

¹ NEMESISPY: A Python package for simulating and retrieving exoplanetary spectra

³ **Jingxuan Yang**  ¹, **Juan Alday**  ², and **Patrick Irwin**  ¹

⁴ **1** Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK **2** School of Physical
⁵ Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: **Josh Borrow**  

Reviewers:

- [@shubhagrwal30](#)
- [@ben-cassese](#)

Submitted: 10 March 2024

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

⁶ Summary

⁷ Spectra of exoplanets allow us to probe their atmospheres' composition and thermal structure and, when applicable, their surface conditions (Burrows, 2014). Spectroscopic characterisation ⁸ of a large population of exoplanets may help us understand the origin and evolution of planetary ⁹ systems (Chachan et al., 2023; Nikku Madhusudhan et al., 2017; Mordasini et al., 2016). The ¹⁰ extraction of information from spectral data is known as atmospheric retrievals (e.g., P. G. J. ¹¹ Irwin et al., 2008; Line et al., 2013; N. Madhusudhan & Seager, 2009), which can be divided ¹² into two steps: forward modelling and model fitting. At a minimum, the forward modelling step ¹³ requires an atmospheric model for the observed planet and a radiative transfer pipeline that can ¹⁴ calculate model spectra given some input atmospheric model. The model fitting step typically ¹⁵ requires a Bayesian parameter inference algorithm that can constrain the free parameters of ¹⁶ the forward model by fitting the observed spectra. Atmospheric retrieval pipelines have long ¹⁷ been applied to the spectral analysis of the Earth and other solar system planets, and the ¹⁸ discovery of exoplanets further ignited the development of new retrieval pipelines with varying ¹⁹ focus and functionalities (MacDonald & Batalha, 2023).

²⁰ NEMESISPY is a Python package developed to perform parametric atmospheric modelling ²¹ and radiative transfer calculation for the retrievals of exoplanetary spectra. It is a recent ²² development of the well-established Fortran NEMESIS library (P. G. J. Irwin et al., 2008), which ²³ has been applied to the atmospheric retrievals of both solar system planets and exoplanets ²⁴ employing numerous different observing geometries (J. K. Barstow et al., 2014, 2016; Joanna ²⁵ K. Barstow, 2020; Patrick G. J. Irwin et al., 2020; James et al., 2023; Krissansen-Totton ²⁶ et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2012; Teanby et al., 2012). NEMESISPY can be easily interfaced ²⁷ with Bayesian inference algorithms to retrieve atmospheric properties from spectroscopic ²⁸ observations. Recently, NEMESISPY has been applied to the retrievals of Hubble and Spitzer ²⁹ data of a hot Jupiter (Yang et al., 2023), as well as to JWST/Mid-Infrared Instrument ³⁰ (JWST/MIRI) data of a hot Jupiter (Yang et al., 2024).

³² Statement of need

³³ NEMESISPY has three distinguishing features as an exoplanetary retrieval pipeline. Firstly, ³⁴ NEMESISPY inherits the fast correlated-k (Lacis & Oinas, 1991) radiative transfer routine ³⁵ from the Fortran NEMESIS library (P. G. J. Irwin et al., 2008), which has been extensively ³⁶ validated against other radiative transfer codes (Joanna K. Barstow et al., 2020). Secondly, ³⁷ NEMESISPY employs a just-in-time compiler (Lam et al., 2015), which compiles the most ³⁸ computationally expensive routines to machine code at run time. Combined with extensive ³⁹ code refactoring, NEMESISPY is significantly faster than the Fortran NEMESIS library. Such ⁴⁰ speed improvement is crucial for analysing exoplanetary spectra using sampling-based Bayesian ⁴¹ parameter estimation (e.g., Feroz & Hobson, 2008), which typically involves the computation

42 of millions of model spectra. Thirdly, NEMESISPY implements several parametric atmospheric
43 temperature models from Yang et al. (2023). These routines are particularly useful for
44 retrieving spectroscopic phase curves of hot Jupiters, which are emission spectra observed at
45 multiple orbital phases and can enable detailed atmospheric characterisation.

46 NEMESISPY contains several general-purpose routines for atmospheric modelling and spectral
47 simulations. The modular nature of the package means that subroutines can be easily called
48 on their own. Currently, NEMESISPY has an easy-to-use API for simulating emission spectra
49 and phase curves of hot Jupiters from arbitrary input atmospheric models, and new features
50 are being actively developed, such as multiple scattering in radiative transfer calculation, an
51 API for transmission spectra, and the line-by-line radiative transfer method. NEMESISPY has
52 already enabled two scientific publications (Yang et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2024) and is used in
53 numerous ongoing exoplanetary data analysis projects. The combination of well-tested core
54 radiative transfer routines, accelerated computational speed, and packaged modular design is
55 ideal for tackling the influx of JWST data of exoplanets.

