965 Voting Behaviour							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V262	VOTE IN 1965 FEDERAL ELECTION	continuous	numeric-2.0	2090	28	Q61-C. WHICH PARTY DID YOU VOTE FOR?
2	V263	WHEN RESPONDENT DECIDED HOW TO VOTE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1562	556	WHEN DID YOU DECIDE HOW YOU WERE BEST GOING TO VOTE?
3	V264	EASY OR NOT, DECISION IN 1965 VOTE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1821	297	WAS IT AN EASY DECISION OR NOT?
4	V265	WHY 1965 VOTE DECISION WAS NOT EASY	continuous	numeric-2.0	337	1781	IF THE DECISION ON HOW TO VOTE WAS NOT AN EASY ONE, WHY NOT?
5	V266	USED AID REMEMBER CANDIDATE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1800	318	DID YOU HAPPEN TO BRING ANYTHING-LIKE A POSTCARD-WHEN YOU WENT TO VOTE, IN ORDER TO HELP YOU REMEMBER WHO THE CANDIDATES WERE?
6	V267	WHY CHANGED VOTE FROM 1963 TO 1965	continuous	numeric-2.0	223	1895	I NOTICE THAT YOU CHANGED YOUR VOTE FROM 1963 TO 1965. WAS THERE ANY SPECIAL REASON FOR CHANGING THE PARTY YOU VOTED FOR?
7	V268	RESPONDENT'S REASON FOR 1965 VOTE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1653	465	HOW ABOUT YOUR VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER ELECTION. WAS THERE ANY SPECIAL REASON FOR VOTING THE WAY YOU DID?
8	V269	VOTE LAST PROVINCIAL ELECTION	continuous	numeric-2.0	1861	257	NOW LET ME END THIS DISCUSSION OF HOW YOU VOTED WITH ONE MORE QUESTION, THIS TIME ON PROVINCIAL POLITICS. FOR WHICH PARTY DID YOU HAPPEN TO VOTE IN THE LAST PROVINCIAL ELECTION.
9	V270	MOST IMPORTANT TYPE ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2048	70	WHILE MOST PEOPLE THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO VOTE IN ALL ELECTIONS IT ISN'T ALWAYS POSSIBLE TO DO SO. IF IT WERE NECESSARY TO MAKE A CHOICE, IN WHICH KIND OF ELECTION WOULD YOU SAY IT

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							WAS MOST IMPORTANT TO VOTE? LOCAL ELECTIONS, NATIONAL ELECTION, OR PROVINCIAL ONES?

Group Administration - Record Numbers

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V1	ICPR STUDY NUMBER-7225	continuous	numeric-4.0	2118	0	-
2	V2	INTERVIEW NUMBER	continuous	numeric-5.0	2118	0	-

Group Campaign Activities

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V271	CAMPAIGN MEETINGS ATTENDED	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	I WONDER IF YOU COULD TELL ME WHETHER YOU DID ANY OF THESE THINGS DURING THE CAMPAIGN FOR THE NOVEMBER ELECTION- DID YOU ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR GATHERINGS DURING THE CAMPAIGN?
2	V272	NUMBER OF CAMPAIGN MEETINGS ATTENDED	continuous	numeric-2.0	327	1791	HOW MANY WOULD YOU SAY YOU WENT TO?
3	V273	READ PARTY MATERIAL	continuous	numeric-1.0	2073	45	DID YOU READ ANY PARTY LEAFLETS OR REPORTS OF ELECTION SPEECHES DURING THE CAMPAIGN?
4	V274	ANY PARTY CANVASSED HOME?	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	DID ANY OF THE PARTIES CALL AT YOUR HOME DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN?
5	V275	CANVASSED BY CONSERVATIVE	continuous	numeric-1.0	427	1691	DID CONSERVATIVE PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?
6	V276	CANVASSED BY LIBERALS	continuous	numeric-1.0	427	1691	DID LIBERAL PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?
7	V277	CANVASSED BY NDP	continuous	numeric-1.0	427	1691	DID NDP PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?
8	V278	CANVASSED BY SOCIAL CREDIT	continuous	numeric-1.0	427	1691	DID SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?
9	V279	CANVASSED BY OTHER PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	427	1691	DID OTHER PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?
10	V280	DID RESPONDENT HELP A PARTY/CANDIDATE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2110	8	DID YOU PERSONALLY HELP ONE OF THE PARTIES OR A CANDIDATE? (FOR EXAMPLE, BY CANVASSING, ADDRESSING PAMPHLETS.)
11	V281	TRY TO INFLUENCE OTHER VOTERS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2087	31	DID YOU, ON YOUR OWN, TALK TO ANY PEOPLE AND TRY TO SHOW THEM WHY THEY SHOULD VOTE FOR ONE OF THE PARTIES OR CANDIDATES?
12	V282	IS RESPONDENT A MEMBER OF A POLITICAL ORGANIZATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2115	3	DO YOU BELONG TO ANY POLITICAL CLUB OR ORGANISATION?

Group Caouette's Connections							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V126	CAOINETTE-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1631	487	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOINETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?]
2	V127	CAOINETTE-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1631	487	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOINETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?]
3	V128	CAOINETTE-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1631	487	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOINETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]
4	V129	CAOINETTE-PRAIRIES CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1631	487	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOINETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES?]
5	V130	CAOINETTE-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1631	487	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOINETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]

Group Choice of Prime Minister							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V131A	BEST PRIME MINISTER - FIRST RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1297	821	WHICH POLITICAL FIGURE TODAY DO YOU THINK WOULD MAKE THE BEST PRIME MINISTER FOR CANADA?
2	V131B	BEST PRIME MINISTER - SECOND RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	39	2079	WHICH POLITICAL FIGURE TODAY DO YOU THINK WOULD MAKE THE BEST PRIME MINISTER FOR CANADA?

Group Concept of Conservative Party							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V162	CNSVTV PARTY - OLD/MODERN	continuous	numeric-1.0	1969	149	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
2	V163	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1965	153	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
3	V164	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK	continuous	numeric-1.0	1970	148	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
4	V165	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1964	154	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
5	V166	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1958	160	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
6	V167	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1967	151	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
7	V168	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - BAD/GOOD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1969	149	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
8	V169	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1882	236	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
9	V170	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1966	152	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
10	V171	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1970	148	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	V172	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - DULL/EXCITING	continuous	numeric-1.0	1966	152	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
12	V173	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - YOUNG/OLD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1967	151	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
13	V174	CONSERVATIVE PARTY - SLOW/FAST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1971	147	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Group Concept of Creditiste Party

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V201	CREDITISTE PARTY - OLD/MODERN	continuous	numeric-1.0	1570	548	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
2	V202	CREDITISTE PARTY - COMPETENT/ INCOMPETENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1573	545	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
3	V203	CREDITISTE PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK	continuous	numeric-1.0	1567	551	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
4	V204	CREDITISTE PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1570	548	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
5	V205	CREDITISTE PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1557	561	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
6	V206	CREDITISTE PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1557	561	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
7	V207	CREDITISTE PARTY - BAD/GOOD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1571	547	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
8	V208	CREDITISTE PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1512	606	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
9	V209	CREDITISTE PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1572	546	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
10	V210	CREDITISTE PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1562	556	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
11	V211	CREDITISTE PARTY - DULL/EXCITING	continuous	numeric-1.0	1564	554	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
12	V212	CREDITISTE PARTY - YOUNG/OLD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1561	557	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
13	V213	CREDITISTE PARTY - SLOW/FAST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1565	553	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Group Concept of Liberal Party

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V149	LIBERAL PARTY - OLD/ MODERN	continuous	numeric-1.0	2001	117	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
2	V150	LIBERAL PARTY - COMPETENT/ INCOMPETENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1998	120	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
3	V151	LIBERAL PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK	continuous	numeric-1.0	2004	114	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
4	V152	LIBERAL PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1993	125	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	V153	LIBERAL PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1979	139	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
6	V154	LIBERAL PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1994	124	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
7	V155	LIBERAL PARTY - BAD/GOOD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1993	125	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
8	V156	LIBERAL PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1910	208	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
9	V157	LIBERAL PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1990	128	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
10	V158	LIBERAL PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
11	V159	LIBERAL PARTY - DULL/EXCITING	continuous	numeric-1.0	1985	133	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
12	V160	LIBERAL PARTY - YOUNG/OLD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1992	126	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
13	V161	LIBERAL PARTY - SLOW/FAST	continuous	numeric-1.0	2000	118	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Group Concept of New Democratic Party

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V175	NDP PARTY - OLD/MODERN	continuous	numeric-1.0	1772	346	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
2	V176	NDP PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1775	343	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
3	V177	NDP PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK	continuous	numeric-1.0	1774	344	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
4	V178	NDP PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1766	352	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
5	V179	NDP PARTY - MIDDLE/WORKING CLASS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1766	352	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
6	V180	NDP PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1764	354	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
7	V181	NDP PARTY - BAD/GOOD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1771	347	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
8	V182	NDP PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1706	412	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
9	V183	NDP PARTY - STRONG/WEAK MIND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1767	351	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
10	V184	NDP PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1771	347	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
11	V185	NDP PARTY - DULL/EXCITING	continuous	numeric-1.0	1770	348	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
12	V186	NDP PARTY - YOUNG/OLD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1771	347	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
13	V187	NDP PARTY - SLOW/FAST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1769	349	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Group Concept of Social Credit Party							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V188	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - OLD/MODERN	continuous	numeric-1.0	1639	479	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
2	V189	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - COMPETENT/ INCOMPETENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1637	481	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
3	V190	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK	continuous	numeric-1.0	1643	475	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
4	V191	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1638	480	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
5	V192	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1634	484	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
6	V193	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1637	481	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
7	V194	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - BAD/GOOD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1644	474	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
8	V195	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1583	535	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
9	V196	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1635	483	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
10	V197	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1638	480	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
11	V198	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - DULL/EXCITING	continuous	numeric-1.0	1640	478	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
12	V199	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - YOUNG/OLD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1639	479	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
13	V200	SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - SLOW/FAST	continuous	numeric-1.0	1639	479	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Group Demographic Variables							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V304	RESPONDENT'S MARITAL STATUS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2117	1	ARE YOU MARRIED, WIDOWED, SEPARATED, DIVORCED, OR ARE YOU SINGLE?
2	V305	WHO IS MAIN WAGE EARNER	continuous	numeric-1.0	2117	1	WHO USUALLY IS YOUR FAMILY'S MAIN WAGE EARNER?
3	V306	MAIN EARNER'S OCCUPATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	2113	5	WHAT IS THE MAIN EARNER'S (YOUR) OCCUPATION?
4	V307	RESPONDENT'S YEARS OF SCHOOLING	continuous	numeric-2.0	2118	0	HOW MANY YEARS OF SCHOOL DID YOU ATTEND?
5	V308	RESPONDENT'S SOCIAL CLASS - OWN RANKING	continuous	numeric-1.0	2028	90	IF YOU HAD TO PICK ONE, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING FIVE SOCIAL CLASSES WOULD YOU SAY YOU WERE IN -- UPPER CLASS, UPPER-MIDDLE CLASS, MIDDLE CLASS, WORKING CLASS, OR LOWER CLASS?
6	V309	RESPONDENT'S CHURCH PREFERENCE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2118	0	WOULD YOU MIND TELLING ME WHAT RELIGION YOU ARE?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	V310	RESPONDENT'S CHURCH ATTENDANCE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2055	63	ABOUT HOW OFTEN DO YOU GO TO CHURCH? AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, TWO OR THREE TIMES A MONTH, ONCE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR OR LESS, NEVER?
8	V311	RESPONDENT'S ETHNIC ORIGIN	continuous	numeric-3.0	2118	0	PLEASE TELL ME FROM WHAT COUNTRY MOST OF YOUR ANCESTORS CAME?
9	V312	RESPONDENT'S PLACE OF BIRTH	continuous	numeric-3.0	2118	0	IN WHAT COUNTRY WERE YOU BORN?
10	V313	YEAR IMMIGRATED TO CANADA	continuous	numeric-1.0	357	1761	IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU BECOME AN IMMIGRANT TO CANADA?
11	V314	LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	continuous	numeric-1.0	2115	3	WHAT LANGUAGE DO YOU MOST OFTEN SPEAK AT HOME?
12	V315	SIZE OF PLACE RESPONDENT GREW UP	continuous	numeric-1.0	2108	10	WHEN YOU WERE GROWING UP WHERE DID YOU LIVE MOSTLY, IN A CITY OR SUBURB, IN A VILLAGE OR SMALL TOWN, OR ON A FARM?
13	V335	RESPONDENT'S AGE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2098	20	WHAT IS YOUR AGE?
14	V336	RESPONDENT'S FAMILY INCOME	continuous	numeric-2.0	2047	71	INTO WHICH OF THESE GROUPS ON THIS CARD DID THE TOTAL INCOME FOR YOUR FAMILY FALL LAST YEAR (BEFORE TAXES)?
15	V337	RESPONDENT'S SEX	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	SEX

Group Diefenbaker's Connections

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V106	DIEFENBAKER-MARITIME CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1670	448	TAKE MR. DIEFENBAKER. DOES HE HAVE MORE CONNECTION WITH THE MARITIMES, QUEBEC, ONTARIO, THE PRAIRIES, OR BRITISH COLUMBIA? IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?
2	V107	DIEFENBAKER-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1670	448	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?
3	V108	DIEFENBAKER-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1670	448	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO
4	V109	DIEFENBAKER-PRAIRIE CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1670	448	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES
5	V110	DIEFENBAKER-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1670	448	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA

Group Douglas' Connections

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V116	DOUGLAS-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1205	913	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?]
2	V117	DOUGLAS-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1205	913	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC]

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	V118	DOUGLAS-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1205	913	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]
4	V119	DOUGLAS-PRAIRIE CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1205	913	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES]
5	V120	DOUGLAS-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1205	913	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]

Group Election Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V25A	IMPORTANT ISSUES IN ELECTION - FIRST RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1454	664	DURING THIS ELECTION PEOPLE HAD DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE ISSUES WHICH WERE IMPORTANT IN THE CAMPAIGN. WE ARE INTERESTED IN HEARING YOUR OPINION ABOUT WHAT YOU THOUGHT WERE THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES IN THE LAST ELECTION.
2	V25B	IMPORTANT ISSUES IN ELECTION - SECOND RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	726	1392	DURING THIS ELECTION PEOPLE HAD DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE ISSUES WHICH WERE IMPORTANT IN THE CAMPAIGN. WE ARE INTERESTED IN HEARING YOUR OPINION ABOUT WHAT YOU THOUGHT WERE THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES IN THE LAST ELECTION.
3	V26	SOCIAL WELFARE ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2061	57	TAKE SOCIAL WELFARE ISSUES, LIKE HEALTH INSURANCE AND PENSIONS. HOW IMPORTANT WAS THAT TO YOU IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE? VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT?
4	V27	ECONOMIC ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2030	88	HOW ABOUT ISSUES CONCERNING THE ECONOMY, SUCH AS UNEMPLOYMENT, GOVERNMENT PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE, FOREIGN TRADE [HOW IMPORTANT WAS THAT TO YOU IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?
5	V28	ENGLISH-FRENCH ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2045	73	NOW THINK OF THE ISSUE OF RELATIONS BETWEEN FRENCH AND ENGLISH-SPEAKING CANADIANS. WAS THIS VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT TO YOU [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?
6	V29	FLAG ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2060	58	IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE, DID YOU FEEL THAT THE CANADIAN FLAG ISSUE WAS VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	V30	CANADIAN UNITY - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2011	107	HAT ABOUT THE UNITY OF CANADA? WAS THIS AN ISSUE WHICH YOU FOUND VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?
8	V31	GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	WAS CORRUPTION IN GOVERNMENT AN ISSUE WHICH YOU FOUND VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?
9	V32	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2015	103	HOW IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE] DID YOU FIND THE ISSUE OF WHETHER CANADA SHOULD HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT?
10	V33	STRONG LEADER ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2030	88	DID THE ISSUE OF STRONG LEADERSHIP IN OTTAWA STRIKE YOU AS BEING VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?
11	V34	GOVERNMENT FORMATION - IMPORTANCE OF MAJORITY PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	2056	62	IN RECENT ELECTIONS, THE POLITICAL PARTY WHICH HAS FORMED THE GOVERNMENT IN OTTAWA HAS NOT HAD A MAJORITY OF THE SEATS IN PARLIAMENT. DO YOU THINK IT MAKES A GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE, OR NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE WHETHER THE PARTY FORMING THE GOVERNMENT HAS A MAJORITY OF SEATS OR NOT?
12	V35	VOTE FOR OTHER PARTY IF MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1916	202	IF YOU BELIEVED THAT A PARTY COULD FORM A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT, BUT YOU DID NOT ORDINARILY VOTE FOR THAT PARTY, HOW LIKELY WOULD YOU BE TO VOTE FOR IT IN ORDER TO HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT? VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, OR NOT AT ALL LIKELY?
13	V36	DEGREE OF INTEREST IN POLITICS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2111	7	HOW MUCH INTEREST DO YOU GENERALLY HAVE IN WHAT IS GOING ON IN POLITICS-A GOOD DEAL, SOME, OR NOT MUCH?

Group Federal Parties Similar / Different

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V132	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FEDERAL PARTIES	continuous	numeric-1.0	2013	105	ONE OF THE THINGS WE ARE INTERESTED IN IS THE DIFFERENCES WHICH EXIST BETWEEN OUR FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES. CONSIDERING EVERYTHING THE PARTIES STAND FOR, WOULD YOU SAY THAT THERE IS A GOOD DEAL OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							THE PARTIES, SOME DIFFERENCE, OR NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE?
2	V133	FEDERAL PARTIES MOST ALIKE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1662	456	WHICH OF OUR FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES DO YOU FEEL ARE MOST ALIKE?
3	V134	FEDERAL PARTIES LEAST ALIKE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1365	753	WHICH OF OUR FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES ARE LEAST ALIKE?
4	V135	WHICH PARTY GOVERNS MATTERS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2024	94	IN YOUR OPINION, DO YOU THINK IT MAKES A GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE, OR NO DIFFERENCE, WHICH POLITICAL PARTY RUNS THIS COUNTRY?

Group Financial Situation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V48	RESPONDENT SATISFIED WITH PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2094	24	AS FAR AS YOU AND YOUR FAMILY ARE CONCERNED, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU ARE PRETTY WELL SATISFIED, MORE OR LESS SATISFIED, OR NOT AT ALL SATISFIED ABOUT THE WAY YOU ARE GETTING ALONG?
2	V49	RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION CHANGED IN PAST YEARS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2100	18	DURING THE LAST FEW YEARS, HAS YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION BEEN GETTING BETTER, BEEN GETTING WORSE, OR HAS IT STAYED THE SAME?
3	V50	DOES RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION LOOK FAVORABLE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1902	216	IN GENERAL, WOULD YOU SAY THAT THE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION OF YOU AND YOUR FAMILY NOW LOOKS MUCH BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, SOMEWHAT WORSE OR MUCH WORSE THAN IT DID TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO?
4	V51	WILL RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION IMPROVE IN FUTURE?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1907	211	NOW LOOKING AHEAD, AND THINKING ABOUT THE NEXT FEW YEARS, DO YOU EXPECT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL STAY ABOUT THE WAY IT IS NOW, GET BETTER, OR GET WORSE?
5	V52	WILL ELECTION AFFECT RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1903	215	DO YOU THINK THAT THE WAY THE ELECTION TURNED OUT WILL MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE IN HOW WELL OFF YOU ARE?
6	V53	WILL ELECTION MAKE FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER OR WORSE?	continuous	numeric-1.0	315	1803	WILL IT [THE WAY THE ELECTION TURNED OUT] MAKE THINGS BETTER OR WORSE?
7	V54	RESPONDENT UNEMPLOYED IN LAST YEAR?	continuous	numeric-1.0	2105	13	HAVE YOU BEEN PERSONALLY UNEMPLOYED AND LOOKING FOR WORK DURING THE PAST YEAR?
8	V55	HAS ANY FAMILY MEMBER BEEN UNEMPLOYED IN THE LAST YEAR	continuous	numeric-1.0	2104	14	HAS ANYONE IN YOUR FAMILY BEEN UNEMPLOYED AND LOOKING FOR WORK DURING THE PAST YEAR?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	V56	HAS ANY FAMILY MEMBER BEEN UNEMPLOYED IN THE PAST 3 YEARS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2099	19	WHAT ABOUT THE LAST THREE YEARS? DURING THAT TIME HAVE YOU OR ANY MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BEEN UNEMPLOYED AND LOOKING FOR WORK?

Group Geography

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V5	PROVINCE OF INTERVIEW	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	-
2	V6	RESPONDENT'S CONSTITUENCY	continuous	numeric-3.0	2118	0	-
3	V7	SIZE OF PLACE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2108	10	-

Group Group Associations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V327	RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER LABOUR UNION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1130	988	DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION; A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION; ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? [BELONG TO LABOUR UNION?]
2	V328	RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER TRADE ASSOCIATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1130	988	DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION; A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION; ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? A Trade Association?
3	V329	RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER FARM ORGANIZATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1130	988	DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION; A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION; ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? FARM ASSOCIATION?
4	V330	RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1130	988	DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION; A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION; ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	V331	RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER OTHER VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1130	988	DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION; A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION; ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? OTHER VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATION?
6	V332	RESPONDENT VISITED OTHER'S HOME	continuous	numeric-1.0	641	1477	DURING THE LAST SEVEN DAYS, HAVE YOU VISITED OTHER PEOPLE AT THEIR HOMES?
7	V333	OTHERS VISITED RESPONDENT'S HOME	continuous	numeric-1.0	640	1478	DURING THE LAST SEVEN DAYS, HAVE OTHER PEOPLE VISITED YOU AT YOUR HOME?
8	V334	RESPONDENT HAS BEEN OUT WITH OTHERS IN PAST WEEK	continuous	numeric-1.0	640	1478	DURING THE LAST SEVEN DAYS, HAVE YOU BEEN OUT WITH OTHER PEOPLE SOMEWHERE ELSE THAN AT RELATIVES' OR FRIENDS' HOUSE?

Group Ideal Party for Canada

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V136	IDEAL PARTY - OLD/ MODERN	continuous	numeric-1.0	2028	90	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
2	V137	IDEAL PARTY - COMPETENT/ INCOMPETENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	2028	90	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
3	V138	IDEAL PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK	continuous	numeric-1.0	2027	91	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
4	V139	IDEAL PARTY - FOOLISH/ WISE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2025	93	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
5	V140	IDEAL PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2014	104	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
6	V141	IDEAL PARTY - UNITED/ SPLIT	continuous	numeric-1.0	2027	91	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
7	V142	IDEAL PARTY - BAD/ GOOD	continuous	numeric-1.0	2026	92	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
8	V143	IDEAL PARTY - LEFT/ RIGHT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1953	165	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	V144	IDEAL PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND	continuous	numeric-1.0	2024	94	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
10	V145	IDEAL PARTY - HONEST/ DISHONEST	continuous	numeric-1.0	2035	83	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
11	V146	IDEAL PARTY - DULL/ EXCITING	continuous	numeric-1.0	2017	101	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
12	V147	IDEAL PARTY - YOUNG/ OLD	continuous	numeric-1.0	2024	94	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.
13	V148	IDEAL PARTY - SLOW/ FAST	continuous	numeric-1.0	2029	89	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY- THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.

Group Knowledge of Candidates

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V244	REMEMBER NAME ELECTED CANDIDATE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2115	3	DO YOU HAPPEN TO REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE CANDIDATE WHO WAS ELECTED TO PARLIAMENT FOR THIS RIDING IN THE NOVEMBER 8th, ELECTION?
2	V245	REMEMBER PARTY ELECTED CANDIDATE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2116	2	DO YOU HAPPEN TO KNOW HIS (HER) [ELECTED MP] PARTY?
3	V246	READ OR HEARD ABOUT CANDIDATE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2114	4	HAVE YOU EVER READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [ELECTED MP]?
4	V247	TYPE OF INFORMATION READ OR HEARD ABOUT MP	continuous	numeric-1.0	1223	895	WHAT WAS THAT [R READ OR HEARD ABOUT ELECTED MP]?
5	V248	WAS CANDIDATE INCUMBENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	DO YOU HAPPEN TO KNOW WHETHER HE (SHE) WAS ALREADY IN PARLIAMENT JUST BEFORE THIS ELECTION?
6	V249	CANDIDATE AIDED CONSTITUENCY	continuous	numeric-1.0	596	1522	DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING THAT (NAME OF MEMBER) HAS DONE FOR THE PEOPLE OF THIS CONSTITUENCY?
7	V250	CANDIDATE AIDED RESPONDENT'S FAMILY	continuous	numeric-1.0	1288	830	HAS HE (SHE) EVER DONE ANYTHING FOR YOU OR YOUR FAMILY PERSONALLY?
8	V251A	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 1
9	V251B	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME	continuous	numeric-1.0	747	1371	DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 2
10	V251C	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME	continuous	numeric-1.0	186	1932	DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 3
11	V251D	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME	continuous	numeric-1.0	35	2083	DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 4
12	V252A	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 1
13	V252B	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	747	1371	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 2
14	V252C	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	186	1932	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 3
15	V252D	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	35	2083	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 4
16	V253A	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD	continuous	numeric-1.0	1446	672	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 1
17	V253B	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD	continuous	numeric-1.0	747	1371	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 2
18	V253C	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD	continuous	numeric-1.0	186	1932	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 3
19	V253D	OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD	continuous	numeric-1.0	35	2083	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 4

Group Mobility Data - Inter-provincial

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V316	LIVED IN OTHER PROVINCES	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?
2	V317	RESPONDENT LIVED IN NEWFOUNDLAND	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN NEWFOUNDLAND?]
3	V318	RESPONDENT LIVED IN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN P.E.I?]
4	V319	RESPONDENT LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA]
5	V320	RESPONDENT LIVED IN NEW BRUNSWICK	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN NEW BRUNSWICK?]

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	V321	RESPONDENT LIVED IN QUEBEC	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN QUEBEC?]
7	V322	RESPONDENT LIVED IN ONTARIO	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN ONTARIO?]
8	V323	RESPONDENT LIVED IN MANITOBA	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN MANITOBA?]
9	V324	RESPONDENT LIVED IN SASKATCHEWAN	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN SASKATCHEWAN?]
10	V325	RESPONDENT LIVED IN ALBERTA	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN ALBERTA?]
11	V326	RESPONDENT LIVED IN BRITISH COLUMBIA	continuous	numeric-1.0	595	1523	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN BRITISH COLUMBIA?]

