

MIRP: A Python package for standardised radiomics

Alex Zwanenburg  ^{1,2} and Steffen Löck  ^{2,3,4}

¹ National Center for Tumor Diseases Dresden (NCT/UCC), Germany: German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany; Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), Dresden, Germany ² OncoRay – National Center for Radiation Research in Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany ³ German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Partner Site Dresden, and German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany ⁴ Department of Radiotherapy and Radiation Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: Elizabeth DuPre 

Reviewers:

- [@surajpaib](#)
- [@Matthew-Jennings](#)
- [@drcandacemakedamoore](#)
- [@theanega](#)

Submitted: 12 February 2024

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/))

Summary

Medical imaging provides non-invasive anatomical and functional visualisation of the human body. It is used clinically for diagnostic, prognostic, treatment planning and other purposes. Many current uses of medical imaging involve qualitative or semi-quantitative assessment by experts. Radiomics seeks to automate analysis of medical imaging for clinical decision support. At its core, radiomics involves the extraction and machine learning-based analysis of quantitative features from medical images (Lambin et al., 2017). However, very few—if any—radiomics tools have been translated to the clinic (Huang et al., 2022). One of the essential prerequisites for translation is reproducibility and validation in external settings (O'Connor et al., 2017). This can be facilitated through the use of standardised radiomics software. Here we present `mirp`, a Python package for standardised processing of medical imaging and computation of quantitative features. Researchers can use `mirp` for their own radiomics analyses or to reproduce and validate radiomics analyses of others.

Statement of need

Lack of standardised radiomics software is one of the reasons for poor translation of radiomics tools to the clinic. The Image Biomarker Standardisation Initiative (IBSI) has created reference standards for radiomics software: 1. a reference standard for basic image processing and feature extraction steps (Zwanenburg et al., 2020); and 2. a reference standard for image filters (Whybra et al., 2024). There is currently a lack of fully IBSI-compliant radiomics packages in Python, which is important for the radiomics field because Python is used to interface with machine learning and deep learning packages such as scikit-learn and pytorch. `mirp` is intended to fill this gap. It provides a user-friendly API for standardised image processing for deep learning-based radiomics as well as standardised image processing and feature extraction for machine learning-based radiomics.

`mirp` is intended to be used by researchers in the radiomics field to perform their own radiomics analyses on the one hand, and to externally reproduce and validate results of other researchers. It was originally created in 2016 and regularly updated to conform with the IBSI reference standards and to improve usability. Previous versions of `mirp` were used by e.g. Leger et al. (2017), Zwanenburg et al. (2019), Shahzadi et al. (2022) and Bettinelli et al. (2022). Recently, `mirp` was used as a radiomics engine for the RadTract Python package (Neher et al., 2024). The latest major version (2) provides major improvements in user experience with a unified API, better documentation, and easier installation using pip.

⁴⁴ mirp follows an end-to-end design principle and abstracts away intermediate steps for the user.
⁴⁵ In this sense it is not a toolkit such as scikit-image or opencv, that offer a set of functions
⁴⁶ for performing different operations on images. Because of the end-to-end design, relatively few
⁴⁷ functions are exposed to the user:

- ⁴⁸ ■ mirp.deep_learning_preprocessing: For reading and processing images as input for
⁴⁹ deep learning networks.
- ⁵⁰ ■ mirp.extract_features: For reading and processing images, and computing radiomics
⁵¹ features as input for machine learning algorithms.
- ⁵² ■ mirp.extract_images: For reading and processing images and exporting them.
- ⁵³ ■ mirp.extract_features_and_images: For reading and processing images, computing
⁵⁴ radiomics features and simultaneous export of both processed images and quantitative
⁵⁵ features computed from them.
- ⁵⁶ ■ mirp.extract_image_parameters: For reading images and extracting their relevant
⁵⁷ metadata.
- ⁵⁸ ■ mirp.extract_mask_labels: For reading masks and extracting their labels.

⁵⁹ Of the above, mirp.deep_learning_preprocessing, mirp.extract_features, mirp.extract_images
⁶⁰ and mirp.extract_features_and_images allow for parallel processing using the ray package.
⁶¹ These functions also have generator-based variants that yield output one-by-one.

⁶² mirp supports standard medical imaging formats as input, notably DICOM, NIfTI and NRRD.
⁶³ It also supports numpy arrays as a generic fallback option, and has specific routines for handling
⁶⁴ computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET), magnetic resonance imaging
⁶⁵ (MRI) and radiotherapy dose maps. It supports both radiotherapy structure sets (RTSTRUCT)
⁶⁶ and segmentation (SEG) files as DICOM-based masks.

