## Principal Components Analysis

Topics:

- Reference: F Murtagh and A Heck, Multivariate Data Analysis, Kluwer, 1987.
- Preliminary example: globular clusters.
- Data, space, metric, projection, eigenvalues and eigenvectors, dual spaces, linear combinations.
- Practical aspects - nonlinear terms, standardization, list of objectives, procedure followed.
- Image multiband compression, "eigen-faces".
- Software: http://astro.u-strasbg.fr/~fmurtagh/mda-sw


## Example: analysis of globular clusters

- M. Capaccioli, S. Ortolani and G. Piotto, "Empirical correlation between globular cluster parameters and mass function morphology", AA, 244, 298-302, 1991.
- 14 globular clusters, 8 measurement variables.
- Data collected in earlier CCD (digital detector) photometry studies.
- Pairwise plots of the variables.
- PCA of the variables.
- PCA of the objects (globular clusters).

| Object | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t_rlx } \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Rgc <br> Kpc | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Zg} \\ \mathrm{Kpc} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \log (\mathrm{M} / \\ \mathrm{M} .) \end{gathered}$ | c | [ $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}$ ] | x | x 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M15 | $1.03 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 10.4 | 4.5 | 5.95 | 2.54 | -2.15 | 2.5 | 1.4 |
| M68 | $2.59 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 10.1 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 1.6 | -2.09 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| M13 | $2.91 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 8.9 | 4.6 | 5.82 | 1.35 | -1.65 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| M3 | $3.22 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 12.6 | 10.2 | 5.94 | 1.85 | -1.66 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| M5 | $2.21 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 6.6 | 5.5 | 5.91 | 1.4 | -1.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| M4 | $1.12 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 6.8 | 0.6 | 5.15 | 1.7 | -1.28 | -0.5 | -0.7 |
| 47 Tuc | $1.02 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 8.1 | 3.2 | 6.06 | 2.03 | -0.71 | 0.2 | -0.1 |
| M30 | $1.18 \mathrm{e}+7$ | 7.2 | 5.3 | 5.18 | 2.5 | -2.19 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| NGC 6397 | $1.59 \mathrm{e}+7$ | 6.9 | 0.5 | 4.77 | 1.63 | -2.2 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| M92 | $7.79 \mathrm{e}+7$ | 9.8 | 4.4 | 5.62 | 1.7 | -2.24 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| M12 | $3.26 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 5.0 | 2.3 | 5.39 | 1.7 | -1.61 | -0.4 | -0.4 |
| NGC 6752 | $8.86 \mathrm{e}+7$ | 5.9 | 1.8 | 5.33 | 1.59 | -1.54 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| M10 | $1.50 \mathrm{e}+8$ | 5.3 | 1.8 | 5.39 | 1.6 | -1.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| M71 | $8.14 \mathrm{e}+7$ | 7.4 | 0.3 | 4.98 | 1.5 | -0.58 | -0.4 | -0.4 |






## Data

- Matrix $X$ defines a set of $n$ vectors in $m$-dimensional space: $x_{i}=\left\{x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i m}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- We have: $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$
- Matrix $X$ also defines a set of $m$ column vectors in $n$-dimensional space: $x_{j}=\left\{x_{1 j}, x_{2 j}, \ldots, x_{n j}\right\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.
- We have: $x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
- By convention we usually take the space of row points, i.e. $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, as $X$; and the space of column points, i.e. $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, as the transpose of $X$, i.e. $X^{\prime}$ or $X^{t}$.
- The row points define a cloud of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.
- The column points define a cloud of $m$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.


