

**Canada**

**Cynthiya Ruban, McMaster University**

**Canadian Election Study, 1972, June-July**

**Study Documentation**

August 6, 2020

## Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
<b>Production Date</b>	August 15, 2017
<b>Version</b>	Version September 15, 2017  Version October 20, 2017
<b>Identification</b>	CES-E-1972-jun-july

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview</a>	4
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage</a>	4
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors</a>	5
<a href="#">Data Collection</a>	5
<a href="#">Accessibility</a>	5
<a href="#">Rights &amp; Disclaimer</a>	5
<a href="#">Files Description</a>	6
<a href="#">CPS&amp;PES&amp;MBS&amp;WEB 2011 final</a>	6
<a href="#">Variables Group(s)</a>	7
<a href="#">Sampling and Study Procedures</a>	7
<a href="#">Rating Importance of Problems Facing Canada</a>	7
<a href="#">National Economic Conditions</a>	7
<a href="#">Personal Situation</a>	7
<a href="#">Economy</a>	7
<a href="#">Constitutional Reform</a>	8
<a href="#">Campaign Spending</a>	8
<a href="#">Marijuana Legislation</a>	8
<a href="#">Parties and Leaders</a>	8
<a href="#">The Vote</a>	8
<a href="#">Study Procedures (Questions24-28)</a>	8
<a href="#">Best Person able to Handle ...</a>	8
<a href="#">Voting Behavior</a>	9
<a href="#">Demographics</a>	10
<a href="#">Most Important Issue Facing Canada</a>	10
<a href="#">Who Responsible for Inflation, Unemployment</a>	10
<a href="#">Why? Approve or Disapprove Trudeau Handling Job of PM</a>	11
<a href="#">Why? If Election Today Vote Trudeau Gouvernement</a>	11
<a href="#">How Would You Vote Today? Why?</a>	11
<a href="#">Belong to a Union</a>	12
<a href="#">Main Language Spoken</a>	12
<a href="#">National Origin</a>	12
<a href="#">Study Procedures</a>	12
<a href="#">Pierre Trudeau</a>	12
<a href="#">Robert Stanfield</a>	13
<a href="#">David Lewis</a>	13
<a href="#">Variables Description</a>	14
<a href="#">CPS&amp;PES&amp;MBS&amp;WEB 2011 final</a>	15

## Canadian Election Study, 1972, June-July (CES 1972)

### *Étude électorale canadienne 1972*

<b>Overview</b>	
<b>Type</b>	Canadian Election Study
<b>Identification</b>	CES-E-1972-jun-july
<b>Series</b>	<p>The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries.</p> <p>The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies.</p> <p>The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.</p>
<b>Abstract</b>	
<p>Three studies are included in this set of National Canadian Election Surveys of 1972. All three were conducted by telephone, two were administered prior to the National election and one following the October 31st election date.</p> <p>The first study, conducted between June and July, 1972, focused on the respondents' opinions on the political parties, issues and personalities in federal politics. The issues that were assessed included inflation, constitutional reform, campaign spending, marijuana legislation, and the right of federal employees to strike. The respondents were also asked to assess the performance of the Prime Minister and also the qualifications of the main opposition candidates. Finally, the respondents were asked about their partisan affiliation and their voting behavior over the past few elections. The dataset included information on 1000 respondents (two cards of data per respondent). The only demographic information in this study is the sex of the respondent.</p> <p>The second study was conducted in September 1972 and assessed the respondents' opinions on political issues such as unemployment, Canadian/U.S. relations, environment, taxes, price controls, and certain federal programs. In addition, the respondents were questioned about their candidate preference, partisan identification, and party preference for the upcoming election. Basic demographic data were also included for the 1255 respondents (three cards of data per respondent.).</p> <p>The third study in this series was administered in early November. The respondents were asked to evaluate the federal election campaign, the candidates, parties and political issues. The respondents were also questioned about their voting behavior in both the federal and local elections. Two forms of questionnaires were used, one for voters and the other for non-voters. Demographic information was obtained for all respondents. The dataset contains information on approximately 1298 respondents (four cards of data per respondent).</p>	
<b>Kind of Data</b>	Survey data
<b>Unit of Analysis</b>	Individuals

<b>Scope &amp; Coverage</b>	
<b>Keywords</b>	Debate, Leaders, Candidates, Votes, Voting, Media
<b>Topics</b>	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
<b>Time Period(s)</b>	1972
<b>Countries</b>	Canada
<b>Geographic Coverage</b>	
Canada, Provinces	
<b>Universe</b>	
Canadian Electorate	

<b>Producers &amp; Sponsors</b>	
<b>Primary Investigator(s)</b>	Cynthiya Ruban, McMaster University
<b>Other Producer(s)</b>	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
<b>Funding Agency/ies</b>	Elections Canada

<b>Data Collection</b>	
<b>Data Collection Dates</b>	start 1972-06 end 1972-07

<b>Accessibility</b>	
<b>Distributor(s)</b>	Canadian Opinion Research Archive
<b><u>Citation Requirements</u></b> Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.	

<b>Rights &amp; Disclaimer</b>	
<b><u>Disclaimer</u></b> The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.	
<b>Copyright</b>	Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

<b>CPS&amp;PES&amp;MBS&amp;WEB_2011_final</b>	
<b># Cases</b>	1000
<b># Variable(s)</b>	137

# Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 26 group(s)

<b>Group Sampling and Study Procedures</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	RES_ID1A	Respondent ID (card 1)	continuous	numeric-4.0	1000	0	-
2	AREA_1A	Area number (card 1)	continuous	numeric-5.0	1000	0	-
3	M_AREA1A	Major area (card 1)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-

<b>Group Rating Importance of Problems Facing Canada</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q3A1A	Rate importance - Pollution/ Environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	986	14	-
2	Q3A2A	Rate importance - Inflation/ Cost of living	discrete	numeric-1.0	984	16	-
3	Q3A3A	Rate importance - American influence	discrete	numeric-1.0	932	68	-
4	Q3A4A	Rate importance - Unemployment	discrete	numeric-1.0	988	12	-
5	Q3A5A	Rate importance - Drugs	discrete	numeric-1.0	974	26	-
6	Q3A6A	Rate importance - Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	983	17	-
7	Q3A7A	Rate importance - Agriculture/Farm problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	898	102	-
8	Q3A8A	Rate importance - Health care	discrete	numeric-1.0	980	20	-
9	Q3A9A	Rate importance - National unity	discrete	numeric-1.0	936	64	-

<b>Group National Economic Conditions</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q4Z	Canada as nation compared to 3 years ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	962	38	-

<b>Group Personal Situation</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q5Z	How will you be a year from now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	928	72	-

<b>Group Economy</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q6A1A	Which concerns you most - unemployment or inflation	discrete	numeric-1.0	912	88	-
2	Q7Z	Would reducing corporate tax result in more jobs?	discrete	numeric-1.0	692	308	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	Q8Z	Federal gov proposal for old age pension adequate?	discrete	numeric-1.0	858	142	-
4	Q9Z	Will gov plan to review foreign acquisitions work?	discrete	numeric-1.0	730	270	-
5	Q10Z	Should public employees be allowed to strike?	discrete	numeric-1.0	930	70	-

### Group Constitutional Reform

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q11Z	Is constitutional reform necessary at this time?	discrete	numeric-1.0	702	298	-

### Group Campaign Spending

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q12Z	Will gov solve campaign spending problem?	discrete	numeric-1.0	681	319	-

### Group Marijuana Legislation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q13Z	How should marijuana be dealt with?	discrete	numeric-1.0	866	134	-

### Group Parties and Leaders

Subgroup(s)	
	Pierre Trudeau , Robert Stanfield , David Lewis

### Group The Vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	QBC1A	If provincial election today, how would you vote?	discrete	numeric-1.0	81	919	-

### Group Study Procedures (Questions24-28)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	FORMA1A	Form (card 1)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
2	CARDA1A	Card number (card 1)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
3	JOB A1A	Job number (card 1)	discrete	numeric-4.0	1000	0	-
4	RESPIDB	Respondent ID (card 2)	continuous	numeric-4.0	1000	0	-

### Group Best Person able to Handle ...

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	QA24A1A	FORM A: best able to handle Pollution/Environment?	discrete	numeric-1.0	753	247	-



