LESSONS LEARNED FROM RUNNING TERRAFORM AT REASONABLE SCALE

Daniel Ciaglia

UTILIZING FLUXCD, WEAVEWORKS TF-CONTROLLER AND BORING-REGISTRY

Why easy, when we can make it complicated? – the unknown platform engineer

~~~~

USAGE/NAVIGATION

- n, p - next, previous slide
- o - overview
- f - fullscreen
- b - black out the presentation
- s - speaker view
- ⬅️ ➡️ - navigate on the top-level
~~~~

DANIEL CIAGLIA // *CONSULTANT*

- **Freelance**
  since 2022
- **TIER Mobility SE**
  Director of Engineering
- **kreuzwerker GmbH**
  Principal Consultant
- **Bundesdruckerei GmbH**
  Senior Support Manager
- *[**some more**]*
- SCUBA dive instructor
- **AWS User Group Berlin**
  co-organiser

LinkedIn

Website

TODAY'S MENU

1. A typical Terraform stack evolution
2. Running Terraform in GitOps
3. Thoughts on the stack
4. Architectural Decision Records summary

(1.1) TYPICAL TERRAFORM STACK EVOLUTION[1]

Stack: Terraform root module[2], tracked with 1 state file

Related: Highly recommend talk “Terraform: from zero to madness” by @Timur Bublik

(1.1.1) IN THE BEGINNING

- you start your project
- put everything in 1 directory
- maybe split files by broader domains.

```
.
├── databases.tf
├── vpc.tf
├── main.tf
├── outputs.tf
└── terraform.tf
```

(1.1.2) THE STAGING/PRODUCTION SPLIT

- oh well, you need a staging environment
- both environments are very much the same
- you refactor the code to be parameterised by variables
- you provide 2 `.tfvars` files

```
.
├── production.tfvars
├── staging.tfvars
├── databases.tf
├── vpc.tf
├── variables.tf
├── main.tf
└── terraform.tf
```

(1.1.3) CODE REPETITION - I NEED MODULES

- you add more services and they need infra
- the infra is similar
- you want to keep the code DRY[3]
- you create a repo, codify best practices, tag them for versioning
- you pull in modules via git

```
# select a specific tag
module "rds" {
  source = "github.com/example/rds?ref=v1.2.0"
}
```

(1.1.4) THE GREAT SEPARATION

- as the stack grows, the environments differ
- you start separating the code in larger blocks
  - the base environment
  - the services
- the code is pulled in as modules
- the `services` module receives output of `base` as input eg. `vpc_id` or subnets
- `terraform apply plan` is run manually still

```
.
├── environments
│   ├── production
│   │   ├── main.tf
│   │   ├── outputs.tf
│   │   └── variables.tf
│   └── staging
│       ├── main.tf
│       ├── outputs.tf
│       └── variables.tf
└── modules
    ├── base
    │   ├── main.tf
    │   ├── outputs.tf
    │   ├── variables.tf
    │   └── vpc.tf
    └── services
        ├── databases.tf
        ├── main.tf
        ├── outputs.tf
        └── variables.tf
```

(1.1.5) FAST FORWARD

👉 At this point in time I joined the project 👈

The situation

- as the stack grows further, the amount of resources does as well
- each run of `terraform plan -out plan` takes more and more time
- to review and apply changes for developers becomes a dayfilling job
- you start cheating by targeted apply
- you notice that the amount of files downloaded for each `terraform` step is enormous[4]
- you notice that git tags can not be used for semantic versioning (`version`)

Possible solutions

- to address the versioning and data transfer issues - use a private Terraform module registry
- to address the runtime and ownership issue - split the stacks and let the teams handle them (DevOps style)

(1.2) THE BORING-REGISTRY

- TIER Mobility developed their own “boring” Terraform registry without moving parts (hence the name)
  - Details to be found here: https://github.com/boring-registry/boring-registry/
  - The important feature for now is support for the Module Registry Protocol
- **You provide** a S3 bucket, module code and package it in CD via `./boring-registry upload --type s3 (some more flags) ./your-module`
- **You’ll get** semantic versioning

```
module "rds" {
  source = "registry.example.com/acme/rds/aws"
  version = "~> 0.1"
}
```

(1.3) SEPARATING THE SERVICE STACKS

SOME ARCHITECTURAL DECISIONS

Don't

- separate services along team borders[5] ➡️ teams and responsibilities change, always
- share states between services ➡️ there are secrets in there![6] ➡️ read the docs of the `terraform_remote_state` data source!

