MS&E 233 Game Theory, Data Science and Al Lecture 11

Vasilis Syrgkanis

Assistant Professor

Management Science and Engineering

(by courtesy) Computer Science and Electrical Engineering

Institute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering

Computational Game Theory for Complex Games

- Basics of game theory and zero-sum games (T)
- Basics of online learning theory (T)
- Solving zero-sum games via online learning (T)
- HW1: implement simple algorithms to solve zero-sum games
- Applications to ML and AI (T+A)
- HW2: implement boosting as solving a zero-sum game
- Basics of extensive-form games

(2)

(3)

4

- Solving extensive-form games via online learning (T)
- HW3: implement agents to solve very simple variants of poker
- General games, equilibria and online learning (T)
- Online learning in general games
- HW4: implement no-regret algorithms that converge to correlated equilibria in general games

Data Science for Auctions and Mechanisms

- Basics and applications of auction theory (T+A)
- Basic Auctions and Learning to bid in auctions (T)
- HW5: implement bandit algorithms to bid in ad auctions

• Optimal auctions and mechanisms (T)



6

- Simple vs optimal mechanisms (T)
- HW6: calculate equilibria in simple auctions, implement simple and optimal auctions, analyze revenue empirically
- Optimizing mechanisms from samples (T)
- Online optimization of auctions and mechanisms (T)
- HW7: implement procedures to learn approximately optimal auctions from historical samples and in an online manner

Further Topics

- Econometrics in games and auctions (T+A)
- A/B testing in markets (T+A)
- HW8: implement procedure to estimate values from bids in an auction, empirically analyze inaccuracy of A/B tests in markets

Guest Lectures

- Mechanism Design for LLMs, Renato Paes Leme, Google Research
- Auto-bidding in Sponsored Search Auctions, Kshipra Bhawalkar, Google Research

Sum: Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG)

A universal welfare maximizing auction/mechanism!

For any mechanism design setting, it guarantees that:

- 1. It is dominant strategy truthful
- 2. It always chooses the welfare maximizing outcome/allocation
- 3. All bidders have non-negative utility
- 4. All payments are non-negative

For special case of single-item auction = Second-Price Auction

What if we want to maximize revenue?

Let's go back to basics: Single-Item Auction

- How much revenue does the second-price auction achieve? $Rev = E[v_{(2)}] = E[min(v_1, v_2)] = 1/3$
- Can we do better?





Google	digital advertising	× 🌵 🙃 🤆		
	All Images News Videos Shopping : More	То		
	About 6,620,000,000 results (0.44 seconds)	\frown		
	Sponsored	J 1		
	Reddit https://www.redditforbusiness.com			
	Advertise on Reddit			
	Reach over 100K communities — Connect with passionate communities that d brands across all industries. Create impact & own top communities in your targ hours. Try Reddit ads .	leliver results for get category for 24		

Let's go back to basics: Single-Item Auction

• What if we only had one bidder?

$$\operatorname{Rev} = E[v_{(2)}] = 0$$

• Can we do better?



Google	digital advertising	× 🌷 🙃 🤇		
	All Images News Videos Shopping : More	То		
	About 6,620,000,000 results (0.44 seconds)	$\overline{}$		
	Sponsored	_ 1		
	Reddit https://www.redditforbusiness.com			
	Advertise on Reddit			
	Reach over 100K communities – Connect with passionate communities that	deliver results for		
	brands across all industries. Create impact & own top communities in your ta hours. Try Reddit ads .	arget category for 24		



What if we post a reserve price?

Let's go back to basics: Single-Item Auction

• Auctioneer: "If you bid less than r, I will not accept your bid and not show any ad on the page! If you win you must pay r."

$$\operatorname{Rev}(\mathbf{r}) = E[\mathbf{r} \ 1(\mathbf{v} \ge \mathbf{r})] = r \ (1 - r) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Rev}(1/2) = 1/4$$

- Is the auction truthful?
- Is the auction efficient?

$$v_1 \sim U[0,1] \rightarrow b_1$$

Google	digital advertising	× 🌷 💽		
	All Images News Videos Shopping : More	Tc		
	About 6,620,000,000 results (0.44 seconds)	\frown		
	Sponsored			
	Reddit https://www.redditforbusiness.com			
	Advertise on Reddit			
	Reach over 100K communities — Connect with passionate communities that obrands across all industries. Create impact & own top communities in your tak hours. Try Reddit ads .	deliver results for rget category for 24		

0.25

0.20

0.15

0.10

0.05

0.00

0.2

0.4

v = x(1-x)

Truthfulness of Mechanism

Suppose I bid my value. Would I want to deviate?