⁶⁷ In conclusion, mirp offers a much-needed solution for standardized radiomics. With its user-
⁶⁸ friendly Python interface, researchers can conduct radiomics analyses and, crucially, reproduce
⁶⁹ and validate the work of others, bringing us one step closer to harnessing the full potential of
⁷⁰ medical imaging in improving patient care.

⁷¹ Alternatives

⁷² mirp is not the only package available for image processing and feature extraction for radiomics
⁷³ analyses. Commonly used alternatives are listed in Table 1.

	mirp	pyradiomics	CERR	LIFEx	radiomics
Ver- sion	2.1.1	3.1.0	unknown	7.4.0	unknown
Last up- dated	3/2024	5/2023	2/2024	6/2023	11/2019
Li- cense	EUPL-1.2	BSD-3	LGPL-2.1	custom	GPL-3.0
Pro- gram- ming lan- guage	Python	Python	MATLAB	Java	MATLAB
IBSI-1 compli- ant	yes	partial	yes	yes	no claim

	mirp	pyradiomics	CERR	LIFEx	radiomics
IBSI-2 compliant	yes	no claim	yes	yes	no claim
Interface	high-level API	high-level API, Docker	GUI, high-level API	GUI, low-level API	low-level API
Website	GitHub	GitHub	GitHub	website	GitHub
Publication		(Griethuysen et al., 2017)	(Apte et al., 2018)	(Nioche et al., 2018)	(Vallières et al., 2015, 2017; Zhou et al., 2017)

⁷⁴ Table 1: Comparison of `mirp` with other popular alternatives. Note that compliance with the
⁷⁵ first and second set of reference standards of the Image Biomarker Standardisation Initiative
⁷⁶ (IBSI-1 and IBSI-2, respectively) is based on claims of the developers, and not verified by the
⁷⁷ authors. API: application programming interface; GUI: graphical user interface.

⁷⁸ Acknowledgements

⁷⁹ We acknowledge contributions from Stefan Leger and Sebastian Starke. Development of the
⁸⁰ initial version of `mirp` was financially supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and
⁸¹ Research (BMBF-0371N52).

⁸² References

- ⁸³ Apte, A. P., Iyer, A., Crispin-Ortuzar, M., Pandya, R., Dijk, L. V. van, Spezi, E., Thor, M., Um, H., Veeraraghavan, H., Oh, J. H., Shukla-Dave, A., & Deasy, J. O. (2018). Technical note: Extension of CERR for computational radiomics: A comprehensive MATLAB platform for reproducible radiomics research. *Med. Phys.*, 45(8), 3713–3720. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.13046>
- ⁸⁸ Bettinelli, A., Marturano, F., Avanzo, M., Loi, E., Menghi, E., Mezzenga, E., Pirrone, G., Sarnelli, A., Strigari, L., Strolin, S., & Paiusco, M. (2022). A novel benchmarking approach to assess the agreement among radiomic tools. *Radiology*, 211604. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.211604>
- ⁹² Griethuysen, J. J. M. van, Fedorov, A., Parmar, C., Hosny, A., Aucoin, N., Narayan, V., Beets-Tan, R. G. H., Fillion-Robin, J.-C., Pieper, S., & Aerts, H. J. W. L. (2017). Computational radiomics system to decode the radiographic phenotype. *Cancer Res.*, 77(21), e104–e107. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0339>
- ⁹⁶ Huang, E. P., O'Connor, J. P. B., McShane, L. M., Giger, M. L., Lambin, P., Kinahan, P. E., Siegel, E. L., & Shankar, L. K. (2022). Criteria for the translation of radiomics into clinically useful tests. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-022-00707-0>
- ⁹⁹ Lambin, P., Leijenaar, R. T. H., Deist, T. M., Peerlings, J., Jong, E. E. C. de, Timmeren, J. van, Sanduleanu, S., Larue, R. T. H. M., Even, A. J. G., Jochems, A., Wijk, Y. van, Woodruff, H., Soest, J. van, Lustberg, T., Roelofs, E., Elmpt, W. van, Dekker, A., Mottaghy, F. M., Wildberger, J. E., & Walsh, S. (2017). Radiomics: The bridge between medical imaging and personalized medicine. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.*, 14(12), 749–762. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.141>