Group National Problems

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V8A	MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FOR CANADA - FIRST RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1900	218	IN YOUR OPINION WHAT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY ARE MOST IMPORTANT?
2	V8B	MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FOR CANADA - SECOND RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1270	848	IN YOUR OPINION WHAT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY ARE MOST IMPORTANT?
3	V9A	PROBLEM SPECIAL CONCERN TO RESPONDENT - FIRST RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1656	462	WHICH ONE OF THESE [NATIONAL] PROBLEMS IS OF SPECIAL CONCERN TO YOU PERSONALLY?
4	V9B	PROBLEM SPECIAL CONCERN TO RESPONDENT - SECOND RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-2.0	294	1824	WHICH ONE OF THESE [NATIONAL] PROBLEMS IS OF SPECIAL CONCERN TO YOU PERSONALLY?
5	V10	RESPONDENT'S FLAGS ABOUT ELECTION RESULTS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1904	214	AS YOU KNOW ON NOVEMBER THE 8TH WE HAD A FEDERAL ELECTION. PEOPLE ARE TALKING A LOT ABOUT THIS ELECTION AND HOW IT TURNED OUT. DO YOU HAPPEN TO HAVE ANY FEELINGS ABOUT HOW THE ELECTION TURNED OUT?
6	V11	RESPONDENT'S REASON FOR OPINION	continuous	numeric-1.0	326	1792	CAN YOU TELL ME SOMETHING MORE ABOUT WHY YOU FEEL THAT WAY [ABOUT THE ELECTION RESULTS]?
7	V12	WHICH GOVERNMENT HANDLES PROBLEMS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1941	177	THINKING NOW OF THE MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING CANADA TODAY, WHICH GOVERNMENT WOULD YOU SAY HANDLES MOST OF THESE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN OTTAWA OR THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS?

Group Opinion of Canadian Provinces

Subgroup(s)	Provincial Power / Influence , Provinces Better Off , Provinces Worse Off , Province Would Like to Live , Province Would Not Like to Live
--------------------	---

Group Parent's Political Identification							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V240	FATHER'S INTEREST IN POLITICS	continuous	numeric-1.0	2016	102	DO YOU REMEMBER, WHEN YOU WERE GROWING, UP, WHETHER YOUR FATHER WAS VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS, SOMEWHAT INTERESTED, OR DIDN'T HE PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO IT?
2	V241	FATHER'S PARTY PREFERENCE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1847	271	DID YOUR FATHER HAVE ANY PARTICULAR PREFERENCE FOR ONE OF THE FEDEEAL POLITICAL PARTIES WHEN YOU WERE YOUNG?
3	V242	MOTHER'S INTEREST IN POLITICS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1997	121	WHEN YOU WERE GROWING UP, WAS YOUR MOTHER VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS, SOMEWHAT INTERESTED, OR DIDN'T SHE PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO IT?
4	V243	MOTHER'S PARTY PREFERENCE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1899	219	WHEN YOU WERE YOUNG, DID YOUR MOTHER HAVE ANY PREFERENCE FOR ONE OF THE FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES?

Group Past and Present Party Affiliation							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V221	RESPONDENT'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	2038	80	GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU USUALLY THINK OF YOURSELF AS CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, SOCIAL CREDIT, CREDITISTE, NDP, UNION NATIONALE OR WHAT?
2	V222	STRENGTH OF RESPONDENT'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1665	453	HOW STRONGLY (NAME OF CHOSEN PARTY) DO YOU GENERALLY FEEL-VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, OR NOT VERY STRONGLY?
3	V223	RESPONDENT'S PAST PARTY IDENTIFICATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	1639	479	WAS THERE EVER A TIME WHEN YOU THOUGHT OF YOURSELF AS CLOSEST TO ANY OTHER PARTY IN CANADA?
4	V224	LEVEL PARTY ID-NATIONAL/PROVINCIAL	continuous	numeric-1.0	1660	458	WHEN YOU SAY YOU ARE A (NAME OF CHOSEN PARTY) ARE YOU THINKING OF NATIONAL POLITICS, POLITICS HERE IN THIS PROVINCE, OR BOTH?
5	V225	PROVINCIAL PARTY ID-NATIONAL LEVEL 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	303	1815	HOW ABOUT POLITICS HERE IN (NAME OF PROVINCE)? HOW DO YOU THINK OF YOURSELF?
6	V226	NATIONAL PARTY ID-PROVINCIAL LEVEL 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	80	2038	HOW ABOUT NATIONAL POLITICS? HOW DO YOU THINK OF YOURSELF?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	V227	CLOSER TO WHICH PARTY	continuous	numeric-2.0	395	1723	WELL, DO YOU GENERALLY THINK OF YOURSELF AS A LITTLE CLOSER TO ONE OF THE PARTIES THAN THE OTHERS? (IF "YES") WHICH PARTY IS THAT?
8	V228	PARTY CHOICE NATIONAL/PROVINCIAL	continuous	numeric-1.0	171	1947	WHEN YOU SAY YOU FEEL CLOSER TO (PARTY MENTIONED), ARE YOU THINKING OF NATIONAL POLITICS, POLITICS HERE IN THE PROVINCES OR BOTH?
9	V229	PROVINCIAL LEVEL-NATIONAL LEVEL 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	39	2079	HOW ABOUT POLITICS HERE IN (PROVINCE) TO WHICH PARTY DO YOU GENERALLY FEEL CLOSER?
10	V230	NATIONAL LEVEL-PROVINCIAL LEVEL 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	8	2110	HOW ABOUT NATIONAL POLITICS? TO WHICH PARTY DO YOU GENERALLY FEEL CLOSER?
11	V231	PAST PARTY CHOICE/ID	continuous	numeric-2.0	164	1954	WAS THERE EVER A TIME WHEN YOU FELT CLOSER TO ANY OTHER PARTY? (IF "YES") WHICH PARTY WAS THAT?
12	V232	EVER CLOSE TO PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	239	1879	WAS THERE EVER A TIME WHEN YOU DID THINK OF YOURSELF AS CLOSER TO ONE OF THE PARTIES?
13	V233	WHEN RESPONDENT'S PARTY ID CHANGED	continuous	numeric-2.0	558	1560	V233 question details
14	V234	REASON R CHANGED PARTY ID	continuous	numeric-2.0	647	1471	Q. 36-D. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 223) WHAT WAS THE MAIN THING THAT MADE YOU CHANGE? / Q. 38-I. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 231) WHAT WAS THE MAIN THING THAT MADE YOU CHANGE? / Q. 38-L. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 232) WHAT WAS THE MAIN THING THAT MADE YOU MOVE AWAY FROM THE PARTY?
15	V235	SECOND CHOICE FEDERAL PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	1795	323	IF AT THIS TIME YOU HAD TO VOTE FOR ONE OF THE OTHER FEDERAL PARTIES, WHICH ONE WOULD BE YOUR SECOND CHOICE?
16	V236	LAST CHOICE FEDERAL PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	1713	405	WHICH OF THE FEDERAL PARTIES TODAY WOULD YOU LEAST WANT TO VOTE FOR?

Group Past Voting Behaviour

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V254	REGULAR VOTER IN FEDERAL ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2110	8	IN FEDERAL ELECTIONS SINCE YOU HAVE BEEN OLD ENOUGH TO VOTE IN CANADA, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU HAVE VOTED IN ALL OF THEM, MOST OF THEM, SOBE OF THEM, OR NONE OF THEH?
2	V255	FEDERAL ELECTION - VOTE SAME PARTY?	continuous	numeric-1.0	2044	74	(FOR THOSE WHO HAVE VOTED IN FEDERAL ELECTIONS) HAVE YOU ALWAYS VOTED FOR THE SAME

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							PARTY, OR HAVE YOU VOTED FOR DIFFERENT PARTIES?
3	V256	FEDERAL ELECTION - VOTE WHICH SAME PARTY?	continuous	numeric-1.0	896	1222	(IF SAME PARTY) WHICH PARTY IS THAT [R HAS ALWAYS VOTED FOR IN FEDERAL ELECTIONS]?
4	V257	REGULAR VOTER IN PROVINCIAL ELECTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2096	22	IN PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS SINCE YOU HAVE BEEN OLD ENOUGH TO VOTE, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU HAVE VOTED IN ALL OF THEM, MOST OF THEM, SOME OF THEM, OR NONE OF THEM?
5	V258	PROVINCIAL ELECTION - VOTE SAME PARTY?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1905	213	HAVE YOU ALWAYS VOTED FOR THE SAME PARTY, OR HAVE YOU VOTED FOR DIFFERENT PARTIES?
6	V259	PROVINCIAL ELECTION - VOTE WHICH SAME PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	945	1173	WHICH PARTY IS THAT [R HAS ALWAYS VOTED FOR IN PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS]?
7	V260	QUEBEC VOTE 1962 FEDERAL ELECTION	continuous	numeric-2.0	562	1556	V260 question details
8	V261	VOTE IN 1963 FEDERAL ELECTION	continuous	numeric-2.0	2013	105	Q. 61-A. BOW ABOUT THE ELECTION ON UOVBEBBER STH. DID YOU VOTE THIS TIBE OR DID SOMETHING KEEP YOU FROK VOTING?

Group Pearson's Connections

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V111	PEARSON-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1485	633	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?
2	V112	PEARSON-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1485	633	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?]
3	V113	PEARSON-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1485	633	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]
4	V114	PEARSON-PRAIRIE CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1485	633	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES?]
5	V115	PEARSON-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1485	633	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]

Group Performance of MP's

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V214	LIBERAL MP-JOB PERFORMANCE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2019	99	LET'S START WITH THE LIBERAL MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE JOB THEY HAVE BEEN DOING-PRETTY GOOD, JUST SO-SO, OR NOT GOOD AT ALL?
2	V215	CONSERVATIVE MP-JOB PERFORMANCE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1984	134	HOW ABOUT THE CONSERVATIVE MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT? HOW HAVE THEY BEEN PRETTY GOOD, SO-SO, OR NOT GOOD AT ALL?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	V216	NDP MP-JOB PERFORMANCE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1591	527	WHAT ABOUT THE NDP MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT? HOW WOULD YOU RATE THEM?
4	V217	SOCIAL CREDIT MP-JOB PERFORMANCE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1483	635	AND HOW ABOUT THE SOCIAL CREDIT MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT FOLLOWING MR. THOMPSON?
5	V218	CREDITISTE MP-JOB PERFORMANCE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1409	709	AND FINALLY, WHAT ABOUT THE CAOUETTE GROUP OF SOCIAL CREDIT MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT?
6	V219	COMPARE CREDITISTE PARTY TO OTHERS	continuous	numeric-1.0	573	1545	V219 question details
7	V220	CHANCE CREDITISTE PARTY WILL COME TO POWER IN 10 YEARS	continuous	numeric-1.0	599	1519	WHAT CHANCES DO YOU THINK THE SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY HAS TO BECOME THE PARTY IN POWER IN OTTAWA IN THE NEXT TEN YEARS: A VERY GOOD CHANCE, FAIRLY GOOD CHANCE, NOT TOO MUCH OF A CHANCE OR NO CHANCE AT ALL?

Group Political Contributions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V283	READ OR HEAR ABOUT FEDERAL FUND RAISER	continuous	numeric-1.0	1784	334	THIS YEAR, DID YOU HEAR OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT A FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTY OR CANDIDATE HAVING A DRIVE, A DINNER OR SOME OTHER AFFAIR TO RAISE MONEY FOR CAMPAIGN EXPENSES?
2	V284	RESPONDENT CANVASSED FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2111	7	DID YOU YOURSELF, ASK ANYBODY TO GIVE MONEY TO HELP PAY THE COSTS DURING THE NOVEMBER ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF A CANDIDATE OR POLITICAL PARTY?
3	V285	WAS HOUSEHOLD ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	2031	87	DURING THIS LAST YEAR, WERE YOU OR ANY MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, BUY TICKETS, OR OTHERWISE CONTRIBUTE FINANCIALLY TO HELP PAY THE CAMPAIGN EXPENSES OF A POLITICAL PARTY OR CANDIDATE?
4	V286	WAS RESPONDENT ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	107	2011	WERE YOU, YOURSELF, ASKED [FOR POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION] OR WAS THIS SOME OTHER MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD?
5	V287	CONSERVATIVE ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	69	2049	BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED?[CONSERVATIVE PARTY ASK R]
6	V288	LIBERAL ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	69	2049	BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [LIBERAL PARTY ASK R]

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	V289	NDP ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	69	2049	BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [NDP PARTY ASK R]
8	V290	SOCIAL CREDIT ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	69	2049	(IF ASKED TO GIVE MONEY) BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY ASK R]
9	V291	OTHER PARTY ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	69	2049	(IF ASKED TO GIVE MONEY) BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [OTHER PARTY ASK R]
10	V292	HOW WAS RESPONDENT APPROACHED FOR CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	71	2047	IN WHAT WAY WERE YOU APPROACHED WHEN YOU WERE ASKED FOR MONEY? BY LETTER? BY TELEPHONE? BY SOMEONE IN PERSON? AT A DINNER OR A MEETING?
11	V293	RESPONDENT KNEW MONEY CANVASSER	continuous	numeric-1.0	71	2047	WERE YOU ASKED [FOR POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION] BY SOMEONE YOU KNEW PERSONALLY OR BY SOMEONE YOU DIDN'T KNOW?
12	V294	PARTY RESPONDENT CONTRIBUTED TO	continuous	numeric-1.0	2117	1	DID YOU GIVE MONEY, BUY TICKETS, OR MAKE OTHER FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO HELP A CANDIDATE OR PARTY PAY CAMPAIGN EXPENSES FOR THIS LAST ELECTION?
13	V295	DID OTHER IN FAMILY CONTRIBUTE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2023	95	AS FAR AS YOU KNOW, DID ANY OTHER MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD CONTRIBUTE TO A CANDIDATE OR PARTY'S CAMPAIGN FUND?
14	V296	WOULD RESPONDENT HAVE CONTRIBUTED IF ASKED	continuous	numeric-1.0	1727	391	IF YOU HAD BEEN ASKED TO MAKE SOME CONTRIBUTION TO YOUR FAVORITE PARTY OR A CANDIDATE YOU LIKED, WOULD YOU HAVE GIVEN MONEY?
15	V297	RESPONDENT WOULD GIVE MONEY/TIME TO PARTY	continuous	numeric-1.0	1978	140	WHICH WOULD YOU RATHER DO TO HELP YOUR PARTY OR FAVOURITE CANDIDATE: GIVE MONEY OR GIVE TIME?
16	V298	ATTITUDE ABOUT ABOUT BUSINESS AND UNION CONTRIBUTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1736	382	HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES FROM ORGANIZATIONS LIKE BUSINESS CORPORATIONS OR TRADE UNIONS? DO YOU THINK IT IS A GOOD THING OR NOT FOR OUR PARTIES TO RECEIVE SUCH CONTRIBUTIONS?
17	V299	SHOULD CONTRIBUTIONS BE DEDUCTABLE ON INCOME TAX	continuous	numeric-1.0	2006	112	WOULD YOU BE AGAINST OR IN FAVOUR OF ALLOWING PEOPLE TO DEDUCT FROM THEIR INCOME TAX THE MONEY THEY GIVE TO PARTIES AND CANDIDATES, IN THE SAME WAY THAT THEY DEDUCT MONEY THEY GIVE TO CHARITY?
18	V300	SHOULD PARTY PUBLISH EXPENSES	continuous	numeric-1.0	1895	223	THE AMOUNT OF MONEY IT COSTS A POLITICAL PARTY TO RUN AN ELECTION CAMPAIGN DOES NOT HAVE TO BE MADE

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							PUBLIC AT PRESENT. SHOULD IT BE REQUIRED THAT PARTIES PUBLISH THEIR EXPENSES OR NOT?
19	V301	PARTY CONTRIBUTORS SHOULD BE KNOWN	continuous	numeric-1.0	1900	218	SHOULD PARTIES BE REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE THE NAMES OF THEIR CONTRIBUTORS?
20	V302	SHOULD PARTY REVEAL AMOUNT OF CONTRIBUTION	continuous	numeric-1.0	1903	215	SHOULD PARTIES BE REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE THE AMOUNTS GIVEN BY EACH CONTRIBUTOR?
21	V303	SHOULD GOVERNMENT PAY CAMPAIGN EXPENSES	continuous	numeric-1.0	1922	196	DO YOU THINK THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD PAY ALL, SOME OR NONE OF THE COSTS OF POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS?

Group Political Efficacy & Cynicism

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V37	CROOKED PEOPLE IN GOVERNMENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1909	209	DO YOU THINK THAT QUITE A FEW OF THE PEOPLE RUNNING THE GOVERNMENT ARE A LITTLE CROOKED, NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED, OR DO YOU THINK HARDLY ANY OF THEM ARE CROOKED?
2	V38	TAX MONEY WASTED BY GOVERNMENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1992	126	DO YOU THINK THAT PEOPLE IN THE GOVERNMENT WASTE A LOT OF THE MONEY WE PAY IN TAXES, WASTE SOME OF IT, OR DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH OF IT?
3	V39	TRUST GOVERNMENT TO DO THE RIGHT THING	continuous	numeric-1.0	1985	133	HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DO YOU THINK YOU CAN TRUST THE GOVERNMENT IN OTTAWA TO DO WHAT IS RIGHT-JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, OR ONLY SOME OF THE TIME?
4	V40	GOVERNMENT TREAT PEOPLE EQUAL	continuous	numeric-1.0	1905	213	DO YOU THINK THAT ALL PEOPLE WHO ARE HIGH IN GOVERNMENT GIVE EVERYONE A FAIR BREAK, WHETHER THEY ARE BIG SHOTS OR JUST ORDINARY PEOPLE, OR DO YOU THINK SOME OF THEM PAY MORE ATTENTION TO WHAT THE BIG INTERESTS WANT?
5	V41	GOVERNMENT PEOPLE KNOW WHAT TO DO	continuous	numeric-1.0	1940	178	DO YOU FEEL THAT ALMOST ALL OF THE PEOPLE RUNNING THE GOVERNMENT ARE SMART PEOPLE WHO USUALLY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING, OR DO YOU THINK THAT QUITE A FEW OF THEM DON'T SEEM TO KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING?
6	V42	VOTING IS AN EFFECTIVE POLITICAL WEAPON	continuous	numeric-1.0	2061	57	VOTING IS THE ONLY WAY THAT PEOPLE LIKE ME CAN HAVE ANY SAY ABOUT HOW THE GOVERNMENT RUNS THINGS. DO YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE?
7	V43	PUBLIC OFFICIALS DON'T CARE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1998	120	I DON'T THINK THAT THE GOVERNMENT CARES MUCH WHAT PEOPLE LIKE ME THINK.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	V44	POLITICS IS TOO COMPLICATED FOR PEOPLE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2046	72	SOMETIMES POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT SEEM SO COMPLICATED THAT A PERSON LIKE ME CAN'T REALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON.
9	V45	PEOPLE LIKE ME HAVE NO POLITICAL POWER	continuous	numeric-1.0	2035	83	PEOPLE LIKE ME DON'T HAVE ANY SAY ABOUT WHAT THE GOVERNMENT DOES.
10	V46	MP'S LOSE TOUCH WITH PEOPLE	continuous	numeric-1.0	1963	155	GENERALLY THOSE ELECTED TO PARLIAMENT SOON LOSE TOUCH WITH THE PEOPLE.
11	V47	TOO MANY INTELLECTUALS IN GOVERNMENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	1822	296	INTELLECTUALS TAKE UP TOO LARGE A POSITION IN OUR GOVERNMENTS.

Group Thompson's Connections

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V121	THOMPSON-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1052	1066	WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?]
2	V122	THOMPSON-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1052	1066	WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?]
3	V123	THOMPSON-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1052	1066	WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]
4	V124	THOMPSON-PRAIRIES CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1052	1066	WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES?]
5	V125	THOMPSON-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1052	1066	WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]

Group Voting Decisions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V237	WHICH MOST IMPORTANT CRITERION IN VOTE: LEADER OR PARTY	continuous	numeric-2.0	2076	42	ON THE WHOLE, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING IS MOST IMPORTANT TO YOU WHEN YOU VOTE? THE CANDIDATE RUNNING IN YOUR RIDING, THE PARTY ITSELF, OR THE PARTY'S LEADER?
2	V238	VOTE ALLEGIANCE TO PARTY IF BAD CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1933	185	SUPPOSE THERE WAS AN ELECTION IN WHICH THE PARTY YOU FAVOUR RAN A CANDIDATE IN YOUR RIDING WHOM YOU DID NOT LIKE OR DID NOT AGREE WITH THAT WOULD YOU BE MOST LIKELY TO DO? VOTE FOR THE CANDIDATE ANYWAY? OR WOULD YOU PROBABLY NOT VOTE AT ALL?
3	V239	VOTE ALLEGIANCE TO PARTY IF IT FAVOURS BAD POLICIES?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1934	184	SUPPOSE THERE WAS AN ELECTION IN WHICH THE PARTY YOU PREFER WAS IN FAVOUR OF SOME POLICY YOU DID NOT LIKE OR DID NOT AGREE WITH. WHAT WOULD YOU BE MOST LIKELY TO DO? VOTE FOR THE PARTY'S

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							CANDIDATE ANYWAY? CONSIDER ANOTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE? OR WOULD YOU PROBABLY NOT VOTE?

Group Weights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V3	INTEGER WEIGHT VARIABLE	continuous	numeric-1.0	2118	0	-
2	V4	DECIMAL WEIGHT VARIABLE	continuous	numeric-6.2	2118	0	-

Group Provincial Power / Influence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V13	ANY PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT MORE POWERFUL	continuous	numeric-1.0	1648	470	ARE ANY PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL THAN OTHERS?
2	V14	NEWFOUNDLAND POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	NEWFOUNDLAND MORE POWERFUL?
3	V15	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND MORE POWERFUL?
4	V16	NOVA SCOTIA POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	NOVA SCOTIA MORE POWERFUL?
5	V17	NEW BRUNSWICK POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	NEW BRUNSWICK MORE POWERFUL?
6	V18	QUEBEC POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	QUEBEC MORE POWERFUL?
7	V19	ONTARIO POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	ONTARIO MORE POWERFUL?
8	V20	MANITOBA POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	MANITOBA MORE POWERFUL?
9	V21	SASKATCHEWAN POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	SASKATCHEWAN MORE POWERFUL?
10	V22	ALBERTA POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	ALBERTA MORE POWERFUL?
11	V23	BRITISH COLUMBIA POWERFUL?	continuous	numeric-1.0	1206	912	BRITISH COLUMBIA MORE POWERFUL?
12	V24	LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT MOST IMPORTANT TO RESPONDENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	2021	97	AS FAR AS YOU ARE CONCERNED PERSONALLY, WHICH GOVERNMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT IN AFFECTING HOW YOU AND YOUR FAMILY GET ON? THE ONE IN OTTAWA OR THE ONE IN THIS PROVINCE?

Group Provinces Better Off

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V57	ANY PROVINCE OR REGION BETTER OFF THAN OTHERS	continuous	numeric-1.0	1762	356	DO YOU THINK THERE ARE ANY PROVINCES OR REGIONS OF CANADA WHICH ARE BETTER OFF THAN THE OTHERS?
2	V58	NEWFOUNDLAND BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	WHICH ONES (PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER OFF)? NEWFOUNDLAND BETTER OFF

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
3	V59	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
4	V60	NOVA SCOTIA BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	NOVA SCOTIA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
5	V61	NEW BRUNSWICK BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	NEW BRUNSWICK BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
6	V62	QUEBEC BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	QUEBEC BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
7	V63	ONTARIO BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	ONTARIO BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
8	V64	MANITOBA BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	MANITOBA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
9	V65	SASKATCHEWAN BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	SASKATCHEWAN BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
10	V66	ALBERTA BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	ALBERTA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
11	V67	BRITISH COLUMBIA BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	BRITISH COLUMBIA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
12	V68	MARITIMES BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	MARITIMES BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
13	V69	PRAIRIES BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	PRAIRIES BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
14	V70	SPECIFIC AREA BETTER OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1541	577	SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Group Provinces Worse Off

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V71	ANY PROVINCE OR REGION WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1667	451	DO YOU THINK THERE ARE ANY PROVINCES OR REGIONS OF CANADA WHICH ARE WORSE OFF THAN THE OTHERS?
2	V72	NEWFOUNDLAND WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	WHICH ONES [PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF]? NEWFOUNDLAND WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
3	V73	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
4	V74	NOVA SCOTIA WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	NOVA SCOTIA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
5	V75	NEW BRUNSWICK WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	NEW BRUNSWICK WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
6	V76	QUEBEC WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	QUEBEC WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	V77	ONTARIO WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	ONTARIO WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
8	V78	MANITOBA WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	MANITOBA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
9	V79	SASKATCHEWAN WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	SASKATCHEWAN WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
10	V80	ALBERTA WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	ALBERTA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
11	V81	BRITISH COLUMBIA WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	BRITISH COLUMBIA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
12	V82	MARITIMES WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	MARITIMES WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
13	V83	PRAIRIES WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	PRAIRIES WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
14	V84	SPECIFIC AREA WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	1477	641	SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
15	V85	RESPONDENT'S OWN PROVINCE BETTER OR WORSE OFF	continuous	numeric-1.0	960	1158	HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THIS PROVINCE? DO YOU THINK IT IS BETTER OFF THAN MOST, WORSE OFF, OR ABOUT AVERAGE?

Group Province Would Like to Live

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V86	WOULD LIKE LIVE-NEWFOUNDLAND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN NEWFOUNDLAND]
2	V87	WOULD LIKE LIVE-PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND]
3	V88	WOULD LIKE LIVE-NOVA SCOTIA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN NOVA SCOTIA]
4	V89	WOULD LIKE LIVE-NEW BRUNSWICK	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN NEW BRUNSWICK]
5	V90	WOULD LIKE LIVE-QUEBEC	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN QUEBEC]
6	V91	WOULD LIKE LIVE-ONTARIO	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN ONTARIO]
7	V92	WOULD LIKE LIVE-MANITOBA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN MANITOBA]

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	V93	WOULD LIKE LIVE-SASKATCHEWAN	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN SASKATCHEWAN]
9	V94	WOULD LIKE LIVE-ALBERTA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN ALBERTA]
10	V95	WOULD LIKE LIVE-BRITISH COLUMBIA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1995	123	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA]

Group Province Would Not Like to Live

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V96	WOULD NOT LIVE-NEWFOUNDLAND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NEWFOUNDLAND]
2	V97	WOULD NOT LIVE-PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND]
3	V98	WOULD NOT LIVE-NOVA SCOTIA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NOVA SCOTIA]
4	V99	WOULD NOT LIVE-NEW BRUNSWICK	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NEW BRUNSWICK]
5	V100	WOULD NOT LIVE-QUEBEC	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN QUEBEC]
6	V101	WOULD NOT LIVE-ONTARIO	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN ONTARIO]
7	V102	WOULD NOT LIVE-MANITOBA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN MANITOBA]
8	V103	WOULD NOT LIVE-SASKATCHEWAN	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN SASKATCHEWAN]
9	V104	WOULD NOT LIVE-ALBERTA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN ALBERTA]
10	V105	WOULD NOT LIVE-BRITISH COLUMBIA	continuous	numeric-1.0	1451	667	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA]

Variables Description

Dataset contains 350 variable(s)

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V1: ICPR STUDY NUMBER-7225

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7225-7225] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7225 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]

Notes STUDY NURBER (7225)

V2: INTERVIEW NUMBER

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-90259] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50028.098 /-] [StdDev=18867.485 /-]

Notes RESPONDENT'S IDENTIFICATION NUMBER. THE FIRST DIGIT IDENTIFIES TBE PROVINCE AS CODED IN VARIABLE FIVE; THE NEXT FOUR DIGITS ARE THE RESPONDENT'S IDENTIFICATION NUMBER WITHIN THE PROVINCE. ALL FIVE DIGITS ARE NEEDED TO UNIQUELY IDENTIFY A RESPONDENT.