## Metrics

- The notion of distance is crucial, since we want to investigate relationships between observations and/or variables.
- Recall: $x=\{3,4,1,2\}, y=\{1,3,0,1\}$, then: scalar product $\langle x, y\rangle=\langle y, x\rangle=x^{\prime} y=x y^{\prime}=3 \times 1+4 \times 3+1 \times 0+2 \times 1$.
- Euclidean norm: $\|x\|^{2}=3 \times 3+4 \times 4+1 \times 1+2 \times 2$.
- Euclidean distance: $d(x, y)=\|x-y\|$. The squared Euclidean distance is: $3-1+4-3+1-0+2-1$
- Orthogonality: $x$ is orthogonal to $y$ if $\langle x, y\rangle=0$.
- Distance is symmetric $(d(x, y)=d(y, x))$, positive $(d(x, y) \geq 0)$, and definite $(d(x, y)=0 \Longrightarrow x=y)$.


## Metrics (cont'd.)

- Any symmetric, positive, definite matrix $M$ defines a generalized Euclidean space. Scalar product is $\langle x, y\rangle_{M}=x^{\prime} M y$, norm is $\|x\|^{2}=x^{\prime} M x$, and Euclidean distance is $d(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{M}$.
- Classical case: $M=I_{n}$, the identity matrix.
- Normalization to unit variance: $M$ is diagonal matrix with $i$ th diagonal term $1 / \sigma_{i}^{2}$.
- Mahalanobis distance: $M$ is inverse variance-covariance matrix.
- Next topic: Scalar product defines orthogonal projection.


## Metrics (cont'd.)

- Projected value, projection, coordinate: $x_{1}=\left(x^{\prime} M u / u^{\prime} M u\right) u$. Here $x_{1}$ and $u$ are both vectors.
- Norm of vector $x_{1}=\left(x^{\prime} M u / u^{\prime} M u\right)\|u\|=\left(x^{\prime} M u\right) /\|u\|$.
- The quantity $\left(x^{\prime} M u\right) /(\|x\|\|u\|)$ can be interpreted as the cosine of the angle $a$ between vectors $x$ and $u$.



## Least Squares Optimal Projection of Points

- Plot of 3 points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (see following slides).
- PCA: determine best fitting axes.
- Examples follow.
- Note: optimization means either (i) closest axis to points, or (ii) maximum elongation of projections of points on the axis.
- This follows from Pythagoras's theorem: $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$. Call $z$ the distance from the origin to a point. Let $x$ be the distance of the projection of the point from the origin. Then $y$ is the perpendicular distance from the axis to to the point.
- Minimizing $y$ is the same as maximizing $x$ (because $z$ is fixed).


## Examples of Optimal Projection <br> $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5\end{array}\right)$






## Questions We Will Now Address

- How is the PCA of an $n \times m$ matrix related to the PCA of the transposed $m \times n$ matrix ?
- How may the new axes derived - the principal components - be said to be linear combinations of the original axes?
- How may PCA be understood as a series expansion?
- In what sense does PCA provide a lower-dimensional approximation to the original data?


## PCA Algorithm

- The projection of vector $\mathbf{x}$ onto axis $\mathbf{u}$ is $\mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} M \mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|_{M}} \mathbf{u}$
- I.e. the coordinate of the projection on the axis is $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} M \mathbf{u} /\|\mathbf{u}\|_{M}$.
- This becomes $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} M \mathbf{u}$ when the vector $\mathbf{u}$ is of unit length.
- The cosine of the angle between vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in the usual Euclidean space is $x^{\prime} \mathbf{y} /\|\mathrm{x}\|\|\mathrm{y}\|$.
- That is to say, we make use of the triangle whose vertices are the origin, the projection of $\mathbf{x}$ onto $\mathbf{y}$, and vector $\mathbf{x}$.
- The cosine of the angle between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ is then the coordinate of the projection of $\mathbf{x}$ onto $\mathbf{y}$, divided by the - hypotenuse - length of $\mathbf{x}$.
- The correlation coefficient between two vectors is then simply the cosine of the angle between them, when the vectors have first been centred (i.e. $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{g}$ are used, where $\mathbf{g}$ is the overall centre of gravity.