Do

- Layer your stacks - account, network, clusters and services
- 1 Terraform stack per service
  - good for least privilige access
  - place the Terraform code into the service repo
- run the TF stacks in automation
- use an indirect way to share information between stacks[7]

(1.3.1) INDIRECT INFORMATION EXCHANGE

- use structured data ➡️ ideally JSON for `jsondecode()` and `jsonencode()`
- use whatever storage you prefer ➡️ SSM Parameter Store or S3

Code for 3 Terraform modules will be provided

- `s3_json_store` CRUD JSON data on S3
- `ssm_json_store` CRUD JSON data on SSM Parameter store
- `ssm_json_regex` read SSM parameter with regex

(1.3.2) WRITE DATA (BASE SYSTEM)

```
module "ssm_service_data" {
  source  = "registry.example.com/foo/ssm_json_store/aws"
  version = "~> 1.0.2"

  path = "/configuration"
  name = "base"
  data = {
    domain           = local.domain_name
    environment      = local.environment
    environmentClass = local.environmentClass
    backup_plan      = local.backup_plan
    networking = {
      vpc_id              = module.base.vpc_default_id
      subnet_database_ids = module.base.subnet_private_database_ids
      subnet_k8s_ids      = module.base.subnet_private_k8s_ids
    }
    cluster = {
      name              = module.eks.cluster_name
      oidc_issuer_url   = module.eks.cluster_oidc_issuer_url
      oidc_provider_arn = module.eks.cluster_oidc_provider_arn
    }
  }
}
```

(1.3.3) WRITE DATA (UPSTREAM)

```
module "ssm_service_data" {
  source  = "registry.example.com/foo/ssm_json_store/aws"
  version = "~> 1.0.2"

  path = "/configuration"
  name = "upstream"
  data = {
    installed = true

    private = {}
    public = {
      sns = {
        "foo" = {
          "arn"  = module.sns_foo.arn
          "name" = module.sns_foo.name
        }
        sqs = {
          "bar" = {
            "arn"  = module.bar_queue.arn
            "name" = module.bar_queue.name
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

(1.3.4) READ DATA (DOWNSTREAM)

```hcl
module "ssm_data" {
  source  = "registry.example.com/foo/ssm_json_store/aws"
  version = "~> 0.1.0"

  path                = "/configuration"
  include_filter_regex = "(base|upstream)"
}

module "sns_sqs_subscription_foo" {
  count   = try(module.ssm_data.values["upstream"]["installed"], false) ? 1 : 0
  source  = "registry.example.com/foo/sns_sqs_subscription/aws"
  version = "~> 0.1"

  sns_arn        = nonsensitive(module.ssm_data.values["upstream"]["public"]["sns"]["foo"]["arn"])

  message_retention_seconds = 1209600
  redrive_policy = jsonencode({
    deadLetterTargetArn = module.dead_foo[0].arn
    maxReceiveCount     = 5
  })
}
```

(1.3.5) DOWNSIDES OF STRONG DECOUPLING

- Data contracts between stacks
  - dependencies
  - versioning
- Dependencies of stacks
  - TF and Service code must be able to handle missing dependencies
  - reconciliation of TF stacks to check changed upstreams
  - eventually consistent
- Stack orchestration
  - state management should be centralised
  - stack execution should be in automation
- Permission management
  - for code changes (eg. `CODEOWNERS`)
  - for infrastructure changes
  - for accessing resources

(1.3.6) SOFT DATA CONTRACT BETWEEN STACKS



WHAT'S "REASONABLE SCALE", BTW?

- we had 2 dimesions so far
  - number of TF stacks for x
  - number of environments for y
  - and a fixed number of tenants (1) for z
- let's expand the setup to multiple tenants
  - with this we'll get a real z dimension

`total stacks = stacks * environments * tenants`

To give some numbers: my client LYNQTECH runs ~100 microservices in at least 2 environments per tenant for 5+ tenants - north of 1000 stacks 😉



(2) TERRAFORM IN GITOPS

(2.1.1) FLUXCD PRIMER[8]

WHAT IS GITOPS?

GitOps is an operational framework that takes DevOps best practices used for application development such as version control, collaboration, compliance, and CI/CD, and applies them to infrastructure automation. – https://about.gitlab.com/topics/gitops/



- In our context - **pull vs. push principle**
  - You don't care in *which environment* a stack runs in
  - They are ready for your stack and your code is pulled in (vs. pushed via a pipeline)

(2.1.2) WEAVEWORKS TF CONTROLLER[910]



(2.2.1) STRUCTURE OF CENTRAL FLUXCD CONFIGURATION

- Each environment must be configurable individually
  - has its own entry point for FluxCD
  - this allows for configuration of deployed services
- For audit reasons, production environments must use fixed service versions, others can use semantic versioning
- Flux applications must be DRY
  - do not c&p code
  - implication: no individual configuration of apps
- in the central Flux repo there are **NO** variables, parameters etc. pp.
  - we only document **the intent** to run a service
  - self-configuration happens inside of an environment
- Use of OCI-based registries for sources only
  - everything as a final artefact
  - `flux push artifact`[11] is your friend

FLUXCD AS AN APP OF APPS SYSTEM