56 State of the field

57 For a review of exoplanet atmospheric retrieval codes with comparable functionalities to
58 NEMESISPY, we refer the reader to the comprehensive catalogue in MacDonald & Batalha
59 (2023).

60 Acknowledgements

61 The authors express gratitude to the developers of many open-source Python packages used by
62 NEMESISPY, in particular, numpy (Harris et al., 2020), SciPy (Virtanen et al., 2020), Numba
63 (Lam et al., 2015) and Matplotlib (Hunter, 2007). The authors also express gratitude to the
64 many developers of the open-source Fortran NEMESIS library (P. G. J. Irwin et al., 2008).

65 References

- 66 Barstow, Joanna K. (2020). Unveiling cloudy exoplanets: The influence of cloud model
67 choices on retrieval solutions. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 497(4),
68 4183–4195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2219>
- 69 Barstow, J. K., Aigrain, S., Irwin, P. G. J., Hackler, T., Fletcher, L. N., Lee, J. M., &
70 Gibson, N. P. (2014). CLOUDS ON THE HOT JUPITER HD189733b: CONSTRAINTS
71 FROM THE REFLECTION SPECTRUM. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 786(2), 154. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/786/2/154>
- 73 Barstow, J. K., Aigrain, S., Irwin, P. G. J., & Sing, D. K. (2016). A CONSISTENT RETRIEVAL
74 ANALYSIS OF 10 HOT JUPITERS OBSERVED IN TRANSMISSION. *The Astrophysical
75 Journal*, 834(1), 50. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/834/1/50>
- 76 Barstow, Joanna K., Changeat, Q., Garland, R., Line, M. R., Rocchetto, M., & Waldmann, I.
77 P. (2020). A comparison of exoplanet spectroscopic retrieval tools. *Monthly Notices of the
78 Royal Astronomical Society*, 493(4), 4884–4909. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa548>
- 79 Burrows, A. S. (2014). Spectra as windows into exoplanet atmospheres. *Proceedings of the
80 National Academy of Sciences*, 111(35), 12601–12609. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1304208111>
- 82 Chachan, Y., Knutson, H. A., Lothringer, J., & Blake, G. A. (2023). Breaking Degeneracies in
83 Formation Histories by Measuring Refractory Content in Gas Giants. *The Astrophysical
84 Journal*, 943(2), 112. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aca614>