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	QB24A1A	FORM B: best able to handle Pollution/Environment?	discrete	numeric-1.0	753	247	-
3	QA24A2A	FORM A: best able to handle Inflation/cost living?	discrete	numeric-1.0	805	195	-
4	QB24A2A	FORM B: best able to handle Inflation/Cost living?	discrete	numeric-1.0	805	195	-
5	QA24A3A	FORM A: best able to handle American influence ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	767	233	-
6	QB24A3A	FORM B: Best able to handle American influence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	767	233	-
7	QA24A4A	FORM A: Who best able to handle unemployment ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	764	236	-
8	QB24A4A	FORM B: Best able to handle unemployment?	discrete	numeric-1.0	764	236	-
9	QA24A5A	FORM A: Who best able to handle Drugs ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	723	277	-
10	QB24A5A	FORM B: Best able to handle drugs?	discrete	numeric-1.0	723	277	-
11	QA24A6A	FORM A: Who best able to handle Taxes ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	749	251	-
12	QB24A6A	FORM B: Best able to handle Taxes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	749	251	-
13	QA24A7A	FORM A: best able to handle Agriculture problems?	discrete	numeric-1.0	754	246	-
14	QB24A7A	FORM B: Best able to handle Agriculture problems?	discrete	numeric-1.0	754	246	-
15	QA24A8A	FORM A: Who best able to handle Health Care ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	759	241	-
16	QB24A8A	FORM B: Best able to handle Health Care?	discrete	numeric-1.0	759	241	-
17	QA24A9A	FORM A: Who best able to handle National Unity?	discrete	numeric-1.0	761	239	-
18	QB24A9A	FORM B: Best able to handle National Unity?	discrete	numeric-1.0	761	239	-

### Group Voting Behavior

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	QA25Z	Form A: If election held today how would you vote?	discrete	numeric-1.0	748	252	-
2	QB25Z	FORM B: If election held today how would you vote?	discrete	numeric-1.0	748	252	-
3	Q26Z	In federal politics today, consider yourself what?	discrete	numeric-1.0	751	249	-
4	Q27Z	In deciding how you-d vote, what is most important	discrete	numeric-1.0	907	93	-
5	Q28Z	Which answer describes how you voted in past?	discrete	character-1	1000	0	-
6	Q29Z	How did you vote in the 1968 Federal elections?	discrete	numeric-1.0	809	191	-

<b>Group Demographics</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q30Z	What is your occupation?	discrete	character-1	1000	0	-
2	Q30A	R not household head(HH),what is occupation of HH?	discrete	character-1	1000	0	-
3	Q31Z	What is your approximate age?	discrete	numeric-2.0	993	7	-
4	Q32Z	What is the last grade of school completed by you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	979	21	-
5	Q33Z	What is your religious preference?	discrete	numeric-1.0	947	53	-
6	Q37Z	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
7	Q38Z	Family income before taxes.	discrete	numeric-1.0	837	163	-

<b>Group Most Important Issue Facing Canada</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q2A1A	Most important issue facing Canada as a nation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	899	101	-
2	Q2A2A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	528	472	-
3	Q2A3A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	275	725	-
4	Q2A4A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	142	858	-
5	Q2A5A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	72	928	-
6	Q2A6A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	37	963	-
7	Q2A7A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	16	984	-
8	Q2A8A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	8	992	-
9	Q2A9A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	5	995	-
10	Q2A10A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	236	764	-
11	Q2A11A	Same as q2a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	970	-

<b>Group Who Responsible for Inflation, Unemployment</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q6A2A	If Q6A1A=inflation, Who is most responsible?	discrete	numeric-1.0	705	295	-
2	Q6B2B	IF Q6A1A=unemployment, Who is most responsible ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	705	295	-
3	Q6A3A	Same as Q6A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	108	892	-
4	Q6B3B	Same as Q6B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	108	892	-
5	Q6A4A	Same as Q6A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	8	992	-
6	Q6B4B	Same as Q6B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	8	992	-
7	Q6A5A	Same as Q6A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
8	Q6B5B	Same as Q6B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
9	Q6A6A	Same as Q6A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
10	Q6B6B	Same as Q6B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-

<b>Group Why? Approve or Disapprove Trudeau Handling Job of PM</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q15A2A	If Q15A1A=approve, Why do you say that ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	456	544	-
2	Q15B2B	If Q15A1A=disapprove, why do you say that?	discrete	numeric-1.0	456	544	-
3	Q15A3A	Same as Q15A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	44	956	-
4	Q15B3B	Same as Q15B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	44	956	-
5	Q15A4A	Same as Q15A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
6	Q15B4B	Same as Q15B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
7	Q15A5A	Same as Q15A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
8	Q15B5B	Same as Q15B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
9	Q15A6A	Same as Q15A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
10	Q15B6B	Same as Q15B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-

<b>Group Why? If Election Today Vote Trudeau Government</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q17A2A	If Q17A1A=for, Why?	discrete	numeric-1.0	595	405	-
2	Q17B2B	If Q17A1A=against, Why?	discrete	numeric-1.0	595	405	-
3	Q17A3A	Same as Q17A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	967	-
4	Q17B3B	Same as Q17B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	967	-
5	Q17A4A	Same as Q17A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1	999	-
6	Q17B4B	Same as Q17B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1	999	-
7	Q17A5A	Same as Q17A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
8	Q17B5B	Same as Q17B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
9	Q17A6A	Same as Q17A2A	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
10	Q17B6B	Same as Q17B2B	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-

<b>Group How Would You Vote Today? Why?</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q25A1A	If QA25/QB25 = PC/ Stanfield, WHY ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	857	143	-
2	Q25A2A	Same as Q25a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	64	936	-
3	Q25B1B	If QA25/QB25 = Liberal/ Trudeau, WHY ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	857	143	-
4	Q25B2B	Same as Q25b1b	discrete	numeric-1.0	64	936	-
5	Q25C1C	If QA25/QB25 = NDP/ Lewis, WHY ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	857	143	-
6	Q25C2C	Same as Q25c1c	discrete	numeric-1.0	64	936	-
7	Q25D1D	IF QA25/QB25 = Social Credit/Caouette, WHY ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	857	143	-
8	Q25D2D	Same as Q25d1d	discrete	numeric-1.0	64	936	-
9	Q25E1E	If QA25/QB25 = don-t know, WHY ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	857	143	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	Q25E2E	Same as Q25e1e	discrete	numeric-1.0	64	936	-

### Group Belong to a Union

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q34A1A	Belong to a labor union ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	968	32	-
2	Q34A2A	Same as Q34a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	7	993	-

### Group Main Language Spoken

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q35A1A	What is the main language spoken by the household?	discrete	numeric-1.0	997	3	-
2	Q35A2A	Same as Q35a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	974	-
3	Q35A3A	Same as Q35a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	2	998	-
4	Q35B1B	What is the main language spoken by you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	989	11	-
5	Q35B2B	Same as Q35b1b	discrete	numeric-1.0	31	969	-
6	Q35B3B	Same as Q35b1b	discrete	numeric-1.0	1	999	-

### Group National Origin

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q36A1A	What is your national origin?	discrete	numeric-1.0	996	4	-
2	Q36A2A	Same as Q36a1a	discrete	numeric-1.0	6	994	-

### Group Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CARDA2A	Card number (card 2)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1000	0	-
2	JOBA2A	Job number (card 2)	discrete	numeric-4.0	1000	0	-

### Group Pierre Trudeau

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q14A1A	1st thing comes to mind when U think about Trudeau	continuous	numeric-2.0	938	62	-
2	Q14A2A	Do you consider this good or bad?	discrete	numeric-1.0	923	77	-
3	Q14B1B	Is there anything else?	continuous	numeric-2.0	758	242	-
4	Q14B2B	Do you consider this good or bad?	discrete	numeric-1.0	659	341	-
5	Q15A1A	Approve of way Trudeau is handling job of P.M. ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	870	130	-
6	Q16Z	Rate Trudeau in terms of ability to handle job.	discrete	numeric-1.0	970	30	-
7	Q17A1A	If election today, vote for Trudeau government?	discrete	numeric-1.0	773	227	-

<b>Group Robert Stanfield</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q18A1A	First thing comes to mind when think of Stanfield?	continuous	numeric-2.0	806	194	-
2	Q18A2A	Do you consider this good or bad?	discrete	numeric-1.0	846	154	-
3	Q18B1B	Is there anything else ?	continuous	numeric-2.0	687	313	-
4	Q18C1C	Do you consider this good or bad ?	discrete	numeric-1.0	577	423	-
5	Q19Z	Approve way Stanfield handling job as Opposition?	discrete	numeric-1.0	779	221	-
6	Q20Z	Rate Stanfield in terms of ability to handle job.	discrete	numeric-1.0	853	147	-
7	Q21Z	Will Stanfield eventually become Prime Minister?	discrete	numeric-1.0	738	262	-

