SE 350 Operating Systems



Lecture 8: Demand Paging

Prof. Seyed Majid Zahedi

https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~smzahedi



- Demand paging
- Replacement policies
 - FIFO, MIN, LRU
- Clock algorithm
- Nth-chance algorithm

Demand Paging

- Modern programs require a lot of physical memory
 - Memory per system is growing faster than 25%-30% per year
- But they don't use all their memory most of the time
 - 90-10 rule: programs spend 90% of their time in 10% of their code
 - Wasteful to require all of user's code to be in memory
- Solution: demand paging (also known as paging)
 - Use main memory as cache for disk



Demand Paging is Just Caching ...

- What is block size?
 - One page
- What is organization of cache structure?
 - Fully associative
- How do we find pages in cache?
 - First check TLB, then page-table traversal
- What is page replacement policy?
 - This requires more explanation... (coming next!)
- What happens on misses?
 - Go to lower level (i.e., disk) to resolve miss
- What happens on writes?
 - Write-back



Recall: x86 64-bit PTE

XN	SW	Reserved	P-Page Number	U	Р	CM	٩٢	L	D	А	CD	ΜT	0	W	v	
63	62-52	51-40	39-12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	

• V: Valid

- W: Read/write
- O: Owner (user/kernel)
- WT: Write-through (more on this soon)
- CD: Cache-disabled (page cannot be cached)
- A: Accessed: page has been accessed recently
- D: Dirty bit (page has been modified recently)
- L: Large page
- G: Global
- CP: Copy-on-write
- P: Prototype PTE
- U: Reserved
- SW: Software (working set index)
- NX: No-execute

Demand Paging Overview

- PTE helps us implement demand paging
 - Valid \Rightarrow page in memory, PTE points to physical page
 - Invalid \Rightarrow page not in memory; use info in PTE to find it on disk when necessary
- What happens on references to page with invalid PTE?
 - Page-fault exception \Rightarrow trap to OS
- What does OS do on page fault?
 - Allocate physical page to referenced virtual page
 - Load new page into memory from disk and make PTE valid
- What if there are no free physical pages?
 - Evict one and write back its content to disk if it has been modified (i.e., dirty bit is set)
 - Invalidate PTEs and TLB entries pointing to evicted physical page
- While pulling pages off disk for one process, run another one from ready queue
 - Suspended process sits on disk's waiting queue

Paging Big Picture!



Example: Loading Executable



- Each executable file lives on disk in file system
 - Contains contents of code & data segments, relocation entries and symbols
- OS loads executable file into memory, initializes registers (and initial stack pointer)
- Program sets up stack and heap upon initialization (e.g., crt0() in C)

Example: Provide Backing Store



- All used virtual pages are backed by page blocks on disk (called backing store or swap file)
- User page tables map entire virtual address space
 - OS must record where to find non-resident virtual pages on disk
 - Some OSs utilize spare space in PTE for paged blocks
 - Portion of page tables that HW needs to access must be also resident in memory

Example: On Page Fault ...



Example: On Page Fault ... Schedule Other Process



Example: On Page Fault ... Update PTE



Example: Resume from Faulting Instruction



Demand Paging Cost Model

- Effective access time (EAT) = Hit time + Miss ratio × Miss time
- Example:
 - Memory access time = 200ns, avg page-fault service time = 8ms, and miss ratio = p
 - EAT = 200ns + $p \times 8$ ms = 200ns + $p \times 8,000,000$ ns
- If one out of 1,000 accesses causes page fault, then $EAT = 8.2 \mu s$
 - 40x slowdown!
- What if we want slowdown of less than 10%?
 - 200ns x I.I > EAT $\Rightarrow p < 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$
 - This is approximately single page fault in every 400,000 accesses!

What Factors Lead to Misses?

- Compulsory misses
 - Pages that have never been paged into memory before
 - How might we remove these misses?
 - Prefetching: loading them into memory before needed
 - Need to predict future somehow!
- Capacity misses
 - Not enough memory; must somehow increase available memory size
 - Can we do this?
 - One option is increasing amount of DRAM (not quick fix!)
 - Another option is adjusting percentage of memory allocated to process if multiple processes are in memory
- Conflict misses
 - Technically, conflict misses don't exist in virtual memory, since it is "fully-associative" cache
- Policy misses
 - Caused when pages were in memory, but kicked out prematurely because of replacement policy
 - How to fix this?
 - Better replacement policy