V3: INTEGER WEIGHT VARIABLE

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Notes INTEGER WEIGHT VALUES. RESPONDENTS WERE UEIGHTED ACCORDING TO THEIR CONSTITUENCY OF RESIDENCE. ALL SUBJECTS LIVING IN CONSTITUENCY #049 (ALGOMA WEST, ONTARIO) THROUGH #80 (WENTWORTH, ONTARIO) YERE WEIGHTED BY A FACTOR OF ONE AND ONE-THIRD. RESIDENTS OF #40 (CARTIER, QUEBEC) THROUGH #46 (SAINT-JACQUES, QUEBEC) (INCLUSIVE), #81 (YORK CENTRE, ONTARIO) THROUGH #88 (ROSEDALE, ONTARIO), AND ALL RESPONDENTS FROM BRITISH COLUMBIA WERE DOUBLE WEIGHTED. THE REST OF THE CONSTITUENCIES ARE UNWEIGHTED. TAE FREQUENCIES REPORTED FOR THIS VARIABLE REPRESENT UNWEIGHTED (RAW) FREQUENCIES FOR THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF DATA CASES.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	UNWEIGHTED CASES	1113	52.5%
4	WEIGHTED ONE AND ONE-THIRD (CONSTITUENCIES #049-#080)	588	27.8%
6	DOUBLE WEIGHTED (CONSTITUENCIES #040-#048, #081-	417	19.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V4: DECIMAL WEIGHT VARIABLE

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-200] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Notes DECIMAL WEIGHT VALUES. PLEASE SEE ALSO REF. NOS. 3 AND 6. THE FREQUENCIES REPORTED FOR THIS VARIABLE REPRESENT UNWEIGHTED (RAW) FREQUENCIES FOR THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF DATA CASES. TWO DECIMAL PLACES ARE IMPLIED.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	UNWEIGHTED CASES		
133	WEIGHTED ONE AND ONE-THIRD (CONSTITUENCIES		
200	DOUBLE WEIGHTED (CONSTITUENCIES #040-#048,		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V5: PROVINCE OF INTERVIEW

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	24	1.1%
1	NOVA SCOTIA	73	3.4%
2	NEW BRUNSWICK	108	5.1%
3	NEWFOUNDLAND	24	1.1%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V5: PROVINCE OF INTERVIEW

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	QUEBEC	643	30.4%
5	ONTARIO	723	34.1%
6	MANITOBA	130	6.1%
7	SASKATCHEWAN	94	4.4%
8	ALBERTA	171	8.1%
9	BRITISH COLUMBIA	128	6.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V6: RESPONDENT'S CONSTITUENCY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-118] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Notes	RESPONDENT'S CONSTITUENCY. CONSTITUENCIES ARE NUMBERED CONSECUTIVELY, BEGINNING WITH 001 (ST. JOHN'S WEST, NEWFOUNDLAND) THROUGH 116 (VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA). THE CONSTITUENCY IS THE BASIS FOR DETERMINING THE RESPONDENT'S VALUE IN THE WEIGHT VARIABLES. SEE ALSO REF. NOS. 3 AND 4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ST JOHNS WEST	14	0.7%
2	ST JOHNS EAST	10	0.5%
3	CAPE BRITON NORTH AND VICTORIA	10	0.5%
4	COLCHESTER-HANTS	21	1.0%
5	CUMBERLAND	10	0.5%
6	HALIFAX	32	1.5%
7	KINGS	24	1.1%
8	CHARLOTTE	21	1.0%
9	NORTHUMBERLAND-MIRAMICHI	22	1.0%
10	RESTIGOUCHE-MADAWASKA	14	0.7%
11	ROYAL	16	0.8%
12	SAINT JOHN-ALBERT	13	0.6%
13	WESTMORLAND	22	1.0%
14	BEAUCE	17	0.8%
15	BEAUHARNOIS-SALABERRY	16	0.8%
16	BELLECHASSE	14	0.7%
17	CHAMBLY-ROUVILLE	27	1.3%
18	CHICOUTIMI	17	0.8%
19	DRUMMOND-ARTHABASKA	29	1.4%
20	GATINEAU	16	0.8%
21	HULL	13	0.6%
22	JOLIETTE-LASSUMPTION-MONTCALM	17	0.8%
23	LEVIS	19	0.9%
24	LONGUEUIL	16	0.8%
25	MATAPEDIA-MATANE	17	0.8%
26	MEGANTIC	22	1.0%
27	PORTNEUF	20	0.9%
28	QUEBEC-OUEST	20	0.9%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V6: RESPONDENT'S CONSTITUENCY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	QUEBEC-SUD	12	0.6%
30	QUEBEC-MONTMORENCY	18	0.8%
31	RICHMOND-WOLF	25	1.2%
32	RIMOUSKI	15	0.7%
33	SAINT HYACINTHE-BAGOT	23	1.1%
34	SAINT JEAN-IBERVILLE-NAPIERVILLE	13	0.6%
35	SAINT-AURICE-LAFLECHE	24	1.1%
36	SHEFFORD	13	0.6%
37	SHERBROOKE	22	1.0%
38	TERREBONNE	23	1.1%
39	TROIS-RIVIERES	21	1.0%
40	CARTIER	12	0.6%
41	JACQUES-CARTIER-LASALLE	19	0.9%
42	LAVAL	20	0.9%
43	MERCIER	18	0.8%
44	MONT-ROYAL	20	0.9%
45	NOTRE-DAME-DE-GRACE	17	0.8%
46	OUTREMONT-SAINT-JEAN	17	0.8%
47	SAINT-DENIS	19	0.9%
48	SAINT-JACQUES	12	0.6%
49	ALGOMA WEST	13	0.6%
50	BRANTFORD	15	0.7%
51	BRANT-HALDIMAND	23	1.1%
52	BRUCE	18	0.8%
53	CARLETON	20	0.9%
54	DURHAM	19	0.9%
55	ESSEX EAST	10	0.5%
56	ESSEX SOUTH	29	1.4%
57	ESSEX WEST	11	0.5%
58	HAMILTON SOUTH	12	0.6%
59	HAMILTON WEST	20	0.9%
60	HASTINGS-FRONTENAC	19	0.9%
61	LAMBTON-KENT	18	0.8%
62	LONDON	20	0.9%
63	MIDDLESEX EAST	19	0.9%
64	NIAGARA FALLS	14	0.7%
65	NICKEL BELT	15	0.7%
66	NORFOLK	21	1.0%
67	ONTARIO	22	1.0%
68	PEEL	18	0.8%
69	PERTH	19	0.9%
70	PETERBOROUGH	19	0.9%
71	PORT ARTHUR	22	1.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V6: RESPONDENT'S CONSTITUENCY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
72	PRINCE EDWARD LENNOX	17	0.8%
73	RENFREW SOUTH	26	1.2%
74	RUSSELL	20	0.9%
75	STORMONT	19	0.9%
76	SUDBURY	15	0.7%
77	WELLAND	22	1.0%
78	WELLINGTON-HURON	21	1.0%
79	WELLINGTON-SOUTH	20	0.9%
80	WENTWORTH	12	0.6%
81	YORK CENTRE	18	0.8%
82	YORK HUMBER	16	0.8%
83	YORK SCARBOROUGH	38	1.8%
84	YORK WEST	25	1.2%
85	BROADVIEW	4	0.2%
86	EGLINTON	15	0.7%
87	PARKDALE	12	0.6%
88	ROSEDALE	7	0.3%
89	BRANDON-SOURIS	18	0.8%
90	PORTAGE-NEEPAWA	11	0.5%
91	ST BONIFACE	19	0.9%
92	SPRINGFIELD	19	0.9%
93	WINNIPEG NORTH	14	0.7%
94	WINNIPEG NORTH CENTRE	18	0.8%
95	WINNIPEG SOUTH	17	0.8%
96	WINNIPEG SOUTH CENTRE	14	0.7%
97	MOOSE MOUNTAIN	22	1.0%
98	QUAPPELLE	18	0.8%
99	REGINA CITY	16	0.8%
100	ROSETOWN-BIGGAR	2	0.1%
101	ROSTHERN	14	0.7%
102	SASKATOON	22	1.0%
103	BOW RIVER	23	1.1%
104	CALGARY NORTH	21	1.0%
105	CALGARY SOUTH	22	1.0%
106	EDMONTON EAST	18	0.8%
107	EDMONTON-STRATHCONA	39	1.8%
108	EDMONTON-WEST	13	0.6%
109	MEDICINE HAT	14	0.7%
110	RED DEER	21	1.0%
111	BURNABY COQUITLAM	18	0.8%
112	COAST-CAPILANO	20	0.9%
113	FRASER VALLEY	17	0.8%
114	NEW WESTMINSTER	15	0.7%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V6: RESPONDENT'S CONSTITUENCY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
115	VANCOUVER CENTRE	10	0.5%
116	VANCOUVER EAST	13	0.6%
117	VANCOUVER QUADRA	19	0.9%
118	VICTORIA	16	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V7: SIZE OF PLACE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-70] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2108 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]
Notes	SUBURBS WERE DEFINED AS PLACES PHYSICALLY COTERMINOUS WITH CITIES OR SO CLOSE AS TO BE PART OF ONE URBAN AREA.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CITY 500,000 AND OVER	185	8.8%
2	SUBURB OF CITY 500,000 AND OVER	124	5.9%
11	CITY 250,000-499,999	226	10.7%
12	SUBURB OF CITY 250,000-499,999	79	3.7%
21	CITY 100,000-249,999	162	7.7%
22	SUBURB OF CITY 100,000-249,999	36	1.7%
31	CITY 50,000-99,999	163	7.7%
40	TOWN 10,000-49,999	288	13.7%
50	TOWN 2500-9,999	215	10.2%
60	VILLAGE UNDER 2500	347	16.5%
70	RURAL ROUTE ADDRESS; MENTION OF COUNTY	283	13.4%
99	NOT APPLICABLE	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V8A: MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FOR CANADA - FIRST RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-81] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1900 /-] [Invalid=218 /-]
Pre-question	YOU HEAR A LOT ABOUT THE PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY TODAY AND WE ARE INTERESTED IN GETTING OPINIONS ON THESE FROM YOU.
Literal question	IN YOUR OPINION WHAT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY ARE MOST IMPORTANT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	TAXES, INFLATION, PRICES, HIGH COST OF LIVING,	315	16.6%
12	COMMERCE, TRADE, ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL	87	4.6%
13	OTHERS (GENERAL RUBRICS SUCH AS THE ECONOMY,	54	2.8%
14	UNEMPLOYMENT AND AUTOMATION	289	15.2%
15	AGRICULTURAL PROBLEMS	44	2.3%
16	OLD AGE PENSION AND PENSION PLAN	116	6.1%
17	FAMILY ALLOWANCES	11	0.6%
18	HEALTH, WELFARE AND MEDICARE	65	3.4%
19	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	29	1.5%
20	EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS (INCLUDES TECHNICAL TRAINING)	139	7.3%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V8A: MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FOR CANADA - FIRST RESPONSE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	RELIGIOUS	7	0.4%
22	MORAL (CHANGING VALUES, ETC	8	0.4%
23	USA RELATIONS (SPECIFIC PROBLEM OR ECONOMIC INFLUENCE)	34	1.8%
24	COMMUNISM	5	0.3%
25	WAR, DEFENCE, NUCLEAR THREAT, VIET NAM	128	6.7%
26	OTHERS (SUCH AS FOREIGN AID, ETC	13	0.7%
27	ETHNIC RELATIONS, BILINGUAL AND BICULTURAL SEPARATISM	149	7.8%
28	NATIONAL UNITY AND IDENTITY	52	2.7%
29	FEDERAL PROVINCIAL RELATIONS	11	0.6%
30	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	43	2.3%
31	LACK OF STABILITY AND/OR LEADERSHIP IN	61	3.2%
32	PARTY STRUCTURE OR SYSTEM	11	0.6%
33	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION (WASTE OR TAXES, ETC	2	0.1%
34	CORRUPTION AND SCANDAL	7	0.4%
35	SOCIALISM	8	0.4%
36	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	86	4.5%
37	IMMIGRATION, INDIANS, RACE RELATIONS (B AND W)	25	1.3%
38	CAPITAL PUNISHMENT	9	0.5%
39	LABOUR-MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS, STRIKES	15	0.8%
40	OTHERS	27	1.4%
81	ALL OTHER PROBLEMS	50	2.6%
90	IRRELEVANT, NO SPECIAL PROBLEM	17	
92	INAP	0	
99	NO ANSWER, DON'T KNOW	201	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V8B: MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FOR CANADA - SECOND RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-81] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1270 /-] [Invalid=848 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO GAVE A 1ST RESPONSE TO 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FOR CANADA - FIRST RESPONSE'.
Literal question	IN YOUR OPINION WHAT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY ARE MOST IMPORTANT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	TAXES, INFLATION, PRICES, HIGH COST OF LIVING,	189	14.9%
12	COMMERCE, TRADE, ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL	75	5.9%
13	OTHERS (GENERAL RUBRICS SUCH AS THE ECONOMY,	31	2.4%
14	UNEMPLOYMENT AND AUTOMATION	135	10.6%
15	AGRICULTURAL PROBLEMS	31	2.4%
16	OLD AGE PENSION AND PENSION PLAN	109	8.6%
17	FAMILY ALLOWANCES	12	0.9%
18	HEALTH, WELFARE AND MEDICARE	80	6.3%
19	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	26	2.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V8B: MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FOR CANADA - SECOND RESPONSE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS (INCLUDES TECHNICAL TRAINING)	92	7.2%
21	RELIGIOUS	6	0.5%
22	MORAL (CHANGING VALUES, ETC)	10	0.8%
23	USA RELATIONS (SPECIFIC PROBLEM OR ECONOMIC INFLUENCE)	38	3.0%
24	COMMUNISM	3	0.2%
25	WAR, DEFENCE, NUCLEAR THREAT, VIET NAM	62	4.9%
26	OTHERS (SUCH AS FOREIGN AID, ETC)	16	1.3%
27	ETHNIC RELATIONS, BILINGUAL AND BICULTURAL SEPARATISM	80	6.3%
28	NATIONAL UNITY AND IDENTITY	24	1.9%
29	FEDERAL PROVINCIAL RELATIONS	8	0.6%
30	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	26	2.0%
31	LACK OF STABILITY AND/OR LEADERSHIP IN	32	2.5%
32	PARTY STRUCTURE OR SYSTEM	4	0.3%
33	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION (WASTE OR TAXES, ETC)	4	0.3%
34	CORRUPTION AND SCANDAL	11	0.9%
35	SOCIALISM	6	0.5%
36	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	40	3.1%
37	IMMIGRATION, INDIANS, RACE RELATIONS (B AND W)	26	2.0%
38	CAPITAL PUNISHMENT	7	0.6%
39	LABOUR-MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS, STRIKES	18	1.4%
40	OTHERS	23	1.8%
81	ALL OTHER PROBLEMS	46	3.6%
90	IRRELEVANT, NO SPECIAL PROBLEM	15	
92	INAP	833	
99	NO ANSWER, DON'T KNOW	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V9A: PROBLEM SPECIAL CONCERN TO RESPONDENT - FIRST RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-81] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1656 /-] [Invalid=462 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO MENTIONED A PROBLEM FOR V8.
Literal question	WHICH ONE OF THESE [NATIONAL] PROBLEMS IS OF SPECIAL CONCERN TO YOU PERSONALLY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	TAXES, INFLATION, PRICES, HIGH COST OF LIVING, LOW	401	24.2%
12	COMMERCE, TRADE, ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL	70	4.2%
13	OTHERS (GENERAL RUBRICS SUCH AS THE ECONOMY,	35	2.1%
14	UNEMPLOYMENT AND AUTOMATION	195	11.8%
15	AGRICULTURAL PROBLEMS	48	2.9%
16	OLD AGE PENSION AND PENSION PLAN	130	7.9%
17	FAMILY ALLOWANCES	7	0.4%
18	HEALTH, WELFARE AND MEDICARE	68	4.1%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V9A: PROBLEM SPECIAL CONCERN TO RESPONDENT - FIRST RESPONSE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	28	1.7%
20	EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS (INCLUDES TECHNICAL TRAINING)	147	8.9%
21	RELIGIOUS	8	0.5%
22	MORAL (CHANGING VALUES, ETC	10	0.6%
23	USA RELATIONS (SPECIFIC PROBLEM OR ECONOMIC INFLUENCE)	27	1.6%
24	COMMUNISM	3	0.2%
25	WAR, DEFENCE, NUCLEAR THREAT, VIET NAM	68	4.1%
26	OTHERS (SUCH AS FOREIGN AID, ETC	5	0.3%
27	ETHNIC RELATIONS, BILINGUAL AND BICULTURAL SEPARATISM	98	5.9%
28	NATIONAL UNITY AND IDENTITY	39	2.4%
29	FEDERAL PROVINCIAL RELATIONS	7	0.4%
30	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	28	1.7%
31	LACK OF STABILITY AND/OR LEADERSHIP IN GOVERNMENT	40	2.4%
32	PARTY STRUCTURE OR SYSTEM	5	0.3%
33	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION (WASTE OF TAXES, ETC	4	0.2%
34	CORRUPTION AND SCANDAL	10	0.6%
35	SOCIALISM	13	0.8%
36	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	53	3.2%
37	IMMIGRATION, INDIANS, RACE RELATIONS (B AND W)	16	1.0%
38	CAPITAL PUNISHMENT	11	0.7%
39	LABOUR-MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS, STRIKES	12	0.7%
40	OTHERS	34	2.1%
81	ALL OTHER PROBLEMS	36	2.2%
90	IRRELEVANT, NO SPECIAL PROBLEM	11	
91	INAP	17	
92	NO SECOND MENTION	0	
99	NO ANSWER, DON'T KNOW	434	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V9B: PROBLEM SPECIAL CONCERN TO RESPONDENT - SECOND RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-81] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=294 /-] [Invalid=1824 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO GAVE A FIRST RESPONSE TO V9A.		
Literal question	WHICH ONE OF THESE [NATIONAL] PROBLEMS IS OF SPECIAL CONCERN TO YOU PERSONALLY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	TAXES, INFLATION, PRICES, HIGH COST OF LIVING, LOW	91	31.0%
12	COMMERCE, TRADE, ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL	10	3.4%
13	OTHERS (GENERAL RUBRICS SUCH AS THE ECONOMY,	3	1.0%
14	UNEMPLOYMENT AND AUTOMATION	28	9.5%
15	AGRICULTURAL PROBLEMS	4	1.4%
16	OLD AGE PENSION AND PENSION PLAN	16	5.4%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V9B: PROBLEM SPECIAL CONCERN TO RESPONDENT - SECOND RESPONSE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	FAMILY ALLOWANCES	2	0.7%
18	HEALTH, WELFARE AND MEDICARE	16	5.4%
19	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	3	1.0%
20	EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS (INCLUDES TECHNICAL TRAINING)	15	5.1%
21	RELIGIOUS	4	1.4%
22	MORAL (CHANGING VALUES, ETC	2	0.7%
23	USA RELATIONS (SPECIFIC PROBLEM OR ECONOMIC INFLUENCE)	16	5.4%
24	COMMUNISM	0	
25	WAR, DEFENCE, NUCLEAR THREAT, VIET NAM	2	0.7%
26	OTHERS (SUCH AS FOREIGN AID, ETC	4	1.4%
27	ETHNIC RELATIONS, BILINGUAL AND BICULTURAL SEPARATISM	12	4.1%
28	NATIONAL UNITY AND IDENTITY	6	2.0%
29	FEDERAL PROVINCIAL RELATIONS	2	0.7%
30	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	4	1.4%
31	LACK OF STABILITY AND/OR LEADERSHIP IN GOVERNMENT	8	2.7%
32	PARTY STRUCTURE OR SYSTEM	0	
33	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION (WASTE OF TAXES, ETC	4	1.4%
34	CORRUPTION AND SCANDAL	1	0.3%
35	SOCIALISM	1	0.3%
36	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	9	3.1%
37	IMMIGRATION, INDIANS, RACE RELATIONS (B AND W)	6	2.0%
38	CAPITAL PUNISHMENT	0	
39	LABOUR-MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS, STRIKES	3	1.0%
40	OTHERS	7	2.4%
81	ALL OTHER PROBLEMS	15	5.1%
90	IRRELEVANT, NO SPECIAL PROBLEM	0	
91	INAP	0	
92	NO SECOND MENTION	1824	
99	NO ANSWER, DON'T KNOW	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V10: RESPONDENT'S FLAGS ABOUT ELECTION RESULTS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1904 /-] [Invalid=214 /-]		
Literal question	AS YOU KNOW ON NOVEMBER THE 8TH WE HAD A FEDERAL ELECTION. PEOPLE ARE TALKING A LOT ABOUT THIS ELECTION AND HOW IT TURNED OUT. DO YOU HAPPEN TO HAVE ANY FEELINGS ABOUT HOW THE ELECTION TURNED OUT?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DISPLEASED BECAUSE ELECTION USELESS OR UNWARRANTED	873	45.9%
2	DISPLEASED BECAUSE HIS PARTY LOST	95	5.0%
3	DISPLEASED (NO SPECIFIC REASON GIVEN OR REASON	385	20.2%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V10: RESPONDENT'S FLAGS ABOUT ELECTION RESULTS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	A - EXPECTED THE RESULTS	91	4.8%
5	B - INDIFFERENCE (DOESN'T CARE HOW IT CAME OUT)	59	3.1%
6	C - FEELINGS UNKNOWN (IRRELEVANT ANSWERS)	116	6.1%
7	D - OTHERS (NOT IRRELEVANT, BUT NO FEELINGS)	24	1.3%
8	PLEASED BECAUSE THIS PARTY WON	72	3.8%
9	PLEASED (NO SPECIFIC REASON GIVEN OR REASON OTHER)	189	9.9%
0	DON'T KNOW, NO OPINION, REFUSE, ETC	214	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V11: RESPONDENT'S REASON FOR OPINION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=326 /-] [Invalid=1792 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO WERE DISPLEASED WITH THE LAST FEDERAL ELECTION, IN V10.
Literal question	CAN YOU TELL ME SOMETHING MORE ABOUT WHY YOU FEEL THAT WAY [ABOUT THE ELECTION RESULTS]?
Notes	THE ANSWERS TO 3-A AND 3-B HAVE BEEN COMBINED IN REP. NO. 10 UNLESS THE RESPONDENT GAVE A DISTINCT ANSWER TO 3-B.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DISPLEASED BECAUSE ELECTION USELESS OR UNWARRANTED	82	25.2%
2	DISPLEASED BECAUSE HIS PARTY LOST	9	2.8%
3	DISPLEASED (NO SPECIFIC REASON GIVEN OR REASON OTHER)	190	58.3%
4	A - EXPECTED THE RESULTS	3	0.9%
5	B - INDIFFERENCE (DOESN'T CARE HOW IT CAME OUT)	2	0.6%
6	C - FEELINGS UNKNOWN (IRRELEVANT ANSWERS)	9	2.8%
7	D - OTHERS (NOT IRRELEVANT, BUT NO FEELINGS)	5	1.5%
8	PLEASED BECAUSE HIS PARTY WON	10	3.1%
9	PLEASED (NO SPECIFIC REASON GIVEN OR REASON OTHER)	16	4.9%
0	DON'T KNOW, NO OPINION, REFUSE, NO CODABLE OPINION	1792	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V12: WHICH GOVERNMENT HANDLES PROBLEMS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1941 /-] [Invalid=177 /-]
Literal question	THINKING NOW OF THE MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING CANADA TODAY, WHICH GOVERNMENT WOULD YOU SAY HANDLES MOST OF THESE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN OTTAWA OR THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	994	51.2%
2	PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS	369	19.0%
3	BOTH HANDLE THEM	524	27.0%
4	NEITHER	54	2.8%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V12: WHICH GOVERNMENT HANDLES PROBLEMS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DON'T KNOW	175	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V13: ANY PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT MORE POWERFUL

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1648 /-] [Invalid=470 /-]
Literal question	ARE ANY PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL THAN OTHERS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1224	74.3%
2	NO	424	25.7%
8	DK, IF ANY ARE MORE POWERFUL	470	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V14: NEWFOUNDLAND POWERFUL?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]
Literal question	NEWFOUNDLAND MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS NEWFOUNDLAND AS MORE POWERFUL	41	3.4%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION NEWFOUNDLAND	1165	96.6%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V15: PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND POWERFUL?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]
Literal question	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND AS MORE POWERFUL	2	0.2%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	1204	99.8%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V16: NOVA SCOTIA POWERFUL?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]
Literal question	NOVA SCOTIA MORE POWERFUL?

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V16: NOVA SCOTIA POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS NOVA SCOTIA AS MORE POWERFUL	24	2.0%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION NOVA SCOTIA	1182	98.0%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V17: NEW BRUNSWICK POWERFUL?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]
Literal question	NEW BRUNSWICK MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS NEW BRUNSWICK AS MORE POWERFUL	21	1.7%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION NEW BRUNSWICK	1185	98.3%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V18: QUEBEC POWERFUL?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]
Literal question	QUEBEC MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS QUEBEC AS MORE POWERFUL	727	60.3%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION QUEBEC	479	39.7%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V19: ONTARIO POWERFUL?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]
Literal question	ONTARIO MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS ONTARIO AS MORE POWERFUL	678	56.2%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION ONTARIO	528	43.8%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V19: ONTARIO POWERFUL?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V20: MANITOBA POWERFUL?

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]

Literal question MANITOBA MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS MANITOBA AS MORE POWERFUL	41	3.4%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION MANITOBA	1165	96.6%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V21: SASKATCHEWAN POWERFUL?

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]

Literal question SASKATCHEWAN MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS SASKATCHEWAN AS MORE POWERFUL	65	5.4%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION SASKATCHEWAN	1141	94.6%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V22: ALBERTA POWERFUL?

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]

Literal question ALBERTA MORE POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS ALBERTA AS MORE POWERFUL	173	14.3%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION ALBERTA	1033	85.7%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V23: BRITISH COLUMBIA POWERFUL?

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]

Literal question BRITISH COLUMBIA MORE POWERFUL?