<b>Group David Lewis</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	Q22Z	Approve of way Lewis is handling job of NDP leader	discrete	numeric-1.0	556	444	-
2	Q23Z	Rate Lewis in terms of ability to handle job.	discrete	numeric-1.0	628	372	-

# Variables Description

Dataset contains 137 variable(s)

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # RES\_ID1A: Respondent ID (card 1)

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1008] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=504.82 /-] [StdDev=291.401 /-]

### # AREA\_1A: Area number (card 1)

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10101-19305] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12029.839 /-] [StdDev=2155.874 /-]

### # M\_AREA1A: Major area (card 1)

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Ontario	364	36.4%
1	Quebec	264	26.4%
2	British Columbia	105	10.5%
3	West	77	7.7%
4	West	50	5.0%
5	West	50	5.0%
6	Maritime	35	3.5%
7	Maritime	30	3.0%
8	Maritime	20	2.0%
9	Maritime	5	0.5%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Q3A1A: Rate importance - Pollution/Environment

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=986 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	25	2.5%
2	Not too Important	61	6.2%
3	Somewhat Important	204	20.7%
4	Very Important	696	70.6%
0	Don-t know	14	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Q3A2A: Rate importance - Inflation/Cost of living

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=984 /-] [Invalid=16 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	4	0.4%
2	Not too Important	28	2.8%
3	Somewhat Important	161	16.4%
4	Very Important	791	80.4%
0	Don-t know	16	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q3A3A: Rate importance - American influence

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=932 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	61	6.5%
2	Not too Important	234	25.1%
3	Somewhat Important	353	37.9%
4	Very Important	284	30.5%
0	Don-t know	68	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Q3A4A: Rate importance - Unemployment

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=988 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	6	0.6%
2	Not too Important	41	4.1%
3	Somewhat Important	131	13.3%
4	Very Important	810	82.0%
0	Don-t know	12	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Q3A5A: Rate importance - Drugs

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=974 /-] [Invalid=26 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	25	2.6%
2	Not too Important	96	9.9%
3	Somewhat Important	230	23.6%
4	Very Important	623	64.0%
0	Don-t know	26	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Q3A6A: Rate importance - Taxes

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=983 /-] [Invalid=17 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	14	1.4%
2	Not too Important	112	11.4%
3	Somewhat Important	299	30.4%
4	Very Important	558	56.8%
0	Don-t know	17	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Q3A7A: Rate importance - Agriculture/Farm problems

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]



## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q3A7A: Rate importance - Agriculture/Farm problems

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=898 /-] [Invalid=102 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	38	4.2%
2	Not too Important	137	15.3%
3	Somewhat Important	293	32.6%
4	Very Important	430	47.9%
0	Don-t know	102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q3A8A: Rate importance - Health care

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\* /0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=980 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	43	4.4%
2	Not too Important	150	15.3%
3	Somewhat Important	289	29.5%
4	Very Important	498	50.8%
0	Don-t know	20	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q3A9A: Rate importance - National unity

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\* /0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=936 /-] [Invalid=64 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all Important	46	4.9%
2	Not too Important	132	14.1%
3	Somewhat Important	257	27.5%
4	Very Important	501	53.5%
0	Don-t know	64	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q4Z: Canada as nation compared to 3 years ago

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=\* /0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=962 /-] [Invalid=38 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	264	27.4%
2	Worse off	326	33.9%
3	About the same	372	38.7%
0	Don-t know	38	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q5Z: How will you be a year from now?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=\* /0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=928 /-] [Invalid=72 /-]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q5Z: How will you be a year from now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	315	33.9%
2	Worse off	160	17.2%
3	About the same	453	48.8%
0	Don-t know	72	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q6A1A: Which concerns you most -unemployment or inflation

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=912 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inflation	469	51.4%
2	Unemployment	443	48.6%
0	Don-t know	88	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q7Z: Would reducing corporate tax result in more jobs?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=692 /-] [Invalid=308 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Would	334	48.3%
2	Would not	358	51.7%
0	Don-t know	308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q8Z: Federal gov proposal for old age pension adequate?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=858 /-] [Invalid=142 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Is	338	39.4%
2	Is not	520	60.6%
0	Don-t know	142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q9Z: Will gov plan to review foreign acquisitions work?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=730 /-] [Invalid=270 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Will	394	54.0%
2	Will not	336	46.0%
0	Don-t know	270	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q10Z: Should public employees be allowed to strike?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=930 /-] [Invalid=70 /-]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q10Z: Should public employees be allowed to strike?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should	421	45.3%
2	Should not	509	54.7%
0	Don-t know	70	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q11Z: Is constitutional reform necessary at this time?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=702 /-] [Invalid=298 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Is	455	64.8%
2	Is not	247	35.2%
0	Don-t know	298	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q12Z: Will gov solve campaign spending problem?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=681 /-] [Invalid=319 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Will	224	32.9%
2	Will not	457	67.1%
0	Don-t know	319	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q13Z: How should marijuana be dealt with?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=866 /-] [Invalid=134 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Legalize marijuana	163	18.8%
2	Reduce penalties	146	16.9%
3	Keep the laws we now have	557	64.3%
0	Don-t know	134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q14A1A: 1st thing comes to mind when U think about Trudeau

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-69] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=938 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing else	0	
2	Best man for the job	25	2.7%
3	Good leader	84	9.0%
4	Trying to do a good job	66	7.1%
5	Works for Canada-s image	4	0.4%
6	Accomplished a lot	7	0.8%
7	Works for Canada	18	1.9%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q14A1A: 1st thing comes to mind when U think about Trudeau

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Forceful/speaks up/self-assure	19	2.0%
9	Liberal minded	9	1.0%
10	Flamboyant image	45	4.8%
11	Young outlook	10	1.1%
12	Like him, good/fine man, nice	91	9.8%
13	Intelligent, smart, clever	49	5.3%
14	His wife, baby	12	1.3%
15	Politician	6	0.6%
16	--	0	
17	--	0	
18	Handles problems well	3	0.3%
19	Handle Quebec situation	3	0.3%
20	--	0	
28	Other miscellaneous responses	12	1.3%
30	Does nothing for Canada	19	2.0%
31	Not concerned for the people	14	1.5%
32	Poor leader	39	4.2%
33	Not doing a good job (Unsp)	29	3.1%
34	Travels too much	29	3.1%
35	Need a change	27	2.9%
36	Spends too much money	5	0.5%
37	Don-t like him, meat head	70	7.5%
38	Disgusting attitude	17	1.8%
39	Flamboyant image - neg	59	6.3%
40	Not serious about his job	19	2.0%
41	Evades issues	18	1.9%
42	Doesn-t keep promises	10	1.1%
43	Not a politician	2	0.2%
44	His wife	2	0.2%
45	Thinks only of himself	18	1.9%
46	Rich, too much money	6	0.6%
47	His policies, views	4	0.4%
48	Not controlling inflation	2	0.2%
49	- illegible -	7	0.8%
50	Handling the french situation	9	1.0%
51	Does nothing for westrn Canada	7	0.8%
52	Youth orientated	1	0.1%
59	Other misc negative responses	29	3.1%
60	Some good points, some bad	11	1.2%
61	No one is perfect	16	1.7%
62	Not enough time to prov himslf	1	0.1%
69	Other neutral responses	0	
0	Don-t know	62	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q14A2A: Do you consider this good or bad?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=923 /-] [Invalid=77 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	483	52.3%
2	Bad	440	47.7%
0	Don-t know	77	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Q14B1B: Is there anything else?