- 85 Feroz, F., & Hobson, M. P. (2008). Multimodal nested sampling: An efficient and robust
86 alternative to Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods for astronomical data analyses. *Monthly*
87 *Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 384(2), 449–463. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12353.x>
- 89 Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., van der Walt, S. J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau,
90 D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N. J., Kern, R., Picus, M., Hoyer, S., van
91 Kerkwijk, M. H., Brett, M., Haldane, A., del Río, J. F., Wiebe, M., Peterson, P., ...
92 Oliphant, T. E. (2020). Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825), 357–362.
93 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>
- 94 Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science &*
95 *Engineering*, 9(3), 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55>
- 96 Irwin, Patrick G. J., Parmentier, V., Taylor, J., Barstow, J., Aigrain, S., Lee, E., & Garland, R.
97 (2020). 2.5D retrieval of atmospheric properties from exoplanet phase curves: Application
98 to WASP-43b observations. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 493(1),
99 106–125. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa238>
- 100 Irwin, P. G. J., Teanby, N. A., de Kok, R., Fletcher, L. N., Howett, C. J. A., Tsang, C. C. C.,
101 Wilson, C. F., Calcutt, S. B., Nixon, C. A., & Parrish, P. D. (2008). The NEMESIS planetary
102 atmosphere radiative transfer and retrieval tool. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and*
103 *Radiative Transfer*, 109(6), 1136–1150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2007.11.006>
- 104 James, A., Irwin, P. G. J., Dobinson, J., Wong, M. H., Tsubota, T. K., Simon, A. A.,
105 Fletcher, L. N., Roman, M. T., Teanby, N. A., Toledo, D., & Orton, G. S. (2023). The
106 Temporal Brightening of Uranus' Northern Polar Hood From HST/WFC3 and HST/STIS
107 Observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 128(10), e2023JE007904. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JE007904>
- 109 Krissansen-Totton, J., Garland, R., Irwin, P., & Catling, D. C. (2018). Detectability of
110 Biosignatures in Anoxic Atmospheres with the James Webb Space Telescope: A TRAPPIST-1e
111 Case Study. *The Astronomical Journal*, 156(3), 114. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aad564>
- 113 Lacis, A. A., & Oinas, V. (1991). A description of the correlated k distribution method
114 for modeling nongray gaseous absorption, thermal emission, and multiple scattering in
115 vertically inhomogeneous atmospheres. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(D5), 9027.
116 <https://doi.org/10.1029/90JD01945>
- 117 Lam, S. K., Pitrou, A., & Seibert, S. (2015). Numba: A LLVM-based Python JIT compiler.
118 *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on the LLVM Compiler Infrastructure in HPC*, 1–6.
119 <https://doi.org/10.1145/2833157.2833162>
- 120 Lee, J.-M., Fletcher, L. N., & Irwin, P. G. J. (2012). Optimal estimation retrievals of the
121 atmospheric structure and composition of HD 189733b from secondary eclipse spectroscopy:
122 Exoplanet retrieval from transit spectroscopy. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical*
123 *Society*, 420(1), 170–182. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20013.x>
- 124 Line, M. R., Wolf, A. S., Zhang, X., Knutson, H., Kammer, J. A., Ellison, E., Deroo, P., Crisp,
125 D., & Yung, Y. L. (2013). A SYSTEMATIC RETRIEVAL ANALYSIS OF SECONDARY
126 ECLIPSE SPECTRA. I. A COMPARISON OF ATMOSPHERIC RETRIEVAL TECHNIQUES.
127 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 775(2), 137. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/775/2/137>
- 128 MacDonald, R. J., & Batalha, N. E. (2023). A Catalog of Exoplanet Atmospheric Retrieval
129 Codes. *Research Notes of the AAS*, 7(3), 54. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/acc46a>
- 130 Madhusudhan, Nikku, Bitsch, B., Johansen, A., & Eriksson, L. (2017). Atmospheric signatures
131 of giant exoplanet formation by pebble accretion. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical*
132 *Society*, 469(4), 4102–4115. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1139>

- 133 Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. (2009). A TEMPERATURE AND ABUNDANCE RETRIEVAL
134 METHOD FOR EXOPLANET ATMOSPHERES. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 707(1), 24–39.
135 <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/707/1/24>
- 136 Mordasini, C., van Boekel, R., Mollière, P., Henning, Th., & Benneke, B. (2016). THE
137 IMPRINT OF EXOPLANET FORMATION HISTORY ON OBSERVABLE PRESENT-
138 DAY SPECTRA OF HOT JUPITERS. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 832(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/832/1/41>
- 140 Teanby, N. A., Irwin, P. G. J., Nixon, C. A., de Kok, R., Vinatier, S., Coustenis, A., Sefton-
141 Nash, E., Calcutt, S. B., & Flasar, F. M. (2012). Active upper-atmosphere chemistry
142 and dynamics from polar circulation reversal on Titan. *Nature*, 491(7426), 732–735.
143 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11611>
- 144 Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D.,
145 Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., van der Walt, S. J., Brett, M.,
146 Wilson, J., Millman, K. J., Mayorov, N., Nelson, A. R. J., Jones, E., Kern, R., Larson, E.,
147 ... van Mulbregt, P. (2020). SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in
148 Python. *Nature Methods*, 17(3), 261–272. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2>
- 149 Yang, J., Hammond, M., Piette, A. A. A., Blecic, J., Bell, T. J., Irwin, P. G. J., Parmentier,
150 V., Tsai, S.-M., Barstow, J. K., Crouzet, N., Kreidberg, L., Mendonça, J. M., Taylor, J.,
151 Baeyens, R., Ohno, K., Teinturier, L., & Nixon, M. C. (2024). Simultaneous retrieval of
152 orbital phase resolved JWST/MIRI emission spectra of the hot Jupiter WASP-43b: Evidence
153 of water, ammonia and carbon monoxide. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical
154 Society*, stae1427. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae1427>
- 155 Yang, J., Irwin, P. G. J., & Barstow, J. K. (2023). Testing 2D temperature models in Bayesian
156 retrievals of atmospheric properties from hot Jupiter phase curves. *Monthly Notices of the
157 Royal Astronomical Society*, 525(4), 5146–5167. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad2555>