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V23: BRITISH COLUMBIA POWERFUL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R MENTIONS BRITISH COLUMBIA AS MORE POWERFUL	296	24.5%
5	R DOES NOT MENTION BRITISH COLUMBIA	910	75.5%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 13	894	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL, BUT	17	
9	REF NOS 14 - 23 NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V24: LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT MOST IMPORTANT TO RESPONDENT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2021 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]
Literal question	AS FAR AS YOU ARE CONCERNED PERSONALLY, WHICH GOVERNMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT IN AFFECTING HOW YOU AND YOUR FAMILY GET ON? THE ONE IN OTTAWA OR THE ONE IN THIS PROVINCE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	620	30.7%
2	PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT	858	42.5%
3	BOTH IMPORTANT	433	21.4%
4	NEITHER	110	5.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	93	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V25A: IMPORTANT ISSUES IN ELECTION - FIRST RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-28] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1454 /-] [Invalid=664 /-]
Literal question	DURING THIS ELECTION PEOPLE HAD DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE ISSUES WHICH WERE IMPORTANT IN THE CAMPAIGN. WE ARE INTERESTED IN HEARING YOUR OPINION ABOUT WHAT YOU THOUGHT WERE THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES IN THE LAST ELECTION.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	OLD AGE PENSION AND PENSION PLAN	522	35.9%
12	FAMILY ALLOWANCE	14	1.0%
13	HEALTH AND WELFARE AND MEDICARE	176	12.1%
14	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	40	2.8%
15	CORRUPTION AND SCANDAL IN GOVERNMENT	68	4.7%
16	AGRICULTURAL ISSUES	32	2.2%
17	ETHNIC RELATIONS, SEPARATISM, QUEBEC, BILINGUALISM	65	4.5%
18	FLAG	37	2.5%
19	USA INFLUENCE OR INTERFERENCE FED-PROV RELATIONS	20	1.4%
20	TAXES, INFLATION, PRICES, SALARIES, COST OF LIVING,	41	2.8%
21	COMMERCE, TRADE, ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL	22	1.5%
22	OTHERS AND GENERAL RUBRICS SUCH AS, THE ECONOMY	17	1.2%
23	UNEMPLOYMENT AND AUTOMATION	70	4.8%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V25A: IMPORTANT ISSUES IN ELECTION - FIRST RESPONSE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	199	13.7%
25	EDUCATIONAL ISSUES	33	2.3%
26	LACK OF LEADERSHIP IN GOVERNMENT	12	0.8%
27	LACK OF STABILITY IN GOVERNMENT	4	0.3%
28	OTHERS	82	5.6%
90	IRRELEVANT OR REFUSES, ETC	60	
92	NO SECOND RESPONSE	0	
99	NO ANSWER OR NO IMPORTANT ISSUES	604	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V25B: IMPORTANT ISSUES IN ELECTION - SECOND RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-28] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=726 /-] [Invalid=1392 /-]
Literal question	DURING THIS ELECTION PEOPLE HAD DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE ISSUES WHICH WERE IMPORTANT IN THE CAMPAIGN. WE ARE INTERESTED IN HEARING YOUR OPINION ABOUT WHAT YOU THOUGHT WERE THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES IN THE LAST ELECTION.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	OLD AGE PENSION AND PENSION PLAN	180	24.8%
12	FAMILY ALLOWANCE	38	5.2%
13	HEALTH AND WELFARE AND MEDICARE	137	18.9%
14	OTHERS (OR GENERALLY)	15	2.1%
15	CORRUPTION AND SCANDAL IN GOVERNMENT	33	4.5%
16	AGRICULTURAL ISSUES	14	1.9%
17	ETHNIC RELATIONS, SEPARATISM, QUEBEC, BILINGUALISM	41	5.6%
18	FLAG	22	3.0%
19	USA INFLUENCE OR INTERFERENCE FED-PROV RELATIONS	16	2.2%
20	TAXES, INFLATION, PRICES, SALARIES, COST OF LIVING,	40	5.5%
21	COMMERCE, TRADE, ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL	23	3.2%
22	OTHERS AND GENERAL RUBRICS SUCH AS, THE ECONOMY	5	0.7%
23	UNEMPLOYMENT AND AUTOMATION	57	7.9%
24	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	23	3.2%
25	EDUCATIONAL ISSUES	30	4.1%
26	LACK OF LEADERSHIP IN GOVERNMENT	3	0.4%
27	LACK OF STABILITY IN GOVERNMENT	3	0.4%
28	OTHERS	46	6.3%
90	IRRELEVANT OR REFUSES, ETC	53	
92	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1339	
99	NO ANSWER OR NO IMPORTANT ISSUES	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V26: SOCIAL WELFARE ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2061 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V26: SOCIAL WELFARE ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Pre-question NOW I AM GOING TO READ YOU SOME OF THE ISSUES WHICH WERE DISCUSSED IN THE LAST ELECTION CAMPAIGN AND I WOULD LIKE YOU TO TELL ME, FOR EACH ISSUE HOW IMPORTANT IT WAS TO YOU PERSONALLY IN HELPING YOU DECIDE HOW TO VOTE.

Literal question TAKE SOCIAL WELFARE ISSUES, LIKE HEALTH INSURANCE AND PENSIONS, HOW IMPORTANT WAS THAT TO YOU IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE? VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	814	39.5%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	527	25.6%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	720	34.9%
8	DON'T KNOW	47	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V27: ECONOMIC ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2030 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Literal question HOW ABOUT ISSUES CONCERNING THE ECONOMY, SUCH AS UNEMPLOYMENT, GOVERNMENT PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE, FOREIGN TRADE [HOW IMPORTANT WAS THAT TO YOU IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	1050	51.7%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	559	27.5%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	421	20.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	79	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V28: ENGLISH-FRENCH ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2045 /-] [Invalid=73 /-]

Literal question NOW THINK OF THE ISSUE OF RELATIONS BETWEEN FRENCH AND ENGLISH-SPEAKING CANADIANS. WAS THIS VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT TO YOU [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	634	31.0%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	461	22.5%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	950	46.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	57	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	16	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V29: FLAG ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2060 /-] [Invalid=58 /-]

Literal question IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE, DID YOU FEEL THAT THE CANADIAN FLAG ISSUE WAS VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	417	20.2%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V29: FLAG ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	348	16.9%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	1295	62.9%
8	DON'T KNOW	43	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V30: CANADIAN UNITY - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2011 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]
Literal question	HAT ABOUT THE UNITY OF CANADA? WAS THIS AN ISSUE WHICH YOU FOUND VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	1050	52.2%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	515	25.6%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	446	22.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	94	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V31: GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]
Literal question	WAS CORRUPTION IN GOVERNMENT AN ISSUE WHICH YOU FOUND VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT, OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	798	40.0%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	434	21.8%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	763	38.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	110	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V32: MAJORITY GOVERNMENT ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2015 /-] [Invalid=103 /-]
Literal question	HOW IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE] DID YOU FIND THE ISSUE OF WHETHER CANADA SHOULD HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	1197	59.4%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	402	20.0%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	416	20.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	80	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	23	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V33: STRONG LEADER ISSUE - IMPORTANCE IN ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2030 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]
Literal question	DID THE ISSUE OF STRONG LEADERSHIP IN OTTAWA STRIKE YOU AS BEING VERY IMPORTANT, FAIRLY IMPORTANT OR NOT TOO IMPORTANT [IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE]?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	1322	65.1%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	434	21.4%
3	NOT TOO IMPORTANT	274	13.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	75	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V34: GOVERNMENT FORMATION - IMPORTANCE OF MAJORITY PARTY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2056 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION.
Literal question	IN RECENT ELECTIONS, THE POLITICAL PARTY WHICH HAS FORMED THE GOVERNMENT IN OTTAWA HAS NOT HAD A MAJORITY OF THE SEATS IN PARLIAMENT. DO YOU THINK IT MAKES A GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE, OR NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE WHETHER THE PARTY FORMING THE GOVERNMENT HAS A MAJORITY OF SEATS OR NOT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE	1276	62.1%
2	SOME DIFFERENCE	464	22.6%
3	NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE	316	15.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	60	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V35: VOTE FOR OTHER PARTY IF MAJORITY GOVERNMENT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1916 /-] [Invalid=202 /-]
Literal question	IF YOU BELIEVED THAT A PARTY COULD FORM A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT, BUT YOU DID NOT ORDINARILY VOTE FOR THAT PARTY, HOW LIKELY WOULD YOU BE TO VOTE FOR IT IN ORDER TO HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT? VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, OR NOT AT ALL LIKELY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY LIKELY	488	25.5%
2	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	516	26.9%
3	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	912	47.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	197	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V36: DEGREE OF INTEREST IN POLITICS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2111 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]
Literal question	HOW MUCH INTEREST DO YOU GENERALLY HAVE IN WHAT IS GOING ON IN POLITICS-A GOOD DEAL, SOME, OR NOT MUCH?

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V36: DEGREE OF INTEREST IN POLITICS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A GOOD DEAL	543	25.7%
2	SOME	918	43.5%
3	NOT MUCH	650	30.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	6	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V37: CROOKED PEOPLE IN GOVERNMENT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1909 /-] [Invalid=209 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE DIFFERENT IDEAS PEOPLE HAVE ABOUT THE GOVERNMENT IN OTTAWA AND SEE HOW YOU FEEL ABOUT THEM. THESE OPINIONS DON'T REFER TO ANY POLITICAL PARTY IN PARTICULAR, BUT JUST TO THE GOVERNMENT IN GENERAL. FOR EXAMPLE:
Literal question	DO YOU THINK THAT QUITE A FEW OF THE PEOPLE RUNNING THE GOVERNMENT ARE A LITTLE CROOKED, NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED, OR DO YOU THINK HARDLY ANY OF THEM ARE CROOKED?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	QUITE A FEW	519	27.2%
2	NOT VERY MANY	825	43.2%
3	HARDLY ANY	565	29.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	203	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V38: TAX MONEY WASTED BY GOVERNMENT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1992 /-] [Invalid=126 /-]
Literal question	DO YOU THINK THAT PEOPLE IN THE GOVERNMENT WASTE A LOT OF THE MONEY WE PAY IN TAXES, WASTE SOME OF IT, OR DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH OF IT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A LOT	751	37.7%
2	SOME	971	48.7%
3	NOT MUCH	270	13.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	123	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V39: TRUST GOVERNMENT TO DO THE RIGHT THING

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1985 /-] [Invalid=133 /-]
Literal question	HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DO YOU THINK YOU CAN TRUST THE GOVERNMENT IN OTTAWA TO DO WHAT IS RIGHT-JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, OR ONLY SOME OF THE TIME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ALWAYS	194	9.8%
2	MOST OF THE TIME	1017	51.2%
3	SOME OF THE TIME	774	39.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V39: TRUST GOVERNMENT TO DO THE RIGHT THING

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DON'T KNOW	129	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V40: GOVERNMENT TREAT PEOPLE EQUAL

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1905 /-] [Invalid=213 /-]
Literal question	DO YOU THINK THAT ALL PEOPLE WHO ARE HIGH IN GOVERNMENT GIVE EVERYONE A FAIR BREAK, WHETHER THEY ARE BIG SHOTS OR JUST ORDINARY PEOPLE, OR DO YOU THINK SOME OF THEM PAY MORE ATTENTION TO WHAT THE BIG INTERESTS WANT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GIVE EVERYONE A FAIR BREAK	317	16.6%
2	PAY ATTENTION TO BIG SHOTS	1588	83.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	205	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V41: GOVERNMENT PEOPLE KNOW WHAT TO DO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1940 /-] [Invalid=178 /-]
Literal question	DO YOU FEEL THAT ALMOST ALL OF THE PEOPLE RUNNING THE GOVERNMENT ARE SMART PEOPLE WHO USUALLY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING, OR DO YOU THINK THAT QUITE A FEW OF THEM DON'T SEEM TO KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ALL KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING	846	43.6%
2	QUITE A FEW DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING	1094	56.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	169	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V42: VOTING IS AN EFFECTIVE POLITICAL WEAPON

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2061 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]
Pre-question	HERE ARE SOME OTHER KINDS OF OPINIONS THAT YOU HEAR DIFFERENT PEOPLE GIVING. FOR THESE I'D JUST LIKE YOU TO TELL ME OFFHAND WHETHER YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE.
Literal question	VOTING IS THE ONLY WAY THAT PEOPLE LIKE ME CAN HAVE ANY SAY ABOUT HOW THE GOVERNMENT RUNS THINGS. DO YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGREE	1614	78.3%
2	DISAGREE	447	21.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	55	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V43: PUBLIC OFFICIALS DON'T CARE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

V43: PUBLIC OFFICIALS DON'T CARE

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1998 /-] [Invalid=120 /-]

Literal question I DON'T THINK THAT THE GOVERNMENT CARES MUCH WHAT PEOPLE LIKE ME THINK.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGREE	988	49.4%
2	DISAGREE	1010	50.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	117	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V44: POLITICS IS TOO COMPLICATED FOR PEOPLE

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2046 /-] [Invalid=72 /-]

Literal question SOMETIMES POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT SEEM SO COMPLICATED THAT A PERSON LIKE ME CAN'T REALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGREE	1480	72.3%
2	DISAGREE	566	27.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	60	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	12	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V45: PEOPLE LIKE ME HAVE NO POLITICAL POWER

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2035 /-] [Invalid=83 /-]

Literal question PEOPLE LIKE ME DON'T HAVE ANY SAY ABOUT WHAT THE GOVERNMENT DOES.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGREE	1059	52.0%
2	DISAGREE	976	48.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	74	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V46: MP'S LOSE TOUCH WITH PEOPLE

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1963 /-] [Invalid=155 /-]

Literal question GENERALLY THOSE ELECTED TO PARLIAMENT SOON LOSE TOUCH WITH THE PEOPLE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGREE	1173	59.8%
2	DISAGREE	790	40.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	147	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V47: TOO MANY INTELLECTUALS IN GOVERNMENT

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final**# V47: TOO MANY INTELLECTUALS IN GOVERNMENT**

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1822 /-] [Invalid=296 /-]

Literal question INTELLECTUALS TAKE UP TOO LARGE A POSITION IN OUR GOVERNMENTS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGREE	776	42.6%
2	DISAGREE	1046	57.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	296	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V48: RESPONDENT SATISFIED WITH PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2094 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]		
Pre-question	WE ARE ALSO INTERESTED IN HOW PEOPLE ARE GETTING ALONG FINANCIALLY THESE DAYS.		
Literal question	AS FAR AS YOU AND YOUR FAMILY ARE CONCERNED, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU ARE PRETTY WELL SATISFIED, MORE OR LESS SATISFIED, OR NOT AT ALL SATISFIED ABOUT THE WAY YOU ARE GETTING ALONG?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRETTY WELL SATISFIED	847	40.4%
2	MORE OR LESS SATISFIED	885	42.3%
3	NOT AT ALL SATISFIED	362	17.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	15	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V49: RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION CHANGED IN PAST YEARS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2100 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]		
Literal question	DURING THE LAST FEW YEARS, HAS YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION BEEN GETTING BETTER, BEEN GETTING WORSE, OR HAS IT STAYED THE SAME?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GETTING BETTER	820	39.0%
2	GETTING WORSE	307	14.6%
3	STAYED THE SAME	973	46.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	9	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V50: DOES RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION LOOK FAVORABLE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1902 /-] [Invalid=216 /-]		
Literal question	IN GENERAL, WOULD YOU SAY THAT THE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION OF YOU AND YOUR FAMILY NOW LOOKS MUCH BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, SOMEWHAT WORSE OR MUCH WORSE THAN IT DID TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MUCH BETTER	373	19.6%
2	SOMEWHAT BETTER	533	28.0%
3	ABOUT THE SAME	794	41.7%
4	SOMEWHAT WORSE	131	6.9%
5	MUCH WORSE	71	3.7%
7	NOT APPLICABLE	192	
8	DON'T KNOW	17	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V51: WILL RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION IMPROVE IN FUTURE?			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1907 /-] [Invalid=211 /-]		

# V51: WILL RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION IMPROVE IN FUTURE?			
Literal question		NOW LOOKING AHEAD, AND THINKING ABOUT THE NEXT FEW YEARS, DO YOU EXPECT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL STAY ABOUT THE WAY IT IS NOW, GET BETTER, OR GET WORSE?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STAY ABOUT THE SAME	838	43.9%
2	GET BETTER	833	43.7%
3	GET WORSE	236	12.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	202	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V52: WILL ELECTION AFFECT RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION?			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1903 /-] [Invalid=215 /-]	
Literal question		DO YOU THINK THAT THE WAY THE ELECTION TURNED OUT WILL MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE IN HOW WELL OFF YOU ARE?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	379	19.9%
2	NO	1524	80.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	211	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V53: WILL ELECTION MAKE FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER OR WORSE?			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=315 /-] [Invalid=1803 /-]	
Universe		INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO ANSWERED "YES" FOR V52.	
Literal question		WILL IT [THE WAY THE ELECTION TURNED OUT] MAKE THINGS BETTER OR WORSE?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BETTER	203	64.4%
2	WORSE	112	35.6%
0	INAP - CODED 2, 8, OR 9 IN REF NO 52	1739	
8	DON'T KNOW	62	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V54: RESPONDENT UNEMPLOYED IN LAST YEAR?			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2105 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]	
Literal question		HAVE YOU BEEN PERSONALLY UNEMPLOYED AND LOOKING FOR WORK DURING THE PAST YEAR?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	170	8.1%
2	NO	1935	91.9%
8	DON'T KNOW	5	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V55: HAS ANY FAMILY MEMBER BEEN UNEMPLOYED IN THE LAST YEAR			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2104 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]		
Literal question	HAS ANYONE IN YOUR FAMILY BEEN UNEMPLOYED AND LOOKING FOR WORK DURING THE PAST YEAR?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	291	13.8%
2	NO	1813	86.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	12	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V56: HAS ANY FAMILY MEMBER BEEN UNEMPLOYED IN THE PAST 3 YEARS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2099 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT THE LAST THREE YEARS? DURING THAT TIME HAVE YOU OR ANY MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BEEN UNEMPLOYED AND LOOKING FOR WORK?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	456	21.7%
2	NO	1643	78.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	13	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V57: ANY PROVINCE OR REGION BETTER OFF THAN OTHERS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1762 /-] [Invalid=356 /-]		
Literal question	DO YOU THINK THERE ARE ANY PROVINCES OR REGIONS OF CANADA WHICH ARE BETTER OFF THAN THE OTHERS?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1572	89.2%
2	NO	190	10.8%
8	DK, IF ANY ARE BETTER OFF	356	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V58: NEWFOUNDLAND BETTER OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		
Literal question	WHICH ONES (PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER OFF)? NEWFOUNDLAND BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Interviewer's instructions	THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 58-70 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, NEWFOUNDLAND BETTER OFF	9	0.6%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION NEWFOUNDLAND	1532	99.4%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V59: PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND BETTER OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		
Literal question	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND BETTER OFF	5	0.3%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	1536	99.7%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V60: NOVA SCOTIA BETTER OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		
Literal question	NOVA SCOTIA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, NOVA SCOTIA BETTER OFF	9	0.6%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION NOVA SCOTIA	1532	99.4%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V61: NEW BRUNSWICK BETTER OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		
Literal question	NEW BRUNSWICK BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, NEW BRUNSWICK BETTER OFF	12	0.8%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION NEW BRUNSWICK	1529	99.2%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V62: QUEBEC BETTER OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		
Literal question	QUEBEC BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, QUEBEC BETTER OFF	379	24.6%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION QUEBEC	1162	75.4%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V63: ONTARIO BETTER OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		

V63: ONTARIO BETTER OFF

Literal question	ONTARIO BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
-------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, ONTARIO BETTER OFF	1088	70.6%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION ONTARIO	453	29.4%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V64: MANITOBA BETTER OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Literal question	MANITOBA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
-------------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, MANITOBA BETTER OFF	40	2.6%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION MANITOBA	1501	97.4%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V65: SASKATCHEWAN BETTER OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Literal question	SASKATCHEWAN BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
-------------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, SASKATCHEWAN BETTER OFF	100	6.5%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION SASKATCHEWAN	1441	93.5%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V66: ALBERTA BETTER OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Literal question	ALBERTA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
-------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, ALBERTA BETTER OFF	379	24.6%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION ALBERTA	1162	75.4%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V67: BRITISH COLUMBIA BETTER OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Literal question	BRITISH COLUMBIA BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.
-------------------------	--

V67: BRITISH COLUMBIA BETTER OFF

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BRITISH COLUMBIA BETTER OFF	557	36.1%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION BRITISH COLUMBIA	984	63.9%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V68: MARITIMES BETTER OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
Literal question	MARITIMES BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, MARITIMES BETTER OFF	11	0.7%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION MARITIMES	1530	99.3%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V69: PRAIRIES BETTER OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
Literal question	PRAIRIES BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, PRAIRIES BETTER OFF	82	5.3%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION PRAIRIES	1459	94.7%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V70: SPECIFIC AREA BETTER OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
Literal question	SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS BETTER OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS BETTER OFF	195	12.7%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS	1346	87.3%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 57	546	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS BETTER, BUT	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V71: ANY PROVINCE OR REGION WORSE OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1667 /-] [Invalid=451 /-]
Literal question	DO YOU THINK THERE ARE ANY PROVINCES OR REGIONS OF CANADA WHICH ARE WORSE OFF THAN THE OTHERS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1522	91.3%

# V71: ANY PROVINCE OR REGION WORSE OFF			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	NO	145	8.7%
8	DK, IF ANY ARE WORSE OFF	451	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V72: NEWFOUNDLAND WORSE OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]		
Literal question	WHICH ONES [PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF]? NEWFOUNDLAND WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Interviewer's instructions	THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 72-84 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, NEWFOUNDLAND WORSE OFF	329	22.3%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION NEWFOUNDLAND	1148	77.7%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V73: PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND WORSE OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]		
Literal question	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND WORSE OFF	162	11.0%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	1315	89.0%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V74: NOVA SCOTIA WORSE OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]		
Literal question	NOVA SCOTIA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, NOVA SCOTIA WORSE OFF	241	16.3%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION NOVA SCOTIA	1236	83.7%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V75: NEW BRUNSWICK WORSE OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]		
Literal question	NEW BRUNSWICK WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		

V75: NEW BRUNSWICK WORSE OFF

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, NEW BRUNSWICK WORSE OFF	232	15.7%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION NEW BRUNSWICK	1245	84.3%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V76: QUEBEC WORSE OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]
Literal question	QUEBEC WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, QUEBEC WORSE OFF	205	13.9%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION QUEBEC	1272	86.1%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V77: ONTARIO WORSE OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]
Literal question	ONTARIO WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, ONTARIO WORSE OFF	17	1.2%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION ONTARIO	1460	98.8%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V78: MANITOBA WORSE OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]
Literal question	MANITOBA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, MANITOBA WORSE OFF	64	4.3%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION MANITOBA	1413	95.7%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V79: SASKATCHEWAN WORSE OFF

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]
Literal question	SASKATCHEWAN WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, SASKATCHEWAN WORSE OFF	86	5.8%

V79: SASKATCHEWAN WORSE OFF

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION SASKATCHEWAN	1391	94.2%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V80: ALBERTA WORSE OFF

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]

Literal question ALBERTA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, ALBERTA WORSE OFF	20	1.4%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION ALBERTA	1457	98.6%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V81: BRITISH COLUMBIA WORSE OFF

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]

Literal question BRITISH COLUMBIA WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BRITISH COLUMBIA WORSE OFF	14	0.9%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION BRITISH COLUMBIA	1463	99.1%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V82: MARITIMES WORSE OFF

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]

Literal question MARITIMES WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, MARITIMES WORSE OFF	709	48.0%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION MARITIMES	768	52.0%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V83: PRAIRIES WORSE OFF

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]

Literal question PRAIRIES WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, PRAIRIES WORSE OFF	32	2.2%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION PRAIRIES	1445	97.8%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	

# V83: PRAIRIES WORSE OFF			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V84: SPECIFIC AREA WORSE OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=641 /-]		
Literal question	SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS WORSE OFF THAN OTHER PROVINCES OR REGIONS.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS WORSE OFF	265	17.9%
5	NO, R DOES NOT MENTION SPECIFIC REGIONS OR AREAS	1212	82.1%
0	INAP - CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF NO 71	596	
8	YES, SOME PROVINCES OR REGIONS ARE WORSE OFF,	45	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V85: RESPONDENT'S OWN PROVINCE BETTER OR WORSE OFF			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=960 /-] [Invalid=1158 /-]		
Literal question	HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THIS PROVINCE? DO YOU THINK IT IS BETTER OFF THAN MOST, WORSE OFF, OR ABOUT AVERAGE?		
Interviewer's instructions	[IF RESPONDENT'S PROVINCE WAS NOT MENTIONED IN Q. 21-B OR Q. 22-B, THEN Q. 23 WAS ASKED; OTHERWISE INTERVIEWER SKIPPED TO Q. 24]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BETTER OFF	235	24.5%
2	WORSE OFF	45	4.7%
3	ABOUT AVERAGE	680	70.8%
0	INAP, RS PROVINCE WAS MENTIONED IN REF	1000	
8	DON'T KNOW	47	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	111	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V86: WOULD LIKE LIVE-NEWFOUNDLAND			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN NEWFOUNDLAND]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED NEWFOUNDLAND	38	1.9%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION NEWFOUNDLAND	1957	98.1%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V87: WOULD LIKE LIVE-PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		

# V87: WOULD LIKE LIVE-PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND			
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	57	2.9%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	1938	97.1%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V88: WOULD LIKE LIVE-NOVA SCOTIA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN NOVA SCOTIA]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED NOVA SCOTIA	84	4.2%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION NOVA SCOTIA	1911	95.8%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V89: WOULD LIKE LIVE-NEW BRUNSWICK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN NEW BRUNSWICK]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED NEW BRUNSWICK	96	4.8%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION NEW BRUNSWICK	1899	95.2%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V90: WOULD LIKE LIVE-QUEBEC			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN QUEBEC]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED QUEBEC	538	27.0%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION QUEBEC	1457	73.0%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V91: WOULD LIKE LIVE-ONTARIO			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN ONTARIO]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED ONTARIO	778	39.0%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION ONTARIO	1217	61.0%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V92: WOULD LIKE LIVE-MANITOBA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN MANITOBA]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED MANITOBA	108	5.4%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION MANITOBA	1887	94.6%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V93: WOULD LIKE LIVE-SASKATCHEWAN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN SASKATCHEWAN]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED SASKATCHEWAN	109	5.5%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION SASKATCHEWAN	1886	94.5%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V94: WOULD LIKE LIVE-ALBERTA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN ALBERTA]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED ALBERTA	287	14.4%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION ALBERTA	1708	85.6%

# V94: WOULD LIKE LIVE-ALBERTA			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V95: WOULD LIKE LIVE-BRITISH COLUMBIA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES DO YOU THINK YOU MIGHT LIKE TO LIVE? [WOULD R LIKE TO LIVE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 86-95 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R MENTIONED BRITISH COLUMBIA	720	36.1%
5	NO, R DID NOT MENTION BRITISH COLUMBIA	1275	63.9%
8	DK WHICH PROVINCE R WOULD LIKE TO LIVE IN	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V96: WOULD NOT LIVE-NEWFOUNDLAND			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NEWFOUNDLAND]		
Notes	THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NEWFOUNDLAND	613	42.2%
5	NO MENTION OF NEWFOUNDLAND TO Q	838	57.8%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V97: WOULD NOT LIVE-PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	441	30.4%
5	NO MENTION OF PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND TO Q	1010	69.6%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V98: WOULD NOT LIVE-NOVA SCOTIA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NOVA SCOTIA]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NOVA SCOTIA	469	32.3%
5	NO MENTION OF NOVA SCOTIA TO Q	982	67.7%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V99: WOULD NOT LIVE-NEW BRUNSWICK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NEW BRUNSWICK]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN NEW BRUNSWICK	442	30.5%
5	NO MENTION OF NEW BRUNSWICK TO Q	1009	69.5%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V100: WOULD NOT LIVE-QUEBEC			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN QUEBEC]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN QUEBEC	612	42.2%
5	NO MENTION OF QUEBEC TO Q	839	57.8%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V101: WOULD NOT LIVE-ONTARIO			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN ONTARIO]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		