- Case 1. My value is below reserve price
- Only way to change anything is bid above
- But then I get negative utility as I pay more than value



Truthfulness of Mechanism

Suppose I bid my value. Would I want to deviate?

- Case 2. My value is above reserve price
- I get non-negative utility
- Only way to change anything is bid below
- But then I get zero utility as I lose







Let's go back to basics: Single-Item Auction

- How much revenue does the second-price auction achieve? $Rev = E[v_{(2)}] = E[min(v_1, v_2)] = 1/3$
- Can we do better?





Google	digital advertising	× 🌵 🙃 🤆		
	All Images News Videos Shopping : More	То		
	About 6,620,000,000 results (0.44 seconds)	\frown		
	Sponsored	J 1		
	Reddit https://www.redditforbusiness.com			
	Advertise on Reddit			
	Reach over 100K communities — Connect with passionate communities that d brands across all industries. Create impact & own top communities in your targ hours. Try Reddit ads .	leliver results for get category for 24		

Let's go back to basics: Single-Item Auction

- Auctioneer: "If you bid less than r, I will not accept your bid! If you win you must pay $\max(b_2, r)$." $\operatorname{Rev}(1/2) = E\left[\max(v_{(2)}, r) \operatorname{1}(v_{(1)} \ge r)\right] = 5/12$
- Can we do better?



Google	digital advertising	× 🌷 🙃 🤆
	All Images News Videos Shopping : More	То
	About 6,620,000,000 results (0.44 seconds) Sponsored	
	Reddit https://www.redditforbusiness.com : Advertise on Reddit Reach over 100K communities – Connect with passionate communities th brands across all industries. Create impact & own top communities in your hours. Try Reddit ads.	hat deliver results for target category for 24

 $v_{(3)}$

How do we optimize over all possible mechanisms!

Single-Parameter Settings

- Each bidder has some value v_i for being allocated
- Bidders submit a reported value b_i (without loss of generality)
- Mechanism decides on an allocation $x \in X \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$
- Mechanism fixes a probabilistic allocation rule: $x(b) \in \Delta(X)$
- First question. Given an allocation rule, when can we find a payment rule p so that the overall mechanism is truthful?
- If we can find such a payment, we will say that x is implementable

Some Shorthand Notation

- Let's fix bidder i and what other bidders bid b_{-i}
- For simplicity of notation, we drop index i and b_{-i}
- What properties does the function $x(v) \equiv x_i(v, b_{-i})$

need to satisfy, so that x is implementable?

• Can we find a truthful payment function $p(v) \equiv p(v, b_{-i})$

Is it possible to construct a mechanism that always allocates to the second highest value player and is dominant strategy truthful?

Is it possible to construct a mechanism that always allocates to the second highest value player and is dominant strategy truthful?

Yes No

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

Is it possible to construct a mechanism that always allocates to the second highest value player and is dominant strategy truthful?





22%

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

Is it possible to construct a mechanism that always allocates to the second highest value player and is dominant strategy truthful?





22%

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

Suppose it is possible

- Suppose that we both bid truthfully
- Suppose that I am the highest value bidder
- No matter what the payment rule is, I can always reduce my bid to the second highest bid minus ϵ
- By doing so, I am paying at most the second highest bid and I am winning deterministically

Implementable Rules are Monotone

$$v \cdot x(v) - p(v) \ge v \cdot x(v') - p(v')$$





Implementable Rules are Monotone



Any implementable allocation rule must be monotone!

"If not allocated with value v, I should not be allocated if I report a lower value!"