## PCA Algorithm 2

- $X=\left\{x_{i j}\right\}$
- In $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, the space of objects, PCA searches for the best-fitting set of orthogonal axes to replace the initially-given set of $m$ axes in this space.
- An analogous procedure is simultaneously carried out for the dual space, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
- First, the axis which best fits the objects/points in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is determined.
- If $\mathbf{u}$ is this vector, and is of unit length, then the product $X \mathbf{u}$ of $n \times m$ matrix by $m \times 1$ vector gives the projections of the $n$ objects onto this axis.
- The sum of squared projections of points on the new axis, for all points, is $(X \mathbf{u})^{\prime}(X \mathbf{u})$.
- Such a quadratic form would increase indefinitely if $\mathbf{u}$ were arbitrarily large, so $\mathbf{u}$ is taken to be of unit length, i.e. $\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}=1$.
- We seek a maximum of the quadratic form $\mathbf{u}^{\prime} S \mathbf{u}$ (where $S=X^{\prime} X$ ) subject to
the constraint that $\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}=1$.
- This is done by setting the derivative of the Lagrangian equal to zero.
- Differentiation of $\mathbf{u}^{\prime} S \mathbf{u}-\lambda\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}-1\right)$ where $\lambda$ is a Lagrange multiplier gives $2 S \mathbf{u}-2 \lambda \mathbf{u}$.
- The optimal value of $\mathbf{u}$ (let us call it $\mathbf{u}_{1}$ ) is the solution of $S \mathbf{u}=\lambda \mathbf{u}$.
- The solution of this equation is well-known: $\mathbf{u}$ is the eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda$ of matrix $S$.
- Therefore the eigenvector of $X^{\prime} X, \mathbf{u}_{1}$, is the axis sought, and the corresponding largest eigenvalue, $\lambda_{1}$, is a figure of merit for the axis, - it indicates the amount of variance explained by the axis.
- The second axis is to be orthogonal to the first, i.e. $\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}=0$.
- The second axis satisfies the equation
$\mathbf{u}^{\prime} X^{\prime} X \mathbf{u}-\lambda_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}-1\right)-\mu_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}\right)$ where $\lambda_{2}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are Lagrange multipliers.
- Differentiating gives $2 S \mathbf{u}-2 \lambda_{2} \mathbf{u}-\mu_{2} \mathbf{u}_{1}$.
- This term is set equal to zero. Multiplying across by $\mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime}$ implies that $\mu_{2}$ must equal 0 .
- Therefore the optimal value of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{2}$, arises as another solution of $S \mathbf{u}=\lambda \mathbf{u}$.
- Thus $\lambda_{2}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{2}$ are the second largest eigenvalue and associated eigenvector of $S$.
- The eigenvectors of $S=X^{\prime} X$, arranged in decreasing order of corresponding eigenvalues, give the line of best fit to the cloud of points, the plane of best fit, the three-dimensional hyperplane of best fit, and so on for higher-dimensional subspaces of best fit.
- $X^{\prime} X$ is referred to as the sums of squares and cross products matrix.


## Eigenvalues

- Eigenvalues are decreasing in value.
- $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i^{\prime}}$ ? Then equally privileged directions of elongation have been found.
- $\lambda_{i}=0$ ? Space is actually of dimensionality less than expected. Example: in 3D, points actually lie on a plane.
- Since PCA in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ lead respectively to the finding of $n$ and of $m$ eigenvalues, and since in addition it has been seen that these eigenvalues are identical, it follows that the number of non-zero eigenvalues obtained in either space is less than or equal to $\min (n, m)$.
- The eigenvectors associated with the $p$ largest eigenvalues yield the best-fitting $p$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. A measure of the approximation is the percentage of variance explained by the subspace $\sum_{k \leq p} \lambda_{k} / \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}$ expressed as a percentage.