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-69] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=758 /-] [Invalid=242 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing else	227	30.4%
2	Best man for the job	19	2.5%
3	Good leader	25	3.4%
4	Trying to do a good job	48	6.4%
5	Works for Canada-s image	6	0.8%
6	Accomplished a lot	7	0.9%
7	Works for Canada	19	2.5%
8	Forceful/speaks up/self-assure	24	3.2%
9	Liberal minded	3	0.4%
10	Flamboyant image	11	1.5%
11	Young outlook	7	0.9%
12	Like him, good/fine man, nice	16	2.1%
13	Intelligent, smart, clever	31	4.2%
14	His wife, baby	7	0.9%
15	Politician	3	0.4%
16	--	0	
17	--	0	
18	Handles problems well	5	0.7%
19	Handle Quebec situation	6	0.8%
20	--	0	
28	Other miscellaneous responses	1	0.1%
30	Does nothing for Canada	15	2.0%
31	Not concerned for the people	20	2.7%
32	Poor leader	17	2.3%
33	Not doing a good job (Unsp)	21	2.8%
34	Travels too much	23	3.1%
35	Need a change	11	1.5%
36	Spends too much money	7	0.9%
37	Don-t like him, meat head	12	1.6%
38	Disgusting attitude	6	0.8%
39	Flamboyant image - neg	18	2.4%
40	Not serious about his job	13	1.7%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q14B1B: Is there anything else?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
41	Evades issues	11	1.5%
42	Doesn-t keep promises	9	1.2%
43	Not a politician	1	0.1%
44	His wife	0	
45	Thinks only of himself	17	2.3%
46	Rich, too much money	4	0.5%
47	His policies, views	6	0.8%
48	Not controlling inflation	4	0.5%
49	- illegible -	5	0.7%
50	Handling the french situation	12	1.6%
51	Does nothing for westrn Canada	4	0.5%
52	Youth orientated	3	0.4%
59	Other misc negative responses	25	3.4%
60	Some good points, some bad	5	0.7%
61	No one is perfect	9	1.2%
62	Not enough time to prov himslf	2	0.3%
69	Other neutral responses	1	0.1%
0	Don-t know	242	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q14B2B: Do you consider this good or bad?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=659 /-] [Invalid=341 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	322	48.9%
2	Bad	337	51.1%
0	Don-t know	341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q15A1A: Approve of way Trudeau is handling job of P.M. ?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/0]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=870 /-] [Invalid=130 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Approve	491	56.4%
2	Disapprove	379	43.6%
0	Don-t know	130	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q16Z: Rate Trudeau in terms of ability to handle job.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/0]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=970 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all able	65	6.7%
2	Not very able	128	13.2%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q16Z: Rate Trudeau in terms of ability to handle job.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly able	379	39.1%
4	Very able	398	41.0%
0	Don-t know	30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q17A1A: If election today, vote for Trudeau government?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=773 /-] [Invalid=227 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	398	51.5%
2	Against	375	48.5%
0	Don-t know	227	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q18A1A: First thing comes to mind when think of Stanfield?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-59] [Missing=*0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=806 /-] [Invalid=194 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing else	1	0.1%
2	Honest man, sincere, integrity	45	5.6%
3	Intelligent,smart,clever,educ	19	2.4%
4	Competent,level-headed,stable	20	2.5%
5	All right, fair	38	4.7%
6	Good speaker,speaks well	8	1.0%
7	Like him, nice man, good man	64	7.9%
8	Good leader of opposition (PC)	42	5.2%
9	Make a good prime minister	36	4.5%
10	Do better than Trudeau	6	0.7%
11	Business background	3	0.4%
12	For average,middle class peopl	1	0.1%
13	Speaks french	1	0.1%
14	Like his ideas	4	0.5%
15	Political fighter	3	0.4%
16	Give him a chance	9	1.1%
17	Good premier, does a good job	15	1.9%
18	Underwear	8	1.0%
19	Dignified, well mannered	7	0.9%
20	Soft spoken, even tempered	5	0.6%
21	Conservative	5	0.6%
29	All other misc responses	7	0.9%
30	Lacks personality, he is dull	76	9.4%
31	Slow moving, slow thinking	24	3.0%
32	Lack speaking ability	12	1.5%
33	Don-t like him	43	5.3%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q18A1A: First thing comes to mind when think of Stanfield?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	Too old fashion	14	1.7%
35	Not strong, weak, not dynamic	31	3.8%
36	Too old to be in government	37	4.6%
37	Not make a good leader	44	5.5%
38	Won-t vote for him	8	1.0%
39	Have no confidence in him	6	0.7%
40	Talks to much	18	2.2%
41	Speaks poor french	5	0.6%
42	Progressive conservativ leader	9	1.1%
43	Like Diefenbaker better	7	0.9%
44	Underwear, millionaire	43	5.3%
45	Indecisive	9	1.1%
46	Incompetent, not very good	31	3.8%
47	Too english, protect french	7	0.9%
48	He-s for big business	2	0.2%
49	Funny guy, cartoon, kind of joke	3	0.4%
50	Against everything, critical	9	1.1%
51	Too conservative	6	0.7%
52	Not very bright, smart	1	0.1%
59	All other negativ misc respons	14	1.7%
0	Don-t know, nothing	194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q18A2A: Do you consider this good or bad?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=846 /-] [Invalid=154 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	332	39.2%
2	Bad	514	60.8%
0	Don-t know	154	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q18B1B: Is there anything else ?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-59] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=687 /-] [Invalid=313 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing else	247	36.0%
2	Honest man, sincere, integrity	19	2.8%
3	Intelligent, smart, clever, educ	8	1.2%
4	Competent, level-headed, stable	9	1.3%
5	All right, fair	6	0.9%
6	Good speaker, speaks well	7	1.0%
7	Like him, nice man, good man	14	2.0%
8	Good leader of opposition (PC)	11	1.6%



## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q18B1B: Is there anything else ?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Make a good prime minister	21	3.1%
10	Do better than Trudeau	11	1.6%
11	Business background	3	0.4%
12	For average,middle class peopl	2	0.3%
13	Speaks french	4	0.6%
14	Like his ideas	9	1.3%
15	Political fighter	4	0.6%
16	Give him a chance	4	0.6%
17	Good premier, does a good job	8	1.2%
18	Underwear	0	
19	Dignified, well mannered	0	
20	Soft spoken, even tempered	2	0.3%
21	Conservative	0	
29	All other misc responses	7	1.0%
30	Lacks personality, he is dull	36	5.2%
31	Slow moving, slow thinking	13	1.9%
32	Lack speaking ability	15	2.2%
33	Don-t like him	13	1.9%
34	Too old fashion	10	1.5%
35	Not strong, weak, not dynamic	27	3.9%
36	Too old to be in government	23	3.3%
37	Not make a good leader	42	6.1%
38	Won-t vote for him	2	0.3%
39	Have no confidence in him	6	0.9%
40	Talks to much	11	1.6%
41	Speaks poor french	11	1.6%
42	Progressive conservativ leader	2	0.3%
43	Like Diefenbaker better	12	1.7%
44	Underwear, millionaire	6	0.9%
45	Indecisive	16	2.3%
46	Incompetent, not very good	12	1.7%
47	Too english, protect french	5	0.7%
48	He-s for big business	1	0.1%
49	Funny guy,cartoon,kind of joke	2	0.3%
50	Against everything, critical	8	1.2%
51	Too conservative	1	0.1%
52	Not very bright, smart	2	0.3%
59	All other negativ misc respons	15	2.2%
0	Don-t know, nothing	313	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q18C1C: Do you consider this good or bad ?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=577 /-] [Invalid=423 /-]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q18C1C: Do you consider this good or bad ?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	207	35.9%
2	Bad	370	64.1%
0	Don-t know	423	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q19Z: Approve way Stanfield handling job as Opposition?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=779 /-] [Invalid=221 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Approve	530	68.0%
2	Disapprove	249	32.0%
0	Don-t know	221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q20Z: Rate Stanfield in terms of ability to handle job.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=853 /-] [Invalid=147 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all able	82	9.6%
2	Not very able	231	27.1%
3	Fairly able	374	43.8%
4	Very able	166	19.5%
0	Don-t know	147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q21Z: Will Stanfield eventually become Prime Minister?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=738 /-] [Invalid=262 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Will	242	32.8%
2	Will not	496	67.2%
0	Don-t know	262	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q22Z: Approve of way Lewis is handling job of NDP leader

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=556 /-] [Invalid=444 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Approve	341	61.3%
2	Disapprove	215	38.7%
0	Don-t know	444	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q23Z: Rate Lewis in terms of ability to handle job.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # Q23Z: Rate Lewis in terms of ability to handle job.