Uniqueness of Payment Rule

• I should not want to deviate locally up or down infinitesimally

$$u(v) \ge v \cdot x(v+\epsilon) - p(v+\epsilon) = u(v+\epsilon) - \epsilon \cdot x(v+\epsilon)$$

$$u(v) \ge v \cdot x(v-\epsilon) - p(v-\epsilon) = u(v-\epsilon) + \epsilon \cdot x(v-\epsilon)$$

- Dividing over by ϵ , restricts the rate of change of utility $\frac{u(v+\epsilon) - u(v)}{u(v) - \overset{\epsilon}{u}(v-\epsilon)} \leq x(v+\epsilon)$ $\frac{u(v) - \overset{\epsilon}{u}(v-\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \geq x(v-\epsilon)$
- If u was differentiable, then taking the limit of the above as $\epsilon \to 0$

$$x(v) \le u'(v) \le x(v) \Rightarrow u'(v) = x(v) \Rightarrow u(v) - u(0) = \int_0^t x(z) dz$$

Under any truthful payment rule $u(v) = u(0) + \int_0^v x(z) dz$

Discontinuity of Allocation Rule

• Even though allocation rule can be discontinuous, because it is monotone, it is Riemann integrable

$$\int_{0}^{v} x(z) dz = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{k} x(z+\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon \ge \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{k} u(z+\epsilon) - u(z) = u(v) - u(0)$$

$$\int_{0}^{v} (z) dz = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{k} x(z+\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon \ge \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{k} u(z+\epsilon) - u(z) = u(v) - u(0)$$

$$\int_0 x(z) \, dz = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_k x(z-\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon \le \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_k u(z) - u(z-\epsilon) = u(v) - u(0)$$

Under any truthful payment rule $u(v) = u(0) + \int_0^v x(z) dz$

What does that imply about payments

• Since utility is value minus payment

$$v x(v) - p(v) = -p(0) + \int_0^v x(z) dz$$

- Non-Negative-Transfers (NNT). We never have negative payments $p(0) \geq 0$
- Individually Rational (IR). We never give bidders negative utility $p(0) \leq 0$
- Thus, payment at 0 should be zero!

Under any truthful payment rule that satisfies NNT and IR $p(v) = v \cdot x(v) - \int_0^v x(z) dz$

Given an allocation rule, the payment is uniquely determined!

$$u(v) = \int_0^v x(z) \, dz \qquad p(v) = v \cdot x(v) - \int_0^v x(z) \, dz$$



$$u(v) = \int_0^v x(z) \, dz \qquad p(v) = v \cdot x(v) - \int_0^v x(z) \, dz$$



$$u(v) = \int_0^v x(z) \, dz \qquad p(v) = v \cdot x(v) - \int_0^v x(z) \, dz$$



$$u(v) = \int_0^v x(z) \, dz \qquad p(v) = v \cdot x(v) - \int_0^v x(z) \, dz$$



Back to Sponsored Search

x(v)

7









With an arbitrary number of slots, payment of bidder in slot *j* is:

$$p_{(j)} = \sum_{\ell=j}^{k} (a_{\ell} - a_{\ell+1}) \cdot b_{(\ell)}$$

where $b_{(\ell)}$ is the bid of the player allocated in slot ℓ

	Google	digital advertising	×	Ļ	0	C
		All Images News Videos Shopping : More				Тс
		About 6,620,000,000 results (0.44 seconds)				١
		Sponsored	-	1		
v_1 :	= 7	Advertise on Reddit Reach over 100K communities – Connect with passionate communities that of brands across all industries. Create impact & own top communities in your tar hours. Try Reddit ads .	deliver re get cate	sults fo gory for	ır 24	
$u_1 = 1 \cdot (7)$	(-4) =	3 _{Sponsored}				١
v_2 :	= 6	Microsoft https://about.ads.microsoft.com > advertising > start-now : Microsoft Advertising® Get a \$500 Advertising Credit We'll Help You Find Your Customers and Reach Searchers Across The Microsoft Receive a \$500 Microsoft Advertising Credit When You Spend Just \$250! Free	oft Netwo e Sign Up	O.	5 s,	J
$u_2 = .5 \cdot (6$	6 – 2) =	= 2				
v_3 :	= 2					

Optimizing over allocation rules

Myerson's Theorem

- Let *x*, *p* be any DSIC mechanism
- Suppose each value $v_i \sim F_i$ independently and let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ $E[p_i(v)] = E[x_i(v) \cdot \phi_i(v_i)]$

where $\phi_i(v_i)$ is bidder *i*'s "virtual value".