# V101: WOULD NOT LIVE-ONTARIO			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN ONTARIO	210	14.5%
5	NO MENTION OF ONTARIO TO Q	1241	85.5%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V102: WOULD NOT LIVE-MANITOBA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN MANITOBA]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN MANITOBA	283	19.5%
5	NO MENTION OF MANITOBA TO Q	1168	80.5%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V103: WOULD NOT LIVE-SASKATCHEWAN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN SASKATCHEWAN]		
Interviewer's instructions	<THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.>		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN SASKATCHEWAN	383	26.4%
5	NO MENTION OF SASKATCHEWAN TO Q	1068	73.6%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V104: WOULD NOT LIVE-ALBERTA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN ALBERTA]		
Interviewer's instructions	<THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.>		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN ALBERTA	232	16.0%
5	NO MENTION OF ALBERTA TO Q	1219	84.0%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V105: WOULD NOT LIVE-BRITISH COLUMBIA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHICH CANADIAN PROVINCES WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NEVER WANT TO LIVE? [R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA]		
Interviewer's instructions	[THE NAMES VOLUNTEERED BY THE RESPONDENT (IF ANY) HAVE BEEN CODED IN REF. NOS. 96-105 WHERE A VARIABLE REPRESENTS A SINGLE PROVINCE OR REGION.]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, R DOES NOT WANT TO LIVE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA	143	9.9%
5	NO MENTION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA TO Q	1308	90.1%
0	R WOULD LIVE IN ALL THE PROVINCES	329	
8	DK WHICH PROVINCES R WOULD NOT WANT TO LIVE IN	338	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V106: DIEFENBAKER-MARITIME CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1670 /-] [Invalid=448 /-]		
Pre-question	THINKING NOW OF THE NATIONAL LEADERS OF THE FOUR MAIN POLITICAL PARTIES, WHICH PART OF THE COUNTRY DO YOU THINK THESE MEN ARE CLOSEST TO: THE MARITIMES, QUEBEC, ONTARIO, THE PRAIRIES OR BRITISH COLUMBIA?		
Literal question	TAKE MR. DIEFENBAKER. DOES HE HAVE MORE CONNECTION WITH THE MARITIMES, QUEBEC, ONTARIO, THE PRAIRIES, OR BRITISH COLUMBIA? IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	165	9.9%
5	NO	1505	90.1%
0	DIEFENBAKER HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	126	
8	DON'T KNOW	321	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V107: DIEFENBAKER-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1670 /-] [Invalid=448 /-]		
Literal question	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	35	2.1%
5	NO	1635	97.9%
0	DIEFENBAKER HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	126	
8	DON'T KNOW	321	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V108: DIEFENBAKER-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1670 /-] [Invalid=448 /-]		
Literal question	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO		

V108: DIEFENBAKER-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	164	9.8%
5	NO	1506	90.2%
0	DIEFENBAKER HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	126	
8	DON'T KNOW	321	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V109: DIEFENBAKER-PRAIRIE CONNECTIONS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1670 /-] [Invalid=448 /-]
Literal question	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1349	80.8%
5	NO	321	19.2%
0	DIEFENBAKER HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	126	
8	DON'T KNOW	321	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V110: DIEFENBAKER-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1670 /-] [Invalid=448 /-]
Literal question	IS DIEFENBAKER CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	102	6.1%
5	NO	1568	93.9%
0	DIEFENBAKER HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	126	
8	DON'T KNOW	321	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V111: PEARSON-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1485 /-] [Invalid=633 /-]
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	82	5.5%
5	NO	1403	94.5%
0	PEARSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	235	
8	DON'T KNOW	397	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V112: PEARSON-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1485 /-] [Invalid=633 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	572	38.5%
5	NO	913	61.5%
0	PEARSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	235	
8	DON'T KNOW	397	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V113: PEARSON-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1485 /-] [Invalid=633 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1099	74.0%
5	NO	386	26.0%
0	PEARSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	235	
8	DON'T KNOW	397	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V114: PEARSON-PRAIRIE CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1485 /-] [Invalid=633 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	56	3.8%
5	NO	1429	96.2%
0	PEARSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	235	
8	DON'T KNOW	397	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V115: PEARSON-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1485 /-] [Invalid=633 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. PEARSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	40	2.7%
5	NO	1445	97.3%
0	PEARSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	235	

# V115: PEARSON-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DON'T KNOW	397	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V116: DOUGLAS-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205 /-] [Invalid=913 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	50	4.1%
5	NO	1155	95.9%
0	DOUGLAS HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	195	
8	DON'T KNOW	716	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V117: DOUGLAS-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205 /-] [Invalid=913 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	41	3.4%
5	NO	1164	96.6%
0	DOUGLAS HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	195	
8	DON'T KNOW	716	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V118: DOUGLAS-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205 /-] [Invalid=913 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	148	12.3%
5	NO	1057	87.7%
0	DOUGLAS HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	195	
8	DON'T KNOW	716	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V119: DOUGLAS-PRAIRIE CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205 /-] [Invalid=913 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES]		

V119: DOUGLAS-PRAIRIE CONNECTIONS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	724	60.1%
5	NO	481	39.9%
0	DOUGLAS HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	195	
8	DON'T KNOW	716	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V120: DOUGLAS-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205 /-] [Invalid=913 /-]
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. DOUGLAS? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	407	33.8%
5	NO	798	66.2%
0	DOUGLAS HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	195	
8	DON'T KNOW	716	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V121: THOMPSON-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1052 /-] [Invalid=1066 /-]
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	30	2.9%
5	NO	1022	97.1%
0	THOMPSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	110	
8	DON'T KNOW	954	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V122: THOMPSON-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1052 /-] [Invalid=1066 /-]
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	66	6.3%
5	NO	986	93.7%
0	THOMPSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	110	
8	DON'T KNOW	954	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V123: THOMPSON-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1052 /-] [Invalid=1066 /-]**Literal question** WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	118	11.2%
5	NO	934	88.8%
0	THOMPSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	110	
8	DON'T KNOW	954	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V124: THOMPSON-PRAIRIES CONNECTIONS****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1052 /-] [Invalid=1066 /-]**Literal question** WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	714	67.9%
5	NO	338	32.1%
0	THOMPSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	110	
8	DON'T KNOW	954	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V125: THOMPSON-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1052 /-] [Invalid=1066 /-]**Literal question** WHAT ABOUT MR. THOMPSON? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	201	19.1%
5	NO	851	80.9%
0	THOMPSON HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	110	
8	DON'T KNOW	954	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V126: CAOUETTE-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]**Literal question** WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOUETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE MARITIMES?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	24	1.5%
5	NO	1607	98.5%
0	CAOUETTE HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	30	

# V126: CAOUETTE-MARITIMES CONNECTIONS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DON'T KNOW	452	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V127: CAOUETTE-QUEBEC CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOUETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH QUEBEC?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1597	97.9%
5	NO	34	2.1%
0	CAOUETTE HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	30	
8	DON'T KNOW	452	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V128: CAOUETTE-ONTARIO CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOUETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH ONTARIO?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	13	0.8%
5	NO	1618	99.2%
0	CAOUETTE HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	30	
8	DON'T KNOW	452	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V129: CAOUETTE-PRAIRIES CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOUETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH THE PRAIRIES?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	6	0.4%
5	NO	1625	99.6%
0	CAOUETTE HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	30	
8	DON'T KNOW	452	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V130: CAOUETTE-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT MR. CAOUETTE? [IS HE CONNECTED WITH BRITISH COLUMBIA?]		

V130: CAOUETTE-BRITISH COLUMBIA CONNECTIONS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	7	0.4%
5	NO	1624	99.6%
0	CAOUETTE HAS THE SAME CONNECTIONS TO ALL REGIONS	30	
8	DON'T KNOW	452	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V131A: BEST PRIME MINISTER - FIRST RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1297 /-] [Invalid=821 /-]
Literal question	WHICH POLITICAL FIGURE TODAY DO YOU THINK WOULD MAKE THE BEST PRIME MINISTER FOR CANADA?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	JOHN DIEFENBAKER	181	14.0%
2	LESTER PEARSON	309	23.8%
3	TOMMY DOUGLAS	210	16.2%
4	TOMMY THOMPSON	53	4.1%
5	REAL CAOUETTE	42	3.2%
6	GEORGE HEES	127	9.8%
7	PAUL MARTIN	106	8.2%
8	ROBERT WINTERS	33	2.5%
9	JOHN ROBART	43	3.3%
10	DAVID FULTON	22	1.7%
11	MITCHELL SHARPE	14	1.1%
12	JEAN LESAGE	115	8.9%
13	RENE LEVESQUE	42	3.2%
80	MISCELLANEOUS MENTIONS	247	
90	MORE THAN TWO MENTIONS	1	
92	NO SECOND MENTION	0	
96	CANT ANSWER; NO IDEA	103	
98	DON'T KNOW	421	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	49	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V131B: BEST PRIME MINISTER - SECOND RESPONSE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=39 /-] [Invalid=2079 /-]
Literal question	WHICH POLITICAL FIGURE TODAY DO YOU THINK WOULD MAKE THE BEST PRIME MINISTER FOR CANADA?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	JOHN DIEFENBAKER	2	5.1%
2	LESTER PEARSON	1	2.6%
3	TOMMY DOUGLAS	3	7.7%
4	TOMMY THOMPSON	5	12.8%
5	REAL CAOUETTE	1	2.6%

V131B: BEST PRIME MINISTER - SECOND RESPONSE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	GEORGE HEES	4	10.3%
7	PAUL MARTIN	8	20.5%
8	ROBERT WINTERS	2	5.1%
9	JOHN ROBART	3	7.7%
10	DAVID FULTON	4	10.3%
11	MITCHELL SHARPE	1	2.6%
12	JEAN LESAGE	4	10.3%
13	RENE LEVESQUE	1	2.6%
80	MISCELLANEOUS MENTIONS	12	
90	MORE THAN TWO MENTIONS	0	
92	NO SECOND MENTION	2067	
96	CANT ANSWER; NO IDEA	0	
98	DON'T KNOW	0	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V132: DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FEDERAL PARTIES

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2013 /-] [Invalid=105 /-]
Literal question	ONE OF THE THINGS WE ARE INTERESTED IN IS THE DIFFERENCES WHICH EXIST BETWEEN OUR FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES. CONSIDERING EVERYTHING THE PARTIES STAND FOR, WOULD YOU SAY THAT THERE IS A GOOD DEAL OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES, SOME DIFFERENCE, OR NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GOOD DEAL OF DIFFERENCE	510	25.3%
2	SOME DIFFERENCE	751	37.3%
3	NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE	752	37.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	103	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V133: FEDERAL PARTIES MOST ALIKE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-82] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1662 /-] [Invalid=456 /-]
Literal question	WHICH OF OUR FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES DO YOU FEEL ARE MOST ALIKE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE	1154	69.4%
13	LIBERAL-NDP	139	8.4%
14	LIBERAL-SOCIAL CREDIT	59	3.5%
15	LIBERAL-CREDITISTE	15	0.9%
16	LIBERAL-CCF	3	0.2%
18	LIBERAL-OTHER	18	1.1%
23	CONSERVATIVE-NDP	40	2.4%
24	CONSERVATIVE-SOCIAL CREDIT	61	3.7%
25	CONSERVATIVE-CREDITISTE	6	0.4%
26	CONSERVATIVE-CCF	1	0.1%

V133: FEDERAL PARTIES MOST ALIKE








Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	CONSERVATIVE-OTHER	3	0.2%
34	NDP-SOCIAL CREDIT	61	3.7%
35	NDP-CREDITISTE	12	0.7%
36	NDP-CCF	1	0.1%
38	NDP-OTHER	1	0.1%
45	SOCIAL CREDIT-CREDITISTE	27	1.6%
46	SOCIAL CREDIT-CCF	2	0.1%
48	SOCIAL CREDIT-OTHER	0	
56	CREDITISTE-CCF	0	
58	CREDITISTE-OTHER	0	
68	CCF-OTHER	0	
81	ALL THE SAME	30	1.8%
82	ALL DIFFERENT	29	1.7%
83	ONLY ONE PARTY MENTIONED	5	
84	MORE THAN TWO PARTIES MENTIONED	26	
98	DON'T KNOW	423	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V134: FEDERAL PARTIES LEAST ALIKE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-82] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1365 /-] [Invalid=753 /-]
Literal question	WHICH OF OUR FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES ARE LEAST ALIKE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE	160	11.7%
13	LIBERAL-NDP	145	10.6%
14	LIBERAL-SOCIAL CREDIT	124	9.1%
15	LIBERAL-CREDITISTE	126	9.2%
16	LIBERAL-CCF	6	0.4%
18	LIBERAL-OTHER	7	0.5%
23	CONSERVATIVE-NDP	166	12.2%
24	CONSERVATIVE-SOCIAL CREDIT	106	7.8%
25	CONSERVATIVE-CREDITISTE	99	7.3%
26	CONSERVATIVE-CCF	6	0.4%
28	CONSERVATIVE-OTHER	12	0.9%
34	NDP-SOCIAL CREDIT	199	14.6%
35	NDP-CREDITISTE	118	8.6%
36	NDP-CCF	0	
38	NDP-OTHER	4	0.3%
45	SOCIAL CREDIT-CREDITISTE	31	2.3%
46	SOCIAL CREDIT-CCF	7	0.5%
48	SOCIAL CREDIT-OTHER	10	0.7%
56	CREDITISTE-CCF	2	0.1%
58	CREDITISTE-OTHER	7	0.5%
68	CCF-OTHER	0	

# V134: FEDERAL PARTIES LEAST ALIKE			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	ALL THE SAME	14	 1.0%
82	ALL DIFFERENT	16	 1.2%
83	ONLY ONE PARTY MENTIONED	98	
84	MORE THAN TWO PARTIES MENTIONED	124	
98	DON'T KNOW	529	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V135: WHICH PARTY GOVERNS MATTERS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2024 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]		
Literal question	IN YOUR OPINION, DO YOU THINK IT MAKES A GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE, OR NO DIFFERENCE, WHICH POLITICAL PARTY RUNS THIS COUNTRY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GREAT DEAL	918	 45.4%
2	SOME DIFFERENCE	712	 35.2%
3	NO DIFFERENCE	394	 19.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	76	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	18	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V136: IDEAL PARTY - OLD/MODERN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2028 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OUT OF DATE	29	 2.8%
7	MODERN	1015	 97.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	90	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V137: IDEAL PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2028 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		

# V137: IDEAL PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPETENT	1627	98.5%
7	INCOMPETENT	24	1.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	90	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V138: IDEAL PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2027 /-] [Invalid=91 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POWERFUL	986	98.5%
7	WEAK	15	1.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	91	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V139: IDEAL PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2025 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOOLISH	32	2.0%
7	WISE	1566	98.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	93	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V140: IDEAL PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2014 /-] [Invalid=104 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		

# V140: IDEAL PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOR THE MIDDLE CLASS	124	19.8%
7	FOR THE WORKING CLASS	501	80.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	104	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V141: IDEAL PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2027 /-] [Invalid=91 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNITED	1399	97.9%
7	SPLIT	30	2.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	91	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V142: IDEAL PARTY - BAD/GOOD			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2026 /-] [Invalid=92 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BAD	26	1.6%
7	GOOD	1645	98.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	92	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V143: IDEAL PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1953 /-] [Invalid=165 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		







# V143: IDEAL PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LEFT WING	79	15.7%
7	RIGHT WING	424	84.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	165	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V144: IDEAL PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2024 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STRONG-MINDED	1257	98.5%
7	WEAK-MINDED	19	1.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	94	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V145: IDEAL PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2035 /-] [Invalid=83 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HONEST	1773	99.2%
7	DISHONEST	14	0.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	83	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V146: IDEAL PARTY - DULL/EXCITING			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2017 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		

V146: IDEAL PARTY - DULL/EXCITING

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DULL	25	3.5%
7	EXCITING	698	96.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	101	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V147: IDEAL PARTY - YOUNG/OLD			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2024 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNG	473	93.3%
7	OLD	34	6.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	94	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V148: IDEAL PARTY - SLOW/FAST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2029 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	FIRST, WE'LL START WITH AN IMAGINARY POLITICAL PARTY-THE ONE YOU WOULD CONSIDER IDEAL FOR CANADA.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SLOW	36	6.8%
7	FAST	493	93.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	89	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V149: LIBERAL PARTY - OLD/MODERN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2001 /-] [Invalid=117 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OUT OF DATE	184	39.5%
7	MODERN	282	60.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	117	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V150: LIBERAL PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1998 /-] [Invalid=120 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPETENT	342	 67.9%
7	INCOMPETENT	162	 32.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	120	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V151: LIBERAL PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2004 /-] [Invalid=114 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POWERFUL	229	 46.9%
7	WEAK	259	 53.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	114	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V152: LIBERAL PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1993 /-] [Invalid=125 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOOLISH	97	 23.9%
7	WISE	309	 76.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	125	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V153: LIBERAL PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# V153: LIBERAL PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1979 /-] [Invalid=139 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOR THE MIDDLE CLASS	286	66.5%
7	FOR THE WORKING CLASS	144	33.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	139	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V154: LIBERAL PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1994 /-] [Invalid=124 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNITED	374	65.2%
7	SPLIT	200	34.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	124	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V155: LIBERAL PARTY - BAD/GOOD			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1993 /-] [Invalid=125 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BAD	111	24.3%
7	GOOD	346	75.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	125	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V156: LIBERAL PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1910 /-] [Invalid=208 /-]		

# V156: LIBERAL PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT			
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LEFT WING	86	26.3%
7	RIGHT WING	241	73.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	208	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V157: LIBERAL PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1990 /-] [Invalid=128 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STRONG-MINDED	328	66.5%
7	WEAK-MINDED	165	33.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	128	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V158: LIBERAL PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(SHOW CARD)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HONEST	370	81.3%
7	DISHONEST	85	18.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V159: LIBERAL PARTY - DULL/EXCITING			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1985 /-] [Invalid=133 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL		

V159: LIBERAL PARTY - DULL/EXCITING

PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (SHOW CARD)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DULL	188	54.0%
7	EXCITING	160	46.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	133	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V160: LIBERAL PARTY - YOUNG/OLD

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1992 /-] [Invalid=126 /-]

Pre-question NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (SHOW CARD)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNG	106	23.8%
7	OLD	340	76.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	126	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V161: LIBERAL PARTY - SLOW/FAST

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2000 /-] [Invalid=118 /-]

Pre-question NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (SHOW CARD)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SLOW	270	68.9%
7	FAST	122	31.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	118	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V162: CNSVTV PARTY - OLD/MODERN

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1969 /-] [Invalid=149 /-]

Pre-question NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE

# V162: CNSVTV PARTY - OLD/MODERN			
	SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OUT OF DATE	285	59.0%
7	MODERN	198	41.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	149	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V163: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1965 /-] [Invalid=153 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPETENT	284	60.6%
7	INCOMPETENT	185	39.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	153	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V164: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1970 /-] [Invalid=148 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POWERFUL	180	43.8%
7	WEAK	231	56.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	148	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V165: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1964 /-] [Invalid=154 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		

V165: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE**Literal question** NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.**Interviewer's instructions** (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOOLISH	118	31.6%
7	WISE	255	68.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	154	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V166: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1958 /-] [Invalid=160 /-]**Pre-question** NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.**Literal question** NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.**Interviewer's instructions** (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOR THE MIDDLE CLASS	246	64.2%
7	FOR THE WORKING CLASS	137	35.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	160	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V167: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1967 /-] [Invalid=151 /-]**Pre-question** NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.**Literal question** NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.**Interviewer's instructions** (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNITED	238	46.9%
7	SPLIT	270	53.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	151	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V168: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - BAD/GOOD****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1969 /-] [Invalid=149 /-]**Pre-question** NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.**Literal question** NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

V168: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - BAD/GOOD**Interviewer's instructions** (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BAD	104	27.3%
7	GOOD	277	72.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	149	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V169: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1882 /-] [Invalid=236 /-]**Pre-question**
NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.**Literal question** NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.**Interviewer's instructions** (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LEFT WING	67	20.2%
7	RIGHT WING	265	79.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	236	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V170: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1966 /-] [Invalid=152 /-]**Pre-question**
NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.**Literal question** NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.**Interviewer's instructions** (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STRONG-MINDED	330	71.1%
7	WEAK-MINDED	134	28.9%
8	DON'T KNOW	152	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# V171: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST****Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1970 /-] [Invalid=148 /-]**Pre-question**
NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.**Literal question** NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.**Interviewer's instructions** (Show Card)

# V171: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HONEST	447	91.2%
7	DISHONEST	43	8.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	148	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V172: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - DULL/EXCITING			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1966 /-] [Invalid=152 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DULL	218	58.4%
7	EXCITING	155	41.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	152	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V173: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - YOUNG/OLD			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1967 /-] [Invalid=151 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNG	84	17.2%
7	OLD	403	82.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	151	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V174: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - SLOW/FAST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1971 /-] [Invalid=147 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		



V174: CONSERVATIVE PARTY - SLOW/FAST

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SLOW	247	 72.9%
7	FAST	92	 27.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V175: NDP PARTY - OLD/MODERN


Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1772 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OUT OF DATE	87	 14.5%
7	MODERN	515	 85.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	346	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V176: NDP PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=343 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPETENT	293	 71.3%
7	INCOMPETENT	118	 28.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	343	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V177: NDP PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1774 /-] [Invalid=344 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

V177: NDP PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POWERFUL	107	25.5%
7	WEAK	313	74.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	344	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V178: NDP PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1766 /-] [Invalid=352 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOOLISH	88	24.2%
7	WISE	275	75.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V179: NDP PARTY - MIDDLE/WORKING CLASS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1766 /-] [Invalid=352 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOR THE MIDDLE CLASS	57	9.7%
7	FOR THE WORKING CLASS	533	90.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V180: NDP PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1764 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

V180: NDP PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNITED	428	81.2%
7	SPLIT	99	18.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	354	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V181: NDP PARTY - BAD/GOOD

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1771 /-] [Invalid=347 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BAD	80	20.0%
7	GOOD	320	80.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	347	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V182: NDP PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1706 /-] [Invalid=412 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)


Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LEFT WING	227	67.0%
7	RIGHT WING	112	33.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	412	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V183: NDP PARTY - STRONG/WEAK MIND

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1767 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)



V183: NDP PARTY - STRONG/WEAK MIND

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STRONG-MINDED	386	 86.0%
7	WEAK-MINDED	63	 14.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	351	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V184: NDP PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1771 /-] [Invalid=347 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HONEST	515	 94.3%
7	DISHONEST	31	 5.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	347	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V185: NDP PARTY - DULL/EXCITING

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1770 /-] [Invalid=348 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DULL	75	 26.9%
7	EXCITING	204	 73.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	348	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V186: NDP PARTY - YOUNG/OLD

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1771 /-] [Invalid=347 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

V186: NDP PARTY - YOUNG/OLD

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNG	347	88.7%
7	OLD	44	11.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	347	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V187: NDP PARTY - SLOW/FAST

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SLOW	65	27.8%
7	FAST	169	72.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V188: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - OLD/MODERN







Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1639 /-] [Invalid=479 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OUT OF DATE	182	41.6%
7	MODERN	256	58.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	479	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V189: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1637 /-] [Invalid=481 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

# V189: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPETENT	178	 48.2%
7	INCOMPETENT	191	 51.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	481	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V190: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=475 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POWERFUL	100	 20.2%
7	WEAK	396	 79.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	475	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V191: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1638 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOOLISH	136	 48.7%
7	WISE	143	 51.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	480	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V192: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1634 /-] [Invalid=484 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		

V192: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOR THE MIDDLE CLASS	89	24.3%
7	FOR THE WORKING CLASS	278	75.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	484	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V193: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1637 /-] [Invalid=481 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNITED	177	38.2%
7	SPLIT	286	61.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	481	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V194: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - BAD/GOOD

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1644 /-] [Invalid=474 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BAD	123	40.1%
7	GOOD	184	59.9%
8	DON'T KNOW	474	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V195: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1583 /-] [Invalid=535 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

V195: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LEFT WING	102	39.4%
7	RIGHT WING	157	60.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	535	







Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.







V196: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND







Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1635 /-] [Invalid=483 /-]
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STRONG-MINDED	195	63.5%
7	WEAK-MINDED	112	36.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	483	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V197: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1638 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HONEST	371	 94.4%
7	DISHONEST	22	 5.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	480	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V198: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - DULL/EXCITING			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1640 /-] [Invalid=478 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DULL	164	 60.7%
7	EXCITING	106	 39.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	478	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V199: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - YOUNG/OLD			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1639 /-] [Invalid=479 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNG	183	 76.2%
7	OLD	57	 23.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	479	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V200: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - SLOW/FAST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# V200: SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY - SLOW/FAST			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1639 /-] [Invalid=479 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SLOW	113	 55.4%
7	FAST	91	 44.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	479	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V201: CREDITISTE PARTY - OLD/MODERN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1570 /-] [Invalid=548 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OUT OF DATE	227	 41.5%
7	MODERN	320	 58.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	548	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V202: CREDITISTE PARTY - COMPETENT/INCOMPETENT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=545 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPETENT	145	 26.7%
7	INCOMPETENT	398	 73.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	545	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V203: CREDITISTE PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1567 /-] [Invalid=551 /-]		

# V203: CREDITISTE PARTY - POWERFUL/WEAK			
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POWERFUL	110	 20.0%
7	WEAK	441	 80.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	551	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V204: CREDITISTE PARTY - FOOLISH/WISE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1570 /-] [Invalid=548 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOOLISH	359	 75.7%
7	WISE	115	 24.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	548	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V205: CREDITISTE PARTY - FOR MIDDLE CLASS/FOR WORKING CLASS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1557 /-] [Invalid=561 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FOR THE MIDDLE CLASS	68	 14.6%
7	FOR THE WORKING CLASS	398	 85.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	561	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V206: CREDITISTE PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1557 /-] [Invalid=561 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL		

V206: CREDITISTE PARTY - UNITED/SPLIT

PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNITED	173	32.4%
7	SPLIT	361	67.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	561	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V207: CREDITISTE PARTY - BAD/GOOD

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1571 /-] [Invalid=547 /-]

Pre-question NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BAD	296	69.3%
7	GOOD	131	30.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	547	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V208: CREDITISTE PARTY - LEFT/RIGHT

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1512 /-] [Invalid=606 /-]

Pre-question NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LEFT WING	197	61.0%
7	RIGHT WING	126	39.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	606	







Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V209: CREDITISTE PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1572 /-] [Invalid=546 /-]

Pre-question NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE

# V209: CREDITISTE PARTY - STRONG MIND/WEAK MIND			
	SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STRONG-MINDED	370	 68.6%
7	WEAK-MINDED	169	 31.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	546	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V210: CREDITISTE PARTY - HONEST/DISHONEST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1562 /-] [Invalid=556 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HONEST	278	 82.5%
7	DISHONEST	59	 17.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	556	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V211: CREDITISTE PARTY - DULL/EXCITING			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1564 /-] [Invalid=554 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		
Literal question	NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.		
Interviewer's instructions	(Show Card)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DULL	198	 42.7%
7	EXCITING	266	 57.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	554	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V212: CREDITISTE PARTY - YOUNG/OLD			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1561 /-] [Invalid=557 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.		