```
.
├── environments
│   ├── client-a
│   │   ├── prod
│   │   │   ├── services
│   │   │   │   ├ _versions.yaml
│   │   │   │   ├ foo.yaml
│   │   │   │   └ bar.yaml
│   │   │   └── system
│   │   └── stage
│   │       ├── services
│   │       └── system
│   ├── client-b
[...]

├── flux-apps
│   ├── service-stacks
│   │   ├── foo
│   │   ├── bar
│   [...]
│   │   └── baz
│   └── system
│     [...]
│       ├── vertical-pod-autoscaler
│       └── weaveworks-gitops
```

from the perspective of an individual FluxCD installation

- 0. ▪ cloud and runtime is set up
  - provide data for stacks to become conscious
- 1. ▪ load environment
  - primary Flux app
  - references all secondary service Flux apps
  - includes the version tracking ConfigMap
- 2. ▪ load service Flux apps
  - contains relevant manifests
  - eg. OCI Sources, Terraform, Kustomization
- 3. ▪ apply individual service apps

PRIMARY FLUX APP



SECONDARY FLUX APP



(2.3.1) POST BUILD VARIABLE SUBSTITUTION[12]

- FluxCD's unique possibility to replace variables in rendered manifests before apply
- in FluxCD repo
  - environment specific `_versions.yaml` becomes `service-versions` ConfigMap
  - satisfies the "fixed versions" requirement
- In underlying IaC - basic environment information for a TF stack are written
  - `base` ConfigMap provides `client`, `environment` and other data
  - to form the path for Terraform state file

```yaml
apiVersion: v1
kind: ConfigMap
metadata:
  name: service-versions
data:
  version_foo: "2.5.0"
  version_foo_tf: "~ 0.1.0-0"
  version_vertical_pod_autoscaler: "~> 9.0.0"
  version_vertical_pod_autoscaler_tf: "~ 0.1.0"
---
apiVersion: v1
kind: ConfigMap
metadata:
  name: init
data:
  clientId: "tenant-a"
  domain: "stage.tenant-a.tld"
  environment: "stage"
  environmentClass: "non-prod"
  region: "eu-central-1"
```

(2.3.2) USAGE EXAMPLE

```yaml
apiVersion: source.toolkit.fluxcd.io/v1beta2
kind: OCIRepository
metadata:
  name: foo-iac
spec:
  interval: 5m
  provider: aws
  ref:
    semver: "${version_foo_tf}"
  url: oci://xxx.dkr.ecr.eu-central-1.amazonaws.com/iac/foo
```

```yaml
apiVersion: infra.contrib.fluxcd.io/v1alpha2
kind: Terraform
metadata:
  name: foo
spec:
  backendConfig:
    customConfiguration: |
      backend "s3" {
        region         = "${region}"
        bucket         = "terraform-states"
        key            = "${clientId}/${environment}/stacks/
        role_arn       = "arn:aws:iam::xxx:role/tf-${clientI
        dynamodb_table = "terraform-states-locks"
        encrypt        = true
      }
  sourceRef:
    kind: OCIRepository
    name: foo-iac
  vars: []
```

(2.4.1) CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT

- (Terraform) code is agnostic of environments
- strict division of concerns between cloud and runtime environment
  - Helm/Kustomize - Runtime (Kubernetes)
  - Terraform - Cloud
- Each **Cloud** and **Runtime environment** allow a stack to become concious
  - Cloud: SSM data `base`; Runtime: ConfigMap `init`
  - 👉 pull of configiguration vs. push
- per **code stack** - data are baked into artifact
  - terraform - single `configuration.tf`
  - kustomize - separate `overlay` directories
  - helm - separate `values.yaml`

(2.4.2) EXAMPLE