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=628 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all able	107	17.0%
2	Not very able	153	24.4%
3	Fairly able	269	42.8%
4	Very able	99	15.8%
0	Don-t know	372	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # QBC1A: If provincial election today, how would you vote?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\* /0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=81 /-] [Invalid=919 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Social Credit	27	33.3%
2	Progressive Conservative	9	11.1%
3	NDP	29	35.8%
4	Liberal	16	19.8%
0	Don-t know	919	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # FORMA1A: Form (card 1)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		499	49.9%
2		501	50.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # CARDA1A: Card number (card 1)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1000	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # JOBA1A: Job number (card 1)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2643-2643] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2643		1000	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # RESPIDB: Respondent ID (card 2)

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1008] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=504.82 /-] [StdDev=291.401 /-]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # QA24A1A: FORM A: best able to handle Pollution/Environment?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=753 /-] [Invalid=247 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	188	25.0%
2	P.Trudeau	397	52.7%
3	D.Lewis	110	14.6%
4	R.Caouette	58	7.7%
0	Don-t know	247	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # QB24A1A: FORM B: best able to handle Pollution/Environment?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=753 /-] [Invalid=247 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	188	25.0%
2	Liberal	397	52.7%
3	NDP	110	14.6%
4	Social Credit	58	7.7%
0	Don-t know	247	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # QA24A2A: FORM A: best able to handle Inflation/cost living?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=805 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	253	31.4%
2	P.Trudeau	381	47.3%
3	D.Lewis	106	13.2%
4	R.Caouette	65	8.1%
0	Don-t know	195	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # QB24A2A: FORM B: best able to handle Inflation/Cost living?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=805 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	253	31.4%
2	Liberal	381	47.3%
3	NDP	106	13.2%
4	Social Credit	65	8.1%
0	Don-t know	195	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # QA24A3A: FORM A: best able to handle American influence ?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # QA24A3A: FORM A: best able to handle American influence ?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=767 /-] [Invalid=233 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	181	23.6%
2	P.Trudeau	399	52.0%
3	D.Lewis	137	17.9%
4	R.Caouette	50	6.5%
0	Don-t know	233	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # QB24A3A: FORM B: Best able to handle American influence?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=767 /-] [Invalid=233 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	181	23.6%
2	Liberal	399	52.0%
3	NDP	137	17.9%
4	Social Credit	50	6.5%
0	Don-t know	233	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # QA24A4A: FORM A: Who best able to handle unemployment ?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=764 /-] [Invalid=236 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	239	31.3%
2	P.Trudeau	342	44.8%
3	D.Lewis	121	15.8%
4	R.Caouette	62	8.1%
0	Don-t know	236	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# QB24A4A: FORM B: Best able to handle unemployment?**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=764 /-] [Invalid=236 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	239	31.3%
2	Liberal	342	44.8%
3	NDP	121	15.8%
4	Social Credit	62	8.1%
0	Don-t know	236	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QA24A5A: FORM A: Who best able to handle Drugs ?**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=723 /-] [Invalid=277 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	175	24.2%
2	P.Trudeau	421	58.2%
3	D.Lewis	80	11.1%
4	R.Caouette	47	6.5%
0	Don-t know	277	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QB24A5A: FORM B: Best able to handle drugs?**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=723 /-] [Invalid=277 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	175	24.2%
2	Liberal	421	58.2%
3	NDP	80	11.1%
4	Social Credit	47	6.5%
0	Don-t know	277	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QA24A6A: FORM A: Who best able to handle Taxes ?**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=749 /-] [Invalid=251 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	219	29.2%
2	P.Trudeau	359	47.9%
3	D.Lewis	111	14.8%
4	R.Caouette	60	8.0%
0	Don-t know	251	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QB24A6A: FORM B: Best able to handle Taxes?**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\*/0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=749 /-] [Invalid=251 /-]

**# QB24A6A: FORM B: Best able to handle Taxes?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	219	29.2%
2	Liberal	359	47.9%
3	NDP	111	14.8%
4	Social Credit	60	8.0%
0	Don-t know	251	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QA24A7A: FORM A: best able to handle Agriculture problems?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=754 /-] [Invalid=246 /-]
---------------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	299	39.7%
2	P.Trudeau	272	36.1%
3	D.Lewis	112	14.9%
4	R.Caouette	71	9.4%
0	Don-t know	246	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QB24A7A: FORM B: Best able to handle Agriculture problems?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=754 /-] [Invalid=246 /-]
---------------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	299	39.7%
2	Liberal	272	36.1%
3	NDP	112	14.9%
4	Social Credit	71	9.4%
0	Don-t know	246	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QA24A8A: FORM A: Who best able to handle Health Care ?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=759 /-] [Invalid=241 /-]
---------------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	169	22.3%
2	P.Trudeau	421	55.5%
3	D.Lewis	114	15.0%
4	R.Caouette	55	7.2%
0	Don-t know	241	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# QB24A8A: FORM B: Best able to handle Health Care?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=759 /-] [Invalid=241 /-]
---------------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	169	22.3%
2	Liberal	421	55.5%

**# QB24A8A: FORM B: Best able to handle Health Care?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	NDP	114	15.0%
4	Social Credit	55	7.2%
0	Don-t know	241	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# QA24A9A: FORM A: Who best able to handle National Unity?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=761 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R.Stanfield	193	25.4%
2	P.Trudeau	431	56.6%
3	D.Lewis	72	9.5%
4	R.Caouette	65	8.5%
0	Don-t know	239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# QB24A9A: FORM B: Best able to handle National Unity?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=761 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	193	25.4%
2	Liberal	431	56.6%
3	NDP	72	9.5%
4	Social Credit	65	8.5%
0	Don-t know	239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# QA25Z: Form A: If election held today how would you vote?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=748 /-] [Invalid=252 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Robert Stanfield	202	27.0%
2	Pierre Trudeau	382	51.1%
3	David Lewis	107	14.3%
4	Real Caouette	57	7.6%
0	Don-t know	252	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# QB25Z: FORM B: If election held today how would you vote?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=748 /-] [Invalid=252 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	202	27.0%
2	Liberal	382	51.1%
3	NDP	107	14.3%
4	Social Credit	57	7.6%



<b># QB25Z: FORM B: If election held today how would you vote?</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Don-t know	252	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q26Z: In federal politics today, consider yourself what?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=751 /-] [Invalid=249 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	206	27.4%
2	Social Credit	50	6.7%
3	Liberal	394	52.5%
4	NDP	101	13.4%
0	Don-t know	249	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q27Z: In deciding how you-d vote, what is most important</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=907 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Leader	330	36.4%
2	Party	211	23.3%
3	Local candidate	366	40.4%
0	Don-t know	93	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q28Z: Which answer describes how you voted in past?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Don-t know	175	17.5%
1	Always Liberal	297	29.7%
10	Did not vote	0	
2	Always Progressive Conservativ	99	9.9%
3	Always Social Credit	19	1.9%
4	Always NDP	46	4.6%
5	Liberal and Progressive Conser	239	23.9%
6	NDP and Social Credit	9	0.9%
7	NDP and PC	21	2.1%
8	PC and Social Credit	21	2.1%
9	Social Credit and Liberal	22	2.2%
-	All four	52	5.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q29Z: How did you vote in the 1968 Federal elections?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=809 /-] [Invalid=191 /-]	

### # Q29Z: How did you vote in the 1968 Federal elections?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Progressive Conservative	165	20.4%
2	Liberal	423	52.3%
3	NDP	80	9.9%
4	Social Credit	31	3.8%
5		110	13.6%
0	Don-t know	191	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q30Z: What is your occupation?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unemployed, disabled	20	2.0%
1	Professional/technical	133	13.3%
10	Student	0	
2	Farm owners/managers	34	3.4%
3	Officials/Business owners	54	5.4%
4	Clerical/sales workers	91	9.1%
5	Skilled craftsmen/foremen	74	7.4%
6	Operatives/kindred workers	53	5.3%
7	Service workers/laborers	82	8.2%
8	Housewife	341	34.1%
9	Retired	74	7.4%
-	Refused	44	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q30A: R not household head(HH),what is occupation of HH?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unemployed, disabled	15	1.5%
1	Professional/technical	194	19.4%
10	Student	0	
2	Farm owners/managers	70	7.0%
3	Officials/Business owners	118	11.8%
4	Clerical/sales workers	82	8.2%
5	Skilled craftsmen/foremen	152	15.2%
6	Operatives/kindred workers	101	10.1%
7	Service workers/laborers	110	11.0%
8	Housewife	38	3.8%
9	Retired	109	10.9%
-	Refused	11	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q31Z: What is your approximate age?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11] [Missing=* /0]
--------------------	--

### # Q31Z: What is your approximate age?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=993 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-20	58	5.8%
2	21-24	85	8.6%
3	25-29	120	12.1%
4	30-34	113	11.4%
5	35-39	88	8.9%
6	40-44	121	12.2%
7	45-49	89	9.0%
8	50-54	88	8.9%
9	55-59	70	7.0%
10	60-64	49	4.9%
11	65 and over	112	11.3%
0	Refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q32Z: What is the last grade of school completed by you?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=\* /0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=979 /-] [Invalid=21 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Public elementary school	230	23.5%
2	Some high school	271	27.7%
3	Graduated high school	191	19.5%
4	Graduated high school	94	9.6%
5	Some college	87	8.9%
6	Graduated college	75	7.7%
7	Post graduate work	31	3.2%
0	Refused	21	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q33Z: What is your religious preference?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=\* /0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=947 /-] [Invalid=53 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Roman Catholic	396	41.8%
2	Protestant	450	47.5%
3	Jewish	17	1.8%
4	Other	84	8.9%
0	Refused	53	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q37Z: Sex