## Dual Spaces

- In the dual space of attributes, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, a PCA may equally well be carried out.
- For the line of best fit, $\mathbf{v}$, the following is maximized: $\left(X^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right)^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right)$ subject to $\mathrm{v}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}=1$.
- In $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ we arrived at $X^{\prime} X \mathbf{u}_{1}=\lambda_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}$.
- In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have $X X^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{1}=\mu_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}$.
- Premultiplying the first of these relationships by $X$ yields $\left(X X^{\prime}\right)\left(X \mathbf{u}_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(X \mathbf{u}_{1}\right)$.
- Hence $\lambda_{1}=\mu_{1}$ because we have now arrived at two eigenvalue equations which are identical in form.
- Relationship between the eigenvectors in the two spaces: these must be of unit length.
- Find: $\mathbf{v}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}} X \mathbf{u}_{1}$.
- $\lambda>0$ since if $\lambda=0$ eigenvectors are not defined.
- For $\lambda_{k}: \mathbf{v}_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}} X \mathbf{u}_{k}$
- And: $\mathbf{u}_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}} X^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{k}$
- Taking $X \mathbf{u}_{k}=\sqrt{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{v}_{k}$, postmultiplying by $\mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}$, and summing gives:
$X \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{v}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}$.
- LHS gives the identity matrix (due to orthogonality of eigenvectors). Hence:
- $X=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{v}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}$
- This is termed: Karhunen-Loève expansion or transform.
- We can approximate the data, $X$, by choosing some eigenvalues/vectors only.


## Linear Combinations

- The variance of the projections on a given axis in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is given by $(X \mathbf{u})^{\prime}(X \mathbf{u})$, which by the eigenvector equation, is seen to equal $\lambda$.
- In some software packages, the eigenvectors are rescaled so that $\sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{u}$ and $\sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{v}$ are used instead of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$. In this case, the factor $\sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{u}$ gives the new, rescaled projections of the points in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (i.e. $\sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{u}=X^{\prime} \mathbf{v}$ ).
- The coordinates of the new axes can be written in terms of the old coordinate system. Since $\mathbf{u}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} X^{\prime} \mathbf{v}$ each coordinate of the new vector $\mathbf{u}$ is defined as a linear combination of the initially-given vectors:
$u_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} v_{i} x_{i j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i j}\left(\right.$ where $i \leq j \leq m$ and $x_{i j}$ is the $(i, j)^{t h}$ element of matrix $X$ ).
- Thus the $j^{\text {th }}$ coordinate of the new vector is a synthetic value formed from the $j^{t h}$ coordinates of the given vectors (i.e. $x_{i j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).


## Finding Linear Combinations in Practice

- Say $\lambda_{k}=0$.
- Then $X \mathbf{u}=\lambda \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$
- Hence: $\sum_{j} u_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j}=0$
- This allows redundancy in the form of linear combinations to be found.
- PCA is a linear transformation analysis method.
- But let's say we have three variables, $y_{1}, y_{2}$, and $y_{3}$.
- We would also input the variables $y_{1}^{2}, y_{2}^{2}, y_{3}^{2}, y_{1} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{3}$, and $y_{2} y_{3}$.
- If the linear combination $y_{1}=c_{1} y_{2}^{2}+c_{2} y_{1} y_{2}$ exists, then we would find it using PCA.
- Similarly we could feed in the logarithms or other functions of variables.


## Finding Linear Combinations: Example

Thirty objects were used, and 5 variables defined as followsq.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1 j}=-1.4,-1.3, \ldots, 1.5 \\
& y_{2 j}=2.0-y_{1 j}^{2} \\
& y_{3 j}=y_{1 j}^{2} \\
& y_{4 j}=y_{2 j}^{2} \\
& y_{5 j}=y_{1 j} y_{2 j} \\
& \text { COVARIANCE MATRIX FOLLOWS. } \\
& 22.4750 \\
& \text {-2.2475 13.6498 } \\
& 2.2475-13.6498 \quad 13.6498 \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
-2.9262 & 28.0250 & -28.0250 \quad 62.2917
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllll}
14.5189 & 0.5619 & -0.5619 & 0.7316 & 17.3709
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finding Linear Combinations: Example

EIGENVALUES FOLLOW.

| Eigenvalues | As Percentages | Cumul. Percentages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88.3852 | 68.2842 | 68.2842 |
| 34.5579 | 26.6985 | 94.9828 |
| 5.2437 | 4.0512 | 99.0339 |
| 1.2505 | 0.9661 | 100.0000 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 100.0000 |

The fifth eigenvalue is zero.