V212: CREDITISTE PARTY - YOUNG/OLD

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNG	292	87.7%
7	OLD	41	12.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	557	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V213: CREDITISTE PARTY - SLOW/FAST

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1565 /-] [Invalid=553 /-]

Pre-question NOW I HAVE A DIFFERENT KIND OF QUESTION FOR YOU. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME WORD PAIRS. EACH PAIR IS SEPARATED BY SEVEN BOXES LIKE THIS. IF YOU THINK THAT THE PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY IS VERY WELL KNOWN, YOU WOULD PUT A CHECK MARK IN THE BOX ON THE LEFT END OF THE SCALE. IF YOU FEEL IT IS NOT KNOWN AT ALL, YOU WOULD CHECK THE BOX ON THE RIGHT END OF THE SCALE. OR YOU MIGHT RATE IT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE TWO EXTREMES.

Literal question NOW YOU CAN GO ON TO EACH OF CANADA'S FEDERAL PARTIES.

Interviewer's instructions (Show Card)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SLOW	114	35.7%
7	FAST	205	64.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	553	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V214: LIBERAL MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2019 /-] [Invalid=99 /-]

Pre-question WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE PARTIES. I'D ALSO LIKE YOUR OPINIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHICH EACH OF THE PARTIES HAVE SENT TO OTTAWA IN THE LAST FEW YEARS. YOU MAY NOT KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT THEM, BUT JUST GIVE ME YOUR GENERAL IMPRESSION OF THE JOB THEY HAVE BEEN DOING.

Literal question LET'S START WITH THE LIBERAL MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE JOB THEY HAVE BEEN DOING-PRETTY GOOD, JUST SO-SO, OR NOT GOOD AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRETTY GOOD	728	36.1%
2	SO-SO	1089	53.9%
3	NOT GOOD	202	10.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	93	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V215: CONSERVATIVE MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1984 /-] [Invalid=134 /-]

Pre-question WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE PARTIES. I'D ALSO LIKE YOUR OPINIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHICH EACH OF THE PARTIES HAVE SENT TO OTTAWA IN THE LAST FEW YEARS. YOU MAY NOT KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT THEM, BUT JUST GIVE ME YOUR GENERAL IMPRESSION OF THE JOB THEY HAVE BEEN DOING.

Literal question HOW ABOUT THE CONSERVATIVE MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT? HOW HAVE THEY BEEN PRETTY GOOD, SO-SO, OR NOT GOOD AT ALL?

V215: CONSERVATIVE MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRETTY GOOD	600	30.2%
2	SO-SO	1080	54.4%
3	NOT GOOD	304	15.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	125	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V216: NDP MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1591 /-] [Invalid=527 /-]
Pre-question	WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE PARTIES. I'D ALSO LIKE YOUR OPINIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHICH EACH OF THE PARTIES HAVE SENT TO OTTAWA IN THE LAST FEW YEARS. YOU MAY NOT KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT THEM, BUT JUST GIVE ME YOUR GENERAL IMPRESSION OF THE JOB THEY HAVE BEEN DOING.
Literal question	WHAT ABOUT THE NDP MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT? HOW WOULD YOU RATE THEM?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRETTY GOOD	588	37.0%
2	SO-SO	693	43.6%
3	NOT GOOD	310	19.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	517	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V217: SOCIAL CREDIT MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1483 /-] [Invalid=635 /-]
Pre-question	WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE PARTIES. I'D ALSO LIKE YOUR OPINIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHICH EACH OF THE PARTIES HAVE SENT TO OTTAWA IN THE LAST FEW YEARS. YOU MAY NOT KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT THEM, BUT JUST GIVE ME YOUR GENERAL IMPRESSION OF THE JOB THEY HAVE BEEN DOING.
Literal question	AND HOW ABOUT THE SOCIAL CREDIT MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT FOLLOWING MR. THOMPSON?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRETTY GOOD	324	21.8%
2	SO-SO	668	45.0%
3	NOT GOOD	491	33.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	628	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V218: CREDITISTE MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1409 /-] [Invalid=709 /-]
Pre-question	WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE PARTIES. I'D ALSO LIKE YOUR OPINIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHICH EACH OF THE PARTIES HAVE SENT TO OTTAWA IN THE LAST FEW YEARS. YOU MAY NOT KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT THEM, BUT JUST GIVE ME YOUR GENERAL IMPRESSION OF THE JOB THEY HAVE BEEN DOING.
Literal question	AND FINALLY, WHAT ABOUT THE CAOUCETTE GROUP OF SOCIAL CREDIT MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT?

V218: CREDITISTE MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRETTY GOOD	199	14.1%
2	SO-SO	466	33.1%
3	NOT GOOD	744	52.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	701	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V219: COMPARE CREDITISTE PARTY TO OTHERS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=573 /-] [Invalid=1545 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS FROM QUEBEC.
Literal question	NOW I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TWO QUESTIONS ABOUT THE SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY LED BY MR. CAOUPETTE. DO YOU PERSONALLY FEEL THAT, IN THE LAST FEW YEARS, ON THE WHOLE, THE SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY IN OTTAWA HAS DONE A MUCH BETTER JOB, A SOMEWHAT BETTER JOB, ABOUT THE SAME, A SOMEWHAT WORSE JOB, OR A MUCH WORSE JOB THAN THE OTHER PARTIES?
Notes	ASK QUESTIONS 32 AND 33 <REF. NOS. 219-220> IN QUEBEC. FOR ALL OTHERS GO TO Q. 34 <REF. NO. 221>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MUCH BETTER	71	12.4%
2	SOMEWHAT BETTER	111	19.4%
3	ABOUT THE SAME	223	38.9%
4	SOMEWHAT WORSE	103	18.0%
5	MUCH WORSE	65	11.3%
0	INAP (R IS NOT A QUEBEC RESIDENT)	1475	
8	DON'T KNOW	70	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V220: CHANCE CREDITISTE PARTY WILL COME TO POWER IN 10 YEARS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=599 /-] [Invalid=1519 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS FROM QUEBEC.
Literal question	WHAT CHANCES DO YOU THINK THE SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY HAS TO BECOME THE PARTY IN POWER IN OTTAWA IN THE NEXT TEN YEARS: A VERY GOOD CHANCE, FAIRLY GOOD CHANCE, NOT TOO MUCH OF A CHANCE OR NO CHANCE AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY GOOD	19	3.2%
2	FAIRLY GOOD	91	15.2%
3	NOT TOO MUCH CHANCE	198	33.1%
4	NO CHANCE	291	48.6%
0	INAP (R IS NOT A QUEBEC RESIDENT)	1475	
8	DON'T KNOW	44	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V221: RESPONDENT'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-80] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2038 /-] [Invalid=80 /-]

# V221: RESPONDENT'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION			
Literal question	GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU USUALLY THINK OF YOURSELF AS CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, SOCIAL CREDIT, CREDITISTE, NDP, UNION NATIONALE OR WHAT?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF SOME PARTY IDENTIFICATION CHOSEN, ASK QUESTIONS 35, 36, 37 <REF. NOS. 222-226, 233-234>. IF NO PARTY IDENTIFICATION, ASK QUESTION 38 <REF. NOS. 227-234>.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	CONSERVATIVE	545	26.7%
20	LIBERAL	775	38.0%
30	NDP	207	10.2%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	90	4.4%
50	CREDITISTE	43	2.1%
60	UNION NATIONALE	11	0.5%
70	OTHER PARTY	4	0.2%
80	NO PARTY	363	17.8%
98	DON'T KNOW	65	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	15	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V222: STRENGTH OF RESPONDENT'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=* /0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1665 /-] [Invalid=453 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO CHOSE A PARTY IDENTIFICATION FOR V221.		
Literal question	HOW STRONGLY (NAME OF CHOSEN PARTY) DO YOU GENERALLY FEEL-VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, OR NOT VERY STRONGLY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY STRONGLY	492	29.5%
2	FAIRLY STRONGLY	866	52.0%
3	NOT VERY STRONGLY	307	18.4%
0	INAP (R CODED 80 OR 98 IN REF)	428	
8	DON'T KNOW	10	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	15	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V223: RESPONDENT'S PAST PARTY IDENTIFICATION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-80] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1639 /-] [Invalid=479 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO CHOSE A PARTY IDENTIFICATION FOR V221.		
Literal question	WAS THERE EVER A TIME WHEN YOU THOUGHT OF YOURSELF AS CLOSEST TO ANY OTHER PARTY IN CANADA?		
Post-question	(IF "YES") WHICH PARTY WAS THAT?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, NEVER FELT CLOSER	1021	62.3%
10	YES, CONSERVATIVE	252	15.4%
20	YES, LIBERAL	219	13.4%
30	YES, NDP	83	5.1%
40	YES, SOCIAL CREDIT	31	1.9%
50	YES, CREDITISTE	7	0.4%
80	YES, OTHER	26	1.6%

V223: RESPONDENT'S PAST PARTY IDENTIFICATION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
91	INAP (R CODED 0 IN REF	428	
98	DON'T KNOW	31	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	20	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V224: LEVEL PARTY ID-NATIONAL/PROVINCIAL

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1660 /-] [Invalid=458 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO CHOSE A PARTY IDENTIFICATION FOR V221.
Literal question	WHEN YOU SAY YOU ARE A (NAME OF CHOSEN PARTY) ARE YOU THINKING OF NATIONAL POLITICS, POLITICS HERE IN THIS PROVINCE, OR BOTH?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NATIONAL ONLY	337	20.3%
2	PROVINCIAL ONLY	93	5.6%
3	BOTH	1230	74.1%
0	INAP (R CODED 0 IN REF	428	
8	DON'T KNOW	16	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V225: PROVINCIAL PARTY ID-NATIONAL LEVEL 1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-80] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=303 /-] [Invalid=1815 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES NATIONAL ONLY.
Literal question	HOW ABOUT POLITICS HERE IN (NAME OF PROVINCE)? HOW DO YOU THINK OF YOURSELF?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	CONSERVATIVE	76	25.1%
20	LIBERAL	100	33.0%
30	NDP	18	5.9%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	63	20.8%
50	CREDITISTE	1	0.3%
60	UNION NATIONALE	15	5.0%
70	OTHER	2	0.7%
80	NONE	28	9.2%
91	INAP (R CODED 2, 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	1767	
98	DON'T KNOW	17	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	31	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V226: NATIONAL PARTY ID-PROVINCIAL LEVEL 1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-80] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=80 /-] [Invalid=2038 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES PROVINCIAL ONLY.
Literal question	HOW ABOUT NATIONAL POLITICS? HOW DO YOU THINK OF YOURSELF?

V226: NATIONAL PARTY ID-PROVINCIAL LEVEL 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	CONSERVATIVE	28	35.0%
20	LIBERAL	37	46.2%
30	NDP	8	10.0%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	3	3.8%
50	CREDITISTE	0	
60	UNION NATIONALE	0	
80	NONE	4	5.0%
91	INAP (R CODED 1, 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2011	
98	DON'T KNOW	10	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	17	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V227: CLOSER TO WHICH PARTY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-80] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=395 /-] [Invalid=1723 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES NON-IDENTIFIERS.
Literal question	WELL, DO YOU GENERALLY THINK OF YOURSELF AS A LITTLE CLOSER TO ONE OF THE PARTIES THAN THE OTHERS? (IF "YES") WHICH PARTY IS THAT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, DO NOT FEEL CLOSER	222	56.2%
10	YES, CONSERVATIVE	53	13.4%
20	YES, LIBERAL	79	20.0%
30	YES, NDP	28	7.1%
40	YES, SOCIAL CREDIT	5	1.3%
80	YES, OTHER	8	2.0%
91	INAP, (R CODED 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, OR 70 IN	1675	
97	REFUSED	1	
98	DON'T KNOW	34	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V228: PARTY CHOICE NATIONAL/PROVINCIAL

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=171 /-] [Invalid=1947 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES NON-IDENTIFIERS WHO LEAN.
Literal question	WHEN YOU SAY YOU FEEL CLOSER TO (PARTY MENTIONED), ARE YOU THINKING OF NATIONAL POLITICS, POLITICS HERE IN THE PROVINCES OR BOTH?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NATIONAL ONLY	54	31.6%
2	PROVINCIAL ONLY	17	9.9%
3	BOTH	100	58.5%
0	INAP (R CODED 00, 91, 97, OR 98 IN REF	1932	
8	DON'T KNOW	4	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	11	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V229: PROVINCIAL LEVEL-NATIONAL LEVEL 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-70] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=39 /-] [Invalid=2079 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES NON-IDENTIFIERS WHO LEAN.		
Literal question	HOW ABOUT POLITICS HERE IN (PROVINCE) TO WHICH PARTY DO YOU GENERALLY FEEL CLOSER?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	CONSERVATIVE	8	20.5%
20	LIBERAL	20	51.3%
30	NDP	4	10.3%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	6	15.4%
50	CREDITISTE	0	
60	UNION NATIONALE	1	2.6%
70	OTHER	0	
91	INAP (R CODED 2, 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2053	
98	DON'T KNOW	4	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	22	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V230: NATIONAL LEVEL-PROVINCIAL LEVEL 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-70] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8 /-] [Invalid=2110 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES NON-IDENTIFIERS WHO LEAN.		
Literal question	HOW ABOUT NATIONAL POLITICS? TO WHICH PARTY DO YOU GENERALLY FEEL CLOSER?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	CONSERVATIVE	1	12.5%
20	LIBERAL	6	75.0%
30	NDP	1	12.5%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	0	
50	CREDITISTE	0	
70	OTHER	0	
91	INAP (R CODED 1, 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2090	
98	DON'T KNOW	6	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	14	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V231: PAST PARTY CHOICE/ID			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-70] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164 /-] [Invalid=1954 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES NON-IDENTIFIERS WHO LEAN.		
Literal question	WAS THERE EVER A TIME WHEN YOU FELT CLOSER TO ANY OTHER PARTY? (IF "YES") WHICH PARTY WAS THAT?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, NEVER FELT CLOSER	91	55.5%
10	CONSERVATIVE	37	22.6%
20	LIBERAL	21	12.8%
30	NDP	6	3.7%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	5	3.0%

V231: PAST PARTY CHOICE/ID

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70	OTHER	4	2.4%
91	INAP (R CODED 00, 91, 97, OR 98 IN REF	1932	
98	DON'T KNOW	8	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V232: EVER CLOSE TO PARTY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=239 /-] [Invalid=1879 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES NON-LEANING NON-IDENTIFIERS, "IF DOESN'T FEEL CLOSE" IN Q. 38-A. (REF. NO. 227)
Literal question	WAS THERE EVER A TIME WHEN YOU DID THINK OF YOURSELF AS CLOSER TO ONE OF THE PARTIES?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	67	28.0%
2	NO	172	72.0%
0	INAP (R CODED 10-80 OR 91 IN REF	1848	
8	DON'T KNOW	21	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V233: WHEN RESPONDENT'S PARTY ID CHANGED

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=558 /-] [Invalid=1560 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES: QNS. 36-C, 38-H, AND 38-K (EACH RESPONDENT ANSWERED ONLY ONE OF THESE), Q. 36-C. (IF "YES" IN REF. NO. 223), Q. 38-R. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 231), Q. 38-K. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 232)
Literal question	QNS. 36-C, 38-H, AND 38-K (EACH RESPONDENT ANSWERED ONLY ONE OF THESE) / Q. 36-C. (IF "YES" IN REF. NO. 223) WHEN DID YOU CHANGE FROM THAT PARTY TO YOUR PRESENT ONE? / Q. 38-R. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 231) WHEN DID YOU CHANGE FROM FEELING CLOSER TO THAT PARTY? / Q. 38-K. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 232) WHEN DID YOU MOVE AWAY FROM THAT PARTY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DURING THE LAST FEDERAL CAMPAIGN	88	15.8%
2	LESS THAN 1 YEAR BEFORE (INTERVIEW) BUT BEFORE LAST	26	4.7%
3	1 OR 2 YRS	93	16.7%
4	3-5 YRS	135	24.2%
5	6-9 YRS BEFORE (72 MONTHS-119 MONTHS)	79	14.2%
6	10-14 YRS	57	10.2%
7	15-20 YRS	20	3.6%
8	20 YRS	60	10.8%
91	INAP (R DID NOT SWITCH PARTIES, I	1344	
99	NO ANSWER, CANT REMEMBER, UNCLEAR	216	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V234: REASON R CHANGED PARTY ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-23] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=647 /-] [Invalid=1471 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDERS WHO ANSWERED "YES" FOR V221, V222, OR V223.
Pre-question	QNS. 36-D, 38-I, AND 38-L. (EACH RESPONDENT ANSWERED ONLY ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS.)

# V234: REASON R CHANGED PARTY ID			
Literal question	Q. 36-D. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 223) WHAT WAS THE MAIN THING THAT MADE YOU CHANGE? / Q. 38-I. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 231) WHAT WAS THE MAIN THING THAT MADE YOU CHANGE? / Q. 38-L. (IF "YES" IN REP. NO. 232) WHAT WAS THE MAIN THING THAT MADE YOU MOVE AWAY FROM THE PARTY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	PARTY LEADER OR LEADERS	97	15.0%
12	CANDIDATE	84	13.0%
13	PARTY (DISSATISFIED WITH FORMERLY FAVOURED PARTY OR	115	17.8%
14	GENERAL PLATFORM AND PROGRAM OF PARTY OR CANDIDATE	87	13.4%
15	MAJORITY	11	1.7%
16	LACK OF LEADERSHIP	4	0.6%
17	OTHERS	112	17.3%
18	LACK OF STABILITY	3	0.5%
19	NEEDED CHANGE IN GOVERNMENT	46	7.1%
20	SWITCHED ALLEGIANCE BECAUSE HE FELT HIS PARTY HAD	20	3.1%
21	OTHER NON-POLITICAL REASONS, E	41	6.3%
22	UNKEPT PROMISES	18	2.8%
23	UNEMPLOYMENT	9	1.4%
91	INAP	1344	
98	IRRELEVANT ANSWERS, DON'T KNOW, REFUSE	0	
99	NO ANSWER OR NOT APPLICABLE	127	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V235: SECOND CHOICE FEDERAL PARTY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1795 /-] [Invalid=323 /-]		
Literal question	IF AT THIS TIHE YOU HAD TO VOTE FOR ONE OF THE OTHER FEDERAL PARTIES, WHICH ONE WOULD BE YOUR SECOND CHOICE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND CHOICE	282	15.7%
1	CONSERVATIVE	444	24.7%
2	LIBERAL	412	23.0%
3	NDP	439	24.5%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	164	9.1%
5	CREDITISTE	45	2.5%
6	OTHER	9	0.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	309	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	14	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V236: LAST CHOICE FEDERAL PARTY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1713 /-] [Invalid=405 /-]		
Literal question	WHICH OF THE FEDERAL PARTIES TODAY WOULD YOU LEAST WANT TO VOTE FOR?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CONSERVATIVE	200	11.7%

V236: LAST CHOICE FEDERAL PARTY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	LIBERAL	157	9.2%
3	NDP	257	15.0%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	306	17.9%
5	CREDITISTE	757	44.2%
6	OTHER	36	2.1%
7	REFUSED	1	
8	DON'T KNOW	394	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V237: WHICH MOST IMPORTANT CRITERION IN VOTE: LEADER OR PARTY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2076 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]
Literal question	ON THE WHOLE, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING IS MOST IMPORTANT TO YOU WHEN YOU VOTE? THE CANDIDATE RUNNING IN YOUR RIDING, THE PARTY ITSELF, OR THE PARTY'S LEADER?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PARTY	594	28.6%
2	LEADER	430	20.7%
3	PARTY AND LEADER EQUALLY	102	4.9%
4	CANDIDATE	648	31.2%
5	PARTY AND CANDIDATE	72	3.5%
6	LEADER AND CANDIDATE	59	2.8%
8	PROGRAM	45	2.2%
9	PARTY AND PROGRAM EQUALLY	31	1.5%
10	LEADER AND PROGRAM EQUALLY	56	2.7%
12	CANDIDATE AND PROGRAM	39	1.9%
80	OTHER COMBINATIONS	1	
98	DON'T KNOW	41	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V238: VOTE ALLEGIANCE TO PARTY IF BAD CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1933 /-] [Invalid=185 /-]
Literal question	SUPPOSE THERE WAS AN ELECTION IN WHICH THE PARTY YOU FAVOUR RAN A CANDIDATE IN YOUR RIDING WHOM YOU DID NOT LIKE OR DID NOT AGREE WITH THAT WOULD YOU BE MOST LIKELY TO DO? VOTE FOR THE CANDIDATE ANYWAY? OR WOULD YOU PROBABLY NOT VOTE AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CANDIDATE	847	43.8%
2	OTHER PARTY	692	35.8%
3	WON'T VOTE	394	20.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	176	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V239: VOTE ALLEGIANCE TO PARTY IF IT FAVOURS BAD POLICIES?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1934 /-] [Invalid=184 /-]

V239: VOTE ALLEGIANCE TO PARTY IF IT FAVOURS BAD POLICIES?

Literal question SUPPOSE THERE WAS AN ELECTION IN WHICH THE PARTY YOU PREFER WAS IN FAVOUR OF SOME POLICY YOU DID NOT LIKE OR DID NOT AGREE WITH. WHAT WOULD YOU BE MOST LIKELY TO DO? VOTE FOR THE PARTY'S CANDIDATE ANYWAY? CONSIDER ANOTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE? OR WOULD YOU PROBABLY NOT VOTE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CANDIDATE	538	27.8%
2	OTHER PARTY	774	40.0%
3	WON'T VOTE	354	18.3%
4	IT DEPENDS, QUALIFIED	268	13.9%
8	DON'T KNOW	175	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V240: FATHER'S INTEREST IN POLITICS

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2016 /-] [Invalid=102 /-]

Literal question DO YOU REMEMBER, WHEN YOU WERE GROWING, UP, WHETHER YOUR FATHER WAS VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS, SOMEWHAT INTERESTED, OR DIDN'T HE PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO IT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY INTERESTED	817	40.5%
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	739	36.7%
3	DID NOT PAY MUCH ATTENTION	406	20.1%
4	DID NOT LIVE WITH FATHER	54	2.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	100	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V241: FATHER'S PARTY PREFERENCE

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-40] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1847 /-] [Invalid=271 /-]

Literal question DID YOUR FATHER HAVE ANY PARTICULAR PREFERENCE FOR ONE OF THE FEDEEAL POLITICAL PARTIES WHEN YOU WERE YOUNG?

Post-question (If ``YES``) WHICH PARTY WAS THAT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO PREFERENCE	534	28.9%
10	CONSERVATIVE	564	30.5%
20	LIBERAL	658	35.6%
30	CCF	65	3.5%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	26	1.4%
60	OTHER	11	
80	NOT CANADIAN PARTY	88	
91	INAP (R CODED 4 IN REF	54	
98	DON'T KNOW	80	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	38	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V242: MOTHER'S INTEREST IN POLITICS

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

V242: MOTHER'S INTEREST IN POLITICS

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1997 /-] [Invalid=121 /-]
Literal question	WHEN YOU WERE GROWING UP, WAS YOUR MOTHER VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS, SOMEWHAT INTERESTED, OR DIDN'T SHE PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO IT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY INTERESTED	300	15.0%
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	636	31.8%
3	DID NOT PAY MUCH ATTENTION	997	49.9%
4	DID NOT LIVE WITH MOTHER	64	3.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	121	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V243: MOTHER'S PARTY PREFERENCE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-40] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1899 /-] [Invalid=219 /-]
Literal question	WHEN YOU WERE YOUNG, DID YOUR MOTHER HAVE ANY PREFERENCE FOR ONE OF THE FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTIES?
Post-question	(IF "YES") WHICH PARTY WAS THAT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO PREFERENCE	911	48.0%
10	CONSERVATIVE	407	21.4%
20	LIBERAL	513	27.0%
30	CCF	47	2.5%
40	SOCIAL CREDIT	21	1.1%
60	OTHER	3	
80	NOT CANADIAN PARTY	56	
91	INAP (R CODED 4 IN REF	64	
98	DON'T KNOW	48	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	48	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V244: REMEMBER NAME ELECTED CANDIDATE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2115 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Literal question	DO YOU HAPPEN TO REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE CANDIDATE WHO WAS ELECTED TO PARLIAMENT FOR THIS RIDING IN THE NOVEBBBER 8th, ELECTION?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, CORRECT	1627	76.9%
2	YES, INCORRECT	48	2.3%
3	NO, DO NOT REMEMBER	341	16.1%
4	NOT SURE	99	4.7%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V245: REMEMBER PARTY ELECTED CANDIDATE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2116 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]

# V245: REMEMBER PARTY ELECTED CANDIDATE			
Pre-question	DO YOU HAPPEN TO REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE CANDIDATE WHO WAS ELECTED TO PARLIAMENT FOR THIS RIDING IN THE NOVEMBER 8th, ELECTION?		
Literal question	DO YOU HAPPEN TO KNOW HIS (HER) [ELECTED MP] PARTY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, CORRECT	1811	85.6%
2	YES, INCORRECT	46	2.2%
3	NO, DO NOT KNOW	195	9.2%
4	NOT SURE	64	3.0%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V246: READ OR HEARD ABOUT CANDIDATE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2114 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES ONLY THOSE RESPONDENTS (IF R GAVE INCORRECT ANSWER OR DID NOT KNOW, NAME AND PARTY READ BY INTERVIEWER) IN V245.		
Literal question	HAVE YOU EVER READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [ELECTED MP]?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1226	58.0%
2	NOT HEARD ANYTHING	708	33.5%
3	NOT SURE	180	8.5%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V247: TYPE OF INFORMATION READ OR HEARD ABOUT MP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1223 /-] [Invalid=895 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT WAS THAT [R READ OR HEARD ABOUT ELECTED MP]?		
Notes	(ANSWERS TO THIS QUESTION ARE CODED ACCORDING TO WHETHER OR NOT THE RESPONDENT IS ABLE TO BACK UP HIS CLAIM IN 50-A. ANSWERS WERE AIMED AT THE SOURCE OF INFORMATION OR THE CONTENT. ALL SOURCE ORIENTED RESPONSES WERE CODED 1 (PAMPLETS, NEWSPAPER ARTICLES, T.V., ETC.). ALL CONTENT ANSWERS WERE EVALUATED ACCORDING TO THE CODING SCHEME. IN CASES OF DOUBT THE RESPONDENT HAS ALWAYS GIVEN THE BENEFIT OF A 1 CODE.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MENTIONS SOMETHING SPECIFIC	973	79.6%
2	GIVES VAGUE GENERAL ANSWER	118	9.6%
8	CAN NOT REMEMBER, REFUSES	132	10.8%
0	QUESTION IS NOT APPLICABLE (R CODED 2, 3, 8, OR 9 IN	892	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V248: WAS CANDIDATE INCUMBENT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	DO YOU HAPPEN TO KNOW WHETHER HE (SHE) WAS ALREADY IN PARLIAMENT JUST BEFORE THIS ELECTION?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	IN PARLIAMENT, CORRECT	1300	61.4%
2	IN PARLIAMENT, INCORRECT	80	3.8%
3	NOT IN PARLIAMENT, CORRECT	394	18.6%
4	NOT IN PARLIAMENT, INCORRECT	46	2.2%
5	OTHER	2	0.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	296	14.0%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V249: CANDIDATE AIDED CONSTITUENCY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=596 /-] [Invalid=1522 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO CORRECTLY IDENTIFIED THE CANDIDATE AS BEING AN INCUMBENT, IN V248.		
Literal question	DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING THAT (NAME OF MEMBER) HAS DONE FOR THE PEOPLE OF THIS CONSTITUENCY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	348	58.4%
2	DID NOTHING	248	41.6%
0	INAP	818	
8	DON'T KNOW	700	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V250: CANDIDATE AIDED RESPONDENT'S FAMILY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]		