• Letting F_i the CDF and f_i the density:

$$\phi_i(v_i) = v_i - \frac{1 - F_i(v_i)}{f_i(v_i)}$$

• Assuming $\phi_i(v_i)$ is monotone non-decreasing, then the optimal DSIC mechanism is the mechanism that allocates to the highest virtual value bidder (or none if highest virtual value is negative)

Back to Uniform Example

- If $v_i \sim U[0,1]$ then F(v) = v and f(v) = 1
- Virtual value simplifies to

$$\phi_i(v_i) = v_i - (1 - v_i) = 2v_i - 1$$

• We should allocate to the highest virtual value player, as long as the highest virtual value is non-negative

$$v_i \ge 1/2$$

- Since all virtual value functions are the same, allocating to the highest virtual value is the same as allocating to the highest value
- Simply: Second Price with a reserve price of 1/2!

Myerson's Theorem

• Consider the revenue contribution of a single bidder *i* and drop other bids and index from notation

$$E[p(v)] = E\left[v x(v) - \int_0^v x(z) dz\right] = E\left[v \hat{x}(v) - \int_0^v \hat{x}(z) dz\right]$$

- Allocation $\hat{x}(z)$ is the expected allocation over other bidder values $\hat{x}(z) = E_{v_{-i}}[x(z, v_{-i})]$
- We can do an exchange of the integrals:

$$E\left[\int_{0}^{v} \hat{x}(z) dz\right] = \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \int_{z=0}^{v} \hat{x}(z) dz f(v) dv = \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(z) \int_{v=z}^{\infty} f(v) dv dz$$
$$= \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(z) (1 - F(z)) dz = E\left[\hat{x}(v) \frac{1 - F(v)}{f(v)}\right]$$

Myerson's Theorem (cont'd)

• Consider the revenue contribution of a single bidder *i* and drop other bids and index from notation

$$E[p(v)] = E\left[\hat{x}(v)\left(v - \hat{x}(v)\frac{1 - F(v)}{f(v)}\right)\right] = E[\hat{x}(v)\phi(v)]$$

• Re-introducing the bidder index: $E[p_i(v)] = E[\hat{x}_i(v_i) \cdot \phi_i(v_i)] = E[x_i(v) \cdot \phi_i(v_i)]$

• Summing across bidders we get:

$$\sum_{i} E[p_i(v)] = \sum_{i} E[x_i(v) \cdot \phi_i(v_i)] = E\left[\sum_{i} x(v) \cdot \phi_i(v_i)\right]$$

Г

Myerson's Optimal Auction. The optimal mechanism is the mechanism that maximizes virtual welfare (and charges the corresponding payments that make this truthful)

$$x(v) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} \sum_{i} x \cdot \phi_{i}(v_{i}), \qquad p_{i}(v) = v_{i}x_{i}(v) - \int_{0}^{v_{i}} x_{i}(z, v_{-i}) dz$$
$$\operatorname{Rev} = E\left[\max_{x \in X} \sum_{i} x \cdot \phi_{i}(v_{i})\right]$$

Appendix: Deriving the Optimal Reserve

• Bidders are symmetric. Revenue is twice the revenue we collect from each bidder

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Rev}_{1}(r) &= E[\max(v_{2}, r) \ 1(v_{1} \ge \max(v_{2}, r))] \\ &= E[v_{2} \ | \ v_{2} \in [r, v_{1}]] \operatorname{Pr}(v_{2} \in [r, v_{1}] | v_{1} \ge r) \operatorname{Pr}(v_{1} \ge r) + r \operatorname{Pr}(v_{2} \le r) \operatorname{Pr}(v_{1} \ge r) \\ &= \int_{r}^{1} \frac{v + r}{2} (v - r) dv + r^{2} (1 - r) \\ &= \int_{r}^{1} \frac{v^{2} - r^{2}}{2} dv + r^{2} (1 - r) \\ &= \left(\frac{1 - r^{3}}{6} - \frac{r^{2}}{2} (1 - r) + r^{2} (1 - r)\right) \\ &= \frac{1 - r^{3}}{6} + \frac{r^{2} (1 - r)}{2} = \frac{1 - r^{3} + 3r^{2} - 3r^{3}}{6} = \frac{1 + 3r^{2} - 4r^{3}}{6} \end{aligned}$$
The first order condition

$$(\text{Rev}_1(r))' = r(1 - 2r) = 0 \Rightarrow r = 1/2$$

•