## Finding Linear Combinations: Example

## EIGENVECTORS FOLLOW.

| VBLE. | EV-1 | EV-2 | EV-3 | EV-4 | EV-5 |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ |
| 1 | -0.0630 | 0.7617 | 0.6242 | -0.1620 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.3857 | 0.0067 | -0.1198 | -0.5803 | 0.7071 |
| 3 | -0.3857 | -0.0067 | 0.1198 | 0.5803 | 0.7071 |
| 4 | 0.8357 | 0.0499 | 0.1593 | 0.5232 | 0.0000 |
| 5 | 0.0018 | 0.6460 | -0.7458 | 0.1627 | 0.0000 |

Since we know that the eigenvectors are centred, we have the equation: $0.7071 \mathbf{y}_{2}+0.7071 \mathbf{y}_{3}=0.0$

## Normalization or Standardization

- Let $r_{i j}$ be the original measurements.
- Then define: $x_{i j}=\frac{r_{i j}-\bar{r}_{j}}{s_{j} \sqrt{n}}$
- $\bar{r}_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i j}$
- $s_{j}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{i j}-\bar{r}_{j}\right)^{2}$
- Then te matrix to be diagonalized, $X^{\prime} X$, is of $(j, k)^{t h}$ term:
$\rho_{j k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} x_{i k}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{i j}-\bar{r}_{j}\right)\left(r_{i k}-\bar{r}_{k}\right) / s_{j} s_{k}$
- This is the correlation coefficient between variables $j$ and $k$.
- Have distance
$d^{2}(j, k)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i j}-x_{i k}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i k}^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} x_{i k}$
- First two terms both yield 1. Hence:
- $d^{2}(j, k)=2\left(1-\rho_{j k}\right)$
- Thus the distance between variables is directly proportional to the correlation between them.
- For row points (objects, observations):

$$
d^{2}(i, h)=\sum_{j}\left(x_{i j}-x_{h j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j}\left(\frac{r_{i j}-r_{h j}}{\sqrt{n} s_{j}}\right)^{2}=\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{h}\right)^{\prime} M\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{h}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{r}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{h}$ are column vectors (of dimensions $m \times 1$ ) and $M$ is the $m \times m$ diagonal matrix of $j^{\text {th }}$ element $1 / n s_{j}^{2}$.
- Therefore $d$ is a Euclidean distance associated with matrix $M$.
- Note that the row points are now centred but the column points are not: therefore the latter may well appear in one quadrant on output listings.


## Implications of Standardization

- Analysis of the matrix of $(j, k)^{t h}$ term $\rho_{j k}$ as defined above is PCA on a correlation matrix.
- The row vectors are centred and reduced.
- Centring alone used, and not the rescaling of the variance: matrix of $(j, k)^{t h}$ term $c_{j k}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{i j}-\bar{r}_{j}\right)\left(r_{i k}-\bar{r}_{k}\right)$
- In this case we have PCA of the variance-covariance matrix.
- If we use no normalization, we have PCA of the sums of squares and cross-products matrix. That was what we used to begin with.
- Usually it is best to carry out analysis on correlations.


