

Risk of incident SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers residing in Egyptian quarantine hospitals

Sofía Jijón¹, Ahmad al Shafie², Laura Temime¹, Mohamed El Kassas², Kévin Jean¹

¹Laboratoire MESuRS, Conservatoire National des Arts et Metiers, Paris, France. ²Faculty of Medicine, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.



- Externally-referred COVID-19 patients only
- Healthcare workers (HCWs) screened for
- HCWs resided continuously within hospital
- Infected HCWs were isolated or admitted

DATA

Detailed longitudinal data was collected in two healthcare facilities (denoted by **Hosp1** and **Hosp2**), during the 2020 first wave of the epidemic.

	Hosp1	Hosp2
Study period	March 14–August 1	June 6–July 11
Working shifts duration	14 days	7 days
Mean number of HCWs per shift (min-max)	46.6 (34–63)	19.2 (16–20)
Number of beds	90–100	35

METHODS

1. Observed relative risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection

Over both quarantine hospitals:

HCWs		Events	PD	IRR	95%CI	p
Affectation	ICU	12	1 848	1	ref	
	Intermediate care	10	1 549	0.95	0.43–2.11	0.897
	Standard care*	21	3 528	2.27	0.95–5.39	0.064
Occupation	Doctor	7	2 207	1	ref	
	Nurse	34	4 736	2.17	0.98–4.82	0.057

RESULTS

Abbreviations: PD=person-days; IRR=Incidence rate ratio; CI=Confidence interval.

* No standard care unit in Hosp2.

Challenges

- Imperfect diagnosis (false negative test results)
- Right censoring (test at end of shift)



Model description

- **1.** SARS-CoV-2 infection model: Stochastic compartmental model
 - $I_H \sim Binom(S, \lambda)$ where: $I_H = infected HCWs$ S =susceptible HCWs $\lambda = infection risk$
- **2.** Observation model:
- Time-since-exposure variation in false-negative rates of RT-PCR tests
- **3.** Model fitting:
 - Parameter estimation using Markov Chain Monte Carlo approaches

Modeling different routes of transmission

- Model λ considering PtoH and HtoH transmission (see Table 3)
- Use the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) for model comparison

2. Model-based estimation of the daily risk of infection

Assuming constant risk over the study period:

	Hosp1	Hosp2
Incidence rate per 100 PD* (95% CrI)	0.97 (0.56–1.53)	8.98 (3.81–17.75)
Probability for a HCW to be infected at the end of a shift (95% CrI)	12.8% (7.6%–19.5%)	48.2% (23.8%–74.5%)

Abbreviations: PD=person-days; CrI=Credibility interval.

3. Studying different routes of transmission

Considering different routes of transmission, by affectation, by hospital

	Model	Hypothesis	Definition of the rick $()$	DIC		
			Deminition of the fisk (A)	Hosp1	Hosp2	
	Model 1	PtoH transmission, dependent of the ratio patients/HCW by affectation (r^A)	$\lambda_P^A = \beta_P r^A I_P^A$	16.5	11.7	
	Model 2	Model 1 + HtoH transmission	$\lambda_{PH}^A = \beta_H I_H + \beta_P r^A I_P^A$	18	14.1	
N	lotation: A	$A = Affectation, with A \in \{ICU, Intermediate, Standa$	rd}; $P = $ Patients; $H = $ HCWs; λ	$X^A = Cons$	stant daily	
risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection in A, where $X \in \{H, P\}$; $\beta_X =$ transmission rate from X; $I_X^A =$ Daily number of						
ir	fected X ir	<i>ו A</i> .				

CONCLUSIONS

- HCWs tended to face a higher risk of infection when working in the standard care unit
- Nurses tended to face a higher risk of infection as compared to doctors

• The large variation in the infection risk between the two hospitals suggests that HCWs may face a high risk of infection, but that **implementing** infection control measures can

decrease this risk to levels observed in standard healthcare settings.

 Modeling PtoH transmission considering constant risk seems to fit better the observed data



- Include data from other Egyptian quarantine hospitals
- Account for time-varying risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Helwah



Sofía Jijón, Ahmad Al Shafie, Laura Temime, Kévin Jean, Mohamed El Kassas, EMEA-MESuRS working group on nosocomial SARS-CoV-2 modelling. Risk of incident SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers in Egyptian quarantine hospitals. **Preprint** posted in *medRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2020.12.21.20248594



Acknowledgments This project is funded by ANRS (ANRS COV-19)