# V250: CANDIDATE AIDED RESPONDENT'S FAMILY			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1288 /-] [Invalid=830 /-]	
Literal question		HAS HE (SHE) EVER DONE ANYTHING FOR YOU OR YOUR FAMILY PERSONALLY?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	54	4.2%
2	DONE NOTHING	1234	95.8%
0	INAP (R CODED 2-8 IN REF	818	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	12	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V251A: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question		DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 1	
Interviewer's instructions		FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REP-NO, 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (REPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	1282	60.5%
2	INCORRECT	111	5.2%
4	DK NAME BUT KNOWS THE PARTY	50	2.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	675	31.9%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V251B: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=747 /-] [Invalid=1371 /-]	
Literal question		DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 2	
Interviewer's instructions		FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REP-NO, 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	639	85.5%
2	INCORRECT	47	6.3%
4	DK NAME BUT KNOWS THE PARTY	60	8.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	1	0.1%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	1371	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V251C: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=186 /-] [Invalid=1932 /-]	

# V251C: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME			
Literal question	DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 3		
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REP-NO, 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	140	75.3%
2	INCORRECT	20	10.8%
4	DK NAME BUT KNOWS THE PARTY	26	14.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	1932	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V251D: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--NAME			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35 /-] [Invalid=2083 /-]		
Literal question	DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF ANY OTHER CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8TH FOR THIS RIDING? 4		
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REP-NO, 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	29	82.9%
2	INCORRECT	3	8.6%
4	DK NAME BUT KNOWS THE PARTY	3	8.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	2083	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V252A: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 1		
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REP-NO, 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	1314	62.0%
2	INCORRECT	107	5.1%
4	DK PARTY BUT KNOWS NAME	23	1.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	674	31.8%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V252B: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=747 /-] [Invalid=1371 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 2		
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REF.NO. 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	663	88.8%
2	INCORRECT	63	8.4%
4	DK PARTY BUT KNOWS NAME	20	2.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	1	0.1%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	1371	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V252C: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=186 /-] [Invalid=1932 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 3		
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REF.NO. 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	150	80.6%
2	INCORRECT	32	17.2%
4	DK PARTY BUT KNOWS NAME	4	2.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	1932	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V252D: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35 /-] [Invalid=2083 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT IS HIS (HER) PARTY [OF OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 4		
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REF. NO. 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CORRECT	29	82.9%
2	INCORRECT	6	17.1%
4	DK PARTY BUT KNOWS NAME	0	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	2083	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

V252D: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--PARTY

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V253A: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1446 /-] [Invalid=672 /-]
Literal question	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 1
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REF.NO. 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	992	68.6%
2	NO	353	24.4%
3	NOT SURE	101	7.0%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	0	
8	DON'T KNOW	671	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V253B: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=747 /-] [Invalid=1371 /-]
Literal question	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 2
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REF.NO. 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	433	58.0%
2	NO	226	30.3%
3	NOT SURE	88	11.8%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	1371	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V253C: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=186 /-] [Invalid=1932 /-]
Literal question	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 3
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REF.NO. 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	95	51.1%
2	NO	67	36.0%

V253C: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	NOT SURE	24	12.9%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	1932	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V253D: OTHER DIST CANDIDATES--READ OR HEARD

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35 /-] [Invalid=2083 /-]
Literal question	HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM (HER) [I.E., OTHER UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES FROM R'S RIDING]? 4
Interviewer's instructions	FOR EACH NAME ELECTED BY Q. 52-A (REF.NO. 251). THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED QS. 52-B AND 52-C (RESPONSE 252-253). COMMENTS ABOUT THE FIRST INDIVIDUAL MENTIONED ARE ALWAYS CODED AS THE FIRST RESPONSE IN EACH OF THESE THREE VARIABLES (REF. NOS. 251-253) COMMENTS ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL ARE CODED IN THE SECOND RESPONSE, ETC.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	16	45.7%
2	NO	14	40.0%
3	NOT SURE	5	14.3%
0	INAP (NO FURTHER RESPONSE)	2083	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V254: REGULAR VOTER IN FEDERAL ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2110 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Universe	IF RESPONDENT HAS EVER VOTED IN A FEDERAL ELECTION-ASK Q. 54-A [REF. NO. 255]. FOR ALL OTHERS, GO TO Q. 55 [REP, NO. 257].
Literal question	IN FEDERAL ELECTIONS SINCE YOU HAVE BEEN OLD ENOUGH TO VOTE IN CANADA, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU HAVE VOTED IN ALL OF THEM, MOST OF THEM, SOBE OF THEM, OR NONE OF THEH?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED IN ALL	1192	56.5%
2	VOTED IN MOST	585	27.7%
3	VOTED IN SOME	274	13.0%
4	VOTED IN NONE	59	2.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	6	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V255: FEDERAL ELECTION - VOTE SAME PARTY?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2044 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]
Universe	IF RESPONDENT HAS EVER VOTED IN A FEDERAL ELECTION-ASK Q. 54-A [REF. NO. 255]. FOR ALL OTHERS, GO TO Q. 55 [REF, NO. 257].
Literal question	(FOR THOSE WHO HAVE VOTED IN FEDERAL ELECTIONS) HAVE YOU ALWAYS VOTED FOR THE SAME PARTY, OR HAVE YOU VOTED FOR DIFFERENT PARTIES?

# V255: FEDERAL ELECTION - VOTE SAME PARTY?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED FOR SAME PARTY	930	45.5%
2	VOTED FOR DIFFERENT PARTIES	1114	54.5%
0	INAP, (R CODED 4 OR 8 IN REF	65	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V256: FEDERAL ELECTION - VOTE WHICH SAME PARTY?			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=896 /-] [Invalid=1222 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO VOTE FOR THE SAME PARTY IN FEDERAL ELECTIONS, TO V255.		
Literal question	(IF SAME PARTY) WHICH PARTY IS THAT [R HAS ALWAYS VOTED FOR IN FEDERAL ELECTIONS]?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CONSERVATIVE	310	34.6%
2	LIBERAL	486	54.2%
3	NDP	64	7.1%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	28	3.1%
5	OTHER	8	0.9%
0	INAP	1188	
7	REFUSED	4	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	30	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V257: REGULAR VOTER IN PROVINCIAL ELECTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2096 /-] [Invalid=22 /-]		
Literal question	IN PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS SINCE YOU HAVE BEEN OLD ENOUGH TO VOTE, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU HAVE VOTED IN ALL OF THEM, MOST OF THEN, SOME OF THEM, OR NONE OF THEM?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED IN ALL	1015	48.4%
2	VOTED IN MOST	605	28.9%
3	VOTED IN SOME	288	13.7%
4	VOTED IN NONE	188	9.0%
8	DON'T KNOW	20	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V258: PROVINCIAL ELECTION - VOTE SAME PARTY?			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1905 /-] [Invalid=213 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES FOR THOSE WHO HAVE VOTED IN PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS.		
Literal question	HAVE YOU ALWAYS VOTED FOR THE SAME PARTY, OR HAVE YOU VOTED FOR DIFFERENT PARTIES?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED FOR SAME PARTY	1018	53.4%

V258: PROVINCIAL ELECTION - VOTE SAME PARTY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	VOTED FOR DIFFERENT PARTIES	887	46.6%
0	INAP (R CODED 4, 8 OR 9 IN REF	210	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V259: PROVINCIAL ELECTION - VOTE WHICH SAME PARTY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=945 /-] [Invalid=1173 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO VOTED FOR THE SAME PROVINCIAL PARTY.
Literal question	WHICH PARTY IS THAT [R HAS ALWAYS VOTED FOR IN PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS]?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CONSERVATIVE	317	33.5%
2	LIBERAL	447	47.3%
3	NDP	68	7.2%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	77	8.1%
5	UNION NATIONALE	33	3.5%
6	OTHER	3	0.3%
0	INAP (R CODED 2, 9 OR 0 IN REF	1100	
7	REFUSED	5	
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	68	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V260: QUEBEC VOTE 1962 FEDERAL ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-19] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=562 /-] [Invalid=1556 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS FROM QUEBEC ONLY.
Literal question	Q. 59-A. IN THE LAST THREE FEDERAL ELECTIONS IN 1962, 1963, AND NOVEMBER 8th OF THIS/LAST YEAR, MR. CAOUCETTE'S SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY OBTAINED A LARGE SHARE OF THE QUEBEC VOTE. HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF YOU VOTED SOCIAL CREDIT IN ANY OF THESE ELECTIONS. YOUR ANSWERS ARE OF COURSE COMPLETELY CONFIDENTIAL. DID YOU HAPPEN TO VOTE FOR THE SOCIAL CREDIT CANDIDATE IN THE 1962 FEDERAL ELECTION-THE FIRST ONE IN WHICH MR. CAOUCETTE'S PARTY DID SO WELL?
Post-question	Q. 59-B. (IF VOTED BUT NOT SOCIAL CREDIT) WHICH PARTY DID YOU VOTE FOR?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	DID NOT VOTE IN 1962	17	3.0%
11	YES VOTED, CONSERVATIVE	100	17.8%
12	YES VOTED, LIBERAL	271	48.2%
13	YES VOTED, NDP	11	2.0%
14	YES VOTED, SOCIAL CREDIT	109	19.4%
16	YES VOTED, OTHER	2	0.4%
17	YES VOTED, REFUSED WHICH PARTY	20	3.6%
18	YES VOTED, DK WHICH PARTY	20	3.6%
19	YES VOTED, NA WHICH PARTY	12	2.1%
91	INAP, (R DOES NOT RESIDE IN QUEBEC)	1475	
96	INELIGIBLE TO VOTE (TOO YOUNG, NOT CITIZEN)	40	

V260: QUEBEC VOTE 1962 FEDERAL ELECTION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	REFUSED TO ANSWER	21	
98	DK IF VOTED	17	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V261: VOTE IN 1963 FEDERAL ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-19] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2013 /-] [Invalid=105 /-]
Universe	Q61-A ASKED ONLY TO RESPONDENTS WHO VOTED.
Pre-question	THE LAST FEDERAL ELECTION BEFORE THE ONE IN NOVEMBER WAS IN 1963. DO YOU REMEMBER FOR SURE WHETHER OR NOT YOU VOTED IN THAT ELECTION?
Literal question	Q. 61-A. BOW ABOUT THE ELECTION ON UOVEBBER STH. DID YOU VOTE THIS TIBE OR DID SOMETHING KEEP YOU FROK VOTING?
Post-question	Q. 61-B. (IF "YES VOTED) DID YOU VOTE SOCIAL CREDIT?
Notes	<DATA FOR QUESTIOKS 57-A, 57-B, 60-A, AND 60-R WERE COMBINED IN REF. NO. 261> This includes respondents from Quebec (who were asked in the previous question who they voted for in the 1963 election) and those from the rest of Canada who were not as the preliminary question about the Quebec vote.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	DID NOT VOTE IN 1963	243	12.1%
11	YES VOTED, CONSERVATIVE	568	28.2%
12	YES VOTED, LIBERAL	780	38.7%
13	YES VOTED, NDP	162	8.0%
14	YES VOTED, SOCIAL CREDIT (MR	81	4.0%
15	YES VOTED, SOCIAL CREDIT (MR	32	1.6%
16	YES VOTED, OTHER PARTY	2	0.1%
17	YES VOTED, REFUSED WHICH PARTY	61	3.0%
18	YES VOTED, DK WHICH PARTY	67	3.3%
19	YES VOTED, NA WHICH PARTY	17	0.8%
96	INELIGIBLE TO VOTE (TOO YOUNG, NOT CITIZEN)	36	
97	REFUSED	24	
98	DK IF VOTED IN 1963	15	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V262: VOTE IN 1965 FEDERAL ELECTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-19] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2090 /-] [Invalid=28 /-]
Universe	ASKED ONLY TO RESPONDENTS WHO RESIDE IN QUEBEC, AND DID NOT VOTE SOCIAL CREDIT.
Pre-question	IN TALKING TO PEOPLE ABOUT THIS PAST NOVEMBER ELECTION, WE FIND THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE WEREN'T ABLE TO VOTE BECAUSE THEY WERE SICK, OR DIDN'T HAVE TIME, OR HAD SOHE OTHER REASON FOR NOT VOTING. HOW ABOUT YOU? DID YOU VOTE THIS TIME OR DID SOMETHING KEEP YOU FROM VOTING?
Literal question	Q61-C. WHICH PARTY DID YOU VOTE FOR?
Notes	<DATA FOR QUESTIOKS 58-A, 58-B, 61-A, AND 61-C WERE COMBINED IN REF. NO. 262> This includes respondents from Quebec (who were asked in the previous question who they voted for in the 1963 election) and those from the rest of Canada who were not as the preliminary question about the Quebec vote.

V262: VOTE IN 1965 FEDERAL ELECTION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO DID NOT VOTE IN 1965	292	14.0%
11	YES VOTED, CONSERVATIVE	540	25.8%
12	YES VOTED, LIBERAL	804	38.5%
13	YES VOTED, NDP	235	11.2%
14	YES VOTED, SOCIAL CREDIT	113	5.4%
16	YES VOTED, OTHER PARTY	12	0.6%
17	YES VOTED, REFUSED WHICH PARTY	78	3.7%
18	YES VOTED, DK WHICH PARTY	8	0.4%
19	YES VOTED, NA WHICH PARTY	8	0.4%
97	REFUSED	19	
98	DK IF VOTED IN 1965	3	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V263: WHEN RESPONDENT DECIDED HOW TO VOTE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-70] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1562 /-] [Invalid=556 /-]
Literal question	WHEN DID YOU DECIDE HOU YOU WERE BEST GOING TO VOTE?
Interviewer's instructions	(ALL ANSWERS ARE TO BE TRANSLATED INTO TIRE. EXAMPLE, DECIDED AFTER HEARING SPEAKERS BECOMES "DURING CAMPAIGN TIME UNSPECIFIED." WHERE THIS IS IMPOSSIBLE, ANSWERS ARE "IRRELEVANT.")
Notes	DATA FROM QUESTIONS 58-C AND 61-D WERE COUBINED IN REF. NO. 263

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	DECISION MADE PRIOR TO START OF ELECTION	595	38.1%
10	ALWAYS VOTE SAME (THUS DECISION ALWAYS MADE)	216	13.8%
20	EARLY IN CAMPAIGN	208	13.3%
30	DURING CAMPAIGN-TIME UNSPECIFIED	178	11.4%
40	LATE IN CAMPAIGN	155	9.9%
50	FEW DAYS BEFORE	83	5.3%
60	ELECTION DAY	45	2.9%
70	IN POLLING BOOTH OR STATION	82	5.2%
80	OTHERS	4	
90	IRRELEVANT ANSWERS, CANT REMEMBER	260	
91	INAP	292	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V264: EASY OR NOT, DECISION IN 1965 VOTE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1821 /-] [Invalid=297 /-]
Literal question	WAS IT AN EASY DECISION OR NOT?
Notes	DATA FROM QUESTIONS 58-D AND 61-F WERE COMBINED IN REF. NO. 264

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EASY DECISION	1433	78.7%
2	NOT AN EASY DECISION	388	21.3%

V264: EASY OR NOT, DECISION IN 1965 VOTE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	INAP (R CODED 00 IN REF)	292	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V265: WHY 1965 VOTE DECISION WAS NOT EASY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=337 /-] [Invalid=1781 /-]
Literal question	IF THE DECISION ON HOW TO VOTE WAS NOT AN EASY ONE, WHY NOT?
Notes	DATA FROM QUESTIONS 58-E AND 61-F WERE COMBINED IN REF. NO. 265

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	LACK OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PARTIES, PLATFORM OR	102	30.3%
12	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	22	6.5%
13	CORRUPTION	6	1.8%
14	LEADER	27	8.0%
15	FACT THAT HIS PARTY HAD NO CHANCE	15	4.5%
16	OTHER	16	4.7%
17	CANDIDATE	23	6.8%
18	GENERAL PAST PERFORMANCES OR PLATFORM OF A PARTY	13	3.9%
19	LACK OF INFORMATION	31	9.2%
20	OTHER	64	19.0%
21	BOTH CANDIDATES WERE GOOD	18	5.3%
91	INAP (R CODED 0 OR 1 IN REF)	1725	
97	IRRELEVANT, REFUSES	36	
99	NO ANSWER	20	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V266: USED AID REMEMBER CANDIDATE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1800 /-] [Invalid=318 /-]
Literal question	DID YOU HAPPEN TO BRING ANYTHING-LIKE A POSTCARD-WHEN YOU WENT TO VOTE, IN ORDER TO HELP YOU REMEMBER WHO THE CANDIDATES WERE?
Notes	DATA FROM QUESTIONS 58-F AND 61-G WERE COMBINED IN REF. NO. 266.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	102	5.7%
2	NO	1698	94.3%
0	INAP (CODED 00 IN REF)	292	
8	DON'T KNOW	12	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V267: WHY CHANGED VOTE FROM 1963 TO 1965

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-23] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=223 /-] [Invalid=1895 /-]
Literal question	I NOTICE THAT YOU CHANGED YOUR VOTE FROM 1963 TO 1965. WAS THERE ANY SPECIAL REASON FOR CHANGING THE PARTY YOU VOTED FOR?

V267: WHY CHANGED VOTE FROM 1963 TO 1965

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	PARTY HAD NO CHANCE	8	3.6%
12	DISENCHANTED WITH HIS PARTY	18	8.1%
13	DISENCHANTED WITH TWO OLD PARTIES AND/OR WANTED TO	23	10.3%
14	PARTY LEADER OR LEADERS	28	12.6%
15	CANDIDATE OR HIS PROGRAM	56	25.1%
16	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	18	8.1%
17	CORRUPTION	1	0.4%
18	SOCIAL SECURITY ISSUE	10	4.5%
19	OTHERS	16	7.2%
20	NEEDED CHANGE IN GOVERNMENT	12	5.4%
21	OTHERS	11	4.9%
22	PARTY PLATFORM OR PROGRAM	19	8.5%
23	NON POLITICAL REASONS-INFLUENCE OF OTHER PERSON,	3	1.3%
91	INAP	1514	
97	IRRELEVANT ANSWER, REFUSES	42	
99	DON'T KNOW OR NO ANSWER	339	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V268: RESPONDENT'S REASON FOR 1965 VOTE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=465 /-]
Universe	ASK OF THOSE WHO VOTED IN NOVEMBER ELECTION. IF DID NOT VOTE GO TO Q. 64 [REF. NO. 269].
Literal question	HOW ABOUT YOUR VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER ELECTION. WAS THERE ANY SPECIAL REASON FOR VOTING THE WAY YOU DID?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	TRADITIONAL VOTE	134	8.1%
12	NEEDED CHANGE	46	2.8%
13	MAJORITY	129	7.8%
14	SOCIAL SECURITY	35	2.1%
15	SCANDAL	20	1.2%
16	OTHERS	49	3.0%
17	PARTY (FOR ONE OR AGAINST ANOTHER)	309	18.7%
18	PARTY LEADER OR LEADERS	99	6.0%
19	PARTY PLATFORM OR PROGRAM	152	9.2%
20	CANDIDATE	302	18.3%
21	OTHERS	60	3.6%
22	NON-POLITICAL REASONS, INFLUENCE OF OTHER PERSON OR	43	2.6%
23	NO SPECIAL REASON	241	14.6%
24	GIVE CHANCE TO A NEW PARTY OR PROTEST VOTE	34	2.1%
91	INAP, (DID NOT VOTE IN 1965)	292	
97	IRRELEVANT, REFUSES	78	
99	NO ANSWER	95	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V269: VOTE LAST PROVINCIAL ELECTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1861 /-] [Invalid=257 /-]		
Literal question	NOW LET ME END THIS DISCUSSION OF HOW YOU VOTED WITH ONE MORE QUESTION, THIS TIME ON PROVINCIAL POLITICS. FOR WHICH PARTY DID YOU HAPPEN TO VOTE IN THE LAST PROVINCIAL ELECTION.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	DID NOT VOTE	285	15.3%
11	CONSERVATIVE	508	27.3%
12	LIBERAL	728	39.1%
13	NDP/CCF	137	7.4%
14	SOCIAL CREDIT	142	7.6%
15	UNION NATIONALE	59	3.2%
16	OTHER	2	0.1%
97	REFUSED	104	
98	DON'T KNOW	145	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V270: MOST IMPORTANT TYPE ELECTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2048 /-] [Invalid=70 /-]		
Literal question	WHILE MOST PEOPLE THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO VOTE IN ALL ELECTIONS IT ISN'T ALWAYS POSSIBLE TO DO SO. IF IT WERE NECESSARY TO MAKE A CHOICE, IN WHICH KIND OF ELECTION WOULD YOU SAY IT WAS MOST IMPORTANT TO VOTE? LOCAL ELECTIONS, NATIONAL ELECTION, OR PROVINCIAL ONES?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NATIONAL ELECTIONS	699	34.1%
2	PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS	403	19.7%
3	LOCAL ELECTIONS	149	7.3%
4	NATIONAL AND PROVINCIAL	105	5.1%
5	NATIONAL AND LOCAL	14	0.7%
6	PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL	24	1.2%
7	ALL EQUALLY	654	31.9%
8	CANT CHOOSE	64	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V271: CAMPAIGN MEETINGS ATTENDED			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	NOW I'M GOING TO ASK YOU ABOUT SOME THINGS WHICH PEOPLE DO THAT HELP A PARTY OR CANDIDATE WIN AN ELECTION.		
Literal question	I WONDER IF YOU COULD TELL ME WHETHER YOU DID ANY OF THESE THINGS DURING THE CAMPAIGN FOR THE NOVEMBER ELECTION- DID YOU ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR GATHERINGS DURING THE CAMPAIGN?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, ATTENDED MEETINGS	330	15.6%
2	NO, DID NOT ATTEND	1788	84.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	

# V271: CAMPAIGN MEETINGS ATTENDED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V272: NUMBER OF CAMPAIGN MEETINGS ATTENDED			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=327 /-] [Invalid=1791 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ATTENDED CAMPAIGN MEETINGS IN V272.		
Pre-question	NOW I'M GOING TO ASK YOU ABOUT SOME THINGS WHICH PEOPLE DO THAT HELP A PARTY OR CANDIDATE WIN AN ELECTION. I WONDER IF YOU COULD TELL ME WHETHER YOU DID ANY OF THESE THINGS DURING THE CAMPAIGN FOR THE NOVEMBER ELECTION- DID YOU ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR GATHERINGS DURING THE CAMPAIGN?		
Literal question	HOW MANY WOULD YOU SAY YOU WENT TO?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO MEETINGS ATTENDED	34	14.7%
1	ONE MEETING ATTENDED	117	50.4%
2	TWO MEETINGS ATTENDED	81	34.9%
91	INAP	1788	
98	DK HOW MANY MEETINGS ATTENDED	2	
99	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V273: READ PARTY MATERIAL			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2073 /-] [Invalid=45 /-]		
Literal question	DID YOU READ ANY PARTY LEAFLETS OR REPORTS OF ELECTION SPEECHES DURING THE CAMPAIGN?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1511	72.9%
2	NO	562	27.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	45	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V274: ANY PARTY CANVASSED HOME?			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	DID ANY OF THE PARTIES CALL AT YOUR HOME DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NO	1598	75.4%
2	YES	520	24.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V275: CANVASSED BY CONSERVATIVE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=427 /-] [Invalid=1691 /-]		
Literal question	DID CONSERVATIVE PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?		

V275: CANVASSED BY CONSERVATIVE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	220	51.5%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID	207	48.5%
0	INAP (NO PARTY CALLED ON R; I	1598	
7	SOME PARTY CALLED, BUT R DOES NOT REMEMBER WHICH	52	
8	DK IF PARTY CALLED	36	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V276: CANVASSED BY LIBERALS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=427 /-] [Invalid=1691 /-]
Literal question	DID LIBERAL PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	251	58.8%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID	176	41.2%
0	INAP (NO PARTY CALLED ON R; I	1598	
7	SOME PARTY CALLED, BUT R DOES NOT REMEMBER WHICH	52	
8	DK IF PARTY CALLED	36	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V277: CANVASSED BY NDP

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=427 /-] [Invalid=1691 /-]
Literal question	DID NDP PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	137	32.1%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID	290	67.9%
0	INAP (NO PARTY CALLED ON R; I	1598	
7	SOME PARTY CALLED, BUT R DOES NOT REMEMBER WHICH	52	
8	DK IF PARTY CALLED	36	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V278: CANVASSED BY SOCIAL CREDIT

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=427 /-] [Invalid=1691 /-]
Literal question	DID SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	25	5.9%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID	402	94.1%
0	INAP (NO PARTY CALLED ON R; I	1598	
7	SOME PARTY CALLED, BUT R DOES NOT REMEMBER WHICH	52	

V278: CANVASSED BY SOCIAL CREDIT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DK IF PARTY CALLED	36	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V279: CANVASSED BY OTHER PARTY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=427 /-] [Invalid=1691 /-]
Literal question	DID OTHER PARTY CALL AT R'S HOME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	5	1.2%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID	422	98.8%
0	INAP (NO PARTY CALLED ON R (CODED 1 IN REF NO 274)	1598	
7	SOME PARTY CALLED, BUT R DOES NOT REMEMBER WHICH	52	
8	DK IF PARTY CALLED	36	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V280: DID RESPONDENT HELP A PARTY/CANDIDATE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2110 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Literal question	DID YOU PERSONALLY HELP ONE OF THE PARTIES OR A CANDIDATE? (FOR EXAMPLE, BY CANVASSING, ADDRESSING PAMPHLETS.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, HELPED	107	5.1%
2	NO	2003	94.9%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V281: TRY TO INFLUENCE OTHER VOTERS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2087 /-] [Invalid=31 /-]
Literal question	DID YOU, ON YOUR OWN, TALK TO ANY PEOPLE AND TRY TO SHOW THEM WHY THEY SHOULD VOTE FOR ONE OF THE PARTIES OR CANDIDATES?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TALKED TO OTHERS	467	22.4%
2	DID NOT	1620	77.6%
8	DON'T KNOW	22	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V282: IS RESPONDENT A MEMBER OF A POLITICAL ORGANIZATION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2115 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Literal question	DO YOU BELONG TO ANY POLITICAL CLUB OR ORGANISATION?

