# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Variational noise-contrastive estimation of unnormalised latent variable models

#### Michael Gutmann

<michael.gutmann@ed.ac.uk>

Institute for Adaptive and Neural Computation School of Informatics, University of Edinburgh

11th June 2019

B. Rhodes and M.U. Gutmann Variational Noise-Contrastive Estimation In Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS) 2019 <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v89/rhodes19a>

- Given observed data  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  estimate parameters  $\theta$  of a statistical model  $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$ .
- $\triangleright$  We assume that  $p(x; \theta)$  is not directly available but specified in terms of an unnormalised latent variable model.
- $\triangleright$  Classical example: restricted Boltzmann machine
- $\blacktriangleright$  Importance: such models are highly flexible and widely applicable

### Latent variable models

- In Latent variables  $=$  variables z in the model for which we do not have observed data
- In Latent variable model: we model the joint behaviour of  $(x, z)$ and specify  $p(x, z; \theta)$ , rather than  $p(x; \theta)$ .
- $\triangleright$  Obtain model for the observables x by marginalising out z

$$
p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \, d\mathbf{z}
$$
 (1)

but integral often too expensive to compute/approximate

### Latent variable models are important

- $\triangleright$  Modelling tool: explain structure (dependencies) in observed data in terms of unobserved explanatory factors
	- $\triangleright$  PCA, ICA, factor analysis, HMMs, topic models, variational autoencoders, ...
- $\blacktriangleright$  Probabilistic treatment of missing data
	- $\triangleright$  model missing values **X** as unobserved (latent) random variables

$$
\text{data matrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \dots & \checkmark \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \dots & \checkmark \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \dots & \checkmark \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \dots & \checkmark \end{pmatrix}
$$

### Unnormalised models

 $\blacktriangleright$  Model  $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$  must satisfy for all parameter values  $\theta$ 

$$
\int p(\mathbf{x};\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 1 \tag{2}
$$

- ► Unnormalised models  $\phi(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \propto p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$  do not impose this constraint.
- $\triangleright$  Obtain  $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$  by dividing by the partition function  $Z(\theta)$ .

$$
p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\int \phi(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} \tag{3}
$$

but integral often too expensive to compute/approximate

# Unnormalised models are important

- $\triangleright$  Removing normalisation constraint gives more flexibility in model specification ("energy-based modelling")
- $\blacktriangleright$  Widely used:

 $\blacktriangleright$  ...

- $\blacktriangleright$  Large class of undirected graphical models (e.g. Markov networks) are typically unnormalised.
- $\triangleright$  Unsupervised representation learning (including word and graph embeddings)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Machine translation (e.g. Zoph et al, 2016<sup>1</sup>)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Product recommendation: (e.g. Tschiatschek et al, 2016<sup>2</sup>)

 $^1$ Simple, fast noise-contrastive estimation for large RNN vocabularies

 $^2$ Learning probabilistic submodular diversity models via noise contrastive estimation

### Unnormalised latent variable models

► Unnormalised latent variable models  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$  are latent variable models that are unnormalised

$$
\int \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \, d\mathbf{z} \, d\mathbf{x} = Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \neq 1 \tag{4}
$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  They are important:
	- $\triangleright$  estimation of unnormalised models from data with missing values
	- $\triangleright$  increased modelling flexibility (e.g. latent variable models do not need to satisfy normalisation constraint)

# Can we use maximum likelihood estimation? sometimes

Since model pdf  $p(x; \theta)$  is defined via integrals, (log) likelihood evaluations are expensive/intractable

$$
p(\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\int \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z};\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z}}{\int \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z};\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z} d\mathbf{x}} \quad \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i;\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (5)
$$

► Gradient  $\nabla_{\theta} \ell(\theta)$  can be expressed as

$$
\nabla_{\theta} \ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}_i;\theta)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \phi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}; \theta)] -
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \sim p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \theta)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \theta)] \tag{6}
$$

 $\triangleright$  Enables gradient ascent on the log-likelihood IF computing the expectations (e.g. via sampling) is reasonably efficient.

### Alternative: variational noise-contrastive estimation

(Rhodes and Gutmann, AISTATS, 2019)

- $\triangleright$  New method for learning parameters of unnormalised latent variable models.
- $\triangleright$  Variational theory for noise-contrastive estimation (NCE), which is an estimation framework for unnormalised models.

#### Noise-contrastive estimation (for unnormalised models)

(Gutmann and Hyvärinen, 2010, 2012)

- $\triangleright$  Formulates the estimation problem as a classification problem: observed data vs. auxiliary "noise" (reference data with known properties)
- $\triangleright$  Successful classification  $\equiv$  learn the differences between the data and the noise
- $\triangleright$  differences + known noise properties  $\Rightarrow$  properties of the data

- $\blacktriangleright$  Unsupervised learning by supervised learning
- $\triangleright$  We used (nonlinear) logistic regression for classification



(Gutmann and Hyvärinen, 2010, 2012)

#### $\triangleright$  NCE procedure:

- 1. Choose auxiliary noise distribution  $p_{\mathbf{v}}$
- 2. Generate auxiliary data  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ ,  $y_i \sim p_v$
- 3. Estimate  $\theta$  by maximising

$$
J_{\text{NCE}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta)}{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta) + \nu p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}_i)} + \nu \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \frac{\nu p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}_i)}{\phi(\mathbf{y}_i; \theta) + \nu p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}_i)}
$$
(7)

where  $\nu = m/n$ 

 $\triangleright$  Nonlinear logistic regression (classification) to learn the differences between the observed data  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  and the auxiliary data  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ .

