Evaluation of CMIP6 and quantifying progress of climate models across different CMIP phases with the ESMValTool

Lisa Bock¹, Axel Lauer¹, Birgit Hassler¹, Manuel Schlund¹ and Veronika Eyring^{1,2}

 ¹ Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany
² University of Bremen, Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP), Bremen, Germany



AGU 2021

CMIP6 Climate Model Evaluation 15 December 2021





Knowledge for Tomorrow

Earth System Model Evaluation Tool (ESMValTool) version 2

International ESMValTool development team

- 17 funded projects
- 63 institutions
- 203 developers

Righi et al., 2020 **Technical overview**

Eyring et al., 2020 Large-scale diagnostics

Lauer et al., 2020 Diagnostics for emergent constraints and future projections

Weigel et al., 2021 Diagnostics for extreme events, regional and impact evaluation



- Tool for fast and easy routine evaluation and analysis of Earth system models including provenance records for all results (traceability and reproducibility)
- Well-established analysis based on peer-reviewed literature
- Many diagnostics and performance metrics covering **different aspects of the Earth system** (dynamics, radiation, clouds, carbon cycle, chemistry, aerosol, sea-ice, etc.) and their interactions
- Extensive **documentation** (user guide, peer-reviewed papers)
- Was used in support of production of a subset of figures of the IPCC WGI AR6

Website: https://www.esmvaltool.org/ Code: https://github.com/ESMValGroup/ESMValTool Documentation: https://docs.esmvaltool.org/ Tutorial: https://esmvalgroup.github.io/ESMValTool Tutorial





Climate models are improving

Pattern correlation with observational reference for the annual mean climatology over the period 1980-1999

correlation	1.0- 0.8- 0.4-				***				ŧŧ	₩		- -	+††			T C T	1 † †
	0.2-	CMIP6 CMIP5 CMIP3 Addition	al observ	- vations										-			
	Ne Air	ear-Surface Temperatur	Precipitation Precipitation	on TOA Outgoing Shortwave Radiation	TOA Outgoing Longwave Radiation	TOA SW Cloud Rad Effect	TOA LW Cloud Rad Effect	Sea Level Pressure T	Surface emperature	Temperature e 850 hPa	Temperature 200 hPa	Eastward Wind 850 hPa	Eastward Wind 200 hPa	Northward Wind 850 hPa	Northward (Wind 200 hPa	Geopotential Height 500 hPa	Specific Humidity 400 hPa

IPCC AR6 WG1 Fig. 3.43 & Bock et al., 2020



Climate models are improving



Relative space-time root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) calculated from the climatological seasonal cycle of the CMIP simulations (1980–1999) compared to observational datasets.



IPCC AR6 WG1 Fig. 3.42 & Bock et al., 2020





Effective Climate Sensitivity (ECS)



ECS in CMIP6

- Some CMIP6 models show higher ECS values than CMIP5 models
- Increased ECS range in more complex ESMs
- → Important contribution to ECS is the cloud climate feedback

Updated from Meehl et al., Science Advances, 2020



Cloud ice water

Cloud liquid water

(g kg⁻¹)

(g kg⁻¹)

Climatological zonal means



Observations: CALIPSO-ICECLOUD, CloudSat



<u>3D distribution of cloud liquid</u> and ice water content

- Reduced cloud ice in CMIP6 models throughout the troposphere
- Improved agreement of CMIP6 MMM with CALIPSO-ICECLOUD (less overestimation of ice)
- Slightly decreased cloud liquid water in CMIP6 compared with CMIP5
- Underestimation of cloud liquid in MMM throughout most of the troposphere except in lowermost boundary layer

Lauer et al., in prep.



DLR.de · Chart 7

Cloud properties by dynamical regime



ESMValTool Earth System Model Evaluation Tool

 CMIP6 MMM in better agreement with observations
Increased cloud cover in CMIP6 in moderately descending and

ascending regions $(\omega_{500} < 4 \text{ Pa min}^{-1})$

• Improved agreement of CMIP6 MMM with ESACCI-CLOUD (higher cloud fraction, reduced total cloud water in ascending regions $(\omega_{500} < -4 \text{ Pa min}^{-1})$

Lauer et al., in prep.

Southern Ocean (Dec-Jan-Feb)





Improved agreement of CMIP6 MMM with observations compared to CMIP5

- Reduced shortwave cloud radiative effect for given total cloud fraction
- Reduction in total cloud water path in the CMIP6 models
- Increased frequency of high total cloud amounts in CMIP6 compared to CMIP5
- → Improvement of "too few, too bright problem" in CMIP6

Lauer et al., in prep.





- ESMValTool: tool for fast and easy routine evaluation and analysis of Earth system models including provenance records for all results (traceability and reproducibility)
- Climate models are improving: pattern correlation and performance metrics are showing significant improvement from CMIP3 over CMIP5 to CMIP6
- CMIP6 ensemble shows a higher effective climate sensitivity (ECS) and an increased range of values from individual models
- CMIP6 MMM in better agreement with observations of cloud properties in some dynamical regimes
- Improvement of "too few, too bright problem" over Southern Ocean in CMIP6: improved agreement of shortwave cloud radiative effect and total cloud water path per cloud fraction and of frequency of high total cloud fractions





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N°824084