# Learning Structure-Aware Representations of Dependent Types

Konstantinos Kogkalidis Orestis Melkonian Jean-Philippe Bernardy

# **Background**

**Context**. Dependent type theories are formal languages used for defining mathematical objects and reasoning about their properties. Dependentlytyped programming languages equate proofs with programs, facilitating theorem proving and formal verification. Here's a tiny program in **Agda**, proving that the addition of naturals is commutative:

open import Relation.Binary.PropositionalEquality using ( $\equiv$   $\equiv$   $\equiv$ ; refl; cong; trans)

```
data N: Set where
     zero \tNsuc : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \text{\ }\\[-1mm] \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \beginzero +n = nsuc m + n = suc (m + n)+-comm : (m n \cdot N) \rightarrow m + n \equiv n + m+-comm zero \qquad zero \qquad = refl
 +-comm zero (\text{succ } n) = \text{cong } \text{succ } (+\text{-comm zero } n)+-comm (suc m) zero = cong suc (+-comm m zero)
+-comm (suc m) (suc n) = cong suc (trans (+-suc m n) (+-comm (suc m) n))
    where +-suc : \forall m \; n \rightarrow m + suc n \equiv suc (m + n)+-suc zero n = refl
                  +-suc (suc m) n = cong suc (+-suc m n)
```
**Remark 1.** Look at all the colors! **Remark 2.** Is proving  $(m + n \equiv n + m)$  any different to  $(x + y \equiv y + x)$ ?

**Motivation**. If dependently-typed programs are proofs, and representing programs is essential to automating program synthesis, then *representing dependently-typed programs* is key to *automated theorem proving* (ATP).

We use Agda's type-checker to find *all possible holes* in all written proofs. For each hole, we record the *goal type* (the type of the hole) and the *typing context* (all proven premises currently available). Ground truth corresponds to a selection (and arrangement) of the context (how to fill the hole).

**Remark 4.** Correct **premise selection** goes a long way towards ATP.

Two major issues in the literature:

- **Resource Uniformity.** Many ATP models/resources/interfaces for Coq, Lean. *None for Agda*.
- **No Structural Fidelity.** Most ATP resources/frameworks today treat proofs as glorified text. *Gone are all the colors. Names suddenly matter.*

## **Contributions (tl;dr)**

```
• Machine Learning for Agda.
 We develop a package to faithfully extract the skeleton structure of
 dependently-typed program-proofs from type-checked Agda files. We
 apply the algorithm on Agda's public library ecosystem and release the
 result as a massive, highly elaborated ATP dataset.
```

```
• Representation Learning for Dependent Types.
  Capitalizing on this new resource, we present a representation learning
 model for expressions involving dependent types. Contra prior work,
  the model is structure-faithful, being invariant to \alpha-renaming, superficial
 syntactic sugaring, scope permutation, irrelevant definitions, etc.
```
### **Dataset**

**Problem Generation**. Left-to-right language modeling assumes proving is a linear process. Truth begs to differ; the statement below is valid syntax:

 $+$ -comm zero (suc  $n$ ) =  $\{!!\}$ 

**Remark 3.** Proofs can have *holes*: unfilled parts deferred for later.

We export the extracted problems not *only* as **strings**, but *also* as **structures**. The export preserves and specifies all type information available to the checker, including **references** and **token structure at the subtype level**.

Post-tokenization, this is what the *types* of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $+$  and  $+$ -comm look like:



**Remark 5.** Note the AST and referencing structures.  $\mathbf{\hat{a}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{a}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{a}}$ **Remark 6.** Contrast with the tokenization of GPT-4o below.

 $\boxed{\text{data} \boxed{\text{N} : \text{Set}} \text{{-}newline\text{-}} - + \boxed{\cdot \boxed{\text{N}} \rightarrow \boxed{\text{N}} \text{{-}newline\text{-}} + \text{comm} : \boxed{\left(\boxed{\text{m} \boxed{\text{n}} : \boxed{\text{N}}\right) \rightarrow \boxed{\text{m} + \boxed{\text{n}}} \equiv \boxed{\text{n} + \boxed{\text{m}}} \text{{-}newline\text{-}} }$ 



# **Representation Learning**

We build representations for lemmas and holes on the basis of their types.

**Architecture.** We use a fully-attentive bidirectional Transformer encoder, where full attention is restricted to tokens within the same type, augmented with various representational adjustments.

- 1. **Tree PE**. We use positional encodings that employ an inductive parameterization of the group structure of binary branching trees. These relieve the model from having to "parse" the type's symbolic sequentialization.
- 2. **Variable Binding.** We resolve nominal indexing, and represent variable references by the representation of the reference's path relative to the binder.
- 3. **Scope Referencing.** We organize lemmas into a poset according to their dependency levels. We then build representations in dependency-sorted minibatches, and represent lemma references by the representations of their referents. (here:  $N < + < +$ -comm)
- 4. **Efficient Attention.** We use linear attention combined with a Taylorapproximation of the exponential map to efficiently avoid the quadratic explosion – without losing expressivity.

**Training.** We train with infoNCE in a premise selection setup using a subset of Agda's standard library, and evaluate in proximal and distant domains.



**Remark 7.** Structural adjustments » architectural adjustments.

#### **Learn more**

For more details, take a look at:

- [agda.readthedocs.io](https://agda.readthedocs.io) for an intro to Agda
- [github.com/omelkonian/agda2train](https://github.com/omelkonian/agda2train) for the proof extraction code
- [github.com/konstantinosKokos/quill](https://github.com/konstantinosKokos/quill) for the Python interface and neural engine
- [arxiv.org/abs/2402.02104](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.02104) for prose, figures, tables with numbers, etc.





**Funded by** the European Union