# Abell 39 – Forty years on

The perfect photoionisation benchmark for stellar evolution



Michael Taylor michael@damir.iem.csic.es

http://damir.iem.csic.es/~michael

# OVERVIEW

### WHY A39?

# AN OBSERVATIONAL ANALYSIS OF A39

#### **CLASSIFYING A39**

### 3D DUST-RT MODELLING WITH MoCaSsIN

# Why study nebulae (apart from their beauty)?

- Nebulae (HII regions, PNs and SNRs) are important probes of:
  - 1) the end states of stars Pagel (1997)
  - 2) the chemical evolution of the universe Pagel (1997)
  - 3) cosmological distances using PNLFs Jacoby (1992)



### Why study such a simple nebula such as A39?

- It is 99.6% Spherical → perfect for photoionisation modelling!
- PN-ISM interaction  $\approx 0$  & no knots  $\rightarrow$  ideal to test:
  - 1) the values of the primordial abundances
  - 2) atomic / molecular physics in vivo
  - 3) dust-RT
  - 4) Stellar atmosphere theory and the mass loss stage of PNs
- It is relatively unstudied (only 1 dedicated publication!)
- Ideal case to assess our progress in astrophysics after 40 years

# AN OBSERVATIONAL ANALYSIS OF A39

# **Observations of A39 thirty years apart (and colour optics)**





1.2m (48") Schmidt - Oschin, Palomar





3.5m (138") WIYN, Kitt Peak



#### Observations of A39 at Kitt Peak in 1997 Jacoby et al (2001)



### The central star is moving ≈ 1km/s! Why?

- The central star offset  $\approx 2^{\prime\prime} = 0.02 \text{ pc}$  (at 2.1kpc) = 6.3x10<sup>11</sup>km
- The derived nebular age (from  $v_{expansion}$ )  $\approx$  23,000 years = 7.26x10<sup>11</sup>s

The drift velocity = 0.86 kms<sup>-1</sup>

**DILEMMA!** The rim FURTHEST from the star is brighter!

Opposite of what's expected if there is ISM interaction
Perhaps due to asymmetric mass loss → higher density
→ higher brightness at left rim? Jacoby et al (2001)
Conservation of momentum ΔM ≈ 0.05 Mo → 0.9 kms<sup>-1</sup>
But! The star also has a redshift of 40kms<sup>-1</sup> Napiwotzki(1999)
→ Is is orbiting another invisible body?!
(Link with "variability of central star" identified by Abell?)

# **Orientating A39 in the Milky Way**



### The line emission spectra in visible (WIYN) and UV (HST)



# So how does 30 years improve imaging?

		ABELL (1966)	2006
Number of observations		7	10
Nebula observed diameter	(arcsec)	174	154.8
Nebula rim thickness	(arcsec)	Ν/Δ	10 1
Nebula halo thickness	(arcsec)	N/A	15
Nebular electron density	$(cm^{-3})$	/8	30
Nebular electron temperature	(K)		15 000
Nebular mass	(rel to Sun)	0.2	15,000
Nebula derived distance	(nc)	918	2100
	(kmc <sup>-1</sup> )	N/A	2100
			22 000
Nebula derived age	(years)		23,000
Central star classification		variable WD	DO
Central star offset	(pc)	N/A	0.02
Central star photoelectric magnitude	V(550nm)	15.6	15.6
(further reddening estimate)	B(440nm)-V	-0.33	-0.33
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U(365nm)-B	-1.23	N/A
Central star temperature	Т(К)	45,709	150,000
	logT(K)	4.66	5.176
Central star luminosity	(W)	5.66 x 10 <sup>27</sup>	9.4 x 10 <sup>27</sup>
Central star luminosity	(rel to Sun)	14.79	15.6
	log(L/Lo)	1.17	1.196
Central star abs magnitude	(M <sub>V</sub> )	5.79	3.9
Central star bol magnitude		1.825	1.76
Central star radius	(rel to Sun)	0.062	0.00073
Central star mass	(rel to Sun)	0.2	0.61
Reddening (log extinction at H(β) (≡5%↓)	cH(β)	N/A	0.049
Reddening from H I col density past A39	c[E(B-V=0.06]	N/A	0.08

.





# **CLASSIFYING A39**

#### **WD** classification



.

#### **Stellar atmosphere theory I: The WD radius**



#### Stellar atmosphere theory II: The WD progenitor mass



# Stellar atmosphere theory III: Progenitor-remnant history



#### Stellar atmosphere theory IV: A39 on the HR diagram



# **3D DUST-RT MODELLING WITH MOCASSIN**

# MOCASSIN is evolving rapidly...

#### = 3D Monte-Carlo radiative-transfer(RT) gas code



To enable modelling of arbitrary geometries,

inhomogeneous regions or multiple sources

### + Addition of dust grain radiative transfer



→ WD2001 - Model Weingarter-Draine(2001)

#### + Inclusion of molecular lines for PDRs and PNs



→ To enable object-ISM coupling studies

#### + Extension of high energy atomic transitions to X-ray



→ To model very high energy regions & AGNs





#### For example...



# Benchmarking 3D gas RT and 1D & 2D dust-RT

# 3D Gas code V1.0

benchmarked successfully based on Lexington 2000 standards for:

Standard HII region (T<sub>\*</sub> = 40000 K)
 Low excitation HII region (T<sub>\*</sub> = 20000 K)
 High excitation planetary nebula (T<sub>\*</sub> = 150000 K)
 Optically thin planetary nebula (T<sub>\*</sub> = 75000 K)

 Table 3. Deviation (per cent) of the Monte Carlo method from the formal solution for the prediction of some significant line fluxes in the benchmark models.

 Ercolano (2003a)

			•	
Line	HII40	HII20	PN150	PN75
Нβ	2.7	9.5	5.8	2.8
He 1 5876 Å	5.2	6.3	0.96	4.5
[N II] 6584 Å	7.6	4.9	8.5	4.8
[О п] 5007 Å	3.1	12.0	4.0	1.1
[S ш] 9532 Å	5.8	5.0	2.0	2.0
	< 00/		1.00	1000

# 3D gas + dust code V2.01

benchmarked successfully for 1D dust clouds and 2D dust disks:

1) 1D pure dust clouds lvezic (1997)
 2) 2D pure dust disks Pascucci (2004)



07

Spherically symmetric, homogeneous benchmark model

### Modelling A39 with MOCASSIN coming soon....



#### REFERENCES

Ercolano et al (2003a), MNRAS 340, 1136 Ercolano et al(2003) MNRAS 340, 1153 Pascucci et al 2004, A&A 417, 793 Ivezic 1997, MNRAS 291, 121 Kwok and Volk 1997, ApJ 477, 722 Jacoby et al (2001) ApJ 560, 272