```
locals {
  service      = "foo"
  squad        = "bar"
  domain_name  = module.ssm_data.values["base"]["domain"]
  cluster_name = module.ssm_data.values["base"]["cluster"]["nam
  client       = nonsensitive(module.ssm_data.values["base"]["c
  environment  = nonsensitive(module.ssm_data.values["base"]["e
  env_class    = nonsensitive(module.ssm_data.values["base"]["e

  configuration = {
    default = {
      k8s_namespace      = local.service
      k8s_sa_name        = local.service
      rds_instance_class = "db.t4g.medium"
    }
    client_a = {
      stage = {}
    }
    environment_classes = {
      non-prod  = {}
      prod = {
        rds_instance_class = "db.r6g.medium"
      }
    }
  }

  # choose the right configuration based on
  # client/environment/environment class or simply defaults
  selected_configuration = merge(
    local.configuration["default"],
    try(local.configuration[local.client][local.environment], {
  )
}
```

```
# get the central SSM config parameters
module "ssm_data" {
  source  = "registry.example.com/foo/ssm_full_json_store/aws"
  version = "0.3.1"

  path                 = var.config_map_base_path
  include_filter_regex = "(base|foo|bar)"
}

module "database" {
  source  = "registry.example.com/foo/RDS/aws"
  version = "3.5.0"

  identifier          = local.service
  squad               = local.squad
  rds_engine_version  = local.selected_configuration["rds_engin
  rds_instance_class  = local.selected_configuration["rds_insta
  client_id           = local.client
  environment         = local.environment
  vpc_id              = module.ssm_data.values["base"]["aws"]["
  subnet_ids          = module.ssm_data.values["base"]["aws"]["
  # [...]
}
```

(2.4.3) CONNECTING CLOUD AND RUNTIME

- remember: division of concerns - cloud and runtime
- Terraform stack writes structured data as JSON
- Runtime pulls in data via External Secrets Operator[13]
- Reloader watches and upgrades Pods with their associated data

```
module "ssm_service_data" {
  source  = "registry.example.com/foo/ssm_json_store/aws"
  version = "1.0.2"

  path = "/configuration"
  name = "foo"
  data = {
    installed = true
    private = {
      database = {
        database_name     = module.database.databas
        database_username = module.database.database_username
        endpoint          = module.database.endpoint
        reader_endpoint   = module.database.reader_endpoint
        port              = module.database.cluster_port
      }
    }
    public = {}
  }
}
```

```
apiVersion: external-secrets.io/v1beta1
kind: ExternalSecret
metadata:
  name: foo-secrets-ssm
spec:
  target:
    name: foo-secrets-ssm
  data:
    # [...]
    - remoteRef:
        key: /configuration/foo
        property: private.database.database_username
      secretKey: DATABASE_USER
    - remoteRef:
        key: /configuration/foo
        property: private.database.endpoint
      secretKey: DATABASE_HOST
---
kind: Deployment
metadata:
  annotations:
    reloader.stakater.com/auto: "true"
```

(2.5) SPECIFICS OF TF-CONTROLLER

(2.5.1) TRAFFIC

- each stack has its own `tf-runner` pod
  - **Decision**: no persistent pods between runs for security reasons (permissions of SA)
- Sizing example: `terraform-provider-aws_5.31.0_darwin_arm64.zip` = 84MB
- NAT costs *(AWS specific issue; GCP lowered egress costs to $0 recently)*
  - reconcile every 30’
  - `terraform init` for each execution
  - 100 stacks * 48 runs/day * ~100MB providers * $0,052/GB = **480GB/$24,96 day/environment**
- **boring-registry** to the rescue 🎉
  - caching, pull-through proxy
  - Provider Network Mirror Protocol
  - provider stored and delivered as S3 objects
  - 😉 use S3 VPC endpoints

.terraformrc

