# Kinship and pedigree analysis: Methods and applications

Magnus Dehli Vigeland and Thore Egeland

# Solutions for exercise set VI: Pedigree reconstruction

library(pedsuite)
library(pedbuildr)

#### Exercise VI-1

- a) 100 markers, all SNPs with alleles 1 and 2. The genotype of the 1st person for the 3rd marker is 1/1.
- b) R code given in the exercise.
- c) The pairwise estimates below show that 2 and 3 are parent-child (in some order), and that 1 and 2 most likely are full siblings. The relationship between 1 and 3 is more distant.



d) Assume that 1 and 2 are full siblings. Since 3 has a father/son-relationship with 2, but *not* with 1, the only possibility is that 3 is the son of 2. This implies that 3 is the nephew of 1, which is compatible with their point in the triangle above. Thus we are left with this pedigree:



## Exercise VI-2

a) The most likely pedigree is the same as we deduced by hand, ca 156 times more likely than the next.



b) Note the combination of knownPO and age to indicate that 2 is the father of 3:

r2 = reconstruct(x, extra = 3, knownPO = list(2:3), age = "2 > 3")plot(r2, top = 6)



The winner is the same as before, but several new (rather esoteric) runners-up are included.

c) They are simultaneous half-avuncular and half first cousins:

```
verbalise(r2[[2]], ids = c(1,3))
## Half-avuncular: 1 is a half-uncle of 3
## 1-[e1]-2-3
## Half first cousins
## 1-e2-[e3]-2-3
```

## Exercise VI-3

a) 24 markers, as reported by summary():

```
x = readPed("data/reconstruct-fathers.ped")
x = setFreqDatabase(x, "data/reconstruct-fathers.freq")
```

summary(x)

```
## List of 5 singletons.
## Labels: 1 (female), 2 (female), 3 (male), 4 (male), 5 (male).
## 24 attached markers.
```

b) Here is one way to do it:

```
r = reconstruct(x, noChildren = 3:5, knownPO = list(c(1,3), c(2,4), c(2,5)))
```

c) All three children have the same father! Indeed, this is supported by both the two most likely pedigrees:

plot(r, top = 6)