- 105 Leger, S., Zwanenburg, A., Pilz, K., Lohaus, F., Linge, A., Zöphel, K., Kotzerke, J., Schreiber,
106 A., Tinhofer, I., Budach, V., Sak, A., Stuschke, M., Balermpas, P., Rödel, C., Ganswindt, U.,
107 Belka, C., Pigorsch, S., Combs, S. E., Mönnich, D., ... Richter, C. (2017). A comparative
108 study of machine learning methods for time-to-event survival data for radiomics risk
109 modelling. *Sci. Rep.*, 7(1), 13206. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13448-3>
- 110 Neher, P., Hirjak, D., & Maier-Hein, K. (2024). Radiomic tractometry reveals tract-specific
111 imaging biomarkers in white matter. *Nat. Commun.*, 15(1), 303. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44591-3>
- 113 Nioche, C., Orlhac, F., Boughdad, S., Reuzé, S., Goya-Outi, J., Robert, C., Pellot-Barakat,
114 C., Soussan, M., Frouin, F., & Buvat, I. (2018). LIFEx: A freeware for radiomic feature
115 calculation in multimodality imaging to accelerate advances in the characterization of
116 tumor heterogeneity. *Cancer Res.*, 78(16), 4786–4789. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0125>
- 118 O'Connor, J. P. B., Aboagye, E. O., Adams, J. E., Aerts, H. J. W. L., Barrington, S. F., Beer,
119 A. J., Boellaard, R., Bohndiek, S. E., Brady, M., Brown, G., Buckley, D. L., Chenevert,
120 T. L., Clarke, L. P., Collette, S., Cook, G. J., deSouza, N. M., Dickson, J. C., Dive, C.,
121 Evelhoch, J. L., ... Waterton, J. C. (2017). Imaging biomarker roadmap for cancer studies.
122 *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.*, 14(3), 169–186. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.162>
- 123 Shahzadi, I., Zwanenburg, A., Lattermann, A., Linge, A., Baldus, C., Peeken, J. C., Combs,
124 S. E., Diefenhardt, M., Rödel, C., Kirste, S., Grosu, A.-L., Baumann, M., Krause, M.,
125 Troost, E. G. C., & Löck, S. (2022). Analysis of MRI and CT-based radiomics features for
126 personalized treatment in locally advanced rectal cancer and external validation of published
127 radiomics models. *Sci. Rep.*, 12(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13967-8>
- 128 Vallières, M., Freeman, C. R., Skamene, S. R., & El Naqa, I. (2015). A radiomics model
129 from joint FDG-PET and MRI texture features for the prediction of lung metastases in
130 soft-tissue sarcomas of the extremities. *Phys. Med. Biol.*, 60(14), 5471–5496. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/60/14/5471>
- 132 Vallières, M., Kay-Rivest, E., Perrin, L. J., Liem, X., Furstoss, C., Aerts, H. J. W. L., Khaouam,
133 N., Nguyen-Tan, P. F., Wang, C.-S., Sultanem, K., Seuntjens, J., & El Naqa, I. (2017).
134 Radiomics strategies for risk assessment of tumour failure in head-and-neck cancer. *Sci. Rep.*,
135 7(1), 10117. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10371-5>
- 136 Whybra, P., Zwanenburg, A., Andreadczyk, V., Schaer, R., Apte, A. P., Ayotte, A., Baheti, B.,
137 Bakas, S., Bettinelli, A., Boellaard, R., Boldrini, L., Buvat, I., Cook, G. J. R., Dietsche,
138 F., Dinapoli, N., Gabryś, H. S., Goh, V., Guckenberger, M., Hatt, M., ... Depeursinge, A.
139 (2024). The image biomarker standardization initiative: Standardized convolutional filters
140 for reproducible radiomics and enhanced clinical insights. *Radiology*, 310(2), e231319.
141 <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.231319>
- 142 Zhou, H., Vallières, M., Bai, H. X., Su, C., Tang, H., Oldridge, D., Zhang, Z., Xiao, B.,
143 Liao, W., Tao, Y., Zhou, J., Zhang, P., & Yang, L. (2017). MRI features predict survival
144 and molecular markers in diffuse lower-grade gliomas. *Neuro. Oncol.*, 19(6), 862–870.
145 <https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/now256>
- 146 Zwanenburg, A., Leger, S., Agolli, L., Pilz, K., Troost, E. G. C., Richter, C., & Löck, S. (2019).
147 Assessing robustness of radiomic features by image perturbation. *Sci. Rep.*, 9(1), 614.
148 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36938-4>
- 149 Zwanenburg, A., Vallières, M., Abdalah, M. A., Aerts, H. J. W. L., Andreadczyk, V., Apte, A.,
150 Ashrafinia, S., Bakas, S., Beukinga, R. J., Boellaard, R., Bogowicz, M., Boldrini, L., Buvat,
151 I., Cook, G. J. R., Davatzikos, C., Depeursinge, A., Desserot, M.-C., Dinapoli, N., Dinh,
152 C. V., ... Löck, S. (2020). The image biomarker standardization initiative: Standardized
153 quantitative radiomics for High-Throughput image-based phenotyping. *Radiology*, 295(2),
154 328–338. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020191145>