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	498	49.8%
2	Female	502	50.2%

**# Q37Z: Sex***Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q38Z: Family income before taxes.****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=\*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=837 /-] [Invalid=163 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	\$ 0 - 2999	49	5.9%
2	\$ 3000 - 4999	117	14.0%
3	\$ 5000 - 5999	88	10.5%
4	\$ 6000 - 6999	70	8.4%
5	\$ 7000 - 9999	195	23.3%
6	\$ 10,000 - 14,999	218	26.0%
7	\$ 15,000 - 24,999	85	10.2%
8	\$ 25,000 and over	15	1.8%
0	Refused	163	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q2A1A: Most important issue facing Canada as a nation?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=899 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inflation/Cost of living	365	40.6%
2	Unemployment	357	39.7%
3	Us/Canadian relations	36	4.0%
4	Quebec/Separatism	23	2.6%
5	Drugs	24	2.7%
6	Pollution/Water	48	5.3%
7	Too much welfare	14	1.6%
8	Taxes too high	19	2.1%
9	Canadian unity	13	1.4%
0	Don-t know	101	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q2A2A: Same as q2a1a****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=528 /-] [Invalid=472 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	250	47.3%
3	Us/Canadian relations	21	4.0%
4	Quebec/Separatism	50	9.5%
5	Drugs	37	7.0%
6	Pollution/Water	82	15.5%
7	Too much welfare	33	6.2%
8	Taxes too high	37	7.0%
9	Canadian unity	18	3.4%
0	Don-t know	472	

**# Q2A2A: Same as q2a1a***Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q2A3A: Same as q2a1a****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=275 /-] [Invalid=725 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	0	
3	Us/Canadian relations	38	13.8%
4	Quebec/Separatism	23	8.4%
5	Drugs	57	20.7%
6	Pollution/Water	51	18.5%
7	Too much welfare	38	13.8%
8	Taxes too high	57	20.7%
9	Canadian unity	11	4.0%
0	Don-t know	725	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q2A4A: Same as q2a1a****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=142 /-] [Invalid=858 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	0	
3	Us/Canadian relations	0	
4	Quebec/Separatism	10	7.0%
5	Drugs	24	16.9%
6	Pollution/Water	44	31.0%
7	Too much welfare	15	10.6%
8	Taxes too high	38	26.8%
9	Canadian unity	11	7.7%
0	Don-t know	858	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q2A5A: Same as q2a1a****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*/0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=72 /-] [Invalid=928 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	0	
3	Us/Canadian relations	0	
4	Quebec/Separatism	0	
5	Drugs	7	9.7%
6	Pollution/Water	15	20.8%
7	Too much welfare	19	26.4%
8	Taxes too high	28	38.9%
9	Canadian unity	3	4.2%

<b># Q2A5A: Same as q2a1a</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Don-t know	928	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q2A6A: Same as q2a1a</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=37 /-] [Invalid=963 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	0	
3	Us/Canadian relations	0	
4	Quebec/Separatism	0	
5	Drugs	0	
6	Pollution/Water	6	16.2%
7	Too much welfare	8	21.6%
8	Taxes too high	20	54.1%
9	Canadian unity	3	8.1%
0	Don-t know	963	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q2A7A: Same as q2a1a</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=984 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	0	
3	Us/Canadian relations	0	
4	Quebec/Separatism	0	
5	Drugs	0	
6	Pollution/Water	0	
7	Too much welfare	6	37.5%
8	Taxes too high	6	37.5%
9	Canadian unity	4	25.0%
0	Don-t know	984	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q2A8A: Same as q2a1a</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=8 /-] [Invalid=992 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	0	
3	Us/Canadian relations	0	
4	Quebec/Separatism	0	
5	Drugs	0	
6	Pollution/Water	0	
7	Too much welfare	0	

**# Q2A8A: Same as q2a1a**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Taxes too high	6	75.0%
9	Canadian unity	2	25.0%
0	Don-t know	992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q2A9A: Same as q2a1a**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\* /0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=995 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inflation/Cost of living	0	
2	Unemployment	0	
3	Us/Canadian relations	0	
4	Quebec/Separatism	0	
5	Drugs	0	
6	Pollution/Water	0	
7	Too much welfare	0	
8	Taxes too high	0	
9	Canadian unity	5	100.0%
0	Don-t know	995	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q2A10A: Same as q2a1a**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\* /0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=236 /-] [Invalid=764 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agricultural problems	131	55.5%
2	Education	9	3.8%
3	Economy (unsp.)	14	5.9%
4	Labor unions / strikes	22	9.3%
5	Language / bilingualism	9	3.8%
6	Government / Trudeau	17	7.2%
7	Foreign policy / investment	16	6.8%
8	Elderly / old age	10	4.2%
9	Youth problems / youth unrest	8	3.4%
0	Don-t know	764	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q2A11A: Same as q2a1a**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\* /0]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=970 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agricultural problems	3	10.0%
2	Education	4	13.3%
3	Economy (unsp.)	5	16.7%
4	Labor unions / strikes	5	16.7%
5	Language / bilingualism	2	6.7%

**# Q2A11A: Same as q2a1a**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Government / Trudeau	4	13.3%
7	Foreign policy / investment	2	6.7%
8	Elderly / old age	3	10.0%
9	Youth problems / youth unrest	2	6.7%
0	Don-t know	970	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q6A2A: If Q6A1A=inflation, Who is most responsible?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=705 /-] [Invalid=295 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government/provincial and fed	353	50.1%
2	Trudeau	30	4.3%
3	Liberals	10	1.4%
4	Unions,Labor unions,Strikes	94	13.3%
5	Demand for high wages	33	4.7%
6	Industries, big business	25	3.5%
7	World wide, world market	16	2.3%
8	People themselves, public	95	13.5%
9	All others (tabbed)	49	7.0%
0	Don-t know	295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q6B2B: IF Q6A1A=unemployment, Who is most responsible ?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=705 /-] [Invalid=295 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government, Federal and prov	353	50.1%
2	Trudeau, Prime Minister	30	4.3%
3	Liberals, Liberal party	10	1.4%
4	Big business, industry	94	13.3%
5	Unions, union control, strikes	33	4.7%
6	Automation,machines & computer	25	3.5%
7	World wide condition	16	2.3%
8	People themselves, the people	95	13.5%
9	All others (tabbed)	49	7.0%
0	Don-t know	295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q6A3A: Same as Q6A2A**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=108 /-] [Invalid=892 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government/provincial and fed	0	
2	Trudeau	4	3.7%
3	Liberals	6	5.6%



**# Q6A3A: Same as Q6A2A**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Unions,Labor unions,Strikes	29	26.9%
5	Demand for high wages	21	19.4%
6	Industries, big business	15	13.9%
7	World wide, world market	1	0.9%
8	People themselves, public	28	25.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	4	3.7%
0	Don-t know	892	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q6B3B: Same as Q6B2B**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=108 /-] [Invalid=892 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government, Federal and prov	0	
2	Trudeau, Prime Minister	4	3.7%
3	Liberals, Liberal party	6	5.6%
4	Big business, industry	29	26.9%
5	Unions, union control, strikes	21	19.4%
6	Automation,machines & computer	15	13.9%
7	World wide condition	1	0.9%
8	People themselves, the people	28	25.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	4	3.7%
0	Don-t know	892	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q6A4A: Same as Q6A2A**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8 /-] [Invalid=992 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government/provincial and fed	0	
2	Trudeau	0	
3	Liberals	0	
4	Unions,Labor unions,Strikes	0	
5	Demand for high wages	2	25.0%
6	Industries, big business	4	50.0%
7	World wide, world market	0	
8	People themselves, public	2	25.0%
9	All others (tabbed)	0	
0	Don-t know	992	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q6B4B: Same as Q6B2B**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8 /-] [Invalid=992 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government, Federal and prov	0	