## Iterative Solution of Eigenvalue Equations

- Solve: $A \mathbf{u}=\lambda \mathbf{u}$
- Choose some trial vector, $\mathbf{t}_{0}$ : e.g. $(1,1, \ldots, 1)$.
- Then define $\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}, \ldots$ :
$A \mathbf{t}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{0} \quad \mathbf{t}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{0} / \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{0}}$
- $A \mathbf{t}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{1} \quad \mathbf{t}_{2}=\mathbf{x}_{1} / \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{1}}$
$A \mathbf{t}_{2}=\mathrm{x}_{2} \quad \mathrm{t}_{3}=\ldots$
- Halt when there is convergence.
- $\left|\mathbf{t}_{n}-\mathbf{t}_{n+1}\right| \leq \epsilon$
- At convergence, $\mathbf{t}_{n}=\mathbf{t}_{n+1}$
- Hence: $A \mathbf{t}_{n}=\mathbf{x}_{n}$
- $\mathbf{t}_{n+1}=\mathbf{x}_{n} / \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{n}}$.
- Substituting for $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ in the first of these two equations gives:
- $A \mathbf{t}_{n}=\sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{n}} \mathbf{t}_{n+1}$.
- Hence $\mathbf{t}_{n}=\mathbf{t}_{n+1}, \mathbf{t}_{n}$ is the eigenvector, and the associated eigenvalue is $\sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{n}}$.
- The second eigenvector and associated eigenvalue may be found by carrying out a similar iterative algorithm on a matrix where the effects of $\mathbf{u}_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ have been partialled out:
- $A_{(2)}=A-\lambda_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime}$.
- Let us prove that $A_{(2)}$ removes the effects due to the first eigenvector and eigenvalue.
- We have $A \mathbf{u}=\lambda \mathbf{u}$.
- Therefore $A \mathbf{u u}^{\prime}=\lambda \mathbf{u u}^{\prime}$;
- Or equivalently, $A \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}=\lambda_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}$ for each eigenvalue.
- Summing over $k$ gives: $A \sum_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{k} \lambda_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{\prime}$.
- The summed term on the left hand side equals the identity matrix.
- Therefore $A=\lambda_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots$
- From this spectral decomposition of matrix A, we may successively remove the effects of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues as they are obtained.
- See Press et al., Numerical Recipes, Cambridge Univ. Press, for other (better!) algorithms.


## Objectives of PCA

- dimensionality reduction;
- the determining of linear combinations of variables;
- feature selection: the choosing of the most useful variables;
- visualization of multidimensional data;
- identification of underlying variables;
- identification of groups of objects or of outliers.


## Indicative Procedure Followed

- Ignore principal components if the new axes retained explain $>75 \%$ of the variance.
- Look at projections of rows, or columns, in planes $(1,2),(1,3),(2,3)$, etc.
- Projections of correlated variables are close (if we have carried out a PCA on correlations).
- PCA is sometimes motivated by the search for latent variables: i.e. characterization of principal components.
- Highest or lowest projection values may help with this.
- Clusters and outliers can be found using planar projections.


## PCA with Multiband Data

- Consider a set of image bands (from a multiband or multispectral or hyspectral) data set, or frames (from video). Say we have $p$ images, each of dimensions $n \times m$.
- We define the "eigen-images" as follows.
- Each pixel can be considered as associated with a vector of dimension $p$. We can take this as defining a matrix for analysis of number of rows $=n . m$, and number of columns $=p$.
- Carry out a PCA. The row projections define a matrix with $n$. $m$ rows and $p^{\prime}<p$ columns. If we keep just the first eigenvector, then we have a matrix of dimensions $n . m \times 1$.
- Say $n=512, m=512, p=6$. The eigenvalue/vector finding is carried out on a $p \times p$ correlation matrix. Eigenvector/value finding has computational cost $O\left(p^{3}\right)$.
- For just one principal component, $p^{\prime}=1$, convert the matrix of dimensions $n . m \times 1$ back to an image of dimensions $n \times m$ pixels.
- Applications: finding typical or "eigen" face in face recognition; or finding typical or "eigen" galaxy in galaxy morphology.
- What are the conditions for such a procedure to work well?
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