V282: IS RESPONDENT A MEMBER OF A POLITICAL ORGANIZATION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BELONG	91	4.3%
2	NO	2024	95.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	0	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V283: READ OR HEAR ABOUT FEDERAL FUND RAISER

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=334 /-]
Literal question	THIS YEAR, DID YOU HEAR OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT A FEDERAL POLITICAL PARTY OR CANDIDATE HAVING A DRIVE, A DINNER OR SOME OTHER AFFAIR TO RAISE MONEY FOR CAMPAIGN EXPENSES?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HEARD OF MONEY RAISING	423	23.7%
2	DID NOT	1361	76.3%
8	DON'T KNOW	330	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V284: RESPONDENT CANVASSED FOR CONTRIBUTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2111 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]
Literal question	DID YOU YOURSELF, ASK ANYBODY TO GIVE MONEY TO HELP PAY THE COSTS DURING THE NOVEMBER ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF A CANDIDATE OR POLITICAL PARTY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTION	19	0.9%
2	NO, DID NOT	2092	99.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	6	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V285: WAS HOUSEHOLD ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2031 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]
Literal question	DURING THIS LAST YEAR, WERE YOU OR ANY MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, BUY TICKETS, OR OTHERWISE CONTRIBUTE FINANCIALLY TO HELP PAY THE CAMPAIGN EXPENSES OF A POLITICAL PARTY OR CANDIDATE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	107	5.3%
2	NO	1924	94.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	87	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V286: WAS RESPONDENT ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=107 /-] [Invalid=2011 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHOSE HOUSEHOLD WAS ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTION.

V286: WAS RESPONDENT ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTION

Literal question WERE YOU, YOURSELF, ASKED [FOR POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION] OR WAS THIS SOME OTHER MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	RESPONDENT ONLY WAS ASKED	62	57.9%
2	RESPONDENT AND FAMILY MEMBER WERE ASKED	12	11.2%
3	FAMILY MEMBER ONLY WAS ASKED	33	30.8%
0	INAP (CODED 2 OR 8 IN REF	2011	
8	DK WHO WAS ASKED	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V287: CONSERVATIVE ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]

Universe IF RESPONDENT HAS ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, ASK QUESTIONS 75, 76, 77 [REF. NOS. 207-293]. ALL OTHERS GO TO Q. 78 [REF. NO. 294].

Literal question BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED?[CONSERVATIVE PARTY ASK R]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	14	20.3%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID ASK R	55	79.7%
0	INAP (R CODED 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2044	
8	DK WHICH PARTY	3	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V288: LIBERAL ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]		
Universe	IF RESPONDENT HAS ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, ASK QUESTIONS 75, 76, 77 [REF. NOS. 207-293]. ALL OTHERS GO TO Q. 78 [REF. NO. 294].		
Literal question	BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [LIBERAL PARTY ASK R]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	22	31.9%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID ASK R	47	68.1%
0	INAP (R CODED 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2044	
8	DK WHICH PARTY	3	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V289: NDP ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]		
Universe	IF RESPONDENT HAS ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, ASK QUESTIONS 75, 76, 77 [REF. NOS. 207-293]. ALL OTHERS GO TO Q. 78 [REF. NO. 294].		
Literal question	BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [NDP PARTY ASK R]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	31	44.9%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID ASK R	38	55.1%
0	INAP (R CODED 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2044	
8	DK WHICH PARTY	3	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V290: SOCIAL CREDIT ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]		
Universe	IF RESPONDENT HAS ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, ASK QUESTIONS 75, 76, 77 [REF. NOS. 207-293]. ALL OTHERS GO TO Q. 78 [REF. NO. 294].		
Literal question	(IF ASKED TO GIVE MONEY) BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [SOCIAL CREDIT PARTY ASK R]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	7	10.1%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID ASK R	62	89.9%
0	INAP (R CODED 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2044	
8	DK WHICH PARTY	3	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V291: OTHER PARTY ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]		
Universe	IF RESPONDENT HAS ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, ASK QUESTIONS 75, 76, 77 <REF. NOS. 207-293>. ALL OTHERS GO TO Q. 78 <REF. NO. 294>.		
Literal question	(IF ASKED TO GIVE MONEY) BY WHICH PARTIES WERE YOU ASKED? [OTHER PARTY ASK R]		

V291: OTHER PARTY ASKED RESPONDENT FOR CONTRIBUTION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1	1.4%
5	NO, BUT ANOTHER PARTY DID ASK R	68	98.6%
0	INAP (R CODED 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2044	
8	DK WHICH PARTY	3	
9	NA WHICH PARTY	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V292: HOW WAS RESPONDENT APPROACHED FOR CONTRIBUTION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=71 /-] [Invalid=2047 /-]
Universe	IF RESPONDENT WAS ASKED TO GIVE MONEY, ASK QUESTIONS 75, 76, 77 <REF. NOS. 207-293>. ALL OTHERS GO TO Q. 78 <REF. NO. 294>.
Literal question	IN WHAT WAY WERE YOU APPROACHED WHEN YOU WERE ASKED FOR MONEY? BY LETTER? BY TELEPHONE? BY SOMEONE IN PERSON? AT A DINNER OR A MEETING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LETTER	19	26.8%
2	TELEPHONE	7	9.9%
3	IN PERSON	30	42.3%
4	AT A DINNER OR A MEETING	8	11.3%
5	OTHER	3	4.2%
7	COMBINATION OF ABOVE WAYS	4	5.6%
0	INAP (R CODED 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF	2044	
8	DON'T KNOW	1	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V293: RESPONDENT KNEW MONEY CANVASSER

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=71 /-] [Invalid=2047 /-]
Literal question	WERE YOU ASKED [FOR POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION] BY SOMEONE YOU KNEW PERSONALLY OR BY SOMEONE YOU DIDN'T KNOW?
Post-question	Q.77-B(IF KNOWN) HOW DID YOU KNOW HIM/HER?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CANAVASSER NOT KNOWN	24	33.8%
2	RELATIVE	1	1.4%
3	FRIEND	18	25.4%
4	FELLOW WORKER, BUSINESS COLLEAGUE	13	18.3%
5	NEIGHBOUR	4	5.6%
6	OTHER	11	15.5%
0	INAP (CODED 3, 8, OR 0 IN REF NO 286)	2044	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V294: PARTY RESPONDENT CONTRIBUTED TO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2117 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]

# V294: PARTY RESPONDENT CONTRIBUTED TO			
Literal question	DID YOU GIVE MONEY, BUY TICKETS, OR MAKE OTHER FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO HELP A CANDIDATE OR PARTY PAY CAMPAIGN EXPENSES FOR THIS LAST ELECTION?		
Post-question	Q. 78-B (IF "YES") TO WHICH PARTY DID YOU GIVE?		
Notes	DATA FROM Q. 78-A AND 78-B WERE COMBINED IN REF. NO. 294		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, DID NOT MAKE CONTRIBUTION	2058	97.2%
1	YES, TO CONSERVATIVES	11	0.5%
2	YES, TO LIBERALS	12	0.6%
3	YES, TO NDP	23	1.1%
4	YES, TO SOCIAL CREDIT	7	0.3%
5	YES, TO OTHER PARTY	1	0.0%
6	YES, TO LIBERALS AND NDP	1	0.0%
8	YES GAVE, NA WHICH PARTY	4	0.2%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V295: DID OTHER IN FAMILY CONTRIBUTE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-17] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2023 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]		
Literal question	AS FAR AS YOU KNOW, DID ANY OTHER MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD CONTRIBUTE TO A CANDIDATE OR PARTY'S CAMPAIGN FUND?		
Post-question	Q. 79-B. (IF "YES") TO WHICH PARTY DID THEY GIVE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, NO ONE ELSE CONTRIBUTED	1980	97.9%
11	YES, TO CONSERVATIVES	8	0.4%
12	YES, TO LIBERALS	16	0.8%
13	YES, TO NDP	13	0.6%
14	YES, TO SOCIAL CREDIT	2	0.1%
15	YES, TO CREDITISTE	2	0.1%
16	YES, TO OTHER PARTY	0	
17	YES, DK PARTY	2	0.1%
18	DK <Q	3	
19	YES, NA WHICH PARTY	0	
98	DK IF GAVE	91	
99	NA IF GAVE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V296: WOULD RESPONDENT HAVE CONTRIBUTED IF ASKED			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1727 /-] [Invalid=391 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES ONLY RESPONDENTS WHO DID NOT MAKE CONTRIBUTION IN Q 78-A [REF. NO. 294].		
Literal question	IF YOU HAD BEEN ASKED TO MAKE SOME CONTRIBUTION TO YOUR FAVORITE PARTY OR A CANDIDATE YOU LIKED, WOULD YOU HAVE GIVEN MONEY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WOULD HAVE MADE CONTRIBUTION	389	22.5%
2	WOULD NOT HAVE	1338	77.5%

V296: WOULD RESPONDENT HAVE CONTRIBUTED IF ASKED

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	INAP (CODED 1-8 IN REF NO 294)	59	
8	DON'T KNOW	326	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V297: RESPONDENT WOULD GIVE MONEY/TIME TO PARTY

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1978 /-] [Invalid=140 /-]
Literal question	WHICH WOULD YOU RATHER DO TO HELP YOUR PARTY OR FAVOURITE CANDIDATE: GIVE MONEY OR GIVE TIME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GIVE MONEY	197	10.0%
2	GIVE TIME	1031	52.1%
3	PREFER BOTH EQUALLY	122	6.2%
4	DONT CARE TO DO EITHER	628	31.7%
8	DON'T KNOW	135	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V298: ATTITUDE ABOUT ABOUT BUSINESS AND UNION CONTRIBUTIONS

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1736 /-] [Invalid=382 /-]
Literal question	HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES FROM ORGANIZATIONS LIKE BUSINESS CORPORATIONS OR TRADE UNIONS? DO YOU THINK IT IS A GOOD THING OR NOT FOR OUR PARTIES TO RECEIVE SUCH CONTRIBUTIONS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GOOD THING FROM BUSINESS CORPORATIONS	118	6.8%
2	NOT A GOOD THING FROM BUSINESS CORPORATIONS	65	3.7%
3	GOOD THING FROM TRADE UNIONS	41	2.4%
4	NOT A GOOD THING FROM TRADE UNIONS	11	0.6%
5	GOOD THING FROM BOTH	394	22.7%
6	NOT A GOOD THING FROM EITHER	1107	63.8%
8	DON'T KNOW	377	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V299: SHOULD CONTRIBUTIONS BE DEDUCTABLE ON INCOME TAX

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2006 /-] [Invalid=112 /-]
Literal question	WOULD YOU BE AGAINST OR IN FAVOUR OF ALLOWING PEOPLE TO DEDUCT FROM THEIR INCOME TAX THE MONEY THEY GIVE TO PARTIES AND CANDIDATES, IN THE SAME WAY THAT THEY DEDUCT MONEY THEY GIVE TO CHARITY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	IN FAVOR OF IT	619	30.9%
2	AGAINST IT	1387	69.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	112	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V300: SHOULD PARTY PUBLISH EXPENSES			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1895 /-] [Invalid=223 /-]		
Literal question	THE AMOUNT OF MONEY IT COSTS A POLITICAL PARTY TO RUN AN ELECTION CAMPAIGN DOES NOT HAVE TO BE MADE PUBLIC AT PRESENT. SHOULD IT BE REQUIRED THAT PARTIES PUBLISH THEIR EXPENSES OR NOT?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SHOULD PUBLISH	1476	77.9%
2	SHOULD NOT	419	22.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	215	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V301: PARTY CONTRIBUTORS SHOULD BE KNOWN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1900 /-] [Invalid=218 /-]		
Literal question	SHOULD PARTIES BE REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE THE NAMES OF THEIR CONTRIBUTORS?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	892	46.9%
2	NO	1008	53.1%
8	DON'T KNOW	213	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V302: SHOULD PARTY REVEAL AMOUNT OF CONTRIBUTION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1903 /-] [Invalid=215 /-]		
Literal question	SHOULD PARTIES BE REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE THE AMOUNTS GIVEN BY EACH CONTRIBUTOR?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	853	44.8%
2	NO	1050	55.2%
8	DON'T KNOW	201	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	14	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V303: SHOULD GOVERNMENT PAY CAMPAIGN EXPENSES			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1922 /-] [Invalid=196 /-]		
Literal question	DO YOU THINK THE GOVERIHENT SHOULD PAY ALL, SOME OR NONE OF THE COSTS OF POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ALL	638	33.2%
2	SOME	795	41.4%
3	NONE	489	25.4%
8	DON'T KNOW	189	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V304: RESPONDENT'S MARITAL STATUS			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2117 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]		
Literal question	ARE YOU MARRIED, WIDOWED, SEPARATED, DIVORCED, OR ARE YOU SINGLE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MARRIED	1681	79.4%
2	WIDOWED	163	7.7%
3	SEPARATED	29	1.4%
4	DIVORCED	8	0.4%
5	SINGLE	236	11.1%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V305: WHO IS MAIN WAGE EARNER			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2117 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]		
Literal question	WHO USUALLY IS YOUR FAMILY'S MAIN WAGE EARNER?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	RESPONDENT, WHO IS MALE HEAD (INCLUDES SINGLE MAN)	981	46.3%
2	RESPONDENTS HUSBAND	814	38.5%
3	RESPONDENTS FATHER	62	2.9%
4	RESPONDENTS FATHER-IN-LAW	1	0.0%
5	RESPONDENT, WHO IS FEMALE HEAD (INCLUDES SINGLE)	189	8.9%
6	RESPONDENTS WIFE	12	0.6%
7	OTHER MALE	39	1.8%
8	OTHER FEMALE	19	0.9%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V306: MAIN EARNER'S OCCUPATION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-96] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2113 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT IS THE MAIN EARNER'S (YOUR) OCCUPATION?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	PROFESSIONAL	172	8.1%
20	OWNERS, MANAGERS, BUSINESS EXECUTIVES	218	10.3%
32	SALES PEOPLE	88	4.2%
37	CLERICAL, OTHER WHITE COLLAR	221	10.5%
40	SKILLED LABOUR	618	29.2%
60	ARMED FORCES	19	0.9%
69	SERVICE, INDUSTRY AND PROTECTIVE	107	5.1%
70	UNSKILLED LABOUR	215	10.2%
80	FARMERS	171	8.1%
94	UNEMPLOYED	31	1.5%
95	PENSIONED, RETIRED	196	9.3%
96	WIDOWS, SPINSTERS	57	2.7%

V306: MAIN EARNER'S OCCUPATION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	NOT APPLICABLE	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V307: RESPONDENT'S YEARS OF SCHOOLING

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	HOW MANY YEARS OF SCHOOL DID YOU ATTEND?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SCHOOLING	25	52.1%
1	ONE YEAR OF SCHOOL	3	6.2%
2	TWO YEARS OF SCHOOL	20	41.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V308: RESPONDENT'S SOCIAL CLASS - OWN RANKING

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2028 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]
Literal question	IF YOU HAD TO PICK ONE, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING FIVE SOCIAL CLASSES WOULD YOU SAY YOU WERE IN -- UPPER CLASS, UPPER-MIDDLE CLASS, MIDDLE CLASS, WORKING CLASS, OR LOWER CLASS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UPPER CLASS	18	0.9%
2	UPPER-MIDDLE CLASS	162	8.0%
3	MIDDLE CLASS	781	38.5%
4	WORKING CLASS	980	48.3%
5	LOWER CLASS	87	4.3%
6	THERE IS NO SUCH THING	34	
8	DON'T KNOW	54	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V309: RESPONDENT'S CHURCH PREFERENCE

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-79] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	WOULD YOU MIND TELLING ME WHAT RELIGION YOU ARE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	55	2.6%
10	PRESBYTERIAN	104	4.9%
11	LUTHERAN	66	3.1%
12	BAPTIST	71	3.4%
15	UNITED CHURCH	475	22.4%
16	ANGLICAN	245	11.6%
19	OTHER PROTESTANT	99	4.7%
20	ROMAN CATHOLIC	940	44.4%
30	JEWISH	27	1.3%
70	UKRAINIAN (GREEK) CATHOLIC	27	1.3%
71	GREEK ORTHODOX	8	0.4%
79	ALL OTHER	1	0.0%

# V309: RESPONDENT'S CHURCH PREFERENCE			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V310: RESPONDENT'S CHURCH ATTENDANCE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2055 /-] [Invalid=63 /-]		
Universe	IF RELIGION MENTIONED ASK Q. 92 [REF. NO. 310]. FOR OTHERS GO TO Q- 93 [REF. NO. 311].		
Literal question	ABOUT HOW OFTEN DO YOU GO TO CHURCH? AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, TWO OR THREE TIMES A MONTH, ONCE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR OR LESS, NEVER?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AT LEAST WEEKLY	1032	50.2%
2	2 OR 3 TIMES MONTHLY	211	10.3%
3	ONCE A MONTH	154	7.5%
4	A FEW TIMES A YEAR OR LESS	536	26.1%
5	NEVER	122	5.9%
0	INAP	55	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V311: RESPONDENT'S ETHNIC ORIGIN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 200-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	PLEASE TELL ME FROM WHAT COUNTRY MOST OF YOUR ANCESTORS CAME?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
200	NORTH AMERICAN COUNTRY (INCLUDES MENTION OF	160	7.6%
300	BRITISH ISLES	956	45.1%
312	FRANCE	542	25.6%
315	GERMANY	85	4.0%
317	NETHERLANDS	31	1.5%
320	SCANDINAVIAN COUNTRIES	43	2.0%
333	HUNGARY	15	0.7%
336	POLAND	49	2.3%
337	UKRAINE/RUSSIA	71	3.4%
351	ITALY	35	1.7%
390	OTHER EUROPEAN	70	3.3%
590	ASIATIC	9	0.4%
999	ALL OTHER	52	2.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V312: RESPONDENT'S PLACE OF BIRTH			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 199-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	IN WHAT COUNTRY WERE YOU BORN?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
199	UNITED STATES	35	1.7%
209	CANADA	1756	82.9%
300	BRITISH ISLES	147	6.9%
315	GERMANY	20	0.9%

V312: RESPONDENT'S PLACE OF BIRTH

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
317	NETHERLANDS	15	0.7%
333	HUNGARY	10	0.5%
336	POLAND	28	1.3%
337	RUSSIA	14	0.7%
351	ITALY	21	1.0%
390	OTHER EUROPEAN	49	2.3%
999	ALL OTHER	23	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V313: YEAR IMMIGRATED TO CANADA

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 /-] [Invalid=1761 /-]
Universe	IF RESPONDENT WAS BORN OUTSIDE OF CANADA, ASK Q. 94-B [REF. NO. 313].
Literal question	IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU BECOME AN IMMIGRANT TO CANADA?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BETWEEN 1946 AND 1960	138	38.7%
2	BETWEEN 1939 AND 1945	15	4.2%
3	BEFORE 1939	197	55.2%
4	AFTER 1960	7	2.0%
0	INAP (CODED 209 IN REF NO 312)	1756	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V314: LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2115 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Literal question	WHAT LANGUAGE DO YOU MOST OFTEN SPEAK AT HOME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ENGLISH	1400	66.2%
2	FRENCH	634	30.0%
3	ITALIAN, SPANISH, GREEK, PORTUGUESE, MALTESE	13	0.6%
4	GERMAN, DUTCH, LATVIAN, BELGIAN, LITHUANIAN,	26	1.2%
5	SLAVIC, RUSSIAN, UKRANIAN, POLISH, ARMENIAN, CZECH,	29	1.4%
6	SCANDANAVIAN, FINNISH, SWEDISH, NORWEGIAN, DANISH,	12	0.6%
7	OTHER NON-EUROPEAN, CHINESE, JAPANESE, LEBANESE,	1	0.0%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V315: SIZE OF PLACE RESPONDENT GREW UP

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2108 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]
Literal question	WHEN YOU WERE GROWING UP WHERE DID YOU LIVE MOSTLY, IN A CITY OR SUBURB, IN A VILLAGE OR SMALL TOWN, OR ON A FARM?

V315: SIZE OF PLACE RESPONDENT GREW UP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FARM(S)	636	30.2%
2	VILLAGE(S) OR SMALL TOWN(S)	713	33.8%
3	CITIES OR SUBURBS	759	36.0%
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V316: LIVED IN OTHER PROVINCES

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	604	28.5%
2	NO	1514	71.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V317: RESPONDENT LIVED IN NEWFOUNDLAND

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN NEWFOUNDLAND?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	23	3.9%
5	NO	572	96.1%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V318: RESPONDENT LIVED IN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN P.E.I?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	9	1.5%
5	NO	586	98.5%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V319: RESPONDENT LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]

# V319: RESPONDENT LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA			
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.		
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?		
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	56	9.4%
5	NO	539	90.6%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V320: RESPONDENT LIVED IN NEW BRUNSWICK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.		
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?		
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN NEW BRUNSWICK?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	45	7.6%
5	NO	550	92.4%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V321: RESPONDENT LIVED IN QUEBEC			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.		
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?		
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN QUEBEC?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	99	16.6%
5	NO	496	83.4%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V322: RESPONDENT LIVED IN ONTARIO			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.		
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?		
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN ONTARIO?]		

V322: RESPONDENT LIVED IN ONTARIO

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	157	26.4%
5	NO	438	73.6%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V323: RESPONDENT LIVED IN MANITOBA

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN MANITOBA?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	90	15.1%
5	NO	505	84.9%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V324: RESPONDENT LIVED IN SASKATCHEWAN

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN SASKATCHEWAN?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	114	19.2%
5	NO	481	80.8%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V325: RESPONDENT LIVED IN ALBERTA

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN ALBERTA?]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	90	15.1%
5	NO	505	84.9%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	

# V325: RESPONDENT LIVED IN ALBERTA			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V326: RESPONDENT LIVED IN BRITISH COLUMBIA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]		
Universe	INCLUDES RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE LIVED IN ANOTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN 6 MONTHS.		
Pre-question	HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN ANY OTHER CANADIAN PROVINCE FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?		
Literal question	WHICH ONES? [R HAS LIVED IN BRITISH COLUMBIA?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	96	16.1%
5	NO	499	83.9%
0	INAP (R CODED 2 IN REF 316)	1514	
9	NA WHICH ONES	9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V327: RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER LABOUR UNION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1130 /-] [Invalid=988 /-]		
Literal question	DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION: A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION: ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? [BELONG TO LABOUR UNION?]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BELONG TO LABOR UNION	488	43.2%
5	NO	642	56.8%
0	INAP (R AND HEAD BELONG TO NO ORGANIZATION)	941	
8	DON'T KNOW	37	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V328: RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER TRADE ASSOCIATION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1130 /-] [Invalid=988 /-]		
Literal question	DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION: A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION: ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? A Trade Association?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BELONG TO TRADE ASSOCIATION	82	7.3%
5	NO	1048	92.7%
0	INAP (R AND HEAD BELONG TO NO ORGANIZATION)	941	
8	DON'T KNOW	37	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V329: RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER FARM ORANIZATION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]		

# V329: RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER FARM ORGANIZATION			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1130 /-] [Invalid=988 /-]	
Literal question		DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION: A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION: ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? FARM ASSOCIATION?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BELONG TO FARM ORGANIZATION	93	8.2%
5	NO	1037	91.8%
0	INAP (R AND HEAD BELONG TO NO ORGANIZATION)	941	
8	DON'T KNOW	37	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V330: RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1130 /-] [Invalid=988 /-]	
Literal question		DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION: A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION: ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BELONG TO PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION	239	21.2%
5	NO	891	78.8%
0	INAP (R AND HEAD BELONG TO NO ORGANIZATION)	941	
8	DON'T KNOW	37	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V331: RESPONDENT / HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBER OTHER VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATION			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1130 /-] [Invalid=988 /-]	
Literal question		DO YOU (OR DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD) BELONG TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS: A LABOUR UNION: A TRADE ASSOCIATION; A FARM ORGANIZATION; A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION: ANY OTHER ASSOCIATIONS, CLUBS, OR ORGANIZATIONS? OTHER VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATION?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES, BELONG TO OTHER VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATIONS	424	37.5%
5	NO	706	62.5%
0	INAP (R AND HEAD BELONG TO NO ORGANIZATION)	941	
8	DON'T KNOW	37	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V332: RESPONDENT VISITED OTHER'S HOME			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=641 /-] [Invalid=1477 /-]	
Universe		FOR RESPONDENTS LIVING IN QUEBEC ONLY, ASK QUESTIONS 99, 100, 101 [REF. NOS. 332-334]. FOR ALL OTHERS GO TO QUESTION 102 [REF. NO. 335].	
Literal question		DURING THE LAST SEVEN DAYS, HAVE YOU VISITED OTHER PEOPLE AT THEIR HOMES?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	381	59.4%

# V332: RESPONDENT VISITED OTHER'S HOME			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	NO	260	40.6%
0	INAP (R DOES NOT LIVE IN QUEBEC)	1475	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V333: OTHERS VISITED RESPONDENT'S HOME			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=640 /-] [Invalid=1478 /-]		
Universe	FOR RESPONDENTS LIVING IN QUEBEC ONLY, ASK QUESTIONS 99, 100, 101 [REF. NOS. 332-334]. FOR ALL OTHERS GO TO QUESTION 102 [REF. NO. 335].		
Literal question	DURING THE LAST SEVEN DAYS, HAVE OTHER PEOPLE VISITED YOU AT YOUR HOME?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	452	70.6%
2	NO	188	29.4%
0	INAP (R DOES NOT LIVE IN QUEBEC)	1475	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V334: RESPONDENT HAS BEEN OUT WITH OTHERS IN PAST WEEK			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=640 /-] [Invalid=1478 /-]		
Universe	FOR RESPONDENTS LIVING IN QUEBEC ONLY, ASK QUESTIONS 99, 100, 101 [REF. NOS. 332-334]. FOR ALL OTHERS GO TO QUESTION 102 [REF. NO. 335].		
Literal question	DURING THE LAST SEVEN DAYS, HAVE YOU BEEN OUT WITH OTHER PEOPLE SOMEWHERE ELSE THAN AT RELATIVES' OR FRIENDS' HOUSE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	294	45.9%
2	NO	346	54.1%
0	INAP (R DOES NOT LIVE IN QUEBEC)	1475	
9	NOT APPLICABLE	3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V335: RESPONDENT'S AGE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21-90] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2098 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]		
Literal question	WHAT IS YOUR AGE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	TWENTY-ONE YEARS	32	47.8%
22	TWENTY-TWO YEARS	35	52.2%
0	NA	20	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V336: RESPONDENT'S FAMILY INCOME			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2047 /-] [Invalid=71 /-]		
Literal question	INTO WHICH OF THESE GROUPS ON THIS CARD DID THE TOTAL INCOME FOR YOUR FAMILY FALL LAST YEAR (BEFORE TAXES)?		

V336: RESPONDENT'S FAMILY INCOME

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNDER \$1,000	81	4.0%
2	\$1,000 TO \$1,999	144	7.0%
3	\$2,000 TO \$2,999	186	9.1%
4	\$3,000 TO \$3,999	267	13.0%
5	\$4,000 TO \$4,999	326	15.9%
6	\$5,000 TO \$5,999	341	16.7%
7	\$6,000 TO \$6,999	209	10.2%
8	\$7,000 TO \$7,999	150	7.3%
9	\$8,000 TO \$9,999	143	7.0%
10	\$10,000 TO \$14,999	124	6.1%
11	\$15,000 OR OVER	76	3.7%
97	DK, REFUSED	71	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

V337: RESPONDENT'S SEX

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	SEX

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MALE	1036	48.9%
2	FEMALE	1082	51.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.