```
credentials "my.terraform-registry.foo.bar" {
  token = "7H151553CUr3!" # we are 1337
}

provider_installation {
  network_mirror {
    url = "https://my.terraform-registry.foo.bar/v1/mirror/"
    include = ["*/*"]
  }
}
```

(2.5.2) KUBERNETES RESOURCES

- each reconcile cycle triggers one `tf-runner` pod per stack
- each `tf-runner` pods consumes
  - ~800m CPU
  - ~150M Memory
- This would spawn a lot of machines at times
- using k8s limits based on `priorityClass`

```yaml
apiVersion: scheduling.k8s.io/v1
description: used to limit the number of terraform runners
kind: PriorityClass
metadata:
  name: terraform
value: 0 # same priority as everybody else
---
apiVersion: v1
kind: ResourceQuota
metadata:
  name: terraform-runners
spec:
  hard:
    pods: "10"
  scopeSelector:
    matchExpressions:
    - operator: In
      scopeName: PriorityClass
      values:
      - terraform
```

(2.6) WEAVE POLICY ENGINE[14]

- based on Rego and similar to Open Policy Agent
- **Goal**: auto approve Terraform changes
  - **Decision**: no destroy/recreate
  - **Decision**: no direct IAM resources (only via controlled modules)
- ⚠️ **not an easy task - talk of its own**



(2.7) WEAVE GITOPS UI[15]

AKA - THE MISSING FLUXCD UI



weavegitops

Flux Runtime

APPLICATIONS

SOURCES

IMAGE AUTOMATION

FLUX RUNTIME

DOCS

This cluster is running Flux version: v0.34.0

CONTROLLERS CRDS

NAME	STATUS	NAMESPACE	IMAGE
helm-controller	Ready	flux-system	ghcr.io/fluxcd/helm-controller:v0.24.0
kustomize-controller	Ready	flux-system	ghcr.io/fluxcd/kustomize-controller:v0.28.0
notification-controller	Ready	flux-system	ghcr.io/fluxcd/notification-controller:v0.26.0
source-controller	Ready	flux-system	ghcr.io/fluxcd/source-controller:v0.29.0

Need help? Contact us at sales@weave.works **Kubernetes:** v1.25.3 **Flux:** v0.34.0 **Weave GitOps:** v0.16.0 © 2023 Weaveworks

(3.0) IS IT PRODUCTION READY?

- tf-controller is sometimes uncertain about the state
- slow development of tf-controller, ~~thank you HashiCorp~~
  - in principle ready for OpenTofu[16]
  - the talk uses features from a pre-release[17]
- observability is not ideal
  - eg. finding all Terraform Manifests, which have a pending plan

Be honest, where are you in the project?

- In the middle of cutting the large TF stacks
  - 👉 very useful tool: minamijoyo/tfmigrate
- Automatic approvals are yet to come
- Branch Planner needs to be implemented to enable full developer ownership
- after IaC migration, services move to FluxCD as well

(3.1) WHY NOT THE BACK STACK[18]?

- **Backstage (B)**: A self-service portal to empower developers
- **Argo CD (A)**: A GitOps-based continuous delivery (CD) tool for streamlined software delivery.
- **Crossplane (C)**: A universal control plane simplifying self-service infrastructure provisioning through abstractions.
- **Kyverno (K)**: A Policy as Code (PaC) tool

- existent Terraform stack and knowledge did not justify re-write of IaC
- Crossplane is bound to 1 kubernetes cluster (state in etcd) where Terraform is bound to a state file
- Introduction of Backstage was out of scope
- ArgoCD vs. FluxCD
  - ArgoCD's handling of Helm charts (templated and applied)
  - TF-Controller as part of FluxCD eco-system
  - ArgoCD has UI and concept of multi-cluster baked in
- Kyverno "runs as a dynamic admission controller" can not be used as a decision engine

(3.2) DOWNSIDES

- development and local testing of TF code is hard
  - possibly via Branch Planner
  - *only for Github sources*
- Terraform module registry - so batteries included for developers? yes, kind of, but
  - Terraform understanding needed
  - it is hard to grock the stack data exchange concept
  - we provide template repositories, use case documentation
- TF-Controller: (un)interruptable pods needed (for writing states)
- missing UI (for TF-Controller) and Monitoring APIs
- implicit data contracts between Terraform stacks

(3.2.1) - AN UNCERTAIN FUTURE

https://www.linkedin.com/feed/update/urn:li:activity:7160295096825860096/

Search