### Noise-contrastive estimation (for unnormalised models)

- lacktriangleright Choice of  $p_v$ :
	- $\triangleright$  simple distributions (e.g. uniform, Gaussian) work surprisingly well
	- $\triangleright$  can be adaptively chosen to make classification harder or we can take the model learned in the previous iteration (Gutmann and Hyvärinen, 2010),  $\rightsquigarrow$  GANs
	- $\triangleright$  can be chosen dependent on the observed data (Ciwan and Gutmann, ICML, 2018)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  ...
- $\triangleright$  NCE has provable convergence guarantees, including MLE as limit for  $\nu \to \infty$

(Gutmann and Hyvärinen, 2012; Riou-Durand and Chopin, 2018)

## NCE for latent variables?

$$
J_{\text{NCE}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta)}{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta) + \nu p_y(\mathbf{x}_i)} + \nu \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \frac{\nu p_y(\mathbf{y}_i)}{\phi(\mathbf{y}_i; \theta) + \nu p_y(\mathbf{y}_i)}
$$

 $\triangleright$  NCE cannot be used for latent variables models  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ . Issue:

$$
\phi(\mathbf{u}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int \phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \, d\mathbf{z}
$$
 (8)

generally intractable

**•** Approach: derive a variational lower bound  $J_{\text{VNEE}}(\theta, q)$  on  $J_{\text{NCE}}(\theta)$  such that

$$
J_{\text{NCE}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{q}} J_{\text{VNCE}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{q}), \qquad (9)
$$

where  $J_{\text{VNCE}}$  is computable and defined in terms of  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \theta)$ .  $\blacktriangleright$  q(z|x) is a variational distribution

# Variational noise-contrastive estimation (VNCE)

(Rhodes and Gutmann, 2019)

- $\triangleright$  (Skipping lots of details) Derivation of the bound based on Jensen's inequality, analogue to but not the same as standard variational inference with the log likelihood.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The variational lower bound is

$$
J_{\text{VNCE}}(\theta, q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q(z|x_i)} \log \left( \frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i, z; \theta)}{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i, z; \theta) + \nu q(z | \mathbf{x}_i) p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}_i)} \right) + \nu \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \left( \frac{\nu p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}_i)}{\nu p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}_i) + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q(z | \mathbf{y}_i)} \left[ \frac{\phi(\mathbf{y}_i, z; \theta)}{q(z | \mathbf{y}_i)} \right]} \right).
$$
(10)

where  $y_i \sim p_v$  as in NCE.

# Variational noise-contrastive estimation (VNCE)

(Rhodes and Gutmann, 2019)

- $\blacktriangleright$  Key properties of VNCE:
	- 1. Parameter estimation for unnormalised latent variable models

$$
\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J_{\text{NCE}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, q} J_{\text{VNCE}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, q)
$$
(11)

2. Posterior estimation: optimal  $q$  is the true posterior

$$
p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \argmax_{q} J_{\text{VNCE}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, q)
$$
 (12)

- $\triangleright$  Results parallel to those for standard variational inference (VI) for normalised models (see paper for details)
- $\triangleright$  Significance: Allows us to apply the tricks and tools from standard VI to the unnormalised setting (e.g. EM algorithm, VAEs, etc)

# Application: Structure learning with missing data

- $\triangleright$  Lin et al. (2016) learn undirected graphs for gene expressions in RNAseq data.
- $\triangleright$  Unnormalised model (truncated normal):

$$
\phi(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{K}, c) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} - c\right) \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{x} \in A), \quad A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \quad (13)
$$

$$
x_i \perp x_j \mid \text{other variables} \iff K_{ij} = 0 \quad (14)
$$

Cannot compute partition function.

- $\triangleright$  Previous work used non-negative Score Matching (Hyvärinen, 2007) to estimate the model. Not applicable to data with missing values.
- $\triangleright$  Data points with missing values were omitted.
- $\triangleright$  With VNCE, we can treat the missing values as latent variables.

# Application: Structure learning with missing data

- Results on synthetic data (20 dimensions,  $n = 1000$  samples) with different fractions of missing data
- $\triangleright$  Graph: ring structure with 10% densely connected nodes (hubs)
- $\triangleright$  Criterion: accuracy of the learned graph in terms of AUC.
- E Learned matrix  $\hat{\mathsf{K}}$  yields a graph:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  If  $\hat{K}_{ij}$  below a threshold, then we predict no edge between  $x_i$  & xj .
	- $\triangleright$  Comparing to ground-truth graph, we obtain a true & false positive rate.
	- $\triangleright$  Varying the threshold yields curve; area under the curve (AUC) is the criterion (1: best, 0: worst)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Comparison:
	- $\triangleright$  mean imputation plus NCE
	- ► generally infeasible MLE-based gold standard  $\nabla_{\theta} \ell(\theta)$  can here be approximated using sampling.

## **Results**

- $\triangleright$  VNCE is significantly better than NCE + fixed imputation  $(\nu = 10)$
- $\triangleright$  Close to a (generally infeasible) MLE-based gold standard.



- <span id="page-19-0"></span> $\triangleright$  Unnormalised latent variable models: what they are and why they are important
- $\triangleright$  Estimation is difficult because of two intractable integrals
	- $\blacktriangleright$  due to the partition function
	- $\blacktriangleright$  due to marginalisation of latent variables.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Reviewed noise-contrastive estimation for unnormalised models (previous work)
	- $\triangleright$  density estimation by classifying between data and noise.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Theory of variational noise-contrastive estimation
	- $\triangleright$  a theory that parallels standard (likelihood-based) variational inference but for unnormalised latent variable models
- $\triangleright$  Application to structure learning from missing data