Page Replacement Policies

• Random

- Pick random page for every replacement
- + Simple hardware (typical solution for TLB's)
- – Very unpredictable (makes it hard to provide any real-time guarantees)
- First-in-first-out (FIFO)
 - Throw out oldest page
 - + Fair (let every page live in memory for same amount of time)
 - – Not optimal (could throw out heavily used pages instead of infrequently used)
- Minimum (MIN)
 - Replace page that won't be used for the longest time in future
 - + Optimal (perfect benchmark)
 - - Impractical (how can we really know future?)
- Least-recently-used (LRU):
 - Replace page that hasn't been used for the longest time (if it hasn't been used for a while, it's unlikely to be used in near future)
 - + Seems like LRU should be good approximation to MIN
 - - High implement overhead (need to track all references to all pages)

Example: FIFO

• Suppose we have 3 p-pages , 4 v-pages, and following reference stream:

Ref Page	А	В	С	А	В	D	А	D	В	С	В
	А					D				С	
2		В					A				
3			С						В		

- FIFO: 7 faults
- When referencing D, replacing A is bad choice, since we'll need A again right away

Example: MIN

Ref Page	А	В	С	А	В	D	А	D	В	С	В
	А									С	
2		В									
3			С			D					

- MIN: 5 faults
 - Where will D be brought in? Look for page not referenced farthest in future
- What will LRU do?
 - Same decisions as MIN here but won't always be true!

When Will LRU Perform Badly?

Ref Page	А	В	С	D	А	В	С	D	А	В	С	D
	А			D			С			В		
2		В			А			D			С	
3			С			В			А			D

• Every reference leads to page fault!

When will LRU Perform Badly? (cont.)

• MIN Does much better

Ref Page	А	В	С	D	А	В	С	D	А	В	С	D
I	А									В		
2		В					С					
3			С	D								

Memory Size and Page Fault Rate



- One desirable property: When you add memory the miss rate drops
 - Does this always happen?
 - Seems like it should, right?
- No: Bélády's anomaly
 - Certain replacement policies don't have this obvious property!

Bélády's Anomaly

Ref: Page:	А	В	С	D	А	В	E	А	В	С	D	E
I	А			D			E					
2		В			А					С		
3			С			В					D	
Ref: Page:	А	В	С	D	А	В	E	А	В	С	D	E
	А						E				D	
2		В						А				Е
3			С						В			
4										\sim		

- After adding memory:
 - With FIFO, contents can be completely different
 - With LRU or MIN, contents of memory with X pages are a subset of contents with X+1 Page

LRU Implementation

• How to implement LRU? Use a list!



- On each use, remove page from list and place at head, LRU page is at tail
- Problems with this scheme for paging?
 - Need to know when each page is used to change its position in list
 - Add extra overhead to each memory access

Clock Algorithm: LRU Approximation

- Arrange physical pages in circle with single clock hand
- Page-table walk sets accessed bit of PTE on TLB miss
 - No change on further accesses resolved in TLB! (recall:TLB entries usually don't have accessed bit)



- On page fault, advance clock hand and then check access bit
 - If 1, clear it, invalidate TLB entry, advance clock hand, and repeat
 - If **0**, pick candidate for replacement and terminate
- Clock algorithm finds an old page, not the oldest page
- Will this algorithm always find replacement page, or does it loop forever?
 - If all use accessed bits are set, clock hand will eventually loop around \Rightarrow FIFO

Clock Algorithm: Discussion

- What if hand is moving slowly? Is it a good sign or a bad sign?
 - A good sign! Not many page faults and/or find page quickly
- What if hand is moving quickly?
 - Not a good sign! Lots of page faults and/or lots of reference bits set
- One way to view clock algorithm
 - Crude partitioning of pages into two groups: young and old
 - Why not partition into more than 2 groups?

Nth-chance Algorithm: Modified Clock Algorithm

- Give each page N chances
 - OS keeps counter per page to track number of times it qualifies for replacement
 - On page fault, advance clock hand and check access bit
 - $1 \rightarrow$ clear it, invalidate TLB entry, clear counter, advance clock hand, and repeat
 - 0 → increment counter; if counter is N, pick as replacement candidate



- How do we pick N?
 - Large N: better approximation to LRU, more overhead to find replacement candidate
 - Small N: more efficient, less accurate
- What about dirty pages?
 - It takes extra overhead to replace dirty page, let dirty pages survive one extra sweep
 - If counter is N and dirty bit is set, decrement counter and write back to disk

Clock Algorithms: Discussion

- Can run synchronously with page-fault handler
 - When page-fault handler, run clock algorithm to find next page to evict
- Can run asynchronously with page-fault handler
 - Maintain pool of candidate pages
 - On page fault, evict one page from pool
 - Run clock algorithm when size of pool decreases beyond fixed threshold
 - Write dirty pages back to disk when they are added to pool
 - Remove page from pool if it is accessed before eviction