Home | My Network | Jobs | Messaging | Notifications

Meet us at KubeCon

Alexis Richardson

CEO Weaveworks

View full profile

Alexis Richardson • Following

CEO Weaveworks

2d • Edited •

Hi everyone

I am very sad to announce - officially - that Weaveworks will be closing its doors and shutting down commercial operations. Customers and partners will be working with a financial trustee whom we shall announce soon.

https://techcrunch.com/2024/02/05/cloud-native-container-management-platform-weaveworks-shuts-its-doors/

Login

Search

Startups

Cloud native container management platform Weaveworks shuts its doors

TechCrunch Early Stage

April 25, 2024

Boston, MA

Register Now

https://www.theregister.com/2024/02/06/weaveworks_folds/

SIGN IN / UP

The Register

CXO

3

GitOps pioneer Weaveworks unravels after funding fabric frays

Company burned through $61.6M in investment

(3.3) UPSIDES

- all domains (code, kubernetes and cloud environment) follow the same pattern
  - same CI and CD
  - same artefact type (OCI)
  - similar release cycles
  - single entry point for Product Owners
- IaC runner can be replaced
  - TF-Controller is just **a** controlled terraform executor
  - migration to eg. Spacelift.io or others possible
  - break-the-glas scenario supported (manual stack execution)
- Terraform/OpenTofu eco-system can be reused
  - providers
  - knowledge and modules

(3.4) THANKSIDES

- LYNQTECH GmbH for granting permission to share information and code
  - 😉 LYNQTECH is hiring https://www.lynq.tech/jobs/
- All colleagues who were and are part of this journey
- The FluxCD Community and WeaveWorks for their software

LinkedIn Website GitHub

(4.0) ARCHITECTURAL DECISIONS

General FluxCD

- Each tenant environment must be configurable individually
  - For audit reasons, production envs must use fixed service versions
- Applications, in the central repo, must be DRY. No inidividual stacks.
- Use of OCI-based registries for sources only (exception: external Helm)
- Code is agnostic of environments and is not parameterised
  - Each **cloud (AWS)** and **runtime (Kubernetes) environment** allows a stack to become concious
  - kustomize style data baked into artifact
- Secrets synchronised via External-Secret Operator
- Kubernetes cluster should be treated as cattle

TF-Controller

- No vendor lock-in; re-usability of eco-system strong plus
  - Terraform providers
  - Terraform OSS modules
- No persistent pods between runs
- Aim for Auto approval for Terraform changes
  - no destroy/recreate
  - no direct IAM resources (only via controlled modules)
  - only approved top-level module sources

SOURCES + LINKS

1. FluxCD documentation - https://fluxcd.io/flux/components/
2. Weave GitOps // Terraform Controller documentation - https://weaveworks.github.io/tf-controller/
3. Weave GitOps // The Policy Ecosystem - https://docs.gitops.weave.works/docs/policy/getting-started/

1. your experience might be different 😄↩
2. https://developer.hashicorp.com/terraform/language/files#the-root-module↩
3. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Don%27t_repeat_yourself↩
4. HashiTalks DACH 2020 - Opinionated terraform modules and a registry↩
5. How TIER switched paradigms - from team- to service-centric↩
6. Sensitive Data in State↩
7. TF-CIX as an approach to share information between terraform stacks↩
8. https://fluxcd.io/flux/components/↩
9. https://github.com/weaveworks/tf-controller↩
10. ***Please note**: As the tf-runner ServiceAccount is usually very powerful, do not run it in an accessible namespace!*↩
11. https://fluxcd.io/flux/cmd/flux_push_artifact/↩
12. https://fluxcd.io/flux/components/kustomize/kustomizations/#post-build-variable-substitution/↩
13. https://external-secrets.io/, stakater/Reloader↩
14. Weave Policy Engine, Integrate TF Controller with Flux Receivers and Alerts, Open Policy Agent↩
15. https://github.com/weaveworks/weave-gitops and https://docs.gitops.weave.works/↩
16. https://www.opentofu.org/↩
17. https://github.com/weaveworks/tf-controller/releases/tag/v0.16.0-rc.3↩