**# Q6B4B: Same as Q6B2B**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Trudeau, Prime Minister	0	
3	Liberals, Liberal party	0	
4	Big business, industry	0	
5	Unions, union control, strikes	2	25.0%
6	Automation,machines & computer	4	50.0%
7	World wide condition	0	
8	People themselves, the people	2	25.0%
9	All others (tabbed)	0	
0	Don-t know	992	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q6A5A: Same as Q6A2A**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Combination of all things	897	89.7%
1	Foreign imports,foreign market	18	1.8%
2	People on welfare	6	0.6%
3	Everybody (like luxuries)	18	1.8%
4	High prices, control prices	24	2.4%
5	No one, just a cycle	10	1.0%
6	Politician,leaders in gov	20	2.0%
7	Government spending	7	0.7%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q6B5B: Same as Q2B2B**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	People too lazy to work	897	89.7%
1	Combination of many things	18	1.8%
2	American influence	6	0.6%
3	Lack of industry	18	1.8%
4	General economy of the country	24	2.4%
5	Foreign business,importations	10	1.0%
6	Politicians, leaders	20	2.0%
7	Mis-management by government	7	0.7%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q6A6A: Same as Q6A2A**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Combination of all things	998	99.8%
1	Foreign imports,foreign market	0	
2	People on welfare	0	

### # Q6A6A: Same as Q6A2A

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Everybody (like luxuries)	0	
4	High prices, control prices	1	0.1%
5	No one, just a cycle	0	
6	Politician, leaders in gov	1	0.1%
7	Government spending	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q6B6B: Same as Q6B2B

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	People too lazy to work	998	99.8%
1	Combination of many things	0	
2	American influence	0	
3	Lack of industry	0	
4	General economy of the country	1	0.1%
5	Foreign business, importations	0	
6	Politicians, leaders	1	0.1%
7	Mis-management by government	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q15A2A: If Q15A1A=approve, Why do you say that ?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=456 /-] [Invalid=544 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Best man for the job	170	37.3%
2	Definite, sticks to his opinion	59	12.9%
3	Had good ideas	26	5.7%
4	Does what is best for people	59	12.9%
5	Quebec crisis	57	12.5%
6	Thinks young	15	3.3%
7	Old age pension	9	2.0%
8	Good foreign relations	18	3.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	43	9.4%
0	Don-t know	544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q15B2B: If Q15A1A=disapprove, why do you say that?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=456 /-] [Invalid=544 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doesn-t do his job	170	37.3%
2	His arrogance, self-centered	59	12.9%
3	Unemployment	26	5.7%
4	No concern for the people	59	12.9%
5	Doesn-t take his job serious	57	12.5%

**# Q15B2B: If Q15A1A=disapprove, why do you say that?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	His stand on postal strike	15	3.3%
7	Dishonest	9	2.0%
8	No concern for WESTERN provinc	18	3.9%
9	All other misc responses (tabb	43	9.4%
0	Don-t know	544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q15A3A: Same as Q15A2A**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=956 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Best man for the job	0	
2	Definite,sticks to his opinion	5	11.4%
3	Had good ideas	0	
4	Does what is best for people	9	20.5%
5	Quebec crisis	7	15.9%
6	Thinks young	2	4.5%
7	Old age pension	3	6.8%
8	Good foreign relations	2	4.5%
9	All others (tabbed)	16	36.4%
0	Don-t know	956	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q15B3B: Same as Q15B2B**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=956 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doesn-t do his job	0	
2	His arrogance, self-centered	5	11.4%
3	Unemployment	0	
4	No concern for the people	9	20.5%
5	Doesn-t take his job serious	7	15.9%
6	His stand on postal strike	2	4.5%
7	Dishonest	3	6.8%
8	No concern for WESTERN provinc	2	4.5%
9	All other misc responses (tabb	16	36.4%
0	Don-t know	956	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q15A4A: Same as Q15A2A**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	His traveling	573	57.3%
1	More settled, calmer	39	3.9%
2	Honest, sincere, good man	44	4.4%

**# Q15A4A: Same as Q15A2A**

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Works for Canada	20	2.0%
4	Doing his best, trying	214	21.4%
5	Educated, intelligent	16	1.6%
6	Communicates with the people	12	1.2%
7	Experienced - political	37	3.7%
8	--	16	1.6%
9	Other issue related responses	29	2.9%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># Q15B4B: Same as Q15B2B</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Don-t like him	573	57.3%
1	His travels	39	3.9%
2	His tax increase	44	4.4%
3	High government spending	20	2.0%
4	Inflation	214	21.4%
5	Didn-t carry out promises	16	1.6%
6	Liberal party-s concepts	12	1.2%
7	Personable image - Playboy	37	3.7%
8	Not the right man for PM	16	1.6%
9	All other issue related resp	29	2.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q15A5A: Same as Q15A2A</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	His traveling	960	96.0%
1	More settled, calmer	0	
2	Honest, sincere, good man	0	
3	Works for Canada	5	0.5%
4	Doing his best, trying	10	1.0%
5	Educated, intelligent	6	0.6%
6	Communicates with the people	1	0.1%
7	Experienced - political	7	0.7%
8	--	3	0.3%
9	Other issue related responses	8	0.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q15B5B: Same as Q15B2B</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Don-t like him	960	96.0%
1	His travels	0	
2	His tax increase	0	
3	High government spending	5	0.5%
4	Inflation	10	1.0%
5	Didn-t carry out promises	6	0.6%
6	Liberal party-s concepts	1	0.1%
7	Personable image - Playboy	7	0.7%
8	Not the right man for PM	3	0.3%
9	All other issue related resp	8	0.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># Q15A6A: Same as Q15A2A</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	His traveling	997	99.7%
1	More settled, calmer	0	
2	Honest, sincere, good man	0	
3	Works for Canada	0	
4	Doing his best, trying	1	0.1%
5	Educated, intelligent	0	
6	Communicates with the people	0	
7	Experienced - political	1	0.1%
8	--	0	
9	Other issue related responses	1	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q15B6B: Same as Q15B2B</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Don-t like him	997	99.7%
1	His travels	0	
2	His tax increase	0	
3	High government spending	0	
4	Inflation	1	0.1%
5	Didn-t carry out promises	0	
6	Liberal party-s concepts	0	
7	Personable image - Playboy	1	0.1%
8	Not the right man for PM	0	
9	All other issue related resp	1	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q17A2A: If Q17A1A=for, Why?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=405 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Doing a good job	183	30.8%
2	Best of men leading the party	92	15.5%
3	Like Liberal government	74	12.4%
4	He-s for Canada	15	2.5%
5	Always vote Liberal	27	4.5%
6	Like Trudeau	54	9.1%
7	Give him a chance	92	15.5%
8	Progressive (Trudeau), modern	16	2.7%
9	All other misc responses (tabb	42	7.1%
0	Don-t know	405	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># Q17B2B: If Q17A1A=against, Why?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=405 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Don-t like Trudeau	183	30.8%
2	Country need a change	92	15.5%
3	I-m not a Liberal	74	12.4%
4	I-d vote NDP	15	2.5%
5	Don-t like Trudeau policies	27	4.5%
6	Does not represent the people	54	9.1%
7	Trudeau- Not right for the job	92	15.5%
8	Trudeau-not representing all	16	2.7%
9	All other misc responses (tabb	42	7.1%
0	Don-t know	405	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q17A3A: Same as Q17A2A</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=967 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Doing a good job	0	
2	Best of men leading the party	2	6.1%
3	Like Liberal government	4	12.1%
4	He-s for Canada	3	9.1%
5	Always vote Liberal	13	39.4%
6	Like Trudeau	5	15.2%
7	Give him a chance	1	3.0%
8	Progressive (Trudeau), modern	1	3.0%
9	All other misc responses (tabb	4	12.1%
0	Don-t know	967	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q17B3B: Same as Q17B2B</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=967 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Don-t like Trudeau	0	
2	Country need a change	2	6.1%
3	I-m not a Liberal	4	12.1%
4	I-d vote NDP	3	9.1%
5	Don-t like Trudeau policies	13	39.4%
6	Does not represent the people	5	15.2%
7	Trudeau- Not right for the job	1	3.0%
8	Trudeau-not representing all	1	3.0%
9	All other misc responses (tabb	4	12.1%
0	Don-t know	967	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># Q17A4A: Same as Q17A2A</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=999 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Doing a good job	0	
2	Best of men leading the party	0	
3	Like Liberal government	0	
4	He-s for Canada	0	
5	Always vote Liberal	0	
6	Like Trudeau	1	100.0%
7	Give him a chance	0	
8	Progressive (Trudeau), modern	0	
9	All other misc responses (tabb	0	
0	Don-t know	999	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q17B4B: Same as Q17B2B</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=999 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Don-t like Trudeau	0	
2	Country need a change	0	
3	I-m not a Liberal	0	
4	I-d vote NDP	0	
5	Don-t like Trudeau policies	0	
6	Does not represent the people	1	100.0%
7	Trudeau- Not right for the job	0	
8	Trudeau-not representing all	0	
9	All other misc responses (tabb	0	
0	Don-t know	999	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q17A5A: Same as Q17A2A</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Nothing better to vote for	933	93.3%
1	Don-t like Stansfield	17	1.7%
2	Wouldn-t vote P.C.	6	0.6%
3	Satisfied, should not change	9	0.9%
4	Other parties cannot do better	24	2.4%
5		4	0.4%
6		3	0.3%
7		3	0.3%
8		1	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