Allocation of Physical Pages

- How do we allocate memory among different processes?
 - Does every process get same fraction of memory?
 - Should we completely swap some processes out of memory?
- Each process needs minimum number of pages
 - All processes loaded into memory should make progress
- Possible replacement scopes
 - Global replacement to make space for one process's page, replacement is selected from all processes' pages
 - Local replacement to make space for one process's page, replacement is selected from process' set of allocated pages

Fixed-priority Allocation

- Equal allocation (fixed scheme)
 - Every process gets same amount of memory
 - Example: 100 physical pages, 5 processes \rightarrow Each. process gets 20 pages
- Proportional allocation (fixed scheme)
 - Allocate according to size of process
 - Computation proceeds as follows:
 - $s_i = size$ of process p_i and S = sum of s_i 's for all p_i 's
 - *m* = total number of physical pages
 - a_i = allocation for pi = ($s_i \times m$) / S
- Priority allocation
 - Proportional scheme using priorities rather than size
 - Possible behavior: If process p_i generates page fault, select for replacement page from process with lower priority number
- Perhaps we should use an *adaptive* scheme instead?
 - What if some application just needs more memory?

Page-fault Rate: Capacity Misses

• Can we reduce capacity misses by dynamically changing # of pages per application?



- Establish "acceptable" page-fault rate
 - If actual rate too low, process loses page
 - If actual rate too high, process gains page
- Question: what if we just don't have enough memory?

Thrashing



- If process does not have "enough" pages, page-fault rate is very high which leads to
 - Low CPU utilization
 - OS spends most of its time swapping pages to disk
- Thrashing \equiv process is busy swapping pages in and out disk
- Questions:
 - How do we detect thrashing?
 - What is best response to thrashing?

Locality In Memory References

- Working set: set of pages referenced in sampling window
- Not enough memory for working set causes thrashing
- At any sampling window, hit rate is impacted by number of working sets that fit into memory





Working-set Model

page reference table

... 2 6 1 5 7 7 7 7 5 1 6 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 4 4 3 4 3 4 4 4 1 3 2 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 ...



- $\Delta \equiv$ sampling window \equiv fixed number of page references
 - Example: 10,000 instructions
- WS_i (working set of p_i) = total set of pages referenced in most recent Δ (varies in time)
 - if Δ too small will not encompass entire locality
 - if Δ too large will encompass several localities
 - if $\Delta = \infty \Rightarrow$ will encompass entire program
- $D = \Sigma |WS_i| \equiv \text{total demand frames}$
- if $D > m \Rightarrow$ Thrashing
 - Policy: if D > m, then suspend/swap out processes
 - This can improve overall system behavior by a lot!

Page-fault Rate: Compulsory Misses

- Recall that compulsory misses are misses that occur first time that page is seen
 - Pages that are touched for the first time
 - Pages that are touched after process is swapped out/swapped back in
- Clustering
 - On page-fault, bring in multiple pages "around" the faulting page
 - Since efficiency of disk reads increases with sequential reads, makes sense to read several sequential pages
- Working set tracking
 - Use algorithm to track working set of applications
 - When swapping process back in, swap in working set

Core-map: Reverse Page Mapping

- Physical page frames often shared by many different address spaces/page tables
 - All children forked from given process
 - Shared memory pages between processes
- Whatever reverse mapping mechanism that is in place must be very fast
 - Must hunt down all page tables pointing at given page frame when freeing a page
 - Must hunt down all PTEs when seeing if pages "active"
- Implementation options:
 - For every page descriptor, keep linked list of page table entries that point to it
 - Management nightmare expensive
 - Linux 2.6: object-based reverse mapping
 - Link together memory region descriptors instead (much coarser granularity)

Summary

- Replacement policies
 - FIFO: Place pages on queue, replace page at end
 - MIN: Replace page that will be used farthest in future
 - LRU: Replace page used farthest in past
- Clock Algorithm: Approximation to LRU
 - Arrange all pages in circular list
 - Sweep through them, marking as not "in use"
 - If page not "in use" for one pass, then can replace
- Nth-chance clock algorithm: Another approximate LRU
 - Give pages multiple passes of clock hand before replacing
- Thrashing: process is busy swapping pages in and out
 - Process will thrash if working set doesn't fit in memory
 - Need to swap out a process







• Slides by courtesy of Anderson, Culler, Stoica, Silberschatz, Joseph, and Canny