**# Q17B5B: Same as Q17B2B****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not satisfied,could do better	933	93.3%
1	Depends on candidate running	17	1.7%
2	Vote for Stanfield	6	0.6%
3	Ineffective party (Liberals)	9	0.9%
4	Unemployment (Trudeau)	24	2.4%
5	Doesn-t keep promises(Trudeau)	4	0.4%
6	Economic situation (party)	3	0.3%
7	Spending too much (party)	3	0.3%
8	I-m Social Credit	1	0.1%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q17A6A: Same as Q17A2A****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nothing better to vote for	998	99.8%
1	Don-t like Stansfield	0	
2	Wouldn-t vote P.C.	1	0.1%
3	Satisfied, should not change	0	
4	Other parties cannot do better	0	
6		1	0.1%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q17B6B: Same as Q17B2B****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not satisfied,could do better	998	99.8%
1	Depends on candidate running	0	
2	Vote for Stanfield	1	0.1%
3	Ineffective party (Liberals)	0	
4	Unemployment (Trudeau)	0	
5	Doesn-t keep promises(Trudeau)	0	
6	Economic situation (party)	1	0.1%
7	Spending too much (party)	0	
8	I-m Social Credit	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# Q25A1A: If QA25/QB25 = PC/Stanfield, WHY ?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\* /0]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=857 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Would do a better job	190	22.2%

### # Q25A1A: If QA25/QB25 = PC/Stanfield, WHY ?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Time for a change	198	23.1%
3	Stanfield is best leader	146	17.0%
4	Supported them in past	139	16.2%
5	I like the Conservative party	74	8.6%
6	Don-t want Trudeau	26	3.0%
7		36	4.2%
8		8	0.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	40	4.7%
0	Don-t know	143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q25A2A: Same as Q25a1a

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Would do a better job	0	
2	Time for a change	10	15.6%
3	Stanfield is best leader	9	14.1%
4	Supported them in past	17	26.6%
5	I like the Conservative party	12	18.8%
6	Don-t want Trudeau	4	6.2%
7		8	12.5%
8		1	1.6%
9	All others (tabbed)	3	4.7%
0	Don-t know	936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q25B1B: If QA25/QB25 = Liberal/Trudeau, WHY ?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=857 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good party	190	22.2%
2	Trudeau,good leader,best qualif	198	23.1%
3	Like way they handle things	146	17.0%
4	Always voted liberal	139	16.2%
5	Do the most for the country	74	8.6%
6	Need time, time to finish work	26	3.0%
7	Like Liberal government	36	4.2%
8	Experienced	8	0.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	40	4.7%
0	Don-t know	143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q25B2B: Same as Q25b1b

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]

### # Q25B2B: Same as Q25b1b

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good party	0	
2	Trudeau,good leader,best qualif	10	15.6%
3	Like way they handle things	9	14.1%
4	Always voted liberal	17	26.6%
5	Do the most for the country	12	18.8%
6	Need time, time to finish work	4	6.2%
7	Like Liberal government	8	12.5%
8	Experienced	1	1.6%
9	All others (tabbed)	3	4.7%
0	Don-t know	936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q25C1C: If QA25/QB25 = NDP/Lewis, WHY ?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=857 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Like their policies	190	22.2%
2	New party	198	23.1%
3	Need a change	146	17.0%
4	Lewis, good leader, capable	139	16.2%
5	More for the working man	74	8.6%
6	Best party	26	3.0%
7	Would do a good job	36	4.2%
8	Always voted NDP	8	0.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	40	4.7%
0	Don-t know	143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q25C2C: Same as Q25c1c

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Like their policies	0	
2	New party	10	15.6%
3	Need a change	9	14.1%
4	Lewis, good leader, capable	17	26.6%
5	More for the working man	12	18.8%
6	Best party	4	6.2%
7	Would do a good job	8	12.5%
8	Always voted NDP	1	1.6%
9	All others (tabbed)	3	4.7%
0	Don-t know	936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # Q25D1D: IF QA25/QB25 = Social Credit/Caouette, WHY ?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]
--------------------	---

**# Q25D1D: IF QA25/QB25 = Social Credit/Caouette, WHY ?**

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=857 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always voted Social Credit	190	22.2%
2	Do a good job	198	23.1%
3	Give them a chance	146	17.0%
4	Have a lot of good ideas	139	16.2%
5	Work for the people	74	8.6%
6		26	3.0%
7		36	4.2%
8		8	0.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	40	4.7%
0	Don-t know	143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q25D2D: Same as Q25d1d**

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always voted Social Credit	0	
2	Do a good job	10	15.6%
3	Give them a chance	9	14.1%
4	Have a lot of good ideas	17	26.6%
5	Work for the people	12	18.8%
6		4	6.2%
7		8	12.5%
8		1	1.6%
9	All others (tabbed)	3	4.7%
0	Don-t know	936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# Q25E1E: If QA25/QB25 = don-t know, WHY ?**

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*/0]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=857 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Depend on man running	190	22.2%
2	Look at issues first	198	23.1%
3	Don-t like any of them	146	17.0%
4	Haven-t decided yet	139	16.2%
5	Personal business	74	8.6%
6		26	3.0%
7		36	4.2%
8		8	0.9%
9	All others (tabbed)	40	4.7%
0	No answer	143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># Q25E2E: Same as Q25e1e</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=* /0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Depend on man running	0	
2	Look at issues first	10	15.6%
3	Don-t like any of them	9	14.1%
4	Haven-t decided yet	17	26.6%
5	Personal business	12	18.8%
6		4	6.2%
7		8	12.5%
8		1	1.6%
9	All others (tabbed)	3	4.7%
0	No answer	936	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q34A1A: Belong to a labor union ?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=968 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	None	685	70.8%
2	Respondent	121	12.5%
3	Other member of family	162	16.7%
0	Refused	32	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q34A2A: Same as Q34a1a</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=7 /-] [Invalid=993 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	None	0	
2	Respondent	0	
3	Other member of family	7	100.0%
0	Refused	993	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q35A1A: What is the main language spoken by the household?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=997 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	English	732	73.4%
2	French	221	22.2%
3	Other	44	4.4%
0	Refused	3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q35A2A: Same as Q35a1a</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]	

**# Q35A2A: Same as Q35a1a**

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26 /-] [Invalid=974 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	0	
2	French	17	65.4%
3	Other	9	34.6%
0	Refused	974	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q35A3A: Same as Q35a1a**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]
--------------------	---

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=998 /-]
---------------------------	-------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	0	
2	French	0	
3	Other	2	100.0%
0	Refused	998	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q35B1B: What is the main language spoken by you?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]
--------------------	---

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=989 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	724	73.2%
2	French	223	22.5%
3	Other	42	4.2%
0	Refused	11	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q35B2B: Same as Q35b1b**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]
--------------------	---

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31 /-] [Invalid=969 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	0	
2	French	14	45.2%
3	Other	17	54.8%
0	Refused	969	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# Q35B3B: Same as Q35b1b**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=* /0]
--------------------	---

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=999 /-]
---------------------------	-------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	0	
2	French	0	
3	Other	1	100.0%
0	Refused	999	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># Q36A1A: What is your national origin?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=* /0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=996 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	English-Canadian	521	52.3%
2	French-Canadian	244	24.5%
3	Foreign born	158	15.9%
4	Indian/Eskimo	3	0.3%
5	Other	70	7.0%
0	Refused	4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># Q36A2A: Same as Q36a1a</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=* /0]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=994 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	English-Canadian	0	
2	French-Canadian	1	16.7%
3	Foreign born	2	33.3%
4	Indian/Eskimo	1	16.7%
5	Other	2	33.3%
0	Refused	994	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># CARDA2A: Card number (card 2)</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-2] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2		1000	100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># JOBA2A: Job number (card 2)</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2643-2643] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